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#### Abstract

We propose the existence of a new universality in classical chaotic systems when the number of degrees of freedom is large: the statistical property of the Lyapunov spectrum is described by random matrix theory. We demonstrate it by studying the finite-time Lyapunov exponents of the matrix model of a stringy black hole and the mass-deformed models. The massless limit, which has a dual string theory interpretation, is special in that the universal behavior can be seen already at $t=0$, while in other cases it sets in at late time. The same pattern is demonstrated also in the product of random matrices.
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## I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

In this paper we suggest that the statistical property of the Lyapunov spectrum in classical chaotic systems with a large number of degrees of freedom is described universally by random matrix theory (RMT). More precisely, we consider the spectrum of the finite-time Lyapunov exponents, which is defined from the growth of small perturbations during a finite time interval $t$. Unlike the majority of the previous references in which $t \rightarrow \infty$ is taken first, we will take the limit of a large number of degrees of freedom at each finite $t$ [1]. This is a natural limit which leads to various universal results such as the universal bound on the Lyapunov exponent [2].

Our initial motivation was in a different kind of universality in quantum many-body chaos, which has been a hot topic in string theory and quantum information communities in recent years (see, e.g., Refs. [2,3]). It has been argued that the largest Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ has to satisfy a certain bound, and the black hole in general relativity saturates the bound [2]. In this context G. Gur-Ari, S. Shenker, and one of the authors (M.H.) have studied [4] the Lyapunov exponents of a classical matrix model (the D0-brane matrix model) [5-8], which is related to a quantum black hole with stringy corrections via the gaugegravity duality $[8,9]$. They found that the global distribution of the Lyapunov exponents follows the semicircle law near the edge, which is a characteristic feature of the RMT energy spectrum. This suggested the existence of certain universal behaviors in the Lyapunov spectrum of such systems.

Motivated by this observation, we studied the statistical property of the Lyapunov spectrum in the matrix model [10]. As we will show, its statistical property is described by RMT for all $t$. When we introduce the mass deformation, the RMT description is lost for small $t$. However, it does emerge for large $t$. The spectrum of the product of random matrices, which has been studied as an analytically tractable model of chaos, admits the same RMT description. This is true in other models
as well; some examples will be reported in Ref. [13]. Based on these results, we conjecture that the Lyapunov exponents of a large class of many-body chaos, both deterministic and nondeterministic, are described by RMT at late time.

## II. LYAPUNOV EXPONENT AND LYAPUNOV SPECTRUM

Let us consider the phase space consisting of $K$ variables, $\phi_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, K)$. By solving the equations of motion, the classical trajectory $\phi_{i}(t)$ is obtained depending on the initial condition at $t=0$. When a small perturbation is added at $t=0$, $\phi_{i} \rightarrow \phi_{i}+\delta \phi_{i}$, the time evolution of the perturbation can be evaluated by solving the equations of motions with the perturbed initial condition. When $\delta \phi_{i}$ is infinitesimally small, the evolution is described by the transfer matrix $T_{i j}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\left(t>t^{\prime}\right)$ as $\delta \phi_{i}(t)=\sum_{j} T_{i j}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \delta \phi_{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right)$. Let $a_{1}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \geqslant a_{2}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \cdots \geqslant$ $a_{K}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)>0$ be the singular values of $T_{i j}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$. The timedependent Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_{i}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$ is defined by $\lambda_{i}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=$ $\frac{\log a_{i}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)}{t-t^{\prime}}$.

When the trajectory is bounded, the exponents have unique limits $\lim _{t-t^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{i}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$. Usually they are called the Lyapunov exponents. An existence of a positive exponent characterizes the sensitivity to the initial condition, which is a necessary condition for the chaos.

In this paper we consider the finite-time exponents and study their statistical properties at large $K$. Note that we take the large- $K$ limit for each fixed time interval $t-t^{\prime}$ and use many samples, which are generated from different initial conditions. Two limits, $K \rightarrow \infty$ and $t-t^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, may or may not commute, depending on the systems [1]. In chaotic systems, generic initial states evolve to "typical" states after some time, and the statistics is dominated by them. We will pick up only typical states. It can be achieved by taking $t$ to be sufficiently late time. For the simplicity of the notation, we will redefine the time and set $t^{\prime}=0$, and call $\lambda_{i}(t, 0)$ as $\lambda_{i}(t)$.

In order to compare the statistical property of the Lyapunov spectrum with RMT, we use the standard unfolding method [14]. Note that $\left\{\lambda_{i}(t)\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{i}(t)\right\}$ lead to the same unfolded distribution. Hence the universality of the Lyapunov exponents discussed in this paper is equivalent to the universality in the singular values of the transfer matrix describing the linear response.

## III. DO-BRANE MATRIX MODEL

In Ref. [4] the classical limit of the matrix model of D0-branes has been considered [15]. The Lagrangian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{N}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\sum_{I}\left(D_{t} X_{I}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J}\left[X_{I}, X_{J}\right]^{2}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{I}(I=1, \ldots, d)$ are $N \times N$ traceless Hermitian matrices; $D_{t} X_{I}=\partial_{t} X_{I}-\left[A_{t}, X_{I}\right]$, where $A_{t}$ is the $S U(N)$ gauge field. The number of the traceless Hermitian matrices is $d=9$. This system has a scaling symmetry which relates solutions with different energies. We will employ a natural energy scale $E=6\left(N^{2}-1\right)-27$ [25], which corresponds to the unit temperature, $k_{\mathrm{B}} T=1$. We use the same simulation code as in Ref. [4].

In the $A_{t}=0$ gauge, the equation of motion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} X_{I}}{d t^{2}}=\sum_{J}\left[X_{J},\left[X_{I}, X_{J}\right]\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

supplemented with the Gauss's law constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I}\left[\frac{d X_{I}}{d t}, X_{I}\right]=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By following the procedures explained in Ref. [4], we can study the Lyapunov exponents. In Ref. [4] it has been observed that the spectrum of $\lambda$ is well approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\lambda, t)=\frac{3}{4 \tilde{\lambda}_{\max }^{3 / 2}} \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{\max }-|\lambda|}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text {max }}$ is a time-dependent parameter which approximately equals the largest Lyapunov exponent. Near the edge $|\lambda| \sim \tilde{\lambda}_{\text {max }}$, this distribution is equivalent to the semicircle, $\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{\max }^{2}-\lambda^{2}}$. This is an indication of a possible connection to RMT.

We have studied the Lyapunov spectrum for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 10$ with $N=4,6,8$. The number of the Lyapunov exponents, which appear in pairs of positive and negative ones with the same absolute value, is $K=16\left(N^{2}-1\right)$ [26]. We ordered the positive exponents as $\lambda_{1} \geqslant \lambda_{2} \geqslant \cdots$ and studied the distribution of the level spacing $s_{i} \equiv \lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i+1}$. From these exponents, the distribution $P(s)$ of the unfolded level separation can be obtained. (For the detail of the analysis, including the error estimate, see the Appendix.) It agrees well with the nearestneighbor level statistics of the GOE ensemble, which we denote by $P_{\mathrm{GOE}}(s)$ [27], as shown in Fig. 1, for all values of $t$. Already at $t=0$, the spectrum agrees very well with GOE; see Fig. 1(a). Note that we can see a small deviation from GOE at $N=4$. Thus the data strongly suggest that the level statistics of the


FIG. 1. The separation distribution $P(s)$ for the D0-brane matrix model (1) with $N=4,6,8,12,16$ at $t=0$ (a), and $N=4,6,8$ at $t=10$ (b). $P(s)$ agrees with $P_{\text {GOE }}(s)$ at large $N$.
finite-time Lyapunov spectrum agrees with that of GOE at any $t$, after taking the large $-N$ limit.

## A. Mass deformation

Next we add the mass term $\Delta L=-\frac{N m^{2}}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \sum_{I} X_{I}^{2}$ to the D0-brane matrix model. The physically meaningful parameter is the dimensionless ratio $E / m$. Here we fix the energy to be $E=6\left(N^{2}-1\right)-27$ and change $m$. In the limit with an infinite mass, or equivalently the zero-energy limit, the theory becomes a free theory, which is not chaotic [28].

In Fig. 2(a) the distribution of the unfolded level separations with $m=3$ is shown. Although it is linear in $s$ for small $s$, indicating level repulsion between Lyapunov exponents, the distribution disagrees with that of GOE, having a peak at smaller $s$ and a longer tail. However, as shown in Fig. 2(b), the distribution goes close to GOE at $t>0$.


FIG. 2. The separation distribution $P(s)$ for the D0-brane matrix model (1) with the mass deformation, $m=3, N=4,6,8,12,16$ at $t=0$ (a), and $N=4,6,8$ at $t=10$ (b). At $m \neq 0$, although $P(s)$ and $P_{\mathrm{GOE}}(s)$ do not agree at $t=0$, they become very close at $t=10$.


FIG. 3. (a) Mass dependence of the difference between the massdeformed model and the GOE random matrix, $\int d s\left|P(s)-P_{\text {GOE }}(s)\right|$. The sample size is 12000 for $N=4,6,8$ and at least 1000 (230) for $N=12$ (16), respectively. (b) Time dependence of the difference, $m=3, N=4,6,8$, with the same quantity plotted against $1 / t$ in the inset (c). The difference oscillates and gradually decreases. At $N=8$, the decrease at late time is $\sim 1 / t$.

To make this observation more precise we calculated the difference, $\int d s\left|P(\lambda)-P_{\mathrm{GOE}}(\lambda)\right|$, of the distribution from that of GOE. The difference is plotted at $t=0$ for several values of $m$ in Fig. 3(a). The spectrum disagrees with that of GOE at finite $m$, and the deviation is larger when $m$ is larger. In Fig. 3(b) the time dependence is shown for $m=3, N=4,6,8$. The deviation from $P_{\text {GOE }}(s)$ oscillates and gradually decreases. This result strongly suggests that the distribution converges to $P_{\mathrm{GOE}}(s)$ when the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ is taken after $N \rightarrow \infty$.

## B. Beyond nearest neighbor

In order to see the agreement with RMT beyond the nearestneighbor level correlation, we have compared the spectral form factor (SFF) defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\tau)=\sum_{n} e^{i \lambda_{n} \tau} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its RMT counterpart for Gaussian symmetric random matrices of the same dimension $K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{GOE}(K)}(\tau)=\sum_{n} e^{i E_{n} \tau} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectral form factor captures more information about the spectrum, the so-called spectral rigidity. The large $\tau$ behavior of the SFF reflects the fine-grained structure of the energy spectrum. The small $\tau$ region is sensitive to the global shape of the spectrum, which is not expected to be universal.

In Fig. 4 we have plotted $g(\tau) \equiv|Z(\tau)|^{2} / K^{2}$ calculated from the Lyapunov spectrum of the BFSS matrix model at $t=$ 0 and $g_{\operatorname{GOE}(K)}(\tau) \equiv\left|Z_{\mathrm{GOE}(K)}(\tau)\right|^{2} / K^{2}$. The agreement at large $\tau$ (the ramp $\sim \tau^{1}$ and the plateau $\sim \tau^{0}$ ) means the agreement of the Lyapunov spectrum and RMT energy spectrum beyond the nearest neighbor. Note that the disagreement in the small $\tau$ region is not a problem, it simply means the global shapes of the spectrum are different.


FIG. 4. The SFF $g(\tau)$, at $\beta=0$ for the unfolded Lyapunov spectrum of the D0-brane matrix model (1) with $N=8$ (left) and $N=24$ (right) at $t=0$ and for the unfolded eigenvalues of Gaussian random symmetric matrices with dimension $K=16\left(N^{2}-1\right)$.

We repeated the same analysis with a mass deformation. In Fig. 5 the SFFs $g(\tau)$ for the mass-deformed model with $N=8$ and $m=3$ for $t=1$ and $t=10$ are shown. The convergence to RMT at late time (large $t$ ) can be seen very clearly.

## IV. PRODUCT OF RANDOM MATRICES

Let us consider a product of $t$ matrices randomly chosen from a certain ensemble ("random matrix product" [RMP]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}(t)=M_{t} M_{t-1} \cdots M_{2} M_{1} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take the matrix size to be $K \times K$. The RMP has been studied as a toy model of the Lyapunov growth, by regarding $M_{i}$ to be an analog of the transfer matrix at a short time separation. From the singular values $a_{i}(t)(i=1,2, \ldots, K)$,


FIG. 5. The SFF $g(\tau)$ for the unfolded Lyapunov spectra of the mass-deformed model with $N=8$ and $m=3$ for $t=1$ and 10 , and for the unfolded Gaussian random symmetric matrix eigenvalues with $K=16\left(N^{2}-1\right)=1008$.


FIG. 6. (a) The difference from GOE, $\int d s\left|P(s)-P_{\mathrm{GOE}}(s)\right|$, at $t=1$, as a function of $h / \sqrt{K}$. We can see that the difference converges to an $O(1)$ value when $h / \sqrt{K}$ is fixed. (b) The same quantity for various $K$ and $t$, with $h / \sqrt{K}=1 / 2$. A clear convergence to GOE at large $K$ and large $t$ can be seen.
ordered as $a_{1}(t) \geqslant a_{2}(t) \geqslant \cdots \geqslant a_{K}(t)$, we define the finitetime Lyapunov exponents by $\lambda_{i}(t)=\left[\log a_{i}(t)\right] / t$.

The RMP has also been considered in the study of quantum transport phenomena, such as the conduction of electrons in a disordered wire [29]. Our analysis in this section is closely related to results in the literature of the quantum transport phenomena; our $K$ corresponds to the number of transport channels, and $t$ corresponds to the length of the disordered wire [30]. In quantum transport phenomena, the evolution is studied of the transmission eigenvalues when the length of the wire is changed [31]. It would be interesting to consider the time evolution of Lyapunov spectrums of the classical (deterministic or nondeterministic) chaotic systems from a similar point of view.

If each $M_{i}$ is a real matrix (also a complex matrix) with the weight $e^{-K \operatorname{Tr} M M^{\dagger}}$, then the level spacing statics of Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_{i}(t)$ follow that of the standard GOE (GUE) for any fixed $t$. This is easily verified numerically and for the complex matrices an analytic derivation can be found in Ref. [32]. This is precisely analogous with the case of the massless D0-brane matrix model (1). Note that $t \rightarrow \infty$ with fixed $K$ is different from RMT $[33,34]$.

One can also introduce a deformation of the RMP playing a role analogous to the mass deformation of the matrix model. We have numerically studied a product of real-valued random band matrices, whose $(i, j)$ components are set to zero unless $|i-j|<h$, with the periodic identification $i \sim i+K$. As shown in Fig. 6(a), the deviation of $P(s)$ from GOE at $t=1$ converges to an $O\left(K^{0}\right)$ value in the large- $K$ limit when $h / \sqrt{K}$ is fixed. In Fig. 6(b) the results for the products with $h / \sqrt{K}=$ $1 / 2$ are shown. At large $t$, the plot shows a clear tendency of the convergence to GOE.

We also calculate the average nearest-neighbor gap, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle r\rangle=\left\langle\frac{\min \left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)}{\max \left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)}\right\rangle_{i}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 7. The average nearest-neighbor gap ratio $\langle r\rangle$ plotted against the inverse of the number of multiplied matrices, $1 / t$, for the complex and real random matrix products with $K=900$ and $h=16,13,10$. The sample size is 1000 for all cases. The values for GUE and GOE random matrix eigenvalues from Ref. [35] are also shown by horizontal lines for comparison.
in which $s_{i}=\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i+1}$ and the average $\langle\cdots\rangle$ is taken over $i=$ $1, \ldots, K-2$ and all samples. The average nearest-neighbor gap characterizes the correlation between the neighboring gaps in the spectrum. In Fig. 7 we have plotted the value of $\langle r\rangle$, for products of both real and complex matrices, against the inverse of the number of multiplied matrices $t$, for both complex and real matrices with $K=900$ and $h=16,13,10$, along with the values for GOE and GUE matrices presented in Ref. [35]. This is the evidence that the universality holds for next-to-next nearest-neighboring levels.

Furthermore, in order to see the correlation over even larger separations, in Fig. 8(a) we have compared the SFFs for the product of real matrices, $|Z(\tau)|^{2} /|Z(\tau=0)|^{2}$, with that of GOE random matrices, $\left|Z_{\mathrm{GOE}}(\tau)\right|^{2} /\left|Z_{\mathrm{GOE}}(\tau=0)\right|^{2}$. We can see that $|Z(\tau)|^{2}$ approaches to $\left|Z_{\mathrm{GOE}}(\tau)\right|^{2}$ as $t$ increases. Also in Fig. 8(b) we have plotted $g(\tau)$ for complex random matrix products against $g_{\text {GUE }}(\tau)$ obtained from GUE random matrices. Here again, we can see the agreement between the finite-time Lyapunov exponents and RMT energy spectrum beyond the nearest neighbors.

## V. DISCUSSION

In this paper we have suggested the existence of a new universality in the Lyapunov spectrum of the classical chaotic systems based on numerical evidence for the matrix models and random matrix products. The massless D0-brane matrix model and the product of unbanded Gaussian random matrices are special in that the universal behavior can be seen at any time scale. It is interesting to speculate that other Yang-Mills theories and/or quantum gravitational systems satisfy the same property. Classical field theory calculations which are useful for this direction can be found in, e.g., Refs. [37,38].

We have also studied several other systems, e.g., threedimensional Coulomb gas, coupled Lorenz attractors and


FIG. 8. (a, b) $g(\tau)=|Z(\tau)|^{2} /|Z(\tau=0)|^{2}$ for the finite-time Lyapunov exponents obtained from the singular values of $t$ real (complex) random matrix products with $K=3600$ and $h=32$, compared against $g_{\mathrm{GOE}(\mathrm{GUE})}(\tau)=\left|Z_{\mathrm{GOE}(\mathrm{GUE})}(\tau)\right|^{2} /\left|Z_{\mathrm{GOE}(\mathrm{GUE})}(\tau=0)\right|^{2}$ obtained from GOE (GUE) random matrices of the same dimension $K$. We have used the unfolded spectrum. See Ref. [36] for the details of the unfolding.
coupled logistic maps, and observed qualitative evidence for the same universality [13]. In general, the scaling of $t$ and the number of degrees of freedom should be carefully studied. For example, although the random matrix product with fixed $h$ and fixed $t$ does not become RMT, it is likely that $h$ fixed and $t \sim K^{p}$, with a certain power $p>0$, can lead to RMT.

A possible path toward an understanding of the mechanism behind the universality is to see how the spectra of various systems converge to RMT. As we commented in Sec. IV, the classical chaotic systems and quantum transport phenomena are mathematically closely related, and thus it may be possible to deepen understanding of existence of universalities by considering both phenomena together. It may also provide us with a new characterization of various chaotic systems; the amount of deviation from RMT may be reflecting the strength of chaos, and the special property in the D0-brane matrix model would be related to the fast scrambling $[2,3]$. The generalization of this universality to the quantum chaos would be even more interesting. We hope that the study of the statistical properties of the Lyapunov exponents provides us with a new viewpoint for studying chaotic systems.
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## APPENDIX

## 1. Details of the analysis of the unfolded spectrum

We explain how we produced the plots in this paper. We take $W$ independent samples labeled by $w=1,2, \ldots, W$. Each sample consists of $K$ Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_{1}^{(w)} \geqslant$ $\lambda_{2}^{(w)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{K}^{(w)}$.

We first make a histogram with bins of width $\Delta \lambda$ using all $W$ samples. There are $W K$ exponents in total. We then normalize the histogram so that $\int \rho(\lambda) d \lambda=\sum_{i} \rho_{i} \Delta \lambda=1$, where $i$ is a label for the bins. For $O\left(10^{7}\right)$ exponents we use in the majority of our plots, we typically take $O\left(10^{3}\right)$ bins.

For Hamiltonian systems discussed in this paper, all exponents are paired with the exponent of the same absolute value and the opposite sign. Therefore we focus on positive Lyapunov exponents. We further omit both largest $5 \%$ and smallest $5 \%$ of the positive exponents, in order to avoid the exponents close to the edge affecting the fit discussed below. We denote the maximum and minimum of retained exponents by $\lambda^{(\max )}, \lambda^{(\mathrm{min})}$ respectively. For the bins containing retained exponents we fit the density of exponents $\rho(\lambda)$, by a polynomial $\tilde{\rho}(\lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{k_{\text {max }}} a_{k}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)^{k}$ of $\lambda$, for unfolding the spectrum. We typically choose $k_{\max }=10$. To reduce numerical error, $\lambda_{0}$ is chosen within the fitting range $\left[\lambda^{(\min )}, \lambda^{(\mathrm{max})}\right]$.

Then the spectrum is "unfolded" by considering $s_{j}^{(w)} \equiv$ $S\left[\tilde{R}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(w)}\right)-\tilde{R}\left(\lambda_{j+1}^{(w)}\right)\right]$, in which $\tilde{R}(\lambda)=\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} \tilde{\rho}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) d \lambda^{\prime}=$
 chosen so that the average of $s_{j}^{(w)}$ is unity.

We plot the histogram of $s_{j}^{(w)}$. Namely, for each bin $[q \Delta s,(q+1) \Delta s)$, we count the number $n_{q}$ of $s_{j}^{(w)}$ within this bin and take $P\left[s_{q} \equiv\left(q+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta s\right]=n_{q} /\left(\Delta s \sum_{q} n_{q}\right)$.

From the distribution $P(K, t)$ with given $(K, t)$, we define the deviation from the GOE distribution by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(K, t) & \equiv \int d s\left|P_{K, t}(s)-P_{\mathrm{GOE}}(s)\right| \\
& \simeq \sum_{q=0}^{q_{\max }}\left|P\left(s_{q}\right)-P_{\mathrm{GOE}, q}\right| \Delta s \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

in which we have defined $P_{\mathrm{GOE}, q} \equiv P_{\mathrm{GOE}}\left(s_{q}\right)$.
When the average separation is normalized to be 1 , the GOE distribution is often approximated by Wigner's surmise:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{GOE}(\text { Wigner })}(s)=\frac{\pi s}{2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{4} s^{2}} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, for our purpose the Wigner's surmise is not accurate enough. The correct distribution $P_{\mathrm{GOE}}(s)$ admits a Taylor series expansion and a Padé approximant, which are available in Ref. [27]. In our analysis, it is sufficient to use the Taylor series expansion of $P_{\mathrm{GOE}}(s)$ as its approximation for $s \leqslant 3$. We use the upper limit, $s_{q_{\max }} \simeq 3$, in the summation (A1).

## 2. Error estimate

First, we separate the samples to $L$ groups. We used $L=4$. We prepare $L$ data sets, by excluding one of the $L$ groups. By using a certain bin size, we make a histogram for each data set and determine the heights $P_{q}^{(l)}$, where $l=1,2, \ldots, L$ is the


FIG. 9. The histogram $\rho(\lambda)$ of the local $(t=0)$ Lyapunov exponents $(\lambda>0)$ for the D0-brane matrix model with $m=0$ (a) and 3 (b), $N=4,6,8,12,16$. The bin width is $\Delta \lambda=0.01$. The same set of data is used for the left panels of Figs. 1 and 2.
label for the data set, and $q$ is the label for the bin. The jackknife error is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta P_{q} \equiv \sqrt{(L-1)\left[\frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L}\left(P_{q}^{(l)}\right)^{2}-P_{q}^{2}\right]} . \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This error estimate is used for the error bars in Figs. 1 and 2.
Let $P_{q}^{\max } \equiv P_{q}+\delta P_{q}$ and $P_{q}^{\min } \equiv P_{q}-\delta P_{q}$. We denote the bin width by $\epsilon$. We estimate the error bar for $\Delta(K, t)$, which we denote by $\delta^{( \pm)}[\Delta(K, t)]$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(K, t) \pm \delta^{( \pm)}[\Delta(K, t)]=\sum_{q} \delta^{( \pm)}[\Delta(K, t)]_{q} \Delta s \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{(+)}[\Delta(K, t)]_{q}=\max \left\{\left|P_{q}^{\max }-P_{\mathrm{GOE}, q}\right|,\left|P_{q}^{\min }-P_{\mathrm{GOE}, q}\right|\right\}, \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 10. The histogram $\rho(\lambda)$ of the Lyapunov exponents for the D0-brane matrix model at $t=10$ for $m=0$ (a) and 3 (b), $N=4,6,8$. The bin width is $\Delta \lambda=0.005$. The same set of data is used for the right panels of Figs. 1 and 2.
and $\delta^{(-)}[\Delta(K, t)]_{q}=0$ if $P_{i}$ and $P_{\mathrm{GOE}}$ coincides within the error estimate explained above (i.e., if $P_{q}^{\min } \leqslant P_{\text {GOE. } q} \leqslant P_{q}^{\max }$ ), otherwise

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{(-)}[\Delta(K, t)]_{q}=\min \left\{\left|P_{q}^{\max }-P_{\mathrm{GOE}, q}\right|,\left|P_{q}^{\min }-P_{\mathrm{GOE}, q}\right|\right\} . \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The Lyapunov spectrum for the D0-brane matrix model

In Figs. 9 and 10 we plot the Lyapunov spectrum obtained for the D 0 -brane matrix model at $t=0$ and $t=10$, respectively. The plots are symmetric about $\lambda=0$, therefore we have plotted only the positive exponents. The data suggest that $\rho(\lambda)$ rapidly approaches the large- $N$ limit.
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