

2017

FP-17-08 A Quarter Century of Change in Unintended Births

Karen Benjamin Guzzo
Bowling Green State University, kguzzo@bgsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.bgsu.edu/ncfmr_family_profiles



Part of the [Family, Life Course, and Society Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Guzzo, Karen Benjamin, "FP-17-08 A Quarter Century of Change in Unintended Births" (2017). *National Center for Family and Marriage Research Family Profiles*. 37.
https://scholarworks.bgsu.edu/ncfmr_family_profiles/37

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Sociology at ScholarWorks@BGSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in National Center for Family and Marriage Research Family Profiles by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@BGSU.

A Quarter Century of Change in Unintended Births



Karen Benjamin Guzzo

Despite declines in unintended pregnancy and abortion rates in recent years (Finer and Zolna, 2016; Jones and Jerman, 2017), unintended births remain higher in the United States than in other industrialized countries (Sedgh, Singh, and Hussain, 2014). In this profile, we investigate trends in birth intendedness between 1997 and 2014 using the 2002, 2006-10, and 2011-15 cycles of the National Survey of Family Growth. Birth intendedness is based on a series of questions in which women were asked to characterize each birth as on-time, mistimed (wanted but occurring earlier than desired), or unwanted (the respondent did not want any births at all, or no additional births). When births were reported as mistimed, women were asked how much earlier than desired the birth occurred, and we categorize mistimed births into two groups: slightly mistimed (less than two years earlier than desired) or seriously mistimed (two or more years too early). This Profile is the first in a series of three examining the intendedness of births. Check out the other two Profiles in the series:

- Unintended Births: Variation across Social and Demographic Characteristics (FP-17-09)
- Women's Experiences of Unintended Childbearing (FP-17-10)


In all time periods, the majority of births were characterized as on-time.

- Two-thirds (67%) of births that occurred during 2010-2014 were on time, up from a low of 62% between 2005 and 2009.
- At least two out of ten births were mistimed across birth cohorts. The proportion of births that were mistimed (slightly and seriously) peaked in 2005-2009 at 25%.
- Across the twenty-five year time span, one in seven (14%) births was unwanted.
- Among unintended births (all mistimed and unwanted), about two-fifths were unwanted in 2010-2014.

*In all time periods,
the majority of births
were characterized
as on-time.*



Figure 1. Trends in Birth Intendedness 1997-2014



Source: 1997-2001, NSFG 2002; 2005-2009, NSFG 2006-10; 2010-2014, NSFG 2011-2013 and NSFG 2013-2015

Age & Intendedness


Mothers who had births on-time tended to be older than mothers who had unintended births.

- The median age for on-time births was 29.6 years in 2010-2014, for slightly mistimed births 27.8 years, and for unwanted births 27.0 years. Seriously mistimed births occurred at the youngest ages at 21.9 years in 2010-2014.
- The median age gradually increased since the late 1990s for on-time, slightly mistimed, and seriously mistimed births, but there was no clear trend for unwanted births.

Birth Order & Intendedness

- Most on-time births were first or second births, about three-quarters in both time periods.
- The percentage of slightly mistimed births that were first births declined slightly from 41% to 37%.
 - In 2010-2014, slightly mistimed were most often second births.
- The majority of seriously mistimed births were first births (62%) in both birth cohorts.
- Just over half (53%) of unwanted births were third births in the most recent period, up slightly from 48% in the late 1990s accompanied by a small decline in the proportion that were second births.

Figure 2. Trends in Parity by Birth Intendedness




Source: 1997-2001, NSFG 2002; 2010-2014, NSFG 2011-2013 and NSFG 2013-2015

Union Status & Intendedness

- The overwhelming majority of on-time births in both periods were to married women. Marital on-time births declined from 77% to 71%, whereas cohabiting on-time births doubled from 10% to 21%.
- The majority of slightly mistimed births were also marital births in both periods, but the decline in the proportion of marital births was larger, decreasing from 71% among births in the late 1990s to 58% in the most recent birth cohort.
 - The proportion of slightly mistimed births to cohabiting women more than doubled, rising from 13% to 32%.
- Seriously mistimed births occurred most often to single women (45%) in 1997-2001, but by 2010-2014, such births were most common among cohabiting women.
- Cohabiting births accounted for a third of unwanted births in the recent period, up from one-fifth of births in the late 1990s.

Figure 3. Trends in Union Status by Birth Intendedness



References:

- Finer, L. B., & Zolna, M. R. (2016). Declines in unintended pregnancy in the United States, 2008–2011. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 374(9), 843-852.
- Jones, R. K., & Jerman, J. (2017). Abortion incidence and service availability in the United States, 2014. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*.
- Sedgh, G., Singh, S., & Hussain, R. (2014). Intended and unintended pregnancies worldwide in 2012 and recent trends. *Studies in family planning*, 45(3), 301-314.

Suggested Citation:

Guzzo, K. B. (2017). A quarter century of change in unintended births. *Family Profiles*, FP-17-08. Bowling Green, OH: National Center for Family & Marriage Research. <http://www.bgsu.edu/ncfmr/resources/data/family-profiles/guzzo-quarter-century-change-unintended-births-fp-17-08.html>



This project is supported with assistance from Bowling Green State University. From 2007 to 2013, support was also provided by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation. The opinions and conclusions expressed herein are solely those of the author(s) and should not be construed as representing the opinions or policy of any agency of the state or federal government.

National Center for Family & Marriage Research

Family Profiles: Original reports summarizing and analyzing nationally representative data with the goal to provide the latest analysis of U.S. families. These profiles examine topics related to the NCFMR's core research themes.

<http://www.bgsu.edu/ncfmr.html>



005 Williams Hall
Bowling Green State University
Bowling Green, OH 43403

419.372.3119
ncfmr@bgsu.edu