

# Influence of Policy Strategies, Instruments, and Malaysians' Attitude on Sustainable Edu-tourist Industry in Malaysia

Bello Yekinni Ojo<sup>1</sup> Raja Nerina Raja Yusof<sup>2</sup>

1. Department of Management and Marketing, Universiti Putra Malaysia / Federal Polytechnic, Auchi. Nigeria 2. Putra Business School of Management, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

#### **Abstract**

This paper proposes a theoretical model that explains predictive influence of edu-tourist policy strategies, and instruments, including, attitude of Malaysians towards international edu-tourists on sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia. The design / methodology that was adopted in this article involves presentation of an hypothetical model which shows sustainable edu-tourist indusrty in Malaysia as a dependent variable been influenced by two (2) sets of independent variables: policy strategies, and instruments, including, the mediating influence of attitude of Malaysian towards internaional edu-tourists between Malaysian edu-tourist policy strategies, and instruments, and sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia. These variables, whether consciously or unconsciously influence the choice of Malaysia as a preferred edu-tourist destination country among international edu-tourists. The limitations of this study stem from the nature of the study itself. The study integrate factors identified in existing literature that influence sustainable edu-tourist industry in other countries, hence, replicate same in the context of Malaysia. Future research on the subject in Malaysia context will examine the existing relationships among the aforementioned variables. In particular, it will give and analyse the weight of each factor and the relative importance of each element for the factor it belongs. Therefore, determining the relative importance of each element and factors they belong would constitute an important source of information for future work on sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia. Since, there are few studies which try to examine the influence of policy strategies, and instruments, including, the mediating effects of attitudes of Malaysian towards internaional edu-tourists between edu-tourist policy strategies, and instruments, and sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia from an integrated point of view, this paper contributes to bridging the gap.

Keywords: Policy Strategies, Policy Instruments, Edu-tourism, Edu-tourist.

#### Introduction

Edu-tourist industry describes those, businesses, educational institutions and regulatory agencies located either in edu-tourist generation regions, and or at destinations that contribute to enhancing memorable learning experience and stay of edu-tourists (Bello et al., 2014). Edu-tourist industry in recent time has become a global industry following the trends of other industries (Naido, 2007). International university education, a subset of edu-tourist industry is now a multi-billion dollar business for many edu-tourist destination countries (Cheung et al., 2011). In 2010, the number of edu-tourists seeking cross-border edu-tourist services globally is put at about 4.1million and hence, forecasted to hit 7.2 million by the year 2020, thereby, creating market worth US\$40-50 billion (Bohn, et al., 2012). Due to economic, social, political and cultural potentials of edu-tourism, many countries are been attracted to exporting edu-tourist services (Verbik and Lasanowski, 2007).

Malaysia is one of the major edu-tourist destination countries in the world, hence, rated as 11th most preferred edu-tourist destination (UNESCO, 2010). The government of Malaysia attracted about 86,000 international edu-tourists from over 168 countries in 2010 (MOHEM, 2011), and generated approximately RM27 billion or 4 percent to Malaysia's Gross National Income (GNI) in 2009 (Borneo Post Trade, 2011). It was reported that edu-tourist industry in Malaysia has the potential to contribute RM60 billion to Malaysian GNI per year from year 2020 (Rehda Institute, 2014), and consequently, creating additional jobs of about 536,000 with the majority of them in the professional and technical fields. As a result of this, the government of Malaysia targets to attracting 150, 000 and 200,00 international edu-tourist by the year 2015 and 2020 respectively (Cheung et al., 2011; MOHEM, 2011).

However, recent available data on the enrollments of international edu-tourists in Malaysia shows a gradual increase but, at a decreasing rate, especially from countries where she presumed to have a competitive advantage a presented in Table 1, hence, a trend that should be discontinued for sustainable edu-tourism industry in Malaysia.



Table 1: Enrollment Trends of Top 20 International Edu-tourist Source Countries in Malaysia (2008-

				2011)				
S/n	Country	2008	2009		2010		2011	
				% Annual		% Annual		% Annual
				Growth		Growth		Growth
				Rate		Rate		Rate
1.	Iran	6604	10932	39.6	11823	7.5	9888	-19.57
2.	Indonesia	9358	9812	4.6	9889	0.77	8569	-15.40
3.	China	7977	9177	13.08	10214	10.15	7394	-38.14
4.	Nigeria	5424	5969	9.13	5817	-2.61	5632	-3.28
5.	Yemen	4282	4931	13.16	5866	15.94	3552	-65.15
6.	Libyan Arab	1788	4021	55.53	3930	-2.32	856	-359.11
7.	Sudan	2307	2443	5.57	2837	13.89	2091	-35.68
8.	Saudi Arabia	2752	2331	-15.30	2252	-3.51	1439	-56.50
9.	Bangladesh	2021	1957	-3.27	2041	4.11	2323	12.14
10.	Botswana	2350	1939	-20.94	1911	-1.47	970	-9.70
11.	Iraq	1621	1712	5.3	1835	6.7	1826	-0.49
12.	Pakistan	1514	1680	9.88	1789	6.09	1843	2.93
13.	Thailand	1464	1679	12.81	1725	2.67	717	-140.59
14.	India	1313	1335	1.65	1338	2.22	793	-68.73
15.	Maldives	1356	1323	-2.49	1349	1.93	864	-56.13
16.	Somalia	1390	1320	-5.30	1478	10.59	1215	-21.65
17.	Kazakhstan	542	1217	55.46	1258	3.30	829	-482.02
18.	Sri Lanka	1083	982	-10.29	1103	10.97	734	-50.27
19.	Tanzania	619	863	28.27	830	-3.98	524	-58.40
20.	South Korea	1508	860	57.30	1454	40.84	641	-126.83

**Source: (National Education Statistics Malaysia, 2012)** 

Various studies had been reported in domain of edu-tourist destination management, however, limited study had been conducted on sustainable edu-tourism (Mazzarol et al., 1997). Previous studies on sustainable edu-tourism reported the influence of edu-tourist policy strategies and instruments has had influence on the choice of Netherland among international edu-tourists (Marianne, 2014; Becker and Kolster, 2012). To the best of our knowledge, studies that reported the influence of edu-tourist policy strategies and instruments, including, the mediating influence of behaviour of locals towards international edu-tourist and its corresponding influence on sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia are limited. Previous studies in domain of edu-tourism in Malaysia focuses only on factors influencing international edu-tourist's choice of a university (Jason et al., 2011; Foo et al., 2010; Jacqueline, 2010; Siti et al., 2010). Due to intensed competition in the global edu-tourism market, research on edu-tourism in Malaysia should go beyond institutional factors influencing edu-tourist choice of a university, thus, embracing the influence of policy strategies and instruments, including, attitudes of locals towards international edu-tourists (Becker and Kolster, 2012). Therefore, the aim of this article is to examine predictive influence of policy strategies and instruments, including, attitudes of Malaysians towards international edu-tourists and its influence on sustinable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia.

# Theoretical Perspective: Edu-tourist Policy Strategies, Instruments, and Malaysians' Attitude on Sustinable Edu-tourist Industry

Push pull theory was proposed in the late nineteenth century by famous geographer, Ravenstein and used in the field of geography to explain factors influencing migration of people (Chen, 2007; Lee, 1966). Numerous empirical studies further applied push and pull theory, especially, in tourism motivation studies (Jason et al., 2011; Bashar and Ahmad, 2010; Foo et al., 2010; Jacqueline, 2010). The theory identified policy strategies, and instruments have had influence on sustainable edu-tourist industry (Marianne, 2014; Becker and Kolster, 2012). As it applied to this article, the theory holds that policy strategies, and instruments, including, attitude of Malaysians towards international edu-tourists has influence on sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia. An overwhelming numbers of literatures on influence of policy strategies, and instruments, including, attitude of locals / citizens of the destination towards international edu-tourists, and its corresponding influence on sustainable edu-tourist industry include:

#### Edu-tourist Policy Strategies and Sustainable Edu-tourist Industry in Malaysia

Edu-tourism policy strategies explains one, or all, of a range of activities, including: a broad statement of intent, a set of specific proposals authorized by governments and parliaments, backed by resources with aim to develop, regulate and promote edu-tourist business activities (Cairney, 2012; Sabatier, 2007; Colebatch, 2006). In a study



of edu-tourist policy strategies of 11 edu-tourist destination countries, including that of the Netherland, (Becker and Kolster, 2012) identified edu-tourist policy strategies as those legal frameworks enacted by government of edu-tourist destination countries to promote favourable conditions for operation and management of edu-tourist businesses. The index in the above information shows that edu-tourist policy strategies involves those body of regulations enacted by government of edu-tourist destination countries to enhance edu-tourist resources, economic development and other environmental variables required for successful practice of edu-tourist businesses.

Notable edu-tourism policy strategies identified in literature includes: setting up international edu-tourists recruitment plans by the national government of the recruiting country (Douglas and Edelstein, 2009), enactment of privatization and commercialization policy for edu-tourist industry (Malaysia University Portal, 2013; Bagheri and Beheshti, 2010), including, provision of budgetary allocation for edu-tourism in the fiscal policy of government (Varghese, 2011). Other notable edu-tourism policy strategies include, enactment of acts establishing and regulating activities of edu-tourist institutions (MOHEM, 2011; Douglas and Edelstein, 2009), and enactment of foreign aid policies that offers broad financial support and generous grants to international edu-tourists (Cairney, 2012; Sabatier, 2007). It was argued that edu-tourist policy strategies includes formulation of international treaties between the government of destination and that of sourced country, including, policies related to immigrations and visa issuance (Okoli, 2011).

The absence of edu-tourist policy strategies in an edu-tourist destination may influence international edu-tourist(s) to assume that edu-tourist services in such destination is either not existing, or at infancy stage in their development setup (Iyanga, 2009), hence, influence attractiveness of such edu-tourist destination among international edu-tourists. Therefore, it is rational to concede to the fact that the sustainability and attractiveness of any edu-tourist destination is dependent on the degree of friendliness of edu-tourist policy strategies practiced in such edu-tourist destination. Therefore, this study hypothesise that edu-tourist policy strategies have direct positive influence on sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia.

#### Edu-tourist Policy Instruments and Sustainable Edu-tourist Industry in Malaysia

Edu-tourist policy instruments explain those administrative frameworks taken by government of edu-tourist destination countries and her agencies, including, edu-tourist institutions with the aim to promote and sustain edu-tourist business activities (Cairney, 2012). Indices such as institutional recruitment strategies (Becker and Kolster, 2012), affordable tuition fees and scholarship opportunities (Marianne, 2014), friendly and stress free visa issuance and immigration administration (Becker and Kolster, 2012), among others are identified in literature to measure edu-tourist policy instruments. Other, items adapted to measure edu-tourist policy instruments includes: opportunities for employment during and after study (McGowan and Potter, 2008; Rayner and Easthope, 2001), usage of generally acceptable language for academic instruction (Bardsley, 2010; Altbach et al., 2009), robust research cooperation and academic programmes (Rayner and Easthope, 2001) and export of higher education services (Chen, 2007). In view of the above items, edu-tourist policy instruments are viewed as those formal decisions or plan of actions of government and her edu-tourist institutions which seek to solve certain problems in edu-tourist industry (Sabatier, 2007).

The effect of the above-listed edu-tourist policy instruments on edu-tourist destination choice process among international edu-tourists are profound (Larouche et al., 2003), hence, influences sustainable development of edu-tourist industry. The underlying force of international edu-tourist behaviour and its motivation for choice of a destination is itself fundamentally different in countries (Rayner and Easthope, 2001). Thus, edu-tourist destination country with attractive and favourable edu-tourist policy instruments may likely attracts more international edu-tourists, thereby, sustaining her place in the global edu-tourist market (Verbik and Lasanowski, 2007). The index in th above information shows that there is positive relationship between edu-tourist policy instruments and sustainable edu-tourist industry. In view of the above, we hypothesised that edu-tourist policy instruments have positive influence for sustainable development of edu-tourist industry in Malaysia.

### Malaysians' Attitude and Sustainable Edu-tourist Industry in Malaysia

Several studies conducted in domain of edu-tourism had established the link between attitude of locals communities at the destination and sustainable edu-tourist industry (Igbojekwe et al., 2013; Bankole, 2002). It is reported that international edu-tourists are likely to be deterred from traveling to an edu-tourist destination whose locals or citizens are hostile to visitors (Bello et at., 2014). Hostility in this context implies: insecurity through crime and terrorism (Bello and Adebayo, 2009; Bankole, 2002), violence against international edu-tourists, (Opendoor Report, 2013) and extent of reliability of police service protection for international edu-tourists (Open-door Report, 2013). Other items to describle hostility against international edu-tourists include: poor disposition and openness of local population to foreign edu-tourists (Jennifer and Thea, 2013), racism, and denial of international edu-tourists access to facilities and assistance required for their studies (Petruzellis and Romanazzi, 2010), localization of medium of academic instruction in public lectures (OECD, 2007) and discrimination in all ramifications against international edu-tourists (WTO, 2014; Kotler et al., 2006). The above items, whether



consciously or unconsciously influence the choice of an edu-tourist destination country among international edutourists.

Previous studies in domain of edu-tourist destination managment argued that international edu-tourists may not select or recommend a particular edu-tourist destination country to other prospective internatonal edu-tourists if the attitude of her locals is hostile towards them (Igbojekwe et al., 2013; Bankole, 2002). This not withstanding attractive policy strategies and instruments put in place by the government and edu-tourist institutions of such edu-tourist destination country (Igbojekwe et al., 2013; Bello et al., 2014; Bankole, 2002). The above information reveals that attitude of locals or citizens of an edu-tourist destination have a mediating influence on the choice behaviour of an edu-tourist destination by international edu-tourists, hence, enhances edu-tourism competitiveness of a country. Therefore, based on the above submition, the present study hypothesize that attitude of Malaysians towards international edu-tourists have a mediating influence between Malaysian edu-tourist policy strategies and instruments and sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia.

#### Proposed Model for Sustainable Edu-tourist Industry in Malaysia

As it applied to sustainability of Malaysian edu-tourist industry, the researchers were of the view that the independent variable: "Edu-tourism Policy Strategies" as explained by a set of seven (7) items which include: international edu-tourists recruitment plans, privatization and commercialization of edu-tourist institutions, budgetary allocation for edu-tourism in the fiscal policy of government, enactment of acts establishing and regulating activities of edu-tourist institutions, enactment of foreign aid policies that offers broad financial support and generous grants to international edu-tourists, formulation of international treaties between the government of destination and the sourced country, and enactment of policies related to immigrations and edu-tourist visa issuance have direct positive influence on sustainability of edu-tourist industry in Malaysia.

Furthermore, the proposed model holds that the independent variable: "Edu-tourism Policy Instruments" as explained by a set of seven (7) items which include: institutional recruitment strategies, affordable tuition fees and scholarship opportunities, friendly and stress free visa issuance and immigration administration, opportunities for employment during and after study, usage of generally acceptable language for academic instruction, robust research cooperation and academic programmes, and export of higher education services have direct positive influence on sustanable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia.

Inaddition, the model explains: "Attitude of Malaysians towards International Edu-tourists" as explained by a set of seven (7) items which include: insecurity through crime and terrorism, violence against international edu-tourists, extent of reliability of police service protection for international edu-tourists, poor disposition and openness of local population to foreign edu-tourists, racism, and denial of international edu-tourists access to facilities and assistance required for their studies, localization of medium of academic instruction in public lectures, and discrimination in all ramifications against international edu-tourists has have a mediating influence between edu-tourist policy strategies and instrument and sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia. Thus, the proposed model as shown in Figure 1.

### **Conclusion and Suggestions for Further Study**

Until now, research on predictive influence of edu-tourist policy strategies, and instruments, including, attitude of Malaysians towards international edu-tourists on sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia had not been reported. Previous studies in domain of edu-tourism in Malaysia was done in the context of factors influencing the choice of a university among international edu-tourists (Becker and Kolster, 2012; Jason et al., 2011; Foo et al., 2010; Jacqueline, 2010). However, the model proposed in this study explains sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia as a dependent variable been influenced by a set of two (2) independent variables such as edu-tourism policy strategies, and instruments, including, a mediating variable, that is, attitute of Malaysians towards international edu-tourists. These factors, whether conscious or unconscious determines choice of Malaysia as a preferred edu-tourist destination country among international edu-tourists, hence, influence sustainace of Malaysian edu-tourist industry.

The limitations of this study stem from the nature of the study itself. As a theoretical model based study, it aims to integrate factors identified in existing literature. Thus, future research must try to examine the existing relationships among aforementioned factors. In particular, it must analyse the weight of each set of independent variables on sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia, including, the relative importance of attitude of Malaysians towards international edu-tourists. Further analysis of edu-tourist policy strategies, and instruments of Malaysia, including, comparing it against those of other key edu-tourist recruitment countries, will enable stakeholders in Malaysia edu-tourist industry identify possible implications of edu-tourist policies of other countries on sustainable edu-tourist industry in Malaysia.



#### **Edu-tourism Policy Strategies**

- \*Enactment of international edu-tourists recruitment plans.
- \*Privatization and commercialization of edu-tourist institutions.
- \*Capturing edu-tourism budgetary allocation in fiscal policy of government.
- \*Enactment of acts establishing and regulating edu-tourist institutions.
- \*Enactment of foreign aid policies that offers financial support and generous grants.
- \*Formulation of international treaties between the government of destination and the sourced country.

## **Edu-tourism Policy Instrunents**

- \*Institutional recruitment strategies
- \*Affordable tuition fees and scholarship opportunities.
- \*Friendly and stress free visa issuance and immigration administration.
- \*Opportunities for employment during and after study.
- \*Usage of generally acceptable language for academic instruction.
- \*Robust research cooperation and academic programmes
- \*Export of higher education services

# Attitude of Malaysians towards International Edutourists

- \*Insecurity through crime and terrorism.
- \*Violence against international edu-tourists.
- \*Extent of reliability of police service protection for international edu-tourists.
- \*Poor disposition and openness of local population to foreign edu-tourists.
- \*Racism, and denial of international edu-tourists access to facilities and assistance required for their studies.
- \*Localization of medium of academic instruction in public lectures.
- \*Discrimination in all ramifications against international edu-tourists

Sustainable Edutourist Industry

Figure 1: Model for Sustainable Edu-tourism Industry in Malaysia

#### References

- ACA / European Commission. (2008). *Transnational Education in the European Context Provision, Approaches and Policies*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Agarwal, U. and Winkler, D. R. (1985). Foreign Demand for United States Higher Education: A Study of Developing Countries in the Eastern Hemisphere. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 33, (3), 623-44.
- Allwood, J. (2002). Bodily Communication Dimensions of Expression and Content. *Multimodality in Language and Speech Systems*, 7-26.
- Altbach, G., Philip, L. R., and Laura, E. R. (2009). *Trends in Global Higher Education: Tracking an Academic Revolution, A Report Prepared for the UNESCO 2009 World Conference on Higher Education.*Didapatkan September 10, 2013, daripada UNESCO: www.unesdoc.unesco.org/image.
- Alugbo, C.C. (2002). Common Concerns of Managers: Theory and Practice. Owerri: Achugo Publications.
- Anthony, B., Marcelo, F., Andrew, H., Sarah, J., Neil, K., David, P., Kelvin, V. C.;. (2004). *Vission 2020; Forcasting International Student Mpbility; A UK Perspective*. England: British Council Department.
- Ayoubi, R, Masoud, H. (2007). The Strategy of Internationalization in Universities. International Journal of



- Educational Management, 21, (4), 339-349.
- Bagheri, K., and Beheshti, L. (2010). Exploitation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) For Strategic Marketing in Higher Education Creating a knowledge-based CRM Framework For Swedish universities: A Master Dissertation. Sweden: Jönköping University.
- Bankole, A. S. (2002). Services Trade Policy and the Nigerian Tourism Sector: A Note of Tourism Contribution, Constraints and Opportunities. *Paper Submitted to the African Journal of Economic Policy, Department of Economics; University of Ibadan*.
- Bardsley, D. (2010). *University's Half-Price Tuition Scheme Gets Disappointing Pass Rate. The National, January* 22. Didapatkan November 11, 2013, daripada www.thenational.ae/news/uae-news/education/universitys-half-price-tuition-scheme-gets-disappointing-pass-rate.
- Bashar, A. A. and Ahmad, P.M.S. . (2010). An Analysis of Push and Pull Travel Motivations of Foreign Tourists to Jordan. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5, (12), 41-50.
- Becker, R. and Kolster, R. (2012). *International Student Recruitment: Policies and Developments in Selected Countries*. Netherland: Organisation for International Cooperation in Higher Education.
- Bello, Y. O. (2008). Food and Beverages Service Management in the Nigeria Hospitality Industry . Ondo: Grace Excellent Publishers.
- Bello, Y. O, Bello, M. B, Raja, N. Y. (2014). Travel and Tourism Confidence Index in Nigeria: Issues and Challenges. *African Journal of Hospitality, Tourism and Leisure*, 3, (2), 1-15.
- Bello, Y. O., and Adebayo, N. I. . (2009). Food and Beverages Service Operations, Theory and Practice. Ondo: Grace Excellent Publishers.
- Bello, Y.O., Raja, N.Y., Ab Aziz, Y. B. and Wahidin, K. . (2014). The Framework of Edu-tourism System: Towards the Definition of Edu-tourism, Edu-tourist and Edu-tourist Industry; A Book Chapter accepted for Publication. Dalam F. o. Management, *Hospitality and Tourism Management*. Selangor: Faculty of Economics and Management, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- BGL Group. (2014). Economic Note: Nigeria Tourism Industry: A Revolutionized Tourism Sector as a Panacea for a Thriving Hospitality Business. Didapatkan January 26, 2014, daripada www.research.bg/group.com. Bhatiah, A. J. (2001). International Tourism Management. Uk: Starting PVT Ltd.
- Bodger, D. (1998). Leisure, Learning and Travel. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation and Dance*, 69, 28-32
- Bohm, C., Doris, G., Meares, S. and Pearce, L. (2012). *Global Student Mobility 2025: Forecasts of the Global Demands for International Higher Education (GDIHE) in Australia*. Didapatkan November 17, 2013, daripada www.idp.com/marketingandresearch.
- Borneo Post. (2011). *Malaysia's Education Sector Well Poised for Further Growth*. Didapatkan April 21, 2013, daripada www.theborneopost.com/2011/04/21/malaysia%E2%80%99s-education-sector-well-poised-for-further-growth.
- Borneo Trade. (2014). *Immigration: Sabah Investment Incentive and Policies*. Didapatkan March 3, 2014, daripada www.sabah.com.my/bomeotrade.
- Buck, R. C. (1978). Towards a Synthesis in Tourism Theory. Annals of Tourism Research, 5, (1), 24-38.
- Burkart, A. J., and Medlik, S. (1974). *In Okoli, C. I. C. (2011) Tourism Development and Management in Nigeria*. Enugu: Jee Communication.
- Cairney, P. (2012). Public Administration in an Age of Austerity': Positive Lessons From Policy Studies. *Public Policy and Administration*, 27,(3), 230-47.
- Carlson, J., B. Burn, J. Ussem and Yachimowicz, D. (1990). Study Abroad: The Experience of American undergraduates in Western Europe and the United States. Westport, Conn: Greenwood Press.
- Chen, C. H. (2007). Understanding Taiwanese Students' Decision-making Factors Regarding Australian International Higher Education. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 20, (2), 91-100.
- Cheung, A.C.K., Yuen, T.W.W., Yuen, C.Y.M. and Cheng, Y.C. (2011). Strategies and Policies for Hong Kong's Higher Education in Asian Markets: Lessons from the United Kingdom, Australia, and Singapore. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 25, (2),144-163.
- Chirkova, A. (2011). Pepsi Across Culture: Analysis and Cross-cultural Comparison of Pepsi Websites. (Master Dissertation). Sweden: University of Gothenburg.
- Colebatch, H. (2006). Mapping the Work of Policy' in H. Colebatch (ed.) Beyond the Policy Cycle: the Policy Process in Australia. Crow's Nest, New South Wales: Allen and Unwin.
- Cooper, H., Jeffrey, C. V., Charlton, K., and Melson, A. (2003). The Effects of Modified School Calendars on Students Acheivement and School and Community Attitudes. *Review of educational research*, 73, (1), 1-52.
- Department of Business, Innovation and Skills (DBIS). (2009). Higher Ambitions: The Future of Universities in a Knowledge Economy; Cited in Paul, W. (2010) Educatinal Tourism: Understanding the Concept, Recognising the Value. Didapatkan Feburary 11, 2012, daripada www.insights.org.uk.



- Department of International Development (DFID). (2000). *Eliminating World Poverty: Making Globalization Work for the Poor: A White Paper on International Development. London: DFID*. Didapatkan January 11, 2013, daripada http://193.129.225.248/fullpapaehome.htm.
- Donald, S. E., Stanford, L. L., and John, B. M. (1988). Measuring the Economic Impact of Institution of Higher Education. *Research in Higher Education*, 28, (1), 17-34.
- Douglas, J. A., and Edelstein, R. (2009). Global Competition for Talent. The Rapidly Changing Market for International Students and the Need for a Strategic Approach in the US. Research and Occasional Paper Series: CSHE. 8.09. Berkeley: Centre for Studies in Higher Education, University of California.
- Dwyer, L. (2002). Economic Contribution of Convention Tourism: Conceptual and Empirical Issues in K. Weber and K. Chon (Eds.), Convention Tourism: International Research and Industry Perspectives. New York: Haworth Hospitality Press.
- Foo, C. C., Russsayani, I. and Lim, H. E. (2010). Factors Affecting Choice for Education Destination: A Case of International Students at Universiti Utara Malaysia. *Third International Conference on International Studies*. Held at Hotel Istana, Kuala Lumpur 1st-2nd.: Tourism Educators of Malaysia.
- Gunn, C. A. (1972). In Bello, Y. O and Koya, E, (2008) Accommodation Service Management: Principle and Practice in the Hospitality Industry. Akure: Alabi Eyo and Co LTD.
- Hansard (Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia). (1977-78). House of Representatives Select Committee on Tourism Official Report. Canberra: Commonwealth Government Printer.
- Hanson, K., and Meyerson, J. (1995). *International Challenges to American Colleges and Universities: Looking ahead.* US.: American Council on Education and the Oryx Press, Phoenix, AZ.
- Harari, M. (1992). *Internationalization of the Curriculum. In C. B. Klasek (Ed.), Bridges to the Future: Strategies for Internationalizing Higher Education*. Washington: Washington State University's Centre for International Development.
- Huang, R. (2008). Mapping Educational Tourists Experience in the UK: Understanding International Students. *Third World Quarterly*, 1003-1020.
- Hung Chih, Y. (2008). An Examination of the Effects of Participation in a College Study Abroad Program: Unpublished Thesis in Leisure Studies. Pennsylvania State University: Pennsylvania.
- Huzikers, Z. and Karaph, I. (1942). in Okoli, C. I. C. (2011) Tourism Development and Management in Nigeria. Enugu: Jee Communication pg. 34.
- ICEF Monitor . (2012a). Opendoor 2012 Report; International Students Enrollment Increases by Nearly 6%. Didapatkan March 11, 2014, daripada www.monitor.icef.com
- ICEF Monitor. (2012b). *International Students Generate CDN\$6.5 billion for Canadian*. Didapatkan March 11, 2014, daripada www.monitor.icef.com.
- Igbojekwe, P. A., Okoli, C. I., and Ugo-Okoro, C. (2013). Attitude to Recreation: A Hindrance to Development of the Tourism Industry in Nigeria. *International Journal of Arts, and Commerce*, 2,(2), 12-23.
- International Monetary Fund. (2002). Balance of Payments Statistics Yearbook. New York: IMF.
- Iyanga, J. I. (2009). Marketing for the Developing World. Owerri: Global Press.
- Jacqueline, L. (2010). An Exploratory Study of Factors Influencing the Decision of Students to Study at Universiti Sains Malaysia. *Kajian Malaysia*, 28, (2), 107-136.
- Jafari, J. (1977). Editor's Page. Annals of Tourism Research, 5, (Sp. No.), 6-11.
- Jason, M. S. L, Ahmad, Azmi, M. A, and Azhar, Hj. A. (2011). Edutourism: Exploring the Push-Pull Factors in Selecting a University. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 12, (1), 63-78.
- Jay, M., Eyes, D., and Berkely, C. . (1993). *International Students in the UK Higher Education*. California: University of California Press.
- Jennifer, B., and Thea, C. (2013). *Travel and Tourism Competitiveness Report; Reducing Barriers to Economic Growth and Job Creation*. Geneva: World Economic Forum.
- Klasek, C. B. (1992). *Bridges to the Future: Strategies for Internationalizing Higher Education*. Carbondale: IL: Association of International Education Administrators.
- Kleckley, J. (2008). Economy: NC and its Regions. *A Workshop on Climate, Weather and Tourism*. East Carolina University, November 14-15.
- Knight, J. (1994). *Internationalization: Elements and Checkpoints*. Ottawa, Canada: Canadian Bureau for International Education.
- Kotler, P., Bowen, J. T. and Makens, J. C. (2006). *Marketing for Hospitality and Tourism (4th Ed)*. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc.
- Laroche M., Papadopoulos, N., Heslop, L. and Bergeron, J. (2003). Effects of Subcultural Differences on Country and Product Evaluations. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 2, (3), 232-247.
- Lee. (1966). Cites in Chen, C. H. (2007) Understanding Taiwanese Students' Decision-making Factors Regarding Australian International Higher Education. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 20, (2), 91-100.



- Lee, J. (2008). Beyond Borders: International Student Pathways to the United States. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 12, (3), 308-327.
- Leipe, N., Mc Cabe, V., Poole, B., and Weeks, P. (2000). *Business and Management of Conventions*. Brisbane: John Wiley and Sons.
- Leiper, N. (1979). In Okoli, C.I. C (2001) Tourism and Travel Management in Nigeria . Enugu: Jee Communication.
- Leonard, D., and Morley, L. (2007). Experiences of International Students in UK Higher Education: A Review of Unpublished Research 2003. Didapatkan March 16, 2013, daripada www.ukcosa.org.uk/about/pubsresearch
- Lew, A. A., and Mckercher, B. (2004). Flows and the Spatial Distribution of Tourists, Williams Edition. UK: Lew, C. M. Hall.
- Licata, J. W., and Maxham, J. G. (1998). Student Expectations of the University Experience: Levels and Antecedents for Pre-Entry Freshmen. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*, 9, (1), 69-91.
- Lipsett, A. (2009). Overseas Students now 20% of the United Kingdom Graduates; Education Guardian. Didapatkan November 10, 2012, daripada www.guardian.co.uk/education/2009/jan/29/overseas-syudents-british-degrees.
- Malaysia University Portal . (2013). *Selangor List of Universities and Colleges*. Didapatkan January 22, 2014, daripada www.malaysiauniversity.net/states-university/selangor
- Marianne, C. (2014). International Student Recruitment: Policies and Developments in Selected Countries: Sweeden, Norway and Finland. Didapatkan July 5, 2014, daripada www.nuffic.nl/bestanden/documenten/actueel/nieuws/internationalstudentrecruitment.pdf
- Matley, L. M. (1976). Geography of International Tourism. Washington: Commission on College Geography.
- Mazzarol, T., and Soutar, G. (2002). Push-Pull Factors Influencing International Student Destination Choice . *International Journal of Educational Management*, 16, (2), 183-195.
- Mazzarol, T., Kemp, S., and Savery, L. (1997). *International Students who Choose not to Study in Australia: An Examination of Taiwan and Indonesia*. Canberra.: Australian International Education Foundation.
- McGowan, S and Potter, L. (2008). Implications of the Chinese Learner for the Internationalisation of the Curriculum: An Australian Perspective. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 19, 181-198.
- McMahon, M. (1992). Higher Education in a World Market: A Historical looks at the Global Context of International Study. *Journal of Higher Education*, 24, 465-482.
- Mestenhauser, J., and Ellingboe, B. (1998). *Reforming the Higher Education Curriculum. Internationalising the Campus*. Washington, D.C.: American Council on Education.
- Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia. (2011a). *Internationalization Policy for Higher Education Malaysia*. Putrajaya: MOHEM.
- Musa, S. (2010). *Edu-tourism Push for Sabah*. Didapatkan March 23, 2014, daripada Borneo Post Online: http://www.theborneopost.com/2010/03/26/edu-tourism-push-for-sabah-cm
- NAFSA. (2013). *Explore International Education; The International Students Economic Value Too.* Didapatkan March 21, 2014, daripada www.nafsa.org/explore education/impact/Data and statistics.
- Naido, V. (2007). Research on the Flow of International students to UK Universities: Determinants and Implications. *Journal of Research in International Education*, 6, (3) 287-307.
- National Education Statistics. (2012). *Higher Education Sector, Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia*. Didapatkan January 31, 2014, daripada www.mohe.gov.my/web\_statistik.
- Okoli, C. I. (2011). Tourism Development and Management in Nigeria. Enugu: Jee Communication.
- Open-door Report. (2013). Fast Facts: International Students in the US. Didapatkan July 9, 2014, daripada www.iie.org/ open-door
- Oppermann, M. (1996). Convention Destination Images: Analysis of Association Meeting Planners' Perceptions. *Tourism Management*, 17, (3) 75–182.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2007). *Education at a Glance: OECD Indicators*. Didapatkan September 11, 2013, daripada www.oecd.org/dataoecd/4/55/39313286.pdf.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2012). *Education at a Glance; UNESCO, 2009, Trends in Global Higher Education:* Tracking an Academic Revolution, Education Target Reports from each Government New Zealand, Canada, China, Japan, Malaysia, Singapore and Taiwan.
- Organisation of Economic Cooperation and Developement. (1974). *Tourism Policy and International Tourism in Member Countries*. Paris: OECD.
- Organisation of Economic Cooperation and Development. (2008). *Education at a Glance: OECD Indicators*. Paris: OECD.
- Pacific Asia Travel Association. (2010, ). *Notes and Definitions*. Didapatkan Febuary 22, 2014, daripada www.papa.org/note-definitions
- Paul, W. (2010). Educational Tourism: Understanding the Concept, Recognising the Value. Didapatkan November



- 11, 2013, daripada www.insights.org.uk
- Petruzzellis, L. and Romanazzi, S. (2010). Educational Value: How Students Choose University: Evidence from an Italian University . *International Journal of Educational Management*, 24, (2), 139-158.
- Petruzzellis, L. and Romanazzi, S. (2010). Educational Value: How Students Choose University: Evidence from an Italian University . *International Journal of Educational Management*, 24, (2), 139-158.
- Pickert, S.and Turlington, B. (1992). Internationalizing the Undergraduate Influence of National Culture Curriculum. Dalam *A Handbook for Campus Leaders*. (hlm. 23-34). Washington, D.C.: American Council on Education.
- Poon, A. (1993). Tourism, Technology and Competitive Strategies. UK: CABI.
- Rayner, L., and Easthope, G. (2001). Postmodern Consumption and Alternative Medications. *Journal of Sociology*, 37, (2), 157-178.
- Rehda Institute. (2014). *Economic Transformation Programme*. Didapatkan January 9, 2014, daripada www.rehdainstitute.com/research-a-researchs.
- Rhodes, G. (2010). Opportunities and Challenges in the Internationalization and Globalization of Higher Education in United States: Implications for U.S.-India Student's Mobility. Didapatkan November 11, 2012, daripada http://www.ugc.ac.in/new.
- Ritchie, B., Carr, N. and Cooper, C. . (2003). *Managing Educational Tourism*. Clevedon: Channel View Publications.
- Roppolo, S. (1996). The Impact on Tourism as we Educate for a Global Society, Tourism and Culture: Towards the 21st Century. *Proceeding*. Newcastle: Northumbria University.
- Sabatier, P. (2007). The Need for Better Theories' in P. Sabatier (ed.) Theories of the Policy Process. Cambridge, MA: Westview.
- Sharpley, R. (2003). Rural Tourism and the Challenges of Tourism Diversification: The Case of Cyprus. *Tourism management*, 23, (2), 233-244.
- Siti, F. P., Abdul Razak, K. and Rohaizat, B. (2010). International Students' Choice Behaviour for Higher Education at Malaysia Private Universities. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 2, (2), 202-211.
- Smith, C., and Jenner, P. (1997). Educational Tourism. Travel and Tourism Intelligence, 3, 60-75.
- Soutar, N. and Tuner, P. J. (2002). Student's Preferences for University: A Conjoint Analysis. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 16, (1), 40-45.
- Telfer, D. J. (2002). Tourism Development Concept and Issues. Channel View publication.
- Times Higher Education. (2009). *Power of Cultural Appeal, James Pitman, Study Group, UK*. Didapatkan January 29, 2013, daripada www.timeshighereducation.co.uk
- United Nation World Tourism Organisation. (1968). Cited in UNWTO (2008) International Recommendations For Tourism Statistics . New York: UN.
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO). (2010). *Global Education Digest* 2010: Comparing Education Statistics across the World. Montreal, Canada: UNESCO.
- UNWTO. (1963). Cited in Organisation of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), (1974) Tourism policy and International Tourism in Member Countries. Paris: OECD.
- Van der Wende, M. (2009). Internationalization of Higher Education in the OECD Countries: Challenges and Opportunities for the Coming Decade. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 11, 274–289.
- Varghese, N. V. (2011). Globalization and Cross-border Education: Challenges for the Development of Higher Education in Commonwealth Countries. paris: International Institute for Educational Planning, UNESCO.
- Verbik, L. and Lasanowski, V. (2007). *International Student Mobility: Patterns and Trends*. United Kingdom: Observatory Borderless Higher Education.
- Wahab, S. (1975). Tourism Management. London: Tourism International Press.
- Wall, G., and Mathiesom, A. (2006). *Tourism: Change, Impacts and Opportunities*. New York: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Webb, M. S. (1993). Variables Influencing Graduate Business Students College Selection. *College and University*, 68, 1, 38 46.
- World Economic Forum. (2013). *The Travel and Tourism Competitive Report: Reducing Barriers to Economic Growth and Job Creation*. Geneva: World Travel and Tourism Council.
- World Tourism and Travel Council. (2010). *Customer Satisfaction; Annual Report*. Didapatkan August 17, 2013, daripada www.wttc.org
- World Tourism Organisation (WTO). (2006). *Tourism Highlights (2nd Ed.)*. Didapatkan December 12, 2012, daripada www.unwto.org/facts/menu
- World Tourism Organization. (2009). *Tourism Highlights, 2009 Edition*. Didapatkan July 5, 2014, daripada www.unwto.org/pub/index.htm
- World Tourism Organization. (2013, November 10). *UNWTO World Tourism Barometer*. Didapatkan Feburary 16, 2014, daripada Madrid: UNWTO: http://www.unwto.org/facts/eng/barometer.htm.