Mathematical Theory and Modeling ISSN 2224-5804 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0522 (Online) Vol.8, No.7, 2018



SOME RESULTS ON OPERATORS CONSISTENT IN INVERTIBILITY

Luketero Stephen and Kikete Wabuya*

School of Mathematics, University of Nairobi, P.O. Box 30197 – 00100 Nairobi, Kenya.

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the conditions under which some classes of operators in a complex Hilbert space H are said to be consistent in invertibility.

AMS subject classification: 47B47, 47A30, 47B20

Keywords and phrases: Quasi-invertibility, Consistent in Invertibility, Quasinormal, Projection.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, Hilbert spaces or subspaces will be denoted by capital letters, H and K respectively and T, S, A, B etc. denotes bounded linear operators where an operator means a bounded linear transformation, (H) will denote the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on H. B(H, K) denotes the set of bounded linear transformations from H to K, which is equipped with the (induced uniform) norm. If $T \in B(H)$, then T^* denotes the adjoint while Ker(T) denotes the kernel of T. For an operator T, we also denote by (T) the spectrum of T.

An operator $T \in (H)$ is said to be:

- Invertible if it has zero kernel
- Quasi-invertible if it is injective and has a dense range
- *Positive* if $T \ge 0$
- *Projection* if $T^2 = T$
- Normal if $T^*T = TT^*$
- *Quasinormal* if $T^*TT = TT^*T$

- *Consistent in invertibility (C.I)* if both *TS* and *ST* are either invertible or non-invertible together.

2. <u>RESULTS</u>

Theorem 2.1

Let $T \in B(H)$. If Ker $T = 0 = \text{Ker } T^*$, then T is a C.I operator.

Proof

If Ker T = 0, we have that T is invertible, it follows that T^* is also invertible.

Since TT^* is a product of invertible operators it has to be invertible too. We also have that $(TT^*)^*$ is invertible.

But $(TT^*)^* = T^*T$. Thus both TT^* and T^*T are invertible together. Hence T is a C.I operator.

Corollary 2.2

Let $T \in B(H)$ be quasi-invertible. Then T is a C.I operator.

Proof

If T is quasi-invertible, it follows that it is injective and has a dense range. As a consequence of being injective, we have that Ker T = 0 therefore T is a C.I operator.

Corollary 2.2

Let $T^* \in B(H)$ be such that $0 \notin W(T^*)$. Then T^* is a C.I. operator.

Proof

Recall that $\sigma(T^*) \subseteq W(T^*)$

Therefore $0 \notin W(T^*) \Rightarrow 0 \notin \sigma(T^*) \Rightarrow 0$ in not an eigenvalue of $T^* \Rightarrow T^*$ is invertible $\Rightarrow T^*$ is a C.I. operator.

Theorem 2.3

Let $A, B \in B(H)$ be normal operators and $AB^* = B^*A$, then A + iB is a C.I. operator.

Proof

 $AB^* = B^*A \Longrightarrow (AB^*)^* = (B^*A)^*$ i.e. $BA^* = A^*B$. It is enough to show that A + iB is normal. $(A + iB)^* = A^* - iB^*$

$$(A+iB)^{*}(A+iB) = (A^{*}-iB^{*})(A+iB)$$

= $A^{*}A + iA^{*}B - iB^{*}A + B^{*}B$
= $(A^{*}A + B^{*}B) + i(A^{*}B - B^{*}A)$
= $(A^{*}A + B^{*}B) + i(BA^{*} - AB^{*})$(i)

$$(A+iB)(A+iB)^{*} = (A+iB)(A^{*}-iB^{*})$$

= $AA^{*}-iAB^{*}+iBA^{*}+BB^{*}$
= $(AA^{*}+BB^{*})+i(BA^{*}-AB^{*}).....(ii)$

From (i) and (ii) above it follows that A + iB is normal, hence a C.I. operator.

Theorem 2.4

Let $A, B, X \in B(H)$ satisfy the operator equation AXB = X where X is a quasi-invertible operator. Further, let A and B be quasinormal operators, then A and B^* are C.I. operators.

Proof

Since *A* is quasinormal, we have $A^*AA - AA^*A = 0$. By the hypothesis that AXB = X it follows that:

$$AA^*AXB = AA^*X$$

 $A^*AAXB = AA^*X$
 $A^*AX = AA^*X$ since $AXB = X$
 $A^*A = AA^*$ since X has a dense range

Therefore, A is a normal operator, hence consistent in invertibility.

It can similarly be shown that B^* is consistent in invertibility.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. B. Conway, A course in Functional analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985
- M. Hladnik and M. Ombialic, Spectrum of the product of operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 102 (1988), 300 – 302
- 3. P. Y. Wu, Product of normal operators, Canad. J. Math. 40 (1988), 1322-1330
- 4. W. Gong and D. Han, Spectrum of the product of operators and compact perturbations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 120 (1994), 755 760.