Mathematical Theory and Modeling
ISSN 2224-5804 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0522 (Online)
Vol.7, No.8, 2017
www.iiste.org

Closed Ideal with Respect a Binary Operation * On BCK-Algebra

Azal Taha Abdul Wahab[1*] Rusul Hassan Naser[2] Zahraa M. Ali[3]

1. Faculty of Education for girls\University of Kufa, Najaf, IRAQ.
2. Faculty of Education for girls\University of Kufa, Najaf, IRAQ.
3. Faculty of Education for girls\University of Kufa, Najaf, IRAQ.

* E-mail of the corresponding author: Azalt.almussawy@uokufa.edu.iq

The research is financed by authors own budget and efforts.

Abstract

In this paper, we define a new ideal of BCK-algebra, we call it a closed ideal with respect a binary operation ∗, and denoted by (∗ -closed ideal). We stated and proved some properties on closed ideal and give some examples on it.

Indexing Terms/Keywords: BCK-algebra, Closed Ideal, A Binary Operation ∗ on BCK-Algebra.

1) Introduction

The notion of BCK- algebras was introduced and formulated first in 1966 by Y.Imai and K.Iseki [Y.Imai and K.Iseki, 1966]. In the same year, K.Iseki [K.Iseki , 1966] introduced two classes of abstract algebras: BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras where the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. The notion of a BCI-algebra is a generalization of a BCK-algebra. The general development of BCK/ BCI-algebra the ideal theory plays an important role. We introduce a new ideal of BCK-algebra is called a closed ideal with respect a binary operation ∗, then we study and prove some properties of them.

2) Preliminary

In this section we review some concepts we needed in this paper

Definition 2.1 [Z.M.Samaei , M.A.Azadani and L.N. Ranjbar, ,2011**]**

Let X be a non-empty set with binary operation "∗" and 0 is a constant an algebraic system (X, ∗, 0) is called a BCK-algebra if it satisfies the following conditions:

1) $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$,

2) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$,

3) $x * x = 0$,

4) If $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ then $x = y$, $\forall x, y, z \in X$

5) $0 * x = 0$.

Remarks 2.2 [A.A.A. Agboola1 and B. Davvaz2, 2015**]**

Let X be a BCK-algebra then:

a) A partial ordering" ≤" on X can be defined by $x \le y$ if and only if

$x * y = 0$.

b) A BCK-algebra X has the following properties:

1) $x * 0 = x$.
2) If $x * y = 0$ implies $(x * z) * (y * z) = 0$ and $(z * y) * (z * x) = 0$.
3) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$.
4) $(x * y) * (x * z) \le (x * z)$.

Example 2.3

The set X = {0, 1, 2} with binary operation " * " defined by the following table is a BCK-algebra.

Table 1. BCK-algebra

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0
2	2	2	0

Definition 2.4 [Sun Shin Ahn and Keumseong Bang, 2003**]**

Let (X, ∗, 0) and (X', ∗', 0') be two BCK-algebras. A mapping

f: X → Y is called a homomorphism from X to X' if for any x, y ∈ X, f (x ∗ y) = f (x) ∗' f (y).

Note that If f: X → Y is a homomorphism of BCK-algebras, then f (0) = 0.

Definition 2.5:

A mapping f: (X, *, 0) → (Y, *', 0) of BCK-algebras is called an epimorphism if f is a homomorphism and surjective.

Definition 2.6 [Young Bae Jun, and Kyoung Ja Lee, 2012**]**

A BCK-algebra is said to be commutative if x ∗ (x ∗ y) = y ∗ (y ∗ x) for any x, y ∈ X

Example 2.7

The set X = {0, 1, 2} with binary operation " * " defined by the following table is commutative BCK-algebra.

Table 2. commutative BCK-algebra

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0

Definition 2.8 [Young Bae Jun, and Kyoung Ja Lee, 2012**]**

A nonempty subset S of a BCK-algebra X is called a BCK sub algebra of X if x ∗ y ∈ S for all x, y ∈ S.

Definition 2.9 [Young Bae Jun, and Kyoung Ja Lee, 2012**]**

A nonempty subset A of a BCK-algebra X is called a BCK ideal of X if it satisfies:

1) 0 ∈ A
2) x ∗ y ∈ A, y ∈ A then x ∈ A and x, y ∈ X

Proposition 2.10 [Sajda Kadhum Mohammed & Azal Taha Abdul Wahab, 2015**]**

Let I and J are BCK-algebra of X, then I × J is BCK-algebra of X × X.

Proposition 2.11 [Sajda Kadhum Mohammed & Azal Taha Abdul Wahab, 2015**]**

Let A and B are BCK-algebra of X, then A ∩ B is BCK-algebra of X.

Proposition 2.12 [Sajda Kadhum Mohammed & Azal Taha Abdul Wahab, 2015**]**

Let A and B are BCK-algebra of X, then A∪B is BCK-algebra of X if A ⊆ B or B ⊆ A.

3) Main Results:

In this section, we define a closed ideal with respect a binary operation ∗ of BCK-algebra. We stated and proved some properties on closed ideal and give some examples on it.

Definition 3.1

Let X is a BCK-algebra. A non empty subset I of X is said closed ideal with respect a binary operation ∗ and denoted by (∗ -closed ideal) on X if satisfies the following conditions :

1) $a * b \in I \ \forall a, b \in I$
2) $I * X \subseteq I$

Example 3.2:

Let X = {0, 1, 2} with binary operations '∗' defined by the following tables is BCK-algebra:

Table 3. (∗ -closed ideal)

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1
2	2	0	0

Then by usual calculation we can prove that I = {0, 1} ⊆ X is (∗ -closed ideal)

Example 3.3:

Let X = {0, 1, 2, 3} with binary operations '∗' defined by the following tables is BCK-algebra:

Table 4. is not (∗ -closed ideal)

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	0	0	0
3	3	0	0	0

Then I = {0, 1, 2} ⊆ X is not (∗ -closed ideal) since 1∈ I and 2∈ I but 1 ∗ 2 = 3 ∉ I

Remark 3.4

If I is (∗ -closed ideal) of BCK-algebra, then, 0 ∈ I

Proof

Let I be ($*$ -closed ideal) so $I \neq \emptyset$. Then $\exists\, a \in I$,

then $a * x \in I \ \forall x \in X$ [by 2 of definition 3.1]

So, $0 = a * a \in I$, and therefore $0 \in I$.

Remark 3.5

If I is ($*$ -closed ideal) of BCK-algebra, then I is sub algebra.

Proof

Let I is ($*$ -closed ideal) of BCK-algebra and let $a, b \in I$

$\Rightarrow a * b \in I \Rightarrow$ I is sub algebra.

Remark 3.6

The converse of above remark in general is not true.

Proof

We will prove it by using the example (3.3):

Take $I = \{0, 1\} \subseteq X$ it is clear that is a sub algebra but I is not ($*$ -closed ideal)

since $I * x \not\subset I$ where $1 \in I$ and $3 \in X$ but $1 * 3 = 2 \notin I$.

Proposition 3.7

Let X is BCK-algebra and let A, B ($*$ -closed ideal) of X Then $A \cap B$ is ($*$ -closed ideal) of X

Proof

Let X is BCK-algebra and since $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ by (3.4)

1) Let $a, b \in A \cap B \Rightarrow a, b \in A$ and $a, b \in B$
   Since A, B are ($*$ -closed ideal) then $a * b \in A$ and $a * b \in B \Rightarrow a * b \in A \cap B$
2) Let $a \in A \cap B$ and $x \in X \Rightarrow a \in A$ and $a \in B$ and $x \in X$
   $\Rightarrow a * x \in A$ and $a * x \in B$; [since A and B ($*$ -closed ideal)]
   $\Rightarrow a * x \in A \cap B \Rightarrow (A \cap B) * X \subseteq (A \cap B)$,

then $A \cap B$ is ($*$ -closed ideal).

Remark 3.8

The converse of above remark is not true in general.

Take $A = \{0, 1\}$ and $B = \{0, 1, 2\}$ in (example 3.3) then:

$A \cap B = \{0, 1\}$ is ($*$ -closed ideal) but $B = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is not ($*$ -closed ideal); since $1 * 2 = 3 \notin B$

Remark 3.9

Let X is BCK-algebra and let A, B ($*$ -closed ideal) of X. Then $A \cup B$ is ($*$ -closed ideal) of X if $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$, and the converse is not true in general.

Proof

Proof is clear now, we show that the converse is not true in general; since if we take A, B and $A \cup B$ are ($*$ -closed ideal) of X

Table 5. the converse is not true in general.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
2	2	2	0	2
3	3	0	0	0

A = {0, 1} is ($*$ -closed ideal)

B = {0, 2} is ($*$ -closed ideal), $A \cup B$ = {0, 1, 2} is ($*$ -closed ideal),

but $A \not\subset B$ and $B \not\subset A$

Proposition 3.10

Let f: X → Y is BCK-algebra homomorphism. Then ker f is ($*$ -closed ideal) of X.

Proof

Let f: X → Y is BCK-algebra homomorphism. Then

1) a, b ∈ ker f ⟹ f(a) = 0 and f(b) = 0
   ⟹ f(a ∗ b) = f(a) ∗ f(b) = 0 ∗ 0 = 0 ⟹ f(a ∗ b) = 0 ⟹ a ∗ b ∈ ker f
2) let a ∈ ker f and x ∈ X ⟹ f(a) = 0
   ⟹ f(a ∗ x) = f(a) ∗ f(x); [since f is a homomorphism]
   = 0 ∗ f(x) = 0; [by 5 of definition 2.1]
   ⟹ f(a ∗ x) = 0 ⟹ a ∗ x ∈ ker f ∀ a ∈ ker f and x∈X
   ⟹ ker f ∗ X ⊆ ker f

Then ker f is ($*$ -closed ideal)

Proposition 3.11

Let f: X → Y is BCK-algebra epimorphism if A is ($*$ -closed ideal) of X, then f(A) is ($*$ -closed ideal) of Y.

Proof

Let f: X → Y is BCK-algebra epimorphism. Let A be ($*$ -closed ideal) of X then:

1) Let x', y' ∈ f(A), then ∃ x , y ∈ A such that x'=f(x) , y'= f(y),
   since A is ($*$ -closed ideal) ⟹ x ∗ y ∈ A ⟹ f(x ∗ y) ∈ f(A)
   but f(x ∗ y) = f(x) ∗ f(y) ⟹ f(x) ∗ f(y) ∈ f(A) So x'∗ y' ∈ f(A)
2) Let a' ∈ f(A) and y ∈ Y since f is an epimorphism
   ⟹ ∃ a ∈ A and x ∈ X such that f(a) = a' and f(x) = y
   ⟹ a ∗ x ∈ A; [since A is ($*$ -closed ideal)]
   ⟹ f(a ∗ x) ∈ f(A) ⟹ f(a) ∗ f(x) ∈ f(A); [since f is a homomorphism]
   ⟹ a' ∗ y ∈ f(A) ∀ a' ∈ f(A) and y ∈ Y
   ⟹ f(A) ∗ Y ⊆ f(A)

Then, f(A) is ($*$ -closed ideal).

Proposition 3.12

Let X is BCK-algebra and let f: X → X' is BCK-algebra homomorphism of X if B is ($*$ -closed ideal) of X',

then f -1(B) = {a ∈ X: f(a) ∈ B} is ($*$ -closed ideal) of X.

Proof

Let f: X → X' is BCK-algebra homomorphism of X if B is ($*$ -closed ideal) of X', then:

1) Let a , b ∈ f -1(B) ⟹ f(a) , f(b) ∈ B
   Since B is ($*$ -closed ideal) then:
   f(a) ∗ f(b) = f(a ∗ b) ∈ B; [since B is ($*$ -closed ideal)]
   ⟹ a ∗ b ∈ f -1(B)
2) Let a ∈ f -1(B) and x ∈ X so f(x) ∈ X' ⟹ f(a) ∈ B and f(x) ∈ X'
   ⟹ f(a) ∗ f(x) = f(a ∗ x) ∈ B; [since B is ($*$ -closed ideal)]
   ⟹ a ∗ x ∈ f -1(B) ∀ a ∈ f -1(B) and x ∈ X
   ⟹ f -1(B) ∗ X ⊆ f -1(B) ⟹ f -1(B) is ($*$ -closed ideal).

Proposition 3.13

Let X is BCK-algebra and let I , J be ($*$ -closed ideal) of X. Then I × J is ($*$ -closed ideal) of X × X.

Proof

Let X is BCK-algebra, and let I, J be ($*$ -closed ideal) of X

1) Let x = (a, a') ∈ I × J and y = (b, b') ∈ I × J
   ⟹ x ∗ y = (a, a') ∗ (b, b') = (a ∗ b, a' ∗ b')

then $a * b \in I$ and $a' * b' \in J$; [since I, J are ($*$ -closed ideal)]
$\Rightarrow (a * b, a' * b') \in I \times J$ so $x * y \in I \times J$

2) Let $(x1, x2) \in X \times X$ and $(a1, a2) \in I \times J$
$\Rightarrow a1 * x1 \in I$, $a2 * x2 \in J$ because I and J are ($*$ -closed ideal)

Then $(a1, a2) * (x1, x2) = (a1 * x1, a2 * x2) \in I \times J$ Then $I \times J$ is ($*$ -closed ideal)

Proposition 3.14

Let X is BCK-algebra and let $I' = \{(a, 0) / a \in X\}$ and $J' = \{(0, b) / b \in X\}$.

Then I' and J' are ($*$ -closed ideal) of $X \times X$.

Proof

Let X is BCK-algebra to prove that I' is ($*$ -closed ideal).

1) Let $x, y \in I' \Rightarrow x = (a, 0), y = (b, 0)$
$\Rightarrow x * y = (a, 0) * (b, 0) = (a * b, 0) \in I'$; [since $a * b \in X$]
$\Rightarrow x * y \in I'$

2) Let $x = (a, 0) \in I'$ and $t = (r, s) \in X \times X$
$\Rightarrow x * t = (a, 0) * (r, s) = (a * r, 0 * s) = (a * r, 0)$; [by 5 of definition 2.1]
$\Rightarrow x * t = (a * r, 0) \in I'$; [since $a * r \in X$]
$\Rightarrow I' * X \times X \subseteq I'$ then I' is ($*$ -closed ideal) of $X \times X$.

In a similar way, we can prove that J' is ($*$ -closed ideal) of $X \times X$.

Remark 3.15

Let X is BCK-algebra and let I' and J' be defined as in the above proposition.

Then $I' \cap J' = (0, 0)$.

Proof

Let X is BCK-algebra and let I' and J' is ($*$ -closed ideal) and

let $x \in I' \cap J' \Rightarrow x \in I'$ and $x \in J'$ then $x = (a, 0)$ and

$x = (0, b)$ where $a \in X$ and $b \in X \Rightarrow (a, 0) = (0, b) \Rightarrow a = 0, b = 0$

$\Rightarrow x = (0, 0) \Rightarrow I' \cap J' = (0, 0)$.

References

[1] Y.Imai and K.Iseki, 1966"On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi XIV", Proc.Japan Acad,42 ,19-22 .
http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pja/1195522169

[2] K.Iseki , 1966 " An Algebra Related with a Propositional Calculus" , Japan Acad . ,42 ,26-29.
http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pja/1195522171

[3] Z.M.Samaei , M.A.Azadani and L.N. Ranjbar, ,2011" A Class of BCK-Algebras" ,International Journal of Algebra,Vol.5,No.28,1379-1385.
http://www.m-hikari.com/ija/ija-2011/ija-25-28-2011/samaeiIJA25-28-2011.pdf

[4] A.A.A. Agboola1 and B. Davvaz2, 2015 "Introduction to Neutrosophic BCI/BCK-Algebras", Yazd University Yazd, Iran, Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Volume, Article ID 370267, 6 pages.
http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/IntroductionToNeutrosophicBCI.pdf

[5] Sun Shin Ahn and Keumseong Bang, 2003"ON PROJECTIVE BCI-ALGEBRAS" Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 18 , No. 2, pp. 225–233.
http://www.mathnet.or.kr/mathnet/kms_tex/980603.pdf

[6] Young Bae Jun, and Kyoung Ja Lee, 2012 "Commutative N-Ideals Based on a Sub-BCK-Algebra of a BCI-Algebra" Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 6, No. 64, 3169 - 3175
http://www.m-hikari.com/ams/ams-2012/ams-61-64-2012/leeAMS61-64-2012.pdf

[7] Sajda Kadhum Mohammed & Azal Taha Abdul Wahab, 2015"Some Results on Special Kind of Algebra", Mathematical Theory and Modeling ISSN 2224-5804 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0522 (Online) Vol.5, No.1
http://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/MTM/article/view/19238