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#### Abstract

In this paper we establish a fixed point theorem for the hybrid pair of multivalued and single valued nonself JSR mapping in metrically convex metric space. Also we give example in support of the result.
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## Introduction and Preliminaries

A bulk of literature exist with commuting pairs and its weaker forms such as weakly commuting ,compatible, compatible of type A, D-compatible, semi compatible, etc. new pair termed as JSR mapping which is defined by Shrivastav R et. el.[4] in fuzzy menger space and will prove a fixed point theorem for hybrid pair of multivalued and single valued nonself mapping satisfying the $\phi$-contraction in a metrically convex metric space.

Let (X,d) be a metric space. Then, following Nadler [2] , we have $C B(X)=\{A: A$ is a nonempty closed and bounded subset of $X\}$, $C(X)=\{A: A$ is a nonempty compact subset of $X\}$, $B N(X)=\{A: A$ is a nonempty bounded subset of $X\}$.

For non empty subsets $A$ and $B$ of $X$ and $x \in X$,
$D(A, B)=\inf \{d(a, b): a \in A$ and $b \in B\}$,
$H(A, B) \max [\sup \{D(a, B): a \in A\}, \sup \{D(A, b): b \in B\}]$,
$\delta(A, B)=\sup \{d(a, b): a \in A$ and $b \in B\}$,
$d^{\prime}(x, A)=\inf \{d(x, a): a \in A\}$,
$\partial \mathrm{K}$ is boundary of K .
A metric space $(X, d)$ is said to be metrically convex if for any $x, y \in X \quad(x \neq y) \partial z \in X$
$(\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y} \neq \mathrm{z}) \quad$ such that $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$. Further if K is a non
empty closed subset of $X$ and $x \in K, y \notin K$, then there exists a point $z \in \partial z$ such that $d(x, z)+d(z, y)$ $=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$.
The following lemmas are from Rus[3] and Khan [1].

Lemma 1 Let $\mathrm{A} \subset \mathrm{CB}(\mathrm{X})$ and $0<\theta<1$ be given. Then for every $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{A}$ there exists a point $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}$ such that
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}) \geq \theta \delta(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{A})$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}) \geq \theta \delta(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{A})$.

Lemma 2 For any $x \in X$, and any $A, B$ in $C B(X)$,

$$
\left|\mathrm{d}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{d}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{~B})\right| \leq \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}) .
$$

Lemma 3 For any $x, y \in X$ and $A \subseteq X,\left|d^{\prime}(x, A)-d^{\prime}(y, A)\right| \leq d(x, y)$

Let K be nonempty closed subset of a metric space (X,d).A mapping
$\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{CB}(\mathrm{X})$ is said to be continuous at $\mathrm{x}_{0} \in \mathrm{~K}$ if for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $H\left(T x, T x_{0}\right)<\varepsilon$, whenever $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)<\delta$. If T is continuous at every point of K , we say that T is continuous at K .

Let $\mathfrak{R}^{+}$be the set of non-negative real and $\psi$ the set of function $\phi:\left(\mathfrak{R}^{+}\right)^{5} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$
Satisfying the following properties:
(i) $\phi$ is continuous and increasing in each co-ordinate variable
(ii) $\phi(1,1,1,1,1)=\mathrm{h}<1\left(\mathrm{~h} \in \mathfrak{R}^{+}\right)$
(iii) Either $\mathrm{u} \leq \phi(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{v})$ or $\mathrm{u} \leq \phi(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})$ or $\mathrm{u} \leq \phi(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u})$ implies $\mathrm{u} \leq \mathrm{hv}$

Let $S$ and $T$ be two self maps of a metric space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ). The pair $\{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}\}$ is said to be S JSR mappings iff

$$
\alpha \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \alpha \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{SSx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

where $\alpha=\lim$ Sup or $\lim \inf$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n}=t \quad$ for some $t$ in $X$.
Example Let $X=[0,1]$ with $d(x, y)=|x-y|$ and $S, T$ are two self mapping on $X$ defined by
$S x=\frac{2}{x+2}$ and $\quad T x=\frac{1}{x+1} \quad$ for $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$.

Now we have the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is defined as $x_{n}=1 / n \quad, n \in N$. Then we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n}=1
$$

$\left|\mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \rightarrow 1 / 3$ and $\left|\mathrm{SSx}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \rightarrow 2 / 3$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$.
Clearly we have

$$
\left|\mathrm{TSx}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|<\left|\mathrm{SSx}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| .
$$

Thus pair $\{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}\}$ is S-JSR mapping. But This pair is neither compatible nor weakly compatible nor other non commuting mapping. Hence pair of JSR mapping is more general then others.

Let $S$ self map of a metric space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and T be multivalued map. The pair $\{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}\}$ is said to be hybrid T-JSR mappings iff

$$
\alpha \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{TSx}_{\mathrm{n}}, S \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \alpha \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{TTx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

where $\alpha=\lim$ Sup or $\lim \inf$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $\lim S x_{n}=\lim T x_{n}=t$ for some $t \in X$.

```
n->\infty
```


## Main Result

Theorem 1 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) be a complete and metrically convex metric space and K be a nonempty closed subset of X . Let $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{CB}(\mathrm{X})$ and $\mathrm{S}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ such that
(i) $\partial \mathrm{K} \subseteq \mathrm{SK}, \mathrm{TK} \subseteq \mathrm{SK} ; \mathrm{Sx} \in \partial \mathrm{K} \Rightarrow \mathrm{TX} \subseteq \mathrm{K}$,
$\left.H(T x, T y) \leq \phi\left[d(S x, S y), d^{\prime}(S x, T x), d^{\prime}(S y, T y)\right\}, d^{\prime}(S x, T y), d^{\prime}(S y, T x)\right]$
For all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{K}$
(ii) $\{T, S\}$ is hybrid T-JSR pair,
(iii) SK is closed
then there exists a point $p$ in $K$ such that $p=S p \in T p$ i.e. $p$ is common fixed point.

Proof. Construct the sequences $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ in the following way:
Let $x \in \partial K$, then there exists a point $x_{0} \in K$ such that $x=S x_{0}$ as $\partial K \subseteq S K$. Form $S x_{0} \in \partial K$ and by the implication of $S x \in \partial K \Rightarrow T x \subseteq S K$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right) \leq & \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{0}, \mathrm{Tx}_{1}\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{0}, \mathrm{Sx}_{1}\right),\left\{\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{0}, \mathrm{Tx}_{0}\right), \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{1}\right)\right\}, \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{0}, \mathrm{Tx}_{1}\right), \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{0}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $y_{2} \in K$, then $y_{2} \in K \cap T K \subseteq S K$ which implies that there exists a point $x_{2} \in K$ such that $y_{2}=S x_{2}$. Suppose $y_{2} \notin K$, then there exists a point $w \in K$ such that $d\left(S x_{1}, w\right)+d\left(w, y_{2}\right)$
$=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{w} \in \mathrm{K} \subseteq \mathrm{SK}$, there exists a point $\mathrm{x}_{2} \in \mathrm{~K}$ such that $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{Sx}_{2}$ and so $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{1}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Sx}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)$.
Let $y_{3} \in \mathrm{Tx}_{2}$ such that
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{2}\right)$
On repeating this process, we obtain two sequences $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ such that
(a) $y_{n+1}=T y_{n}$
(b) $y_{n} \in K \Rightarrow S x_{n}$ or $y_{n} \notin K \Rightarrow S x_{n} \in \partial K$ and $d^{\prime}\left(T x_{n-1}, S x_{n}\right)+d\left(S x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \geq d\left(S x_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)$,
(c) $\quad \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

Let us denote $P=\left[\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{j}} \in\left\{\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}: \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right]$ and $\mathrm{Q}=\left[\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{j}} \in\left\{\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}: \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{j}} \neq \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right]$.
Now there arise three cases:
Case I: If $\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{S} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \in \operatorname{PxP}$ then by (a), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}\left(S \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, S \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} H\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, T \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \phi\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \phi\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, S \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right),\left\{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \phi\left[d\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, S \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, S \mathrm{Se}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By triangular inequality, we obtain
$\left.\Rightarrow \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, S \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \mathrm{~h} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\}$
$\left.\Rightarrow \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq \sqrt{\mathrm{h}} . \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\}$.
Case I: If $\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \in \mathrm{PxQ}$ then by (b), we get
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$, Proceeding as in case I , we get
$\left.\Rightarrow \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq \sqrt{\mathrm{h}} . \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\}$.
CaseIII: If $\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \in \mathrm{QxP}$ then $\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, T \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \phi\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, T \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}, \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, T x_{n}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \phi\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right] \\
& \left.\leq \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \phi\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& \leq \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \phi\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right),+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By using triangular inequality, we obtain
$\left.\Rightarrow \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq \sqrt{ } \mathrm{h} . \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\}$.
Since $\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$, as in case(II), we obtain
$\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \sqrt{\mathrm{h}} . \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-2}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right\}$
On continuing this process we obtain that $\left\{S x_{n}\right\}$ is a cauchy sequence and so it converge to a point p in X such that $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{Su}$ for some u in K .

Thus, there exists a subsequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right\}$, such that $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{nk}}=\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{nk}-1}$
It implies that $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{Su} \in \mathrm{Tv}$ for some v in X . Thus by using hybrid T- JSR pair $\{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}\}$, we have
$\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \cap \mathrm{~K}$ and $\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \in \mathrm{~K}$,
$\alpha \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{TSx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sp}\right) \leq \alpha \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{TTx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tp}\right)$
On letting $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$, we get
$\alpha \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{TSu}, \mathrm{Sp}) \leq \alpha \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Tp}, \mathrm{Tp})$
$\Rightarrow \alpha \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Tp}, \mathrm{Sp}) \leq \alpha \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Tp}, \mathrm{Tp})$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Sp} \in \mathrm{Tp}$ (as Tp is closed).
Now consider,
$\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sp}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tp}\right)$
$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \phi\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sp}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{Tp}), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tp}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right]$
$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \phi\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Sp}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, S \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{Tp}), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tp}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]$
On letting $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$, we get
$\left.\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Sp}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Sp})\right\}$
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Sp}) \leq \sqrt{\mathrm{h}} . \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Sp})\}$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{Sp}$.

Hence $p=S p \in T p$.
Example: Let $X=[1, \infty)$ with usual metric .Define $S: X \rightarrow X$ as $S x=2+x / 3$ and $T: C B(X) \rightarrow X$ as $T x=[1,2+x]$. Consider the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}=\{3+1 / n\}$. Then all conditions are satisfies of the theorem and hence 3 is the common fixed point.
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