Mathematical Theory and Modeling
ISSN 2224-5804 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0522 (Online)
Vol.5, No.7, 2015

www.iiste.org

Some Characterized Projective δ-cover

R.S. Wadbude, M.R.Aloney &Sneha Joshi

Mahatma Fule Arts, Commerce and SitaramjiChaudhari Science Mahavidyalaya, Warud.
SGB Amaravati University Amravati [M.S.]
Technocrats Institute of Technology (Excellence), Barkatullah University, Bhopal

Abstract

In this paper we characterize some properties of projective δ-cover and find some new results with δ-supplemented module M. Let M be a fixed R-module. A δ-cover in M is an δ-small epimorphism from M onto P.Thes concept introduce by Zhou [14]. A δ-cover is projective δ-cover(M-projective δ-cover) in case M is projective.

Keywords: Singular, non singular, simple, small, δ-small, cover, δ-cover, supplement, δ-supplement.

Introduction:

Throughout this paper R is an associative ring with unity and all modules are unitary R-modules. Let M be a fixed module, a sub module L of module M is denoted by $L \leq M$. submodule L of M is called essential (large) in M, abbreviated $K \leq_e M$, if for every submodule N of M, $L \cap N$ implies N = 0. A sub module N of a module M is called small in M, Denoted by $N \ll M$, if for every sub module L of M, the equality N + L = M implies L =M. For each $X \subset M$, the right Ann(X) in R is $r_R(X) = \{r \in R : xr = 0$ for all x in x$\}$. The sub module $Z(M) = \{x \in M : r_R(x)$ is an essential in $R_R\}$ $\{x\}$ is singleton, is called singular submodule of M. The module M is called singular module if Z(M) = M.(M is non singular if Z(M) = 0). A right R-module is called simple if $M \neq 0$ and M has only proper submodules. A sub module N of M is called minimal in M if $N \neq 0$ and for every submodules A of M, $A \subset N$ implies A = N.

An epimorphism $f : M \to P$ is called small if $\ker f \prec\prec M$. A small epimorphism $f : M \to P$ is called projective cover if M is projective with $\ker f \prec\prec M$.[Zhou] introduce the concept of δ-small submodule as generalization of small submodules. Let $K \leq M$, K is called δ-small if whenever M = N + K and M/N is a singular, we have M = N.(denoted by $\prec\prec_\delta$). The sum of all δ-small submodules is denoted by δ(M). A δ-cover in M is an δ-small epimorphism from M onto P. A δ-cover is projective δ-cover(M-projective δ-cover)in case M is projective.

Definition: Let M be a fixed R- module. An R-module U is called (small) M-projective module, if for every (small) epimorphism $f : M \to P$ and homomorphism $g : U \to P$, there exists a homomorphism $v : U \to M$ such that $f \circ v = g$, i.e. following diagram is commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & U & & \\ & \swarrow^{v} & \downarrow g & & \\ M & \xrightarrow[f]{} & P & \rightarrow 0 & \quad (Ker f \ll M) \end{array}$$

Example: Every proper sub module of the Z-modules Z_p^{∞} is small in Z_p^{∞} .

Remarks: i) Every M-projective module is a small M-projective cover.

ii) Every self projective module M is self small projective module and converse is true for

M is hollow.

Lemma: [Zhou] Let N be a sub module of M. The following are equivalent:

i) $N \ll_{\delta} M$

ii) If M = X + N, then M = X ⊕Y for a projective semisimple sub module Y with Y ⊆N.

Proof: [14]

Lemma: If each $f_i: N_i \rightarrow M_i$ are M-projective δ-covers for i = 1,2,3,…n, then

$\oplus_{i=1}{}^{n} f_i : \oplus_{i=1}{}^{n} N_i \rightarrow M_i$ is M-projective δ- cover.

Proof: [12]

Lemma: If N is a direct summand of module M and $A \ll_{\delta} M$, then $A \cap N \ll_{\delta} N$.

Lemma: Let K be a sub module of a M-projective module U. If U/K has a M-projective δ-cover, then it has a M-projective δ-cover of the form $f:\frac{U}{L} \rightarrow \frac{U}{K}$ with $\ker f = \frac{K}{L}$ where L ⊆K.

Proof: Let K be a sub module of a M-projective module U. Let $f: M \rightarrow \frac{U}{K}$ be a M-projective δ-cover of $\frac{U}{K}$, and $\pi: U \rightarrow \frac{U}{K}$ is a canonical epimorphism , U is M-projective module, there exists an homomorphism $v: U \rightarrow M$ $s.t.$ $f \circ v = \pi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & L & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & K & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & U & & \\ & \swarrow^{v} & \downarrow \pi & & \\ M & \xrightarrow[f]{} & \frac{U}{K} & \rightarrow 0 & \end{array}$$

Then $M = \ker g \oplus \mathrm{Im} v$. By lemma [Zhou] $M = N \oplus \mathrm{Im} v$ for semi simple sub module N, with N $\subseteq$ Kerf since $\ker(f\, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Im} v}) \prec\prec_\delta \mathrm{Im} v$. So $f\, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Im} v}$ is also M-projective δ-cover of $\frac{U}{K}$. But $\frac{U}{\ker v} \cong \mathrm{Im} v$ by isomorphism theorem. Since $f \circ v = \pi$ and $\ker v \subseteq K$. If we consider the isomorphism $v': \frac{U}{\ker v} \to \mathrm{Im} v$ defined by $v'(\ker v + u) = u \;\; \forall u \in U, \mathrm{Im} v \leq^{\oplus} U$. Then we obtain $\ker(f_{\mathrm{Im} v}, v') \prec\prec_\delta \frac{U}{\ker v}$.//

Lemma: A pair (M, f) is a M-projective δ-cover of finitely generated module U, The there exists a finitely generated direct summand M' of M such that $f\, \mathrm{I}_{M'}$ is a M-projective δ-cover of U.

Theorem: An R module M has a M-projective δ-cover, then for every epimorphism $f: M \to P$, the following are equivalent:

i) $f: M \to P$ is a M-projective cover.

ii) M is projective, for every epimorphism $f': M' \to P$, with $M' \leq^{\oplus} M$, there exists a necessarily split epimorphism $h: M' \to M$ such that $f \circ h = f'$.

iii) For every small epimorphism $g: M \to N$, there exists an epimorphism $h: P \to M$ such that $f \circ h = g$

Corollary: Let $f: M \to P$ and $f': M' \to P,\ M' \leq^{\oplus} M$, be a M-projective cover. Then there is an isomorphism $h: M \to M'$ such that $f' \circ h = f$. In fact if $h: M \to M'$ is a homomorphism with $f' \circ h = f$, then h is an isomorphism.

Proposition: Let $f: M \to P$ be a M-projective δ-cover. If U is M-projective and $g: U \to P$ is an homomorphism, then there exists decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ and $U = X \oplus Y$ such that

i) $A \cong X$

ii) $f\mathrm{I}_A: A \to P$ is a M-projective δ-cover.

iii) $h\mathrm{I}_X: X \to P$ is a M-projective δ-cover.

iv) B is a Projective semi simple with $B \subseteq \ker f$ and $Y \subseteq \ker h$

Proof: Since U is M-projective,



Then there exists $h: U \to M$ such that $f \circ h = g$. Thus we have $M = \mathrm{Im} h + \ker f$ and $\ker f \prec\prec_\delta M$, we have $M = \mathrm{Im} h + B$ for a semi simple module B with $B \subseteq \ker f$, by lemma 9. $f\mathrm{I}_A: A \to P$ is a M-projective δ-cover.

Since direct summand of projective module is projective, so A is projective and homomorphism $h:U \to A$ splits, then there exists $t:A \to U$ such that $h \circ t = I_A$. Thus $U = X \oplus Y = \mathrm{Im}\, t + \ker h$ this implies $A \cong t(A) = X$. Since $\ker(hI_A) \prec\prec_\delta A$ $(M = A \oplus B)$,we have $\ker(hI_X) = \ker(hI_A) \prec\prec_\delta t(A) = X$. $g(X) = (f \circ h)(X+Y) = (f \circ h)(U) = P$. Thus $hI_X : X \to P$ is a M-projective δ-cover.//

Lemma: Let U be a M-projective module and $N \leq^{\oplus} M$, then the following are equivalent;

i) $\dfrac{M}{N}$ has a M-projective δ-cover.

ii) $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ for some M_1 and M_2 ,with $M_1 \subset N$ *and* $M_2 \cap N \prec\prec_\delta M$.

Proof: i)=> ii) Assume that $\dfrac{M}{N}$ has a M-projective δ-cover. Let $g:U \to \dfrac{M}{N}$ be a M-projective δ-cover and $\pi : M \to \dfrac{M}{N}$ is canonical epimorphism, then there exists an homomorphism $h:U \to M$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & U & & \\ & h \swarrow & \downarrow g & & \\ M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \dfrac{M}{N} & \to & 0 \end{array}$$

is commute. Therefore $M = \mathrm{Im}\, h + \ker \pi = \mathrm{Im}\, h + N$. By lemma [Zhou 3.1] there exists a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that $\pi I_X : M_2 \to M$ is a M-projective δ-cover and $M_1 \subseteq \ker \pi = N$. Thus $M_2 \cap N = \ker(\pi I_X) \prec\prec_\delta X$. Since $M_2 \leq^{\oplus} M$ *then* $M_2 \cap N \prec\prec_\delta M$.

ii)=> i) it is clear.

Lemma: If $f:U \to M$ and $g:M \to N$ are δ-covers, then $g \circ f$ is a δ-cover.

Proof: [12]

Lemma: Let M, N, P be R-modules , for some homomorphisms $f:M \to P$, $g:M \to N$ and $h:N \to P$ such that $h \circ g = f$ then,

i) F is a small epimorphism if and only if $N = \ker h + \mathrm{Im}\, g$.

ii) A pair (M, f) is a projective δ-cover if and only if g(M) is a δ-supplement of kerh in N and $\ker g \prec\prec_\delta M$

Proof: i) it is clear by lemma R

(ii) =>Suppose a pair (M, f) is a δ-cover, by (i) we have $N = \ker h + \mathrm{Im}\, g$ i.e. f is small epimorphism , we get $g(\ker f) = \ker h + \mathrm{Im}\, g$ and $\ker f \prec\prec_\delta M$. By lemma [1,1 K. Al-Thakman] $g(\ker f) \prec\prec_\delta \mathrm{Im}\, g$, hence Img is δ-supplement of kerh in N.

$\Leftarrow$ Assume that the $g(M)$ is a δ-supplement of $\ker h$ in N, then $N = \mathrm{Im}\, g + \ker h$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, g \cap \ker h \prec\prec_\delta \mathrm{Im}\, g$. Since f is epimorphism, consider $\ker f + S = M$ and $\dfrac{M}{S}$ is singular. So $g(\ker f) + g(S) = g(M)$ but $g(\ker f) = \ker h \cap \mathrm{Im}\, g$, Hence $g(M) = g(\ker f) \cap \mathrm{Im}\, g + g(S)$, since $\frac{g(M)}{g(S)}$ is singular, being a homomorphic image of singular module and $\operatorname{Im} g \cap \ker h \prec\prec_\delta \operatorname{Im} g$. We have $g(M) = g(S)$ and so $M = S + \ker g$, by assumption $\ker g \prec\prec_\delta M$ and $\frac{M}{S}$ is singular, so $M = S$. Hence $\ker f \prec\prec_\delta M$.//

Theorem: If $M = M_1 + M_2$ then the following are equivalent:

i) M_2 is a small- M_1-projective.

ii) For any sub module N of M such that M_1 is a δ-supplement of N in M. There exists a sub N_1 of N such that $M = M_1 \oplus N_1$.

Proof: [14].

Proposition: If U is a sub module of R-module M, then following are equivalent:

i) $\frac{M}{M_1}$ has a M-projective δ-cover,

ii) If $M_2 \leq M$ and $M = M_1 + M_2$, M_2 has a δ- supplemented $M'_1 \subseteq M_2$ such that M'_1 has a M-projective δ-cover.

iii) M_2 has a δ- supplemented M'_1, which has a M-projective δ-cover.

Proof: (i) ⇒(ii) Assume that $\frac{M}{M_1}$ has a M-projective δ-cover. Therefore $f : U \to \frac{M}{M_1}$ be a M-projective δ-cover. Since $M = M_1 + M_2, g : M_2 \to \frac{M}{M_1}$ is an epimorphism .Given that U is M-projective module, then there exists an homomorphism $h : U \to M_2$ such that $f = g \circ h$. By lemmaQ] $M = M_1 + \operatorname{Im} h = M_1 + h(U)$,where $h(U) \prec\prec_\delta M_2$. Since $\ker f \prec\prec_\delta U$,we have $M_1 \cap h(U) = h(\ker f) \prec\prec_\delta h(U)$ and $h(U)$ is δ-supplement of M_1 in M. Since $\ker h \leq \ker f \prec\prec_\delta U, h : U \to h(U)$ is M-projective δ-cover.

(ii)⇒(iii) it is clear.

(iii)⇒(i) Let $f : U \to M_1'$ be a M-projective δ-cover. Since M_1' is a δ-supplement of M, the natural epimorphism $g : M_1' \to \frac{M_1'}{M_1 \cap M_1'} \cong \frac{M_1 + M_1'}{M_1} = \frac{M}{M_1}$ is M-projective δ-cover. Hence $f : U \to \frac{M}{M_1}$ is a M-projective δ-cover, by lemma [A], where $h : \frac{M_1'}{M_1 \cap M_1'} \to \frac{M_1 + M_1'}{M_1}$ is an isomorphism. //

References

[1]. Anderson, F. W., Fuller, K. R. Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, 1992.

[2]. Azumaya G. 'some characterization of regular modules' Publications Mathematiques, Vol 34 (1990) 241-248.

[3]. Bharadwaj, P.C. Small pseudo projective modules, Int. J. alg. 3 (6), 2009, 259-264.

[4]. Keskin D., 'On lifting modules' Comm.Alg. 28 (7) 2000, 3427-3440.
[5]. Keskin D and kuratomi Y. 'on Generalized Epi-Projective modules' Math J. Okayama Uni. 52 (2010) 111- 122.
[6]. Khaled Al-Takhman, Cofinitely δ-supplemented and Cofinitely δ-Seme perfect module, Int. Journal of Algebra, Vol 1,(12) 2007, 601-613.
[7]. Kosan. M.T. δ-Lifting and δ-supplemented modules, Alg. Coll.14 (1) 2007. 53-60.
[8]. Nicholsion. Semiregular modules and rings, Canad, Math. J. 28. (5) 1976 1105-1120.
[9]. Sinha A.K, Small M-pseudo projective modules, Amr. j. Math 1 (1), 2012 09-13.
[10]. Talebi, Y. and Khalili Gorji I. On Pseudo Projective and Pseudo Small- Projective Modules. Int. Journalof Alg. 2.(10), 2008, 463-468.
[11]. Truong Cong. QUYNA On Pseudo Semi-projective modules Turk J. Math 37. 2013, 27- 36.
[12]. Wang Y. and Wu D. 'Rings characterized by Projectivity Classes' Int. Journal of algebra, Vol 4 2010 (19) 945-952.
[13].Xue, W. Characterization of Semi-perfect and perfect Rings. Publications Mathematiques, Vol 40 (1996) 115-125.
[14]. Zhou, Y. Generalizations of perfect, semiperfect and semiregular rings, Algebra Colloquium 7 (3), 2000, 305-318.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:
http://www.iiste.org

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: http://www.iiste.org/journals/ All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: http://www.iiste.org/book/

Academic conference: http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library , NewJour, Google Scholar