

Common Fixed Point Theorem for ψ -weakly commuting maps in L-Fuzzy Metric Spaces for integral type

Rasik M.Patel, Ramakant Bhardwaj*, Pushpraj Choudhary**
 The Research Scholar of Sai Nath University, Ranchi (Jharkhand)
 S.P.B.Patel Engineering College, Mehsana
 * TIT group of Institutes Bhopal (M.P.), India
 ** Govt. Science Model College, Jabalpur
 Email: rasik_maths@yahoo.com, drkbhardwaj100@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we proved a common fixed point theorem ψ -weakly commuting maps in L-Fuzzy Metric Spaces for integral type inequality.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases: L-fuzzy metric space, ψ -weakly commuting maps, Common fixed point theorem,

1. Introduction

In 1922, Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $c \in (0, 1)$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X$, $d(fx, fy) \leq c d(x, y)$. Then f has a unique fixed point $a \in X$, such that for each $x \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = a$ by S. Banach [23]. As a generalization of fuzzy sets introduced by L.A.Zadeh [14], K. Atanassov [13] introduced the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy set. Fixed point and common fixed point properties for mappings defined on fuzzy metric spaces by [5], [6], [8], [15], [16], Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces by [7], [21]. A. George and P.Veeramani [2] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by I. Kramosil and J. Michalek [10] and defined a Hausdorff topology on this fuzzy metric space by [12]. Most of the properties which provide the existence of fixed points and common fixed points are of linear contractive type conditions. L-fuzzy metric spaces have been studied by many authors [11], [24]. H. Adibi et al.[9] introduced the concept of compatible mappings and proved common fixed point theorems for four mappings satisfying some conditions in L-fuzzy metric spaces. In the sequel, we shall adopt the usual terminology, notation and conventions of L-fuzzy metric spaces introduced by R. Saadati et al. [19] which are a generalization of fuzzy metric spaces and intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces [20]. R. Saadati, S.Sedghi and H. Zhou [22] by a common fixed point theorem ψ -weakly commuting maps in L-Fuzzy Metric Spaces

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [1]: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $c \in (0, 1)$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X$,

$$\int_0^{d(fx, fy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq c \int_0^{d(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt$$

where $\varphi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, +\infty)$, non negative, and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0$, then f has a unique fixed point $a \in X$ such that for each $x \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = a$.

B.E.Rhoades [4], extending the result of Branciari by replacing the above condition by the following

$$\int_0^{d(fx, fy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq c \int_0^{\max\{d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy), \frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{2}\}} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Definition 2.2[11] Let $L = (L, \leq_L)$ be a complete lattice, and U a nonempty set called a universe.

An L-fuzzy set A on U is defined as a mapping $A: U \rightarrow L$. For each u in U , $A(u)$ represents the degree (in L) to which u satisfies A .

Lemma 2.1[8]. Consider the set L^* and the operation \leq_{L^*} defined by:

$L^* = \{(x_1, x_2) : (x_1, x_2) \in [0,1]^2 \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 \leq 1\}$, $(x_1, x_2) \leq_{L^*} (y_1, y_2) \leftrightarrow x_1 \leq y_1 \text{ and } x_2 \geq y_2$, for every

$(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in L^*$. Then (L^*, \leq_{L^*}) is a complete lattice.

Classically, a triangular norm T on $([0, 1], \leq)$ is defined as an increasing, commutative, associative mapping $T: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying $T(1, x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, 1]$. These definitions can be straightforwardly extended to any lattice $L = (L, \leq_L)$. Define first $0_L = \inf L$ and $1_L = \sup L$.

Definition 2.3[19]. A triangular norm (t-norm) on L is a mapping $T: L^2 \rightarrow L$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $(\forall x \in L)(T(x, 1_L) = x)$; (boundary condition)
- (ii) $(\forall (x, y) \in L^2)(T(x, y) = T(y, x))$; (commutativity)
- (iii) $(\forall (x, y, z) \in L^3)(T(x, T(y, z)) = T(T(x, y), z))$; (associativity)
- (iv) $(\forall (x, x', y, y') \in L^4)(x \leq_L x' \text{ and } y \leq_L y' \Rightarrow T(x, y) \leq_L T(x', y'))$; (monotonicity)

A t-norm \mathcal{T} on L is said to be continuous if for any $x, y \in X$ and any sequences $\{x_n\}$ & $\{y_n\}$ which converge to x and y we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}(x_n, y_n) = \mathcal{T}(x, y)$$

Definition 2.4 [7]. A t-norm \mathcal{T} on L^* is called t-representable if and only if there exist a t-norm T and a t-co-norm S on $[0, 1]$ such that, for all $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in L^*$, $\mathcal{T}(x, y) = (T(x_1, y_1), S(x_2, y_2))$.

Definition 2.5[19]. A negation on L is any decreasing mapping $N: L \rightarrow L$ satisfying $N(0_L) = 1_L$ and $N(1_L) = 0_L$. If $N(N(x)) = x$, for all $x \in L$, then N is called an involutive negation.

Definition 2.6[19]. The 3-tuple (X, M, \mathcal{T}) is said to be an L -fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, \mathcal{T} is a continuous t-norm on L and M is an L -fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for every x, y, z in X and t, s in $(0, \infty)$:

- (a) $M(x, y, t) \geq_L 0_L$;
- (b) $M(x, y, t) = 1_L$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (c) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$;
- (d) $\mathcal{T}(M(x, y, t), M(y, z, s)) \leq_L M(x, z, t + s)$;
- (e) $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow L$ is continuous.

Let (X, M, \mathcal{T}) be an L -fuzzy metric space. For $t \in (0, \infty)$, we define the open ball $B(x, r, t)$ with center $x \in X$ and radius $r \in L \setminus \{0_L, 1_L\}$, as $B(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : M(x, y, t) \geq_L N(r)\}$. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called open if for each $x \in A$, there exist $t > 0$ and $r \in L \setminus \{0_L, 1_L\}$ such that $B(x, r, t) \subseteq A$. Let \mathcal{T}_M denote the family of all open subsets of X . Then \mathcal{T}_M is called the topology induced by the L -fuzzy metric M .

Example 2.1 [21]. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Denote $\mathcal{T}(a, b) = (a_1 b_1, \min(a_1 + b_2, 1))$ for all $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$ in L^* and let M and N be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ be defined as follows:

$M_{M,N}(x, y, t) = (M(x, y, t), N(x, y, t)) = \left(\frac{t}{t + md(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, y)}{t + d(x, y)}\right)$, in which $m > 1$. Then $(X, M_{M,N}, \mathcal{T})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Example 2.2 [19]. Let $X = N$. Define $\mathcal{T}(a, b) = (\max(0, a_1 + b_1 - 1), a_2 + b_2 - a_2 b_2)$ for all $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$ in L^* , and let $M(x, y, t)$ on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ be defined as follows:

$$M(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y-x}{y}\right) & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{x-y}{x}\right) & \text{if } y \leq x \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then (X, M, \mathcal{T}) is an L -fuzzy metric space.

Let (X, M, \mathcal{T}) be an L -fuzzy metric space. For $t \in (0, \infty)$, we define the open ball $B(x, r, t)$ with center $x \in X$ and radius $r \in L \setminus \{0_L, 1_L\}$, as $B(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : M(x, y, t) \geq_L N(r)\}$. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called open if for

each $x \in A$, there exist $t > 0$ and $r \in L \setminus \{0_L, 1_L\}$ such that $B(x, r, t) \subseteq A$. Let \mathcal{T}_M denote the family of all open subsets of X . Then \mathcal{T}_M is called the topology induced by the L-fuzzy metric M .

Lemma 2.2 [9]. Let (X, M, \mathcal{T}) be an L-fuzzy metric space. Then $M(x, y, t)$ is non decreasing with respect to t , for all x, y in X .

Definition 2.7[19]. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in an L-fuzzy metric space (X, M, \mathcal{T}) is called a Cauchy sequence, if for each $\varepsilon \in L \setminus \{0_L\}$ and $t > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m \geq n \geq n_0$ ($n \geq m \geq n_0$),

$M(x_m, x_n, t) >_L N(\varepsilon)$. The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be convergent to $x \in X$ in the L-fuzzy metric space (X, M, \mathcal{T}) if $M(x_n, x, t) = M(x, x_n, t) = 1_L$ whenever $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $t > 0$. A L-fuzzy metric space is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 2.8 [22] Let (X, M, \mathcal{T}) be an L-fuzzy metric space. M is said to be continuous on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y_n, t_n) = M(x, y, t)$$

whenever a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n, t_n)\}$ in $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ converges to a point $(x, y, t) \in X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_n, y, t) = 1_L \text{ \& } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t_n) = M(x, y, t).$$

Lemma 2.3 [22] Let (X, M, \mathcal{T}) be an L-fuzzy metric space. Then M is continuous function on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.9[22] Let A and B be maps from an L-fuzzy metric space (X, M, \mathcal{T}) into itself. The maps f and g are said to be weakly commuting if $M(ABx, BAx, t) \geq_L M(Ax, Bx, t)$ for each x in X & $t > 0$.

Definition 2.10[22]. Let A and B be maps from an L-fuzzy metric space (X, M, \mathcal{T}) into itself. The maps A and B are said to be ψ -weakly commuting if there exists a positive real function $\psi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $M(ABx, BAx, t) \geq_L M(Ax, Bx, \psi(t))$ for each x in X and $t > 0$.

Example 2.3[22]. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{T}(a, b) = (a_1 b_1, \min(a_1 + b_2, 1))$ for all $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$ in L^* and let M and N be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ be defined as follows:

$$M_{M,N}(x, y, t) = \left(\left(e^{\frac{|x-y|}{t}} \right)^{-1}, \frac{\left(e^{\frac{|x-y|}{t}} \right) - 1}{\left(e^{\frac{|x-y|}{t}} \right)} \right), \text{ for all } t > 0. \text{ Then } (X, M_{M,N}, \mathcal{T}) \text{ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric}$$

space. Define $A(x) = 2x - 1, B(x) = x^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{M,N}(x, y, t) &= \left(\left(e^{\frac{|x-y|}{t}} \right)^{-1}, \frac{\left(e^{\frac{|x-y|}{t}} \right) - 1}{\left(e^{\frac{|x-y|}{t}} \right)} \right) \\ &= \left(\left(e^{\frac{2|x-y|^2}{t}} \right)^{-1}, \frac{\left(e^{\frac{2|x-y|^2}{t}} \right) - 1}{\left(e^{\frac{2|x-y|^2}{t}} \right)} \right) \\ &= \left(\left(e^{\frac{2|x-y|^2}{t/2}} \right)^{-1}, \frac{\left(e^{\frac{2|x-y|^2}{t/2}} \right) - 1}{\left(e^{\frac{2|x-y|^2}{t/2}} \right)} \right) = M_{M,N}(Ax, Bx, t/2) \end{aligned}$$

$$<_{L^*} \left(\left(e^{\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} \right)^{-1}, \frac{\left(e^{\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} \right)^{-1}}{\left(e^{\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} \right)} \right) = M_{M,N}(Ax, Bx, t) \quad . \quad \text{Therefore,} \quad \text{for}$$

$\psi(t) = t/2$, A and B are ψ weakly commuting. But A and B are not weakly commuting since the exponential function is strictly increasing.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, M, \mathcal{T}) be a left L-fuzzy metric space and let A and B be ψ weakly commuting self-mappings of X satisfying the following conditions:

(3.1.1) $A(X) \subseteq B(X)$;

(3.1.2) either A or B is continuous;

(3.1.3)

$$\int_0^{M(Ax, Ay, t)} \xi(t) dt \geq_L \int_0^{C\{M(Bx, By, t), M(Bx, Ax, t), M(Ax, By, t)\}} \xi(t) dt$$

where $C: L \rightarrow L$ is a continuous function such that $C(a) >_L a$ for each $a \in L \setminus \{0_L, 1_L\}$, for every x, y in X . Then A and B have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point in X . By (3.1.1), there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Bx_1$. In general choose x_{n+1} such that $Ax_n = Bx_{n+1}$. Then for $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{M(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, t)} \xi(t) dt &\geq_L \int_0^{C\{M(Bx_n, Bx_{n+1}, t), M(Bx_n, Ax_n, t), M(Ax_n, Bx_{n+1}, t)\}} \xi(t) dt \\ &\geq_L \int_0^{C\{M(Ax_{n-1}, Ax_n, t), M(Ax_{n-1}, Ax_n, t), M(Ax_n, Ax_n, t)\}} \xi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{M(Ax_{n-1}, Ax_n, t)} \xi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{M(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, t); n \geq 0\}$ is an increasing sequence in L and therefore, tends to a limit $a \leq_L 1_L$. we claim that $a = 1_L$. For if $a <_L 1_L$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we get $a \geq_L C(a) >_L a$ a contradiction. Hence $a = 1_L$, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, t) = 1_L.$$

If we define (2.9) $c_n(t) = M(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, t)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n(t) = 1_L$. Now, we prove that $\{Ax_n\}$ is a

Cauchy sequence in $A(X)$. suppose that $\{Ax_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence in $A(X)$. For convenience, Let $y_n = Ax_n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then there is an $\epsilon \in L \setminus \{0_L, 1_L\}$ such that for each integer k , there exists integers $m(k)$ and $n(k)$ with $m(k) > n(k) \geq k$ such that

$$(2.10) \quad d_k(t) = M(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}, t) \leq N(\epsilon) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

We may assume that

$$\text{Example.2.3} \quad M(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)-1}, t) > N(\epsilon),$$

by choosing $m(k)$ to be the smallest number exceeding $n(k)$ for which (2.10) holds. Using (2.9), we have (3.1)

$$N(\epsilon) \geq d_k(t) \geq \mathcal{T} \left(M(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)-1}, t/2), M(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)}, t/2) \right) \geq \mathcal{T} \left(c_k \left(\frac{t}{2} \right), N(\epsilon) \right)$$

Hence, $d_k(t) \rightarrow N(\epsilon)$ for every $t > 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(t) &= M(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}, t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{J}^2 \{M(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)+1}, t/3), M(y_{n(k)+1}, y_{m(k)+1}, t/3), M(y_{n(k)+1}, y_{m(k)+1}, t/3)\} \\ &\geq \mathcal{J}^2 \left\{ \left(c_k(t/3), C \left(M(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)+1}, t/3) \right), c_k(t/3) \right) \right\} \\ &= \mathcal{J}^2 \left\{ \left(c_k(t/3), C \left(d_k(t/3) \right), c_k(t/3) \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we have $N(\epsilon) \geq C(N(\epsilon)) > N(\epsilon)$ which is a contradiction.

Thus, $\{Ax_n\}$ is a Cauchy and by the completeness of X , $\{Ax_n\}_n$ converges to z in X . Also $\{Bx_n\}_n$ converges to z in X . Let us suppose that the mapping A is continuous. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} AAx_n = Az$ and

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ABx_n = Az$. Further we have since A and B be ψ weakly commuting

$$M(ABx, BAx, t) \geq_L M(Ax, Bx, \psi(t))$$

On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BAx_n = Az$, by lemma (2.3). We now prove that

$z = Az$. Suppose $z \neq Az$ then $M(z, Az, t) <_L 1_L$. By (3.1.3)

$$\int_0^{M(Ax_n, AAx_n, t)} \xi(t) dt \geq_L \int_0^{C\{M(Bx_n, BAx_n, t), M(Bx_n, Ax_n, t), M(Ax_n, BAx_n, t)\}} \xi(t) dt$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we get

$$\int_0^{M(z, Az, t)} \xi(t) dt \geq_L \int_0^{C\{M(z, Az, t), M(z, Az, t), M(z, Az, t)\}} \xi(t) dt >_L \int_0^{M(z, Az, t)} \xi(t) dt$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $z = Az$. Since $A(X) \subseteq B(X)$ we can find z_1 in X such that $z = Az = Bz_1$.

Now,

$$\int_0^{M(AAx_n, Az_1, t)} \xi(t) dt \geq_L \int_0^{C\{M(BAx_n, Bz_1, t), M(BAx_n, AAx_n, t), M(AAx_n, Bz_1, t)\}} \xi(t) dt$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we get

$$\int_0^{M(Az, Az_1, t)} \xi(t) dt \geq_L \int_0^{C\{M(Az, Bz_1, t), M(Az, Az, t), M(Az, Bz_1, t)\}} \xi(t) dt \geq_L \int_0^{C(M(Az, Bz_1, t))} \xi(t) dt$$

Since $C(1_L) = 1_L$, this implies that $Az = Az_1$, i.e. $z = Az = Az_1 = Bz_1$. also for any $t > 0$,

$M(Az, Bz, t) = M(ABz_1, BAz_1, t) \geq_L M(Az_1, Bz_1, \psi(t)) = 1_L$ which again implies that

$Az = Bz$. thus z is a common fixed point of A and B . Now, to prove uniqueness suppose $z \neq \hat{z}$ is another common fixed point of A and B . Then there exists $t > 0$ such that $M(z, \hat{z}, t) <_L 1_L$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{M(z, \hat{z}, t)} \xi(t) dt &= \int_0^{M(Az, A\hat{z}, t)} \xi(t) dt \\ &\geq_L \int_0^{C\{M(Bz, B\hat{z}, t), M(Bz, Az, t), M(Az, B\hat{z}, t)\}} \xi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{C\{M(z, \hat{z}, t)\}} \xi(t) dt >_L \int_0^{M(z, \hat{z}, t)} \xi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Which is contradiction. Therefore, $z = \hat{z}$. i.e. z is a unique common fixed point A and B .

Example 2.4[22]. Consider example 2.1 in which $X = [0, 1]$.

Define $A(x) = 1$ and $B(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \text{ is rational} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational} \end{cases}$ on X . It is evident that $A(X) \subseteq B(X)$, A is continuous

and B is discontinuous. Define $C: L^* \rightarrow L^*$ by $C(a) = (\sqrt{a_1}, a_2^2)$, then $C(a) = (\sqrt{a_1}, a_2^2) >_{L^*} (a_1, a_2) = a$ for $0 < a_i < 1, i = 1, 2$ and $M(Ax, Ay, t) \geq_{L^*} C(M(Bx, By, t))$ for all x, y in X , A and B be ψ weakly commuting. Thus all the conditions of last theorem are satisfied and 1 is a common fixed point of A and B .

Acknowledgement:

One of the Author (Dr. Ramakant Bhardwaj) is thankful to MPCOST Bhopal for the project No.2556.

References:

- [1] A.Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type. Int.J.Math.Sci. 29(2002), no.9, 531 - 536.
- [2] A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst, 64 (1994), 395–399.
- [3] B. Singh, S. Jain, A fixed point theorem in Menger space through weak compatibility, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 301 (2005) 439-448.
- [4] B.E. Rhoades, Two fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 63, (2003), 4007 - 4013.
- [5] D. Mihet, A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst, 144(2004) 431–439.
- [6] E. Pap, O. Hadzic and R. Mesiar, A fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric spaces and an application, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 202 (1996) 433–449.
- [7] G. Deschrijver, C. Cornelis, and E.E. Kerre, On the representation of intuitionistic fuzzy t-norms and t-co norms, IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Sys. 12 (2004), 45-61.
- [8] G. Deschrijver, E.E. Kerre, On the relationship between some extensions of fuzzy set theory, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 33 (2003) 227-235.
- [9] H. Adibi, Y.J. Cho, D. O'Regan, R. Saadati, Common fixed point theorems in L- fuzzy metric spaces, Appl. Math. Compu. 182 (2006), 820-828.
- [10] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric space, Kybernetika, 11(1975) 326-334.
- [11] J. Goguen, L-fuzzy sets, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 18 (1967) 145-174.
- [12] J. Rodriguez L ópez and S. Romaguera, The Hausdorff fuzzy metric on compact sets, Fuzzy Sets Syst, 147 (2004) 273–283.
- [13] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 20(1986), 87-96.
- [14] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets Inform and Control 8 (1965), 338-353.
- [15] M. A. Erceg, Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 69 (1979), 205-230.
- [16] O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala, On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 12 (1984), 215-229.
- [17] R. Saadati and J. H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy Euclidean normed spaces, Commun. Math. Anal. 1 (2006), 1-6.
- [18] R. Saadati, A. Razani and H. Adibi, A common fixed point theorem in L-fuzzy metric spaces and its generalization to L-fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 35 (2008), 176-180.
- [19] R. Saadati, A. Razani, and H. Adibi, A Common fixed point theorem in L-fuzzy metric spaces Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 33(2007), 358-363.
- [20] R. Saadati and J.H. Park, On the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 27 (2006), 331–344.
- [21] R. Saadati and J.H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy Euclidean normed spaces, Commun. Math. Anal., 1(2) (2006), 86-90.
- [22] R. Saadati, S.Sedghi and H. Zhou, A common fixed point theorem ψ -weakly commuting maps in L-Fuzzy Metric Spaces, Int. J. Fuzzy systems, 5(1) (2008), 47-53.
- [23]. S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux questions integrals', Fund. Math.3,(1922)133-181 (French).
- [24] V. Gregori, A. Sapena, On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 125 (2002), 245-253.
- [25] Y. J. Cho, H. K. Pathak, S. M. Kang and J. S. Jung, Common fixed points of compatible maps of type (β) on fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst, 93 (1998), 99–111.
- [26] Z. K. Deng, Fuzzy pseduo-metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 86 (1982), 74-95.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

Academic conference: <http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/>

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

