www.iiste.org

# Common Fixed Point Theorem for R-Weakly Commuting Pairs of Mappings In Fuzzy Metric Space

Rajesh shrivastava<sup>1</sup>, Vipin kumar sharma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor Govt. Excellence College of Higher Education, Bhopal(M.P);

<sup>2</sup>Asst.Professor,Lakshmi Narain college of Technology Excellence ,Bhopal(M.P).

#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorem for R- weakly commuting mapping in fuzzy metric space, finally we established results in fuzzy metric space by taking different inequality in order to reduce the minimum value.

**Introduction :**The concept of a fuzzy set was first introduced by Zadeh L.A.<sup>22</sup> and fuzzy metric spaces have been introduced by Kramosil and Michalek<sup>7</sup> and George and Veersamani<sup>3</sup> modified the notion of fuzzy metric with help of continuous t-norms. Recently many have proved fixed point theorems involving fuzzy sets<sup>1-6,8-12,14-16</sup> Balasubramaniam P., Muralishankar S.R. and Pant R.P.<sup>1</sup> proved the open problem of Rhoades<sup>17</sup> on the existence of a contractive definition which generals a fixed point but does not force the mapping to be continuous at the fixed point possesses an affirmative answer. Namdeo,Shrivastava and solanki<sup>13</sup> proved common fixed point theorem for four mappings in fuzzy metric space, we generalized the result of Namdeo,Shrivastava and solanki<sup>13</sup> by using new condition in fuzzy metric space.

**Definition-1:** The 3-tuple (X, S, \*) is said to be a S-Fuzzy Metric Space if X is an arbitrary Set, \* is a continuous t-norm and S is a Fuzzy set on  $X^2 x (0, \infty)$ . satisfying the following conditions: i. S(x, y, t) > 0, ii. S(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x=y, iii. S(x, y, t) = S(y, x, t), iv.  $S(x, y, t) * S(y, z, s) \le S(x, z, t+s)$ ,

v. S(x, y, .);  $(0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous for all x, y,  $z \in X$  and t, s > 0.

**Definition-2:** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a fuzzy metric Space (X, S, \*) is a Cauchy Sequence if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , t > 0 there

exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $S(x_n, x_m, t) > 1$ - $\epsilon$  for all  $n, m \ge n_0$ .

**Definition-3:** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a fuzzy metric Space (X, S, \*) is converges to x if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , t > 0 there exists

 $n_0 \in N$  such that  $S(x_n, x, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

**Definition-4:** Fuzzy metric Space (X, S, \*) is said to be complete if every Cauchy Sequence in (X, S, \*) is a convergent sequence.

**Definition-5:** Two mappings f and g of a fuzzy metric space (X, S, \*) in to itself are said to be weakly commuting if  $S(fgx, gfx,t) \ge S(fx, gx, t)$  for each x in X.

**Definition-6:** The mappings f and g of a fuzzy metric space (X, S, \*) in to itself are said to be R-weakly commuting, provided there exists some positive real numbers R such that  $S(fgx, gfx, t) \ge S(fx, gx, t/R)$  for each x in X.

**Definition-7:** The mappings F and G of a fuzzy metric space (X, S, \*) in to itself are said to be compatible iff  $S(FGx_n, GFx_n, t) \rightarrow 1$  For all t > 0, whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $Fx_n, Gx_n \rightarrow y$  for some y in X.

**Definition-8:** Let A and B be self mappings of a fuzzy metric space (X, S, \*) ,we will call A and B to be reciprocally continuous If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ABx_n = Ap$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} BAx_n = Bp$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Bx_n = p$  for some p in X.

If A and B are continuous then they are obviously reciprocally continuous. But the converse need not be true.

**Theorem-1:** Let A, B, M and N be self maps of a complete fuzzy metric space (X, S, \*) with continuous t – norm \* defined by  $a*b = \min \{a,b\}$ ,  $a,b\in[0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions: i.  $A(x) \subset N(x)$ ,  $B(x) \subset M(x)$ , ii. [A, M], [B, N] are pointwise R-weakly commuting pairs of maps. iii. [A, M] or [B, N] is compatible pair of reciprocally continuous maps. iv. For all x, y in X,

 $\begin{array}{l} k \in [0,1] \ t > 0, \ S^{2}(Ax, \ By, \ kt) \ \geq \ max\{ \ S^{2}(Mx, \ Ny, \ t), \ S^{2}(Ax, \ Mx, \ t), \ S^{2}(By, \ Ny, \ t), \ S^{2}(Bx, \ My, \ t), \ \frac{(S^{2}(Ax, Nx,t) + S^{2}(Bx, Nx,t)}{r} \}, \ v. \ For \ all \ x, \ y \ in \ X, \ \lim_{t \to \infty} S(x, y, t) \ \to 1. \end{array}$ 

Then A, B, M and N have a unique common fixed point in X.

**Proof:** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary. Construct a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  such that

www.iiste.org

 $y_{2n-1} = Nx_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n-2}$  and  $y_{2n} = Mx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1} n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ Now using (iv) we have  $S^{2}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) = S^{2}(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt)$  $\geq \max\{S^{2}(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1}, t), S^{2}(Ax_{2n}, Mx_{2n}, t), S^{2}(Bx_{2n+1}, Nx_{2n+1}, t),$  $S^2(Bx_{2n+1},Mx_{2n+1},t),\underbrace{(S^2(Ax_{2n},Nx_{2n},t)+S^2(Bx_{2n},Nx_{2n},t))}_{(S^2(Ax_{2n},Nx_{2n},t)+S^2(Bx_{2n},Nx_{2n},t))}$  $\geq \max\{ S^{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), S^{2}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t), S^{2}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) \}$  $S^{2}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t), \frac{(S^{2}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) + S^{2}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) + S^{2}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t)}{2} \\ \geq \max \{ S^{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), S^{2}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) \}$  $\geq S^{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t).$ So.  $S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \ge S(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) (1.1)$ Further using (iv) we have  $S^{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) = S^{2}(Bx_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}, kt)$  $= S^{2}(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, kt)$  $\geq \max\{ S^{2}(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1}, t), S^{2}(Ax_{2n}, Mx_{2n}, t), S^{2}(Bx_{2n-1}, Nx_{2n-1}, t), \}$ 
$$\begin{split} S^2(Bx_{2n\text{-}1},Mx_{2n\text{-}1},t), \frac{(S^2(Ax_{2n},Nx_{2n},t)+S^2(Bx_{2n},Nx_{2n},t)}{2} \\ \geq max\{ \ S^2(y_{2n},\,y_{2n\text{-}1},\,t), \ S^2(y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n},t), \ S^2(y_{2n},\,y_{2n\text{-}1},\,t) \end{split}$$
 $S^{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), \frac{(S^{2}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) + S^{2}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t))}{2}$  $\geq \max\{S^{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), S^{2}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t), \}$  $\Rightarrow S(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \ge S(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) (1.2)$ Using (1.1) and (1.2) we have  $S(y_n, y_{n-1}, (1-k)t/k) \ge S(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, (1-k)t/k^2)$  $\geq S(y_{n-2}, y_{n-3}, (1-k)t/k^3)$ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ ----- $\geq S(y_0, y_1, (1-k)t/k^n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ Hence for t > 0,  $k, \lambda \in (0, 1)$  we can choose  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $S(y_n, y_{n-1}, (1-k)t/k) \ge 1-\lambda$ ,  $n \ge n_0$ (1.3)To prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence we claim (1.4) is true for all  $n \ge n_0$  and for every  $m \in N$  $S(y_n, y_{n+m}, t) \ge 1 - \lambda$ (1.4)From (1.1) (1.2) and (1.3) we have  $S(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \ge S(y_n, y_{n-1}, t/k)$  $\geq S(y_n, y_{n-1}, (1-k)t/k)$  $> 1 - \lambda$ Thus result (1.4) is true for m = 1. Further suppose (1.4) is true for m. Then we shall show that it is also true for m+1. Using (1.1) (1.2) and definition for t – norm we have  $S(y_n, y_{n+m+1}, t) \ge S(y_{n-1}, y_{n+m}, t/k),$  $\geq \min\{S(y_n, y_{n-1}, (1-k)t/k), S(y_n, y_{n+m}, t)\}$  $\geq 1 - \lambda$ Thus (1.4) is true for m+1 and so it is true for every  $m \in N$  therefore  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy Sequence. Since (X, S, \*)is complete so  $\{y_n\}$  converges to some point z in X. Thus  $\{Ax_{2n}\}$   $\{Mx_{2n}\}$  and  $\{Nx_{2n-1}\}$  also converges to z. Suppose [A, M] is a compatible pair of reciprocally continuous maps. Then by the definition of reciprocally continuous maps,  $AMx_{2n} \rightarrow Az$  and  $MAx_{2n} \rightarrow Mz$  And then the compatibility of A and M yields,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S(AMx_{2n}, MAx_{2n}, t) = 1$  i.e. S(Az, Mz, t) = 1. Hence Az = Mz, Since  $A(x) \subset N(x)$ , There exists a point w in X such that Az = NwUsing (iv) we have,  $S^{2}(Az, Bw, kt) \geq \max \{S^{2}(Mz, Nw, t), S^{2}(Az, Mz, t), S^{2}(Bw, Nw, t), \}$  $S^{2}(Bw, Mw, t), \frac{S^{2}(Az, Nz, t) + S^{2}(Bz, Nz, t)}{2}$ 

 $\geq \max \{ S^2(Az, Az, t), 1, S^2(Bw, Az, t), \}$  $S^{2}(Bw, Mz, t), \frac{S^{2}(Az, Nz, t) + S^{2}(Bz, Nz, t)}{2}$ Or  $S^2$  (Az, Bw, kt)  $\geq 1$ Which implies that Az=Bw, thus Mz = Az = Nw = Bw. Point-wise R-weakly commutativity of A and M implies that there exists R > 0 such that S(AMz, MAz, t)  $\geq$ S(Az, Mz, t/R) = 1 i.e. AMz = MAz and AAz = AMz = MAz = MMzSimilarly pointwise R-weakly commutativity of B and N implies that BBw = BNw = NBw = NNwNow by (iv) we have  $S^{2}(AAz, Az, kt) = S^{2}(AAz, Bw, kt)$  $\geq$  max { S<sup>2</sup>(MAz, Nw, t), S<sup>2</sup>(AAz, MAz, t), S<sup>2</sup>(Bw, Nw, t),  $S^{2}(Bw, Mw, t), \frac{S^{2}(AAz, NAz, t) + S^{2}(BAz, NAz, t)}{2}$  $\geq \max \{S^{2}(MAz,Nw,t),1,S^{2}(Az,Az,t),\\S^{2}(Bw,Mw,t),\frac{S^{2}(AAz,NAz,t)+S^{2}(BAz,NAz,t)}{2}\}$ Or  $S^2(AAz, Az, kt) \ge 1$  $\Rightarrow$ AAz = Az thus Az = AAz = MAz Thus Az is a common fixed point of A and M. Again by (iv) we have  $S^{2}(Az, BBw, kt) \ge \max \{ \overline{S}^{2}(Mz, NBw, t), S^{2}(Az, Mz, t), S^{2}(BBw, NBw, t), \}$  $S^{2}(BBw, MBw, t), \frac{S^{2}(Az,Nz,t)+S^{2}(Bz,Nz,t)}{S^{2}(Bz,Nz,t)}$  $\geq \max \{ S^{2}(Mz, NBw, t), 1, S^{2}(BBw, NBw, t), \\ S^{2}(BBw, MBw, t), \frac{S^{2}(Az, Nz, t) + S^{2}(Bz, Nz, t)}{2} \}$ Or  $S^2(Az, BBw, kt) \ge 1$  $\Rightarrow$ Az = BBw thus Az = BBw = Bw. Thus Bw(=Az) is a common fixed point of B and N and hence Az is a common fixed point of A, B, M and N. To prove Uniqueness, let  $Az_1$  be another common fixed point of A, B, M and N. Then we have  $S^{2}(Az, Az_{1}, kt) = S^{2}(AAz, BAz_{1}, kt)$  $\geq$ max { S<sup>2</sup>(MAz, NAz<sub>1</sub>, t), S<sup>2</sup>(AAz, MAz, t), S<sup>2</sup>(BAz<sub>1</sub>, NAz<sub>1</sub>, t),  $S^{2}(BAz_{1}, MAz_{1}, t), S^{2}(Az, Naz, t), S^{2}(BAz_{1}, NAz_{1}, t), S^{2}(BAz_{1}, Naz_{1}, t), S^{2}(Az, Naz, t) + S^{2}(Baz, Naz, t), S^{2}(Az_{1}, Az_{1}, t), S^{$  $\geq \max \{ S^2(Az, Az_1, t), 1, 1, 1, 1 \}$ or  $S^2(Az, Az_1, kt) \ge 1$ Thus  $Az = Az_1$ Thus Az is a unique common fixed point of A, B, M and N.

Conclusion

Theorem 1 extends the generalize results Balasubramaniam and Muralishankar S., Pant R.P.<sup>1</sup> on the existence of a contractive.

References

1. Balasubramaniam P., Muralisankar S.R. and Pant R.P., Common fixed' points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space, J.

2. Cho Y.J., Pathak U.K., Kang S.M., and Jung J.S., Common fixed points of compatible maps of type (0) on fuzzy metric spates, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 93, 99-111 (1998)

3. George A. and Veeramani P., On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64, 395-399 (1994)

4. Grabiec M., Fixed points in fuzzy metric specs, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27, 385-389 (1988)

5. Hadzi O.C., Common fixed point theorems for families of mapping in complete metric space, Math. Japon., 29, 127-134 (1984)

6. Jungck G., Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2), Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 285-288 (1988)

7. Kramosil and Michalek J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika, 11, 326-334 (1975)

8. Kutukcu S., A fixed point theorem in Menger spaces, Int. Math. F., 1(32), 1543-1554 (2006)

**9.** Kutukcu S., A fixed point theorem for contraction type mappings in Menger spaces, Am. J. Appl. Sci., **4**(6), 371-373 (**2007**)

10. Kutukcu S. Sharma U, ,S,H Tokgoz, A fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Int . Journal of Math.Analysis, 1, 861-872 (2007)

**11.** Kutukcu S. and Yildiz C. and A. Tuna, On common fixed points in Menger probabilistic metric spaces, Int. Contemp J.. Math. Sci., **2(8)**, 383-391 (**2007**)

12. Mishra S.N., Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-spaces, Math. Japon., 36, 283-289 (1991)

**13.**Namdeo Kailash, Shrivastav Rajesh, Solanki Manoj and Sharma Manoj, Common Fixed Point Theorem for four Mappings in Fuzzy Metric Space, Research Journal of Mathematical and Statistical SciencesVol. **1(9)**, 11-14, October (**2013**)

14. Pant R.P., Common fixed points of four mappings, Bull. Cal. Math. Soe., 90, 281-286 (1998)

15. Pant R.P., Common fixed point theorems for contractive maps, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 226, 251-258 (1998)

16. Pant R.P.T. and Jha K., A remark on common fixed points of four mappings in a, fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Math., 12(2), 433-437 (2004)

17. Rhoades B.E., Contractive definitions and continuity, Contemporary Math., 72, 233-245 (1988)

**18.** Sharma S., Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **127**, 345-352 (2002)

**19.** Sharma S. and Deshpande B., Discontinuity and weak compatibility in fixed point consideration on noncompletefuzzy metric spaces, J. Fuzzy Math., **11**(2), 671-686 (**2003**)

**20.** Sharma S. and Deshpande B., Compatible multivalued mappings satisfying an implicit relation, SoutheastAsian Bull. Math., **30**, 585-540 (**2006**)

**21.** Vasuki R., Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces, Indian J. PureAppl. Math., **30** 419-423 (**1999**)

22. Zadeh L.A. and Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control, 8, 338-353 (1965)

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

# **CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS**

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

**Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/journals/</u> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

### **MORE RESOURCES**

Book publication information: http://www.iiste.org/book/

Academic conference: http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/

## **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

