Mathematical Theory and Modeling ISSN 2224-5804 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0522 (Online) Vol.5, No.2, 2015



Coupled Fixed Point Results In G-Metric Spaces

For W*-Compatible Mappings

R. Arab*, H. Meghdade

Department Of Mathematics, Sari Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sari, Iran
* E-mail of the corresponding author: mathreza.arab @iausari.ac.ir,
mathreza.arab @yahoo.com

Abstract: In this paper, we consider a new class of pairs of generalized contractive type mappings defined in G – metric spaces. Some coincidence and common fixed point results for these mapping are presented. **Keywords:** Coincidence Point, Coupled Fixed Point, Common Coupled Fixed Point, Common Fixed Point,

Generalized Metric Space, **
-Compatible Mappings.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Mustafa and Sims [5] introduced the notion of complete G – metric spaces as a generalization of complete metric spaces. For details on G – metric spaces, we refer to [5, 6, 7, 8]. The notion of a coupled fixed point in partially ordered metric spaces has been introduced by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham in (2006)[9]. In this paper ,we prove a common coupled fixed point theorem for two mappings in G – metric spaces.

Definition 1.1 [5] Let X be a nonempty set and $G: X \times X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ a function satisfying the following properties:

$$(G_1)$$
 $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z = 0$,

$$(G_2)$$
 $0 < G(x, x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,

$$(G_3)$$
 $G(x,x,y) \le G(x,y,z)$ for all $x,y,z \in X$ with $z \ne y$,

$$(G_4)$$
 $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = ...$, symmetry in all three variables,

$$(G_5)$$
 $G(x, y, z) \le G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$

Then the function G is called a generalized metric, or, more specifically, a G-metric on X, and the pair (X,G) is called a G-metric space.

Definition 1.2 [5] Let (X,G) be a G-metric space and (x_n) a sequence of points of X. A point $x \in X$ is said to be the limit of the sequence (x_n) , if $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} G(x,x_n,x_m)=0$, and we say that the sequence (x_n) is G-convergent to x or that (x_n) G-converges to x.

Thus, $x_n \to x$ in a G-metric space (X,G) if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that



 $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $m, n \ge k$.

Proposition 1.1. [5] Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) (x_n) is G-convergent to x.
- (2) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (3) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (4) $G(x_n, x_m, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.3 [5] Let (X,G) be a G-metric space, a sequence (x_n) is called G-Cauchy if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m, l \ge k$, that is $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \to 0$ as $n, m, l \to \infty$.

Proposition 1.2. [5] Let (X,G) be a G-metric space, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The sequence (x_n) is G-Cauchy.
- (2) For every $\mathcal{E} > 0$ there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \mathcal{E}$, for all $n, m \ge k$.

Definition 1.4 [5] A G-metric space (X,G) is called G-complete if every G-Cauchy sequence in (X,G) is G-convergent in (X,G).

Proposition 1.3. [5] Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. Then, the function G(x,y,z) is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

Example 1.1. [5] Let (\mathbb{R},d) be the usual metric space. Define G_{ϵ} by

$$G_{s}(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(x, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then it is clear that (\mathbb{R}, G_s) is a G-metric space.

Proposition 1.4. [5] Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. Then $T:X\to X$ is G-continuous at $x\in X$ if and only if it is G-sequentially continuous at x, that is, whenever (x_n) is G-convergent to x, $(f(x_n))$ is G-convergent to f(x).

Definition 1.5 [4] Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. A mapping $F: X \times X \to X$ is said to be



continuous if for any two G-convergent sequences (x_n) and (y_n) converging to x and y respectively, $(F(x_n, y_n))$ is G-convergent to F(x, y).

Definition 1.6 [3] An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping $F: X \times X \to X$ if F(x, y) = x, F(y, x) = y.

Definition 1.7 [9] An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of a mapping

$$F: X \times X \to X$$
 and a mapping $g: X \to X$ if $F(x, y) = gx$, $F(y, x) = gy$.

Note that if g is the identity mapping, then Definition 1.7 reduces to Definition 1.6.

Definition 1.8 [1] An element $x \in X$ is called a common fixed point of a mapping $F: X \times X \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ if F(x,x) = gx = x.

Abbas et al. [1] introduced the concept of w-compatible and w^* -compatible mappings and utilized this concept to prove an interesting uniqueness theorem of a coupled fixed point for mappings F and g in cone metric spaces.

Definition 1.9 [1] Mappings $F: X \times X \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ are called

 (w_1) w-compatible if g(F(x, y)) = F(gx, gy) whenever gx = F(x, y) and gy = F(y, x).

 (w_2) w^* – compatible if g(F(x,x)) = F(gx,gx) whenever gx = F(x,x).

Example 1.2. [2] Let $X = \mathbb{R}^+$, define $F: X \times X \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ by

$$F(x,y) = \begin{cases} 8, & x = 1, y = 0, \\ 10, & x = 0, y = 1, \\ 4 & other wise, \end{cases} \qquad g(x) = \begin{cases} 8, & x = 1, \\ 10, & x = 0, \\ 5, & x = 4, \\ 4, & other wise. \end{cases}$$

Then it is clear that F and g are w-compatible but not w^* -compatible.

Definition 1.10 [9] Let X be a nonempty set and $F: X \times X \to X$ and $g: X \to X$. One says F and g are commutative if for all $x, y \in X$, F(gx, gy) = g(F(x, y)).

2. Main results

Our first result is the following.

Theorem 2.1 Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. Set $T: X \times X \to X$ and $g: X \to X$. Assume



there exist $a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$ with $2a_1 + 3a_2 + 3a_3 < 2$ such that

$$G(T(x, y), T(u, v), T(w, z)) \leq \frac{a_1}{2} [G(gx, gu, gw) + G(gy, gv, gz)]$$

$$+ \frac{a_2}{2} [G(gx, T(x, y), T(x, y)) + G(gu, T(u, v), T(u, v)) + G(gy, gv, gz)]$$

$$+ \frac{a_3}{2} [G(gx, T(u, v), T(u, v)) + G(gu, T(x, y), T(x, y)) + G(gy, gv, gz)],$$
(2.1)

for all $x, y, u, v, w, z \in X$. If $T(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, g(X) is a G-complete subset of X, then T and g have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if T is w^* -compatible with g, then T and g have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 and y_0 be in X. Since $T(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, we can choose $x_1, y_1 \in X$ such that $gx_1 = T(x_0, y_0)$ and $gy_1 = T(y_0, x_0)$. Analogously, there exist $x_2, y_2 \in X$ such that $gx_2 = T(x_1, y_1)$ and $gy_2 = T(y_1, x_1)$. Continuing this process, we can construct two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$gx_{n+1} = T(x_n, y_n) \text{ and } gy_{n+1} = T(y_n, x_n) \text{ for all } n \ge 0$$
 (2.2)

From by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{split} &G(gx_{n},gx_{n+1},gx_{n+1}) = G(T(x_{n-1},y_{n-1}),T(x_{n},y_{n}),T(x_{n},y_{n})) \\ &\leq \frac{a_{1}}{2} [G(gx_{n-1},gx_{n},gx_{n}) + G(gy_{n-1},gy_{n},gy_{n})] \\ &+ \frac{a_{2}}{2} [G(gx_{n-1},T(x_{n-1},y_{n-1}),T(x_{n-1},y_{n-1})) + G(gx_{n},T(x_{n},y_{n}),T(x_{n},y_{n})) + \\ &G(gy_{n-1},gy_{n},gy_{n})] + \frac{a_{3}}{2} [G(gx_{n-1},T(x_{n},y_{n}),T(x_{n},y_{n})) \\ &+ G(gx_{n},T(x_{n-1},y_{n-1}),T(x_{n-1},y_{n-1})) + G(gy_{n-1},gy_{n},gy_{n})] \\ &= \frac{a_{1}}{2} [G(gx_{n-1},gx_{n},gx_{n}) + G(gy_{n-1},gy_{n},gy_{n})] \\ &+ \frac{a_{2}}{2} [G(gx_{n-1},gx_{n},gx_{n}) + G(gx_{n},gx_{n+1},gx_{n+1}) + G(gy_{n-1},gy_{n},gy_{n})] \\ &+ \frac{a_{3}}{2} [G(gx_{n-1},gx_{n+1},gx_{n+1}) + G(gx_{n},gx_{n},gx_{n}) + G(gy_{n-1},gy_{n},gy_{n})]. \end{split}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \le \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{2 - a_2 - a_3} [G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n) + G(gy_{n-2}, gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-1})], \quad (2.3)$$



and

$$\begin{split} G(gy_n, gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}) &= G(T(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), T(y_n, x_n), T(y_n, x_n)) \\ &\leq \frac{a_1}{2} [G(gy_{n-1}, gy_n, gy_n) + G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n)] \\ &+ \frac{a_2}{2} [G(gy_{n-1}, T(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), T(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) + G(gy_n, T(y_n, x_n), T(y_n, x_n)) \\ &+ G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n)] + \frac{a_3}{2} [G(gy_{n-1}, T(y_n, x_n), T(y_n, x_n)) \\ &+ G(gy_n, T(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), T(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) + G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n)] \\ &= \frac{a_1}{2} [G(gy_{n-1}, gy_n, gy_n) + G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n)] \\ &+ \frac{a_2}{2} [G(gy_{n-1}, gy_n, gy_n) + G(gy_n, gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}) + G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n)] \\ &+ \frac{a_3}{2} [G(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}) + G(gy_n, gy_n, gy_n) + G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n)]. \end{split}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$G(gy_n, gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}) \le \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{2 - a_2 - a_3} [G(gy_{n-1}, gy_n, gy_n) + G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n)].$$
 (2.4)

From (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + G(gy_n, gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}) \le \frac{2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)}{2 - a_2 - a_3} [G(gy_{n-1}, gy_n, gy_n) + G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n)].$$

Set
$$a_n = G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + G(gy_n, gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1})$$
 and $\lambda = \frac{2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)}{2 - a_2 - a_3}$, then the

sequence $\{a_n\}$ is decreasing as

$$0 \le a_n \le \lambda a_{n-1} \le \lambda^2 a_{n-2} \le \dots \le \lambda^n a_0$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} [G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + G(gy_n, gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1})] = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) = 0, \quad and \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} G(gy_n, gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}) = 0.$$
 (2.5)

Next, let us prove that $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ are G-Cauchy sequences. In fact, for m > n, we have

$$G(gx_{n}, gx_{m}, gx_{m}) + G(gy_{n}, gy_{m}, gy_{m}) \le G(gx_{n}, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + G(gy_{n}, gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1})$$

$$+ G(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}, gx_{n+2}) + G(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+2}, gy_{n+2})$$



$$\begin{split} &+ \ldots + G(gx_{m-1}, gx_m, gx_m) + G(gy_{m-1}, gy_m, gy_m) \\ &= a_n + a_{n+1} + \ldots + a_{m-1} \\ &\leq \lambda^n a_0 + \lambda^{n+1} a_0 + \ldots + \lambda^{m-1} a_0 = (\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \ldots + \lambda^{m-1}) a_0 \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda} a_0. \end{split}$$

Letting $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} G(gx_n, gx_m, gx_m) + G(gy_n, gy_m, gy_m) = 0.$$

This imply that $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ are G-Cauchy sequences in g(X). By G-completeness of g(X), there exists $gx,gy\in g(X)$ such that $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ converge to gx and gy, respectively. We claim that g(x)=T(x,y) and g(y)=T(y,x). Indeed, from (2.1), we have

$$\begin{split} G(gx_{n+1},T(x,y),T(x,y)) &= G(T(x_n,y_n),T(x,y),T(x,y)) \\ &\leq \frac{a_1}{2} [G(gx_n,g(x),g(x)) + G(gy_n,g(y),g(y))] \\ &+ \frac{a_2}{2} [G(gx_n,T(x_n,y_n),T(x_n,x_n)) + G(g(x),T(x,y),T(x,y)) \\ &+ G(gy_n,g(y),g(y))] + \frac{a_3}{2} [G(gx_n,T(x,y),T(x,y)) \\ &+ G(g(x),T(x_n,y_n),T(x_n,y_n) + G(gy_n,g(y),g(y)))] \end{split}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, and using the fact that G is continuous on its variables, we get that

G(g(x), g(y), g(y)) = G(T(x, y), T(y, x), T(y, x))

$$G(g(x),T(x,y),T(x,y)) \le \frac{a_2 + a_3}{2} G(g(x),T(x,y),T(x,y)).$$

Hence g(x) = T(x, y). Similarly, we may show that g(y) = T(y, x). Then, (gx, gy) is a coupled point of coincidence of mappings T and g. Now we prove that gx = gy. By (2.1), we have

$$\leq \frac{a_1}{2}[G(g(x), g(y), g(y)) + G(g(y), g(x), g(x))]$$

$$+ \frac{a_2}{2}[G(g(x), T(x, y), T(x, y)) + G(g(y), T(y, x), T(y, x)) + G(g(y), g(x), g(x))]$$

$$+ \frac{a_3}{2}[G(g(x), T(y, x), T(y, x)) + G(g(y), T(x, y), T(x, y)) + G(g(y), g(x), g(x))]$$



$$= \frac{a_1 + a_3}{2}G(g(x), g(y), g(y)) + \frac{a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3}{2}G(g(y), g(x), g(x)).$$

Similarly, we may show that

$$G(g(y), g(x), g(x)) \le \frac{a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3}{2} G(g(x), g(y), g(y)) + \frac{a_1 + a_3}{2} G(g(y), g(x), g(x)).$$

Therefore

$$G(g(x), g(y), g(y)) + G(g(y), g(x), g(x)) \le \frac{2a_1 + a_2 + 3a_3}{2} [G(g(x), g(y), g(y)) + G(g(y), g(x), g(x))]$$

$$< G(g(x), g(y), g(y)) + G(g(y), g(x), g(x)).$$

which is a contradiction. So g(x) = g(y). We conclude that T(x, y) = g(x) = g(y) = T(y, x).

Thus, (g(x), g(x)) is a coupled point of coincidence of mappings T and g. Now, if there is another

 $x_1 \in X$ such that $(g(x_1), g(x_1))$ is a coupled point of coincidence of mappings T and g, then

$$G(g(x), g(x_1), g(x_1)) = G(T(x, x), T(x_1, x_1), T(x_1, x_1))$$

$$\leq \frac{a_1}{2} [G(g(x), g(x_1), g(x_1)) + G(g(x), g(x_1), g(x_1))]$$

$$+ \frac{a_2}{2} [G(g(x), T(x, x), T(x, x)) + G(g(x), T(x_1, x_1), T(x_1, x_1)) + G(g(x), g(x_1), g(x_1))]$$

$$+ \frac{a_3}{2} [G(g(x), T(x_1, x_1), T(x_1, x_1)) + G(g(x_1), T(x, x), T(x, x)) + G(g(x), g(x_1), g(x_1))]$$

$$= (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) G(g(x), g(x_1), g(x_1)) + \frac{a_3}{2} G(g(x_1), g(x), g(x)).$$

Similarly, we may show that

$$G(g(x_1), g(x), g(x)) \le (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)G(g(x_1), g(x), g(x)) + \frac{a_3}{2}G(g(x), g(x_1), g(x_1)).$$

Therefore

$$G(g(x), g(x_1), g(x_1)) + G(g(x_1), g(x), g(x)) \le \frac{2a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3}{2} [G(g(x), g(x_1), g(x_1)) + G(g(x_1), g(x), g(x))].$$

It implies that $G(g(x), g(x_1), g(x_1)) = G(g(x_1), g(x), g(x)) = 0$ and so $g(x) = g(x_1)$. Hence,

(g(x), g(x)) is a unique coupled point of coincidence of mappings T and g. Now, we show that T

and g have common coupled fixed point. For this, let u = g(x). Then, we have u = g(x) = T(x, x).

By w^* – compatibility of T and g, we have

$$g(u) = g(g(x)) = g(T(x,x)) = T(g(x),g(x)) = T(u,u).$$

Then, (g(u), g(u)) is a coupled point of coincidence of mappings T and g. By the uniqueness of



coupled point of coincidence, we have g(x) = g(u). Therefore, (u,u) is the common coupled fixed point of T and g. To prove the uniqueness, let $v \in X$ with $v \neq u$ such that (v,v) is the common coupled fixed point of T and g. Then, using (2.1),

$$\begin{split} G(u,v,v) &= G(T(u,u),T(v,v),T(v,v)) \leq \frac{a_1}{2} [G(gu,gv,gv) + G(gu,gv,gv)] \\ &+ \frac{a_2}{2} [G(gu,T(u,u),T(u,u)) + G(gv,T(v,v),T(v,v)) + G(gu,gv,gv)] \\ &+ \frac{a_3}{2} [G(gu,T(v,v),T(v,v)) + G(gv,T(u,u),T(u,u)) + G(gu,gv,gv)] \\ &= (a_1 + \frac{a_2}{2} + a_3) G(u,v,v) + \frac{a_3}{2} G(v,u,u). \end{split}$$

Similarly, we may show that

$$G(v,u,u) \le (a_1 + \frac{a_2}{2} + a_3)G(v,u,u) + \frac{a_3}{2}G(u,v,v).$$

Hence,

$$G(u,v,v)+G(v,u,u) \le \frac{2a_1+a_2+3a_3}{2}[G(u,v,v)+G(v,u,u)].$$

Since $\frac{2a_1 + a_2 + 3a_3}{2} < 1$, so that G(u, v, v) = G(v, u, u) = 0 and u = v. Thus T and g have a unique common coupled fixed point. In Theorem 2.1, take w = u and z = v, to obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2 Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. Set $T: X \times X \to X$ and $g: X \to X$. Assume there exist $a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$ with $2a_1 + 3a_2 + 3a_3 < 2$ such that

$$G(T(x,y),T(u,v),T(u,v)) \leq \frac{a_1}{2} [G(gx,gu,gu) + G(gy,gv,gv)]$$

$$+ \frac{a_2}{2} [G(gx,T(x,y),T(x,y)) + G(gu,T(u,v),T(u,v)) + G(gy,gv,gv)]$$

$$+ \frac{a_3}{2} [G(gx,T(u,v),T(u,v)) + G(gu,T(x,y),T(x,y)) + G(gy,gv,gv)],$$
(2.6)

for all $x, y, u, v, w, z \in X$. If $T(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, g(X) is a G-complete subset of X, then T and g have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if T is w^* -compatible with g, then T and g have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Now, putting $g = I_X$ (the identity map of X) in the Theorem 2.1, we obtain

Corollary 2.3 Let (X,G) be a complete G-metric space. Assume $T: X \times X \to X$ be a function



satisfying (2.1)(with $g = I_X$) for all $x, y, u, v, w, z \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

By choosing a_1, a_2 and a_3 suitably, one can deduce some corollaries from Theorem 2.1.

For example, if $a_1 = 2k$ and $a_2 = a_3 = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, then the following corollary is obtained which extends and generalizes the comparable results of [10].

Corollary 2.4 Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. Set $T: X \times X \to X$ and $g: X \to X$. Assume there exist $k \in [0,\frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$G(T(x, y), T(u, v), T(w, z)) \le k[G(gx, gu, gw) + G(gy, gv, gz)],$$
 (2.7)

for all $x, y, u, v, w, z \in X$. If $T(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, g(X) is a G-complete subset of X, then T and g have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if T is w^* -compatible with g, then T and g have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Now, we introduce an example to support the usability of our results.

Example 2.1. Let X = [0,1]. Define $T: X \times X \to X$ by $T(x,y) = \frac{1}{16}x^2y^2$ and define $g: X \to X$ by $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$.

Define a G-metric on X by G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |x - z| + |y - z| for all $x, y, z \in X$.

By routine calculations, the reader can easily verify that the following assumptions hold:

- (1) $T(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$;
- (2) g(X) is a G-complete subset of X;
- (3) T is w^* compatible with g.

Here, we show only that T and g are condition (2.1) in Theorem 2.1 is satisfied for all real numbers a_1,a_2,a_3 with $0 \le 2a_1 + 3a_2 + 3a_3 < 2$. Since $|xy - uv| \le |x - u| + |y - v|$ holds for all $x,y,u,v \in X$, we have

$$G(T(x, y), T(u, v), T(w, z)) = G(\frac{1}{16}x^2y^2, \frac{1}{16}u^2v^2, \frac{1}{16}w^2z^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{16}|x^2y^2 - u^2v^2| + \frac{1}{16}|x^2y^2 - w^2z^2| + \frac{1}{16}|u^2v^2 - w^2z^2|$$



$$\leq \frac{1}{16} [|x^{2} - u^{2}| + |y^{2} - v^{2}| + |x^{2} - w^{2}| + |y^{2} - z^{2}| + |u^{2} - w^{2}| + |v^{2} - z^{2}|]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{8} [|\frac{1}{2}x^{2} - \frac{1}{2}u^{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}x^{2} - \frac{1}{2}w^{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}u^{2} - \frac{1}{2}w^{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}y^{2} - \frac{1}{2}v^{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}y^{2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}y^{2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{2}|] + \frac{1}{16} [\frac{1}{2}x^{2} - \frac{1}{16}x^{2}y^{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}u^{2} - \frac{1}{16}u^{2}v^{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}y^{2} - \frac{1}{2}v^{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}y^{2} - \frac{1}{2}v^{2}|] + \frac{1}{16} [\frac{1}{2}x^{2} - \frac{1}{16}u^{2}v^{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}u^{2} - \frac{1}{16}x^{2}y^{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}y^{2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{2}|] + \frac{1}{2}y^{2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}y^{2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{2}|]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} [G(gx, gu, gw) + G(gy, gv, gz)]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} [G(gx, T(x, y), T(x, y)) + G(gu, T(u, v), T(u, v)) + G(gy, gv, gz)]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} [G(gx, T(u, v), T(u, v)) + G(gu, T(x, y), T(x, y)) + G(gy, gv, gz)].$$

Thus, (2.1) is satisfied with $a_1 = \frac{1}{4}$ and $a_2 = a_3 = \frac{1}{8}$ where $2a_1 + 3a_2 + 3a_3 < 2$. Hence, all the

conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Moreover, (0,0) is the unique common coupled fixed point of

T and g. References

References

- [1] M. Abbas, M. Ali Khan, S. Radenovi c', Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for w-compatible mappings. Appl. Math. Comput.217, 195-202 (2010).
- [2] M. Abbas, A. R. Khan, T. Nazir, Coupled common fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces. Applied Mathematics and Computation 217 (2011) 6328-6336.
- [3] TG. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham , Fixed point theory in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. Nonlinear Anal.65, 1379-1393 (2006).
- [4] B.S.Choudhury, P.Maity, Coupled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces, Math. Comput. Modelling 54 (2011) 73-79.
- [5] Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: A new approach to generalized metric spaces. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7(2), 289-297(2006).
- [6] z.Mustafa, H. Obiedat, F. Awawdehand, Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., vol. 2008, Article ID 189870, 12 p.,doi:10.1155/2008/189870.
- [7] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Fixed point theorems for contractive mapping in complete G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., vol. 2009, Article ID 917175, 10 p., doi:0.1155/2009/917175.
- [8] Z. Mustafa, F. Awawdeh, W. Shatanawi, Fixed point theorem for expansive mappings in G-metric spaces, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. 5 (2010) 2463–2472.



- [9] V.Lakshmikantham, L,. C' irifi c', Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal: Theorey Methods Appl.70(12), 4341-4349 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.na.2008.09.020.
- [10] W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Volume 40 (3) (2011), 441-447.