Some Fixed Point Result in Metric Spaces for Rational Expression

Sarla Chouhan¹, Ankur Tiwari², Manoj Solanki³

^{1&2}Department of Mathematics,B.U.I.T Bhopal-462026,India E-mail:chouhan.sarla@yahoo.com

²Department of Mathematics, Sadhu Vasvani College Bhopal

Abstract:

In the present paper we establish some fixed point theorems in complete metric space taking rational expression. Our Result Generalize the result of many authors.

Key words: Fixed point, common fixed point, rational expressions

2. Introduction

In this paper some extension of well known Banach contraction theorem [1] has obtained in terms of a new symmetric rational expression. This celebrated principle has been generalized by many authors viz. Chu & Diaz[3] Sehgal[13], Holmes[8], Reich[12], Hardy and Rogers[7], Wong[15], Iseki[9], Sharma and Rajput[14], Gupta and Dass[6], Jaggi[10], Chatterjee[2], Fisher[5], Kannan[11], Ciric[4] and others.

In this Paper we shall establish some unique fixed point and common fixed point theorems, through new symmetric rational expressions.

3. Main Result

Theorem 3.1 Let T be a continuous self map, defined on a complete metric space X. Further, T satisfies the following condition;

$$d(Tx, Ty) < \alpha \max\left\{\frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty) + d(x, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{d(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, dy)d(y, Tx)}{d(x, y)}\right\} + \gamma[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] + \delta[d(y, Tx) + d(x, Ty)] + \eta d(x, y)$$
(3.1.1)

for all x,y \in X, x \neq y and for some α , γ , δ , $\eta \in [0,1)$ with $(2 \alpha + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 1)$.

Then T has unique fixed point in X.

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X and we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by means of iterates of T by setting

 $T_{x_0}^n = x_n$, where n is a positive integer. If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some n, then x_n is a fixed point of T.

Taking $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$, for all n

Now

 $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})$

$$\leq \alpha \max\left\{\frac{d(x_{n}, Tx_{n})d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_{n}, Tx_{n-1})d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n})}{d(x_{n}, x_{n-1})}, \frac{d(x_{n}, Tx_{n})d(x_{n}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n})}{d(x_{n}, x_{n-1})}\right\}$$

$$+\gamma\left[d\left(x_{n}, Tx_{n}\right) + d\left(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}\right)\right] + \delta\left[d\left(x_{n}, Tx_{n-1}\right) + d\left(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}\right)\right] + \eta d(x_{n}, x_{n-1})\right]$$

$$\leq \alpha \max\left\{\frac{d(x_{n}, x_{n+1})d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + d(x_{n}, x_{n})d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n}, x_{n-1})}, \frac{d(x_{n}, x_{n+1})d(x_{n}, x_{n})d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n}, x_{n-1})}\right\}$$

$$+\gamma\left[d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n})\right] + \delta\left[d\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})\right] + \eta d(x_{n}, x_{n-1}).$$

$$\leq \alpha \max\left\{d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), \right\} + \gamma\left[d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n})\right] + \delta\left[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})\right]$$

$$+\eta d(x_{n}, x_{n-1}).$$

Case I.

If
$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) > d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})$$

Then

 $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta)d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$

By the triangle inequality, we have for m>n

$$d(x_n, x_m) \le d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m).$$

$$\le (p^n + p^{n+1} + \dots p^{m-1}) d(x_0, Tx_0)$$

Where, $p = \left[\frac{\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta}{1 - \alpha - \gamma - \delta}\right] < 1$, Since $2\alpha + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 1$.

Case II

If
$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) > d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

 $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta) d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta) d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$
 $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\frac{\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta}{1 - \alpha - \gamma - \delta}) d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$
 \dots
 $\left[\frac{\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta}{1 - \alpha - \gamma - \delta}\right]^n d(x_0, x_1).$

By the triangle inequality, we have for m>n

$$d(x_n, x_m) \le d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots d(x_{m-1}, x_m).$$
$$\le (q^n + q^{n+1} + \cdots q^{m+1})d(x_0, Tx_0)$$

Where,

< 1,
$$2\alpha + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 1$$
. Take k=p=q<1.

Therefore

$$d(x_n, x_m) \le \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(x_0, Tx_0) \to 0, \text{ as } m, n \to \infty.$$

 $q = \left[\frac{\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta}{1 - \alpha - \gamma - \delta}\right]$

So, { x_n } is Cauchy sequence in X, so by completeness of X, there is a point $u \in X$ such that $x_n \to 0$, as m, $n \to \infty$.

So, { x_n } is Cauchy sequence in X, so by completeness of X, there is a point $u \in X$ such that $x_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$.

Further, the continuity of T in X implies.

$$T(u) = T(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n+1}$$
$$= u.$$

Therefore, u is a fixed point of T in X.

Now if there is any other $v \neq u$ in X, such that T(v)=v, then.

$$d(u,v)=d(Tu,Tv)$$

$$\leq \alpha \max\left\{\frac{d(u,Tu)d(v,Tv)+d(u,Tv)d(v,Tu)}{d(u,v)}, \frac{d(u,Tu)d(u,Tv)+d(v,Tv)d(v,Tu)}{d(u,v)}\right\} + \gamma[d(u,Tu) + d(v,Tv)] + \delta[d(u,Tv) + d(v,Tu)] + \eta d(u,v).$$

$$\leq \alpha \max\left\{\frac{d(u,u)d(v,v)+d(u,v)d(v,u)}{d(u,v)}, \frac{d(u,u)d(u,v)+d(v,v)d(v,u)}{d(u,v)}\right\} + \gamma[d(u,u) + d(v,v)] + \delta[d(u,v) + d(v,u)] + \eta d(u,v)]$$

$$\leq (\alpha + 2\delta + \eta) d(u,v)$$

i.e. $d(u,v) \leq (\alpha + 2\delta + \eta)d(u,v)$.

Which is a contradiction because $\alpha + 2\delta + \eta < 1$.

Hence u is the unique fixed point of T.

Theorem 3.2: Let T be a self map defined on a complete metric space (X,d) such that (3.1.1) holds. If for some positive integer P, T^p is continuous, then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ as in theorem 1. Clearly it converges to some point $u \in X$. Therefore its subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}, (n_k = k_p)$ also converges to u.

Also,

 $T^{p}u = T^{p}(\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_{k}})$ $=\lim_{k \to \infty} (T^{p}_{x_{n_{k}}})$ $=\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_{k}+1}$ =u

Therefore u is a fixed point of T^p .

Now, we show that, Tu=u.

Let m be the smallest positive integer such that

 $T^m u = u$, but $T^q \neq u$ for q=1,2...m-1.

If m>1, then by (3.1.1)

$$d(Tu, u) = d(Tu, T_{u}^{q}) = d(Tu, T(T_{u}^{m-1}))$$

$$\leq \alpha max \left\{ \frac{d(u, Tu)d(T_{u}^{m-1}, T_{u}^{m}) + d(u, T_{u}^{m})d(T_{u}^{m-1}, Tu)}{d(u, T_{u}^{m-1})}, \frac{d(u, Tu)d(u, T_{u}^{m}) + d(T_{u}^{m-1}, Tu)}{d(u, T_{u}^{m-1})} \right\} + \gamma [d(u, Tu) + d(T_{u}^{m-1}, T_{u}^{m})] + \delta [d(u, T_{u}^{m}) + d(T_{u}^{m-1}, Tu)] + \eta d(u, T_{u}^{m-1}).$$

 $d(Tu, u) \le \alpha \max\{(d(u, Tu) + 0), (0 + d(T_u^{m-1}, u) + d(u, Tu))\}$

$$+\gamma[d(u,Tu) + d(T_u^{m-1},T_u^m)] + \delta[d(u,T_u^m) + d(T_u^{m-1},Tu)] + \eta d(u,T_u^{m-1}).$$

[Since by triangle inequality $d(T_u^{m-1}, T_u) \le d(T_u^{m-1}, u) + d(u, T_u)$ and since

$$(d(T_u^{m-1}, \mathrm{Tu}) + \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{Tu}) \ge \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{Tu})$$

$$\leq \alpha[d(T_u^{m-1}, u) + d(u, Tu)] + \gamma[d(u, Tu) + d(T_u^{m-1}, T_u^m)] + \delta[d(u, T_u^m) + d(T_u^{m-1}, Tu)] + \eta d(u, T_u^{m-1}).$$

$$\leq \alpha[d(T_u^{m-1}, u) + d(u, Tu)] + \gamma[d(u, Tu) + d(T_u^{m-1}, T_u^m)] + \delta[d(u, T_u^m) + d(T_u^{m-1}, Tu)] + \eta d(u, T_u^{m-1}).$$

 $d(u,Tu) \leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta)d(u,Tu) + (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta)d(u,T_u^{m-1}).$

$$(1 - \alpha - \gamma - \delta)d(\mathbf{u}, \mathrm{Tu}) \le (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta)d(\mathbf{u}, T_u^{m-1})$$

Which implies

$$d(u, Tu) \leq kd(u, T_u^{m-1})$$
 where $k = \left(\frac{(\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta)}{(1 - \alpha - \gamma - \delta)}\right) < 1$

Since,

 $2\alpha + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 1$, thus we write,

 $d(u,Tu) \le K^m d(u,Tu),$ Since $K^m < 1$

Therefore

d(u,Tu) < d(u,Tu), Which contradicts.

Hence Tu = u i.e. u is a fixed point of T. The uniqueness of u follows as in theorem 1.

We further generalize the result of theorem 1, in which T is neither continuous nor satisfies (3.1.1). In what follows T^m , for some positive integer m, satisfying the same rational expression and continuous still T has unique fixed point. In fact we prove.

Theorem 3.3.: Let T be a self-map, defined on a complete metric space (X,d) such that for some positive integer m satisfy the condition.(3.3.1)

$$d(T^{m}x, T^{m}y) \leq \alpha max \left\{ \frac{d(x, T^{m}x)d(y, T^{m}y) + d(x, T^{m}y)d(y, T^{m}y)}{d(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, T^{m}x)d(x, T^{m}y) + d(x, T^{m}y)d(y, T^{m}x)}{d(x, y)} \right\} + \gamma [d(x, T^{m}x) + d(y, T^{m}y)] + \delta [d(x, T^{m}y) + d(y, T^{m}x)] + \eta d(x, y).$$

For all x,y \in X, x \neq y and for α , γ , δ , $\eta \ge 0$ with $2\alpha + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 1$ If T^m is continuous then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. By theorem 3.2, we assume that T^m has unique fixed point also

 $Tu=T(T^{m}u)=T^{m}(Tu).$

Which implies Tu=u, Further since a fixed point of T is also a fixed point $T^m \& T^m$ has a unique fixed point u, it follows that u is the unique fixed point of T.

Theorem 3.4 Let T_1 and T_2 be two self maps defined on a complete metric space (X,d) satisfying the condition;

$$\begin{split} &d(Tx,Ty) \leq \alpha \max \Big\{ \frac{d(x,T_1x)d(y,T_2y) + d(x,T_2y)d(y,T_1x)}{d(x,y)}, \frac{d(x,T_1x)d(x,T_2y) + d(y,T_2y)d(y,T_1x)}{d(x,y)} \Big\} \\ &+ \gamma [d(x,T_1x) + d(y,T_2y)] + \delta [d(y,T_2x) + d(x,T_1y)] + \eta d(x,y). \end{split}$$
 (3.4.1)

for all x,yeX and for some α , γ , δ , $\eta \ge 0$ and $(2\alpha + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 1)$ (3.4.2)

 T_1 , T_2 are continuous on X. (3.4.2)

There exist an $x_0 \in X$ such that in the sequence $\{x_n\}$ where,

 $x_{n} = \begin{cases} T_{1}x_{n-1}, \text{ where } n \text{ is even} \\ T_{2}x_{n-1}, \text{ where } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

 $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all n.

then T_1 , T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: we have

 $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = d(T_1 x_{2n}, T_2 x_{2n+1})$

$$\begin{split} &\leq \alpha \max \left\{ \frac{d(x_{2n-1},T_1x_{2n-1})d(x_{2n},T_2x_{2n})+d(x_{2n-1},T_2x_{2n})d(x_{2n},T_1x_{2n-1})}{d(x,y)}, \\ &+\gamma[d(x_{2n-1},T_1x_{2n-1})+d(x_{2n},T_2x_{2n})]+\delta[d(x_{2n-1},T_2x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},T_1x_{2n-1})]+\eta d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}). \\ &\leq \alpha \max \left\{ \frac{d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})+\delta[d(x_{2n-1},T_2x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},T_1x_{2n-1})]+\eta d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}). \\ &\leq \alpha \max \left\{ \frac{d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n},x_{2n})}{d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})}, \\ &+\gamma[d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})+\delta[d(x_{2n-1},T_2x_{2n+1})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n})]+\eta d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}). \\ &\leq \alpha \max \left\{ \frac{d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})+\delta[d(x_{2n-1},T_2x_{2n+1})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n})]+\eta d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}). \\ &\leq \alpha \max\{d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}),d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n+1})\} \\ &+\gamma[d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})]+\delta[d(x_{2n-1},T_2x_{2n+1})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n})]+\eta d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}). \\ &\leq \alpha \max\{d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}),d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})\} \\ &+\gamma[d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})]+\delta[d(x_{2n-1},T_2x_{2n+1})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n})]+\eta d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}). \\ &\leq \alpha \{d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})\} \\ &+\gamma[d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})]+\delta[d(x_{2n-1},T_2x_{2n+1})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n})]+\eta d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}). \\ &\leq \alpha \{d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})\} \\ &+\gamma[d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})]+\delta[d(x_{2n-1},T_2x_{2n+1})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n})]+\eta d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}). \\ &\leq \alpha \{d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})\} \\ &+\gamma[d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})] +\delta[d(x_{2n-1},T_2x_{2n+1})+d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}). \\ &\leq \alpha \{d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})\} \\ &+\gamma[d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})] +\delta[d(x_{2n-1},T_2x_{2n+1})+d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}). \\ &\leq \alpha \{d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})\} \\ &+\gamma[d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})] +\delta[d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})] \\ &= (\alpha + \gamma + \delta) d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n}) + (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta) d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}). \\ \end{array}$$

Therefore

 $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \le (\alpha + \gamma + \delta) \ d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta) d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}))$

which implies.

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{(\alpha + \gamma + \delta + \eta)}{(1 - \alpha - \gamma - \delta)}\right) \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n-1}, \mathbf{x}_{2n})$$

i.e. $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq k^{2n} d(x_0, x_1)$.

When k=
$$\left(\frac{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta}{1-\alpha-\gamma-\delta}\right) < 1$$

Since 2 α +2 γ +2 δ + η <1

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \le k^{2n+1} d(x_0, x_1).$$

Now it can be easily seen that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $x_n \rightarrow u$, then the subsequence $\{x_{n_p}\}$ also converges to u for $n_p=2p$.

Now,
$$T_1 T_2(u) = T_1 T_2(\lim_{p \to \infty} x_{n_p})$$

$$= \lim_{p \to \infty} x_{n_p+1}$$
$$= u.$$

We now show that $T_2 u \neq u$

If $T_2u\neq u$, then

 $d(u, T_2u)=d(T_1 T_2u, T_2u)$

$$\leq \alpha \max \begin{cases} \frac{d(T_2u, T_1T_2u)d(u, T_2u) + d(T_2u, T_2u)d(u, T_1T_2u)}{d(T_2u, u)}, \\ \frac{d(T_2u, T_1T_2u)d(T_2u, T_2u) + d(u, T_2u)d(u, T_1T_2u)}{d(T_2u, u)} \end{cases}$$

$$+\gamma[d(T_2u, T_1T_2u)+d(u, T_2u)]+\delta[d(T_2u, T_2u)+d(u, T_1T_2u)]+\eta d(T_2u, u).$$

Therefore

 $d(u, T_2u) \le \alpha \max\{d(u, T_2u), 0\} + \gamma[2d(u, T_2u)] + 0 + \eta \ d(T_2u, u).$

Therefore

 $d(u, T_2u) \leq (\alpha+2 \gamma+\eta)d(u, T_2u)$

 $< d(u, T_2u)$

Which is contradiction, since $\alpha+\beta+2 \gamma+2\delta+\eta<1$

So, α +2 γ + η <1

Hence we have

 $T_2u=u$

Now

 $T_1T_2u = T_1u = u$

Thus u is the common fixed point of $T_1 \mbox{ and } T_2$.

For the **uniqueness**, if possible let $v \neq u$, $v \in X$, such that

 $T_1v = T_2v = v$

So $d(u,v)=d(T_1u, T_2v)$

$$\leq \alpha \max\left\{\frac{\frac{d(u,T_{1}u)d(v,T_{2}v)+d(u,T_{2}u)d(v,T_{1}u)}{d(u,v)}}{\frac{d(u,T_{1}u)d(u,T_{2}u)+d(v,T_{1}u)d(v,T_{2}v)}{d(u,v)}}\right\}$$

 $+ \gamma [d(u, T_1u) + d(v, T_2v)] + \delta [d(u, T_2u) + d(v, T_1u)] + \eta d(u, v).$

$$\leq (\alpha + 2 \delta + \eta)d(v,u)$$

Therefore

 $d(u,\!v) \leq (\alpha \!+\! 2 \, \delta \!+\! \eta) d(u,\!v)$

< d(u,v)

Which is a contradiction, because $\alpha+\beta+2\gamma+2\delta+\eta<1$ and so we have $\alpha+2\delta+\eta<1$.

Hence, we have u=v.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Reference

- 1. Banach,S. "Surles operation dans les ensembles abstraits etleur application aux equations integrals." Fund. Math., 3, 133-181,(1922).
- Chatterjee, S.K. "Fixed point theorems" Comptes. Rend. Acad. Bulgare Sci., 25, 727-730, (1972)
- 3. Chu,S.E. And Diaz, J.B. "Remarks on generalization of Banach contraction principle of contractive mapping." Jr. Math. Arab. Apple. 11, 440-446, (1965).
- 4. Ciric, L.B. "Generalised contraction and fixed point theorem." Publ. Inst. Math. 12, 20-26,(1971).
- 5. Fisher,B. "A fixed point theorem for compact metric space." Publ. Inst. Math. 25, 193-194,(1976).
- 6. Gupta,S. & Das,B.K. "An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expressions." Indian J. Pune Apple. Math., 6, 1455-1458(1975).
- 7. Hardy G. & Rogers, T. "A generalization of fixed point theorem of Reich." Canad. Math. Bull. 16, 201-206,(1973).
- 8. Holmes, R.D. "On Fixed & periodic points under sets of mappings" Canad. Math. Bull. 12, 813-822,(1969).
- 9. Iseki, K. "Fixed point Theorem in Banach spaces." Math. Sem. Notes kobe Univ. Vol.2(1), paper No.3,4 pp,(1974).
- 10. Jaggi,D.S. "Some Unique fixed point theorems." Indian J. Pure and appl. Math. 8(2), 223-230,(1977).
- 11. Kannan "Some results on fixed point theorems." Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 60, 71-76,(1968).
- 12. Riech,S "Some remarks concerning contraction mappings." Canad. Math. Bull. 1,121-124,(1971).
- 13. Sehgal, V.M. "On Fixed & common fixed point theorem in metric space." Cand Math. Bull. 17(2), 257-259, (1974).
- 14. Sharma PL & Rajput,SS "Fixed point theorems in Banach space" Vikram Math. Jour. Vol.4,35,(1983).
- 15. Wong,C.S. "Generalized contractions & fixed point theorem." Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 42, 409-417, (1974).