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#### Abstract

: In the present paper we establish some fixed point theorems in complete metric space taking rational expression. Our Result Generalize the result of many authors.
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## 2. Introduction

In this paper some extension of well known Banach contraction theorem [1] has obtained in terms of a new symmetric rational expression. This celebrated principle has been generalized by many authors viz. Chu \& Diaz[3] Sehgal[13], Holmes[8], Reich[12], Hardy and Rogers[7], Wong[15], Iseki[9], Sharma and Rajput[14], Gupta and Dass[6], Jaggi[10], Chatterjee[2], Fisher[5], Kannan[11], Ciric[4] and others.

In this Paper we shall establish some unique fixed point and common fixed point theorems, through new symmetric rational expressions.

## 3. Main Result

Theorem 3.1 Let T be a continuous self map, defined on a complete metric space X. Further, T satisfies the following condition;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{Ty})<\alpha \max \left\{\frac{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{~T} x) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Ty})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Ty}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Tx})}{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})}, \frac{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Tx}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Ty})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{dy}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Tx})}{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})}\right\} \\
& +\gamma[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Tx})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Ty})]+\delta[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Tx})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Ty})]+\eta \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \\
& \quad(3.1 .1)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$ and for some $\alpha, \gamma, \delta, \eta \in[0,1)$ with $(2 \alpha+2 \gamma+2 \delta+\eta<1)$.
Then T has unique fixed point in X .
Proof: Let $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in X and we define a sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ by means of iterates of T by setting
$T_{x_{0}}^{n}=x_{n}$, where n is a positive integer. If $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ for some n , then $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is a fixed point of T .
Taking $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \neq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$, for all n
Now
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$
$\leq \alpha \max \left\{\frac{d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right) d\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right)+d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right) d\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right)}{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)}, \frac{d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right) d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right)+d\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right) d\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right)}{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\gamma\left[d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)+d\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right)+d\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right)\right]+\eta d\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha \max \left\{\frac{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)+d\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right) d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}\right)}{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)}, \frac{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) d\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right)+d\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right) d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}\right)}{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)}\right\} \\
& +\gamma\left[d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right)+d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right]+\eta d\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha \max \left\{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}\right),\right\}+\gamma\left[d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right] \\
& +\eta d\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Case I.

If $d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)>d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}\right)$
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq(\alpha+\gamma+\delta) \mathrm{d}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+(\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta) \mathrm{d}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \therefore d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta}{1-\alpha-\gamma-\delta}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$$
\left[\frac{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta}{1-\alpha-\gamma-\delta}\right]^{n} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)
$$

By the triangle inequality, we have for $\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) & \leq d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}\right)+\cdots+d\left(x_{m-1}, x_{m}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}+1}+\cdots \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}-1}\right) d\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{p}=\left[\frac{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta}{1-\alpha-\gamma-\delta}\right]<1, \quad$ Since $\quad 2 \alpha+2 \gamma+2 \delta+\eta<1$.

## Case II

If $d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)>d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$
$d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq(\alpha+\gamma+\delta) d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}\right)+(\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta) d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$
$d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta}{1-\alpha-\gamma-\delta}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$

$$
\left[\frac{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta}{1-\alpha-\gamma-\delta}\right]^{n} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)
$$

By the triangle inequality, we have for $\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \leq & d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}\right)+\cdots d\left(x_{m-1}, x_{m}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}+1}+\cdots \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{m}+1}\right) d\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where, $\quad q=\left[\frac{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta}{1-\alpha-\gamma-\delta}\right]<1, \quad 2 \alpha+2 \gamma+2 \delta+\eta<1 . \quad$ Take $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}<1$.
Therefore
$d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \leq \frac{k^{n}}{1-k} d\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$.
So, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X , so by completeness of X , there is a point $\mathrm{u} \epsilon \mathrm{X}$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$, as $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$.

So, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X , so by completeness of X , there is a point $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{u}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Further, the continuity of T in X implies.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{u}) & =\mathrm{T}\left(\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}\right)=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n} \\
& \left.=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{u} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $u$ is a fixed point of $T$ in $X$.
Now if there is any other $\mathrm{v}(\neq u)$ in X , such that $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{v})=\mathrm{v}$, then.
$d(u, v)=d(T u, T v)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \alpha \max \left\{\frac{d(u, T u) d(v, T v)+d(u, T v) d(v, T u)}{d(u, v)}, \frac{d(u, T u) d(u, T v)+d(v, T v) d(v, T u)}{d(u, v)}\right\}+\gamma[d(u, T u)+d(v, T v)]+ \\
& \\
& \delta[d(u, T v)+d(v, T u)]+\eta d(u, v) . \\
& \leq \\
& \delta\left[d(u, v)+\quad \alpha \max \left\{\frac{d(u, u) d(v, v)+d(u, v) d(v, u)}{d(u, v)}, \frac{d(u, u) d(u, v)+d(v, v) d(v, u)}{d(u, v)}\right\}+\gamma[d(u, u)+d(v, v)]+\right. \\
& \leq \\
& \quad(\alpha(v, u)]+\eta d(u, v)]
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}) \leq(\alpha+2 \delta+\eta) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})$.

Which is a contradiction because $\alpha+2 \delta+\eta<1$.
Hence $u$ is the unique fixed point of $T$.
Theorem 3.2: Let $T$ be a self map defined on a complete metric space ( $X, d$ ) such that (3.1.1) holds. If for some positive integer $\mathrm{P}, T^{p}$ is continuous, then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: we define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ as in theorem 1. Clearly it converges to some point $u \in \mathrm{X}$. Therefore its subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\},\left(n_{k}=k_{p}\right)$ also converges to u .

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{p} u & =T^{p}\left(\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_{k}}\right) \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(T_{x_{n_{k}}}^{p}\right) \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_{k}+1} \\
& =\mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore u is a fixed point of $T^{p}$.
Now, we show that, $\mathrm{Tu}=\mathrm{u}$.
Let m be the smallest positive integer such that
$T^{m} u=u$, but $T^{q} \neq u \quad$ for $\mathrm{q}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{~m}-1$.
If $m>1$, then by (3.1.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(T u, u)= & d\left(T u, T_{u}^{q}\right)=d\left(T u, T\left(T_{u}^{m-1}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \alpha \max \left\{\frac{d(u, T u) d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T_{u}^{m}\right)+d\left(u, T_{u}^{m}\right) d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T u\right)}{d\left(u, T_{u}^{m-1}\right)}, \frac{d(u, T u) d\left(u, T_{u}^{m}\right)+d\left(T^{m-1} u, T_{u}^{m}\right) d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T u\right)}{d\left(u, T_{u}^{m-1}\right)}\right\}+ \\
& \gamma\left[d(u, T u)+d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T_{u}^{m}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(u, T_{u}^{m}\right)+d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T u\right)\right]+\eta d\left(u, T_{u}^{m-1}\right) . \\
d(T u, u) \leq & \alpha \max \left\{(d(u, T u)+0),\left(0+d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, u\right)+d(u, T u)\right)\right\} \\
+ & \gamma\left[d(u, T u)+d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T_{u}^{m}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(u, T_{u}^{m}\right)+d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T u\right)\right]+\eta d\left(u, T_{u}^{m-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

[Since by triangle inequality $\mathrm{d}\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T u\right) \leq d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, u\right)+d(u, T u)$ and since

$$
\left(d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, \mathrm{Tu}\right)+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{Tu}) \geq \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{Tu})\right.
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leq \alpha\left[d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, u\right)+d(u, T u)\right]+\gamma\left[d(u, T u)+d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T_{u}^{m}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(u, T_{u}^{m}\right)+\right. \\
\left.d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T u\right)\right]+ \\
\eta d\left(u, T_{u}^{m-1}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\leq \alpha\left[d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, u\right)+d(u, T u)\right]+\gamma\left[d(u, T u)+d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T_{u}^{m}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(u, T_{u}^{m}\right)+\right.
$$

$$
\left.d\left(T_{u}^{m-1}, T u\right)\right]+\quad \quad \eta d\left(u, T_{u}^{m-1}\right)
$$

$$
d(u, T u) \leq(\alpha+\gamma+\delta) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{Tu})+(\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, T_{u}^{m-1}\right)
$$

$$
(1-\alpha-\gamma-\delta) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{Tu}) \leq(\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, T_{u}^{m-1}\right)
$$

Which implies

$$
d(u, T u) \leq \operatorname{kd}\left(\mathrm{u}, T_{u}^{m-1}\right) \quad \text { where } k=\left(\frac{(\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta)}{(1-\alpha-\gamma-\delta)}\right)<1
$$

Since,
$2 \alpha+2 \gamma+2 \delta+\eta<1$, thus we write,
$d(u, T u) \leq \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{m}} d(u, T u), \quad$ Since $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{m}}<1$
Therefore
$d(u, T u)<d(u, T u), \quad$ Which contradicts.
Hence $T u=u$ i.e. u is a fixed point of T . The uniqueness of u follows as in theorem 1.
We further generalize the result of theorem 1 , in which T is neither continuous nor satisfies (3.1.1). In what follows $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{m}}$, for some positive integer m , satisfying the same rational expression and continuous still T has unique fixed point. In fact we prove.

Theorem 3.3.: Let T be a self-map, defined on a complete metric space (X,d) such that for some positive integer $m$ satisfy the condition.(3.3.1)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{y}\right) \leq \\
\alpha \max \left\{\frac{d\left(x, T^{m} x\right) d\left(y, T^{m} y\right)+d\left(x, T^{m} y\right) d\left(y, T^{m} y\right)}{d(x, y)}, \frac{d\left(x, T^{m} x\right) d\left(x, T^{m} y\right)+d\left(x, T^{m} y\right) d\left(y, T^{m} x\right)}{d(x, y)}\right\}+ \\
\gamma\left[d\left(x, \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{x}\right)+d\left(y, \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{y}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(x, \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{y}\right)+d\left(y, \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{x}\right)\right]+\eta d(x, y)
\end{gathered}
$$

For all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$ and for $\alpha, \gamma, \delta, \eta \geq 0$ with $2 \alpha+2 \gamma+2 \delta+\eta<1$ If $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{m}}$ is continuous then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. By theorem 3.2, we assume that $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{m}}$ has unique fixed point also
$T u=T\left(T^{m} u\right)=T^{m}(T u)$.
Which implies $T u=u$, Further since a fixed point of $T$ is also a fixed point $T^{m} \& T^{m}$ has a unique fixed point u , it follows that u is the unique fixed point of T .

Theorem 3.4 Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be two self maps defined on a complete metric space (X,d) satisfying the condition;
$d(T x, T y)<\alpha \max \left\{\frac{d\left(x, T_{1} x\right) d\left(y, T_{2} y\right)+d\left(x, T_{2} y\right) d\left(y, T_{1} x\right)}{d(x, y)}, \frac{d\left(x, T_{1} x\right) d\left(x, T_{2} y\right)+d\left(y, T_{2} y\right) d\left(y, T_{1} x\right)}{d(x, y)}\right\}$
$+\gamma\left[d\left(x, T_{1} x\right)+d\left(y, T_{2} y\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(y, T_{2} x\right)+d\left(x, T_{1} y\right)\right]+\eta d(x, y)$.
(3.4.1)
for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ and for some $\alpha, \gamma, \delta, \eta \geq 0$ and $(2 \alpha+2 \gamma+2 \delta+\eta<1)$ (3.4.2)
$\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ are continuous on X . (3.4.2)
There exist an $x_{0} \in X$ such that in the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ where,
$x_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}T_{1} x_{n-1}, \text { where } n \text { is even } \\ T_{2} x_{n-1}, \text { where } n \text { is odd }\end{array}\right.$
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \neq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ for all n .
then $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ have a unique common fixed point.
Proof: we have
$d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right)=d\left(T_{1} X_{2 n}, T_{2} X_{2 n+1}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \alpha \max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d\left(x_{2 n-1}, T_{1} x_{2 n-1}\right) d\left(x_{2 n}, T_{2} x_{2 n}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n-1}, T_{2} x_{2 n}\right) d\left(x_{2 n}, T_{1} x_{2 n-1}\right)}{d(x, y)}, \\
\frac{d\left(x_{2 n-1}, T_{1} x_{2 n-1}\right) d\left(x_{2 n-1}, T_{2} x_{2 n}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n}, T_{2} y\right) d\left(x_{2 n}, T_{1} x_{2 n-1}\right)}{d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& +\gamma\left[d\left(x_{2 n-1}, T_{1} x_{2 n-1}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n}, T_{2} x_{2 n}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(x_{2 n-1}, T_{2} x_{2 n}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n}, T_{1} x_{2 n-1}\right)\right]+\eta d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right) . \\
& \leq \alpha \max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right) d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n+1}\right) d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n}\right)}{d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right)}, \\
\frac{d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right) d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right) d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n}\right)}{d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& +\gamma\left[d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(x_{2 n-1}, T_{2} x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n}\right)\right]+\eta d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right) . \\
& \leq \alpha \max \left\{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& +\gamma\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]+\delta\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]+\eta \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right) . \\
& \leq \alpha \max \left\{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& +\gamma\left[d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(x_{2 n-1}, T_{2} x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n}\right)\right]+\eta d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right) . \\
& \leq \alpha\left\{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& +\gamma\left[d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right)\right]+\delta\left[d\left(x_{2 n-1}, T_{2} x_{2 n+1}\right)+0\right]+\eta d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right) . \\
& =(\alpha+\gamma+\delta) d\left(x_{2 n+1}, x_{2 n}\right)+(\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta) d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore
$\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq(\alpha+\gamma+\delta) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)+(\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right)$
which implies.
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{(\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta)}{(1-\alpha-\gamma-\delta)}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)$
i.e. $d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right) \leq k^{2 n} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$.

When $\mathrm{k}=\left(\frac{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\eta}{1-\alpha-\gamma-\delta}\right)<1$
Since $2 \alpha+2 \gamma+2 \delta+\eta<1$
$d\left(x_{2 n+1}, x_{2 n+2}\right) \leq k^{2 n+1} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$.
Now it can be easily seen that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.
Let $x_{n} \rightarrow u$, then the subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{p}}\right\}$ also converges to $u$ for $n_{p}=2 p$.
Now, $\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}(\mathrm{u})=\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}\left(\lim _{\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}+1} \\
& =\mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now show that $T_{2} u \neq u$

If $T_{2} u \neq u$, then
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \alpha \max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)}{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}\right)}, \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)}{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}\right)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& +\gamma\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)\right]+\delta\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)\right]+\eta \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore
$d\left(u, T_{2} u\right) \leq \alpha \max \left\{d\left(u, T_{2} u\right), 0\right\}+\gamma\left[2 d\left(u, T_{2} u\right)\right]+0+\eta d\left(T_{2} u, u\right)$.
Therefore
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right) \leq(\alpha+2 \gamma+\eta) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)$

$$
<\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)
$$

Which is contradiction, since $\alpha+\beta+2 \gamma+2 \delta+\eta<1$
So, $\alpha+2 \gamma+\eta<1$
Hence we have
$\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{u}$
Now
$\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{u}$
Thus $u$ is the common fixed point of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$.
For the uniqueness, if possible let $\mathrm{v} \neq \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{X}$, such that
$\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}$
So $d(u, v)=d\left(T_{1} u, T_{2} v\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \alpha \max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{u}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{v}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{u}\right)}{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})}, \\
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{u}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{u}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{v}\right)}{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})}\right\}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& +\gamma\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{u}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{v}\right)\right]+\delta\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{u}\right)\right]+\eta \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}) \\
& \leq(\alpha+2 \delta+\eta) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u})
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}) \leq(\alpha+2 \delta+\eta) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}) \\
&<\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

Which is a contradiction, because $\alpha+\beta+2 \gamma+2 \delta+\eta<1$ and so we have $\alpha+2 \delta+\eta<1$.
Hence, we have $u=v$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.
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