

Coupled Fixed Point Theorems in Partially Ordered

Metric Space

Ramakant Bhardwaj

Truba Institute Of Engineering & Information Technology, Bhopal

Email:rkbhardwaj100@gmail.com,ramakant_73@rediffmail.com

Abstract: - There is several generalization of Banach contraction principle. Recently Bhaskaran and Lakshmikantham generalized this result and prove coupled fixed point theorems in ordered metric space. In this present work, we proof some coupled fixed point theorems in ordered metric space.

Key words: - Ordered Metric Space, Fixed point, Coupled Fixed point, mixed monotone property.

Introduction

The Banach contraction principle is one of the pivotal results of analysis. It is widely considered as the source if metric fixed point theory. Also, its significance lies in its vast applicability in a number of branches of mathematics. Generalization of the above principle has been a heavily investigated branch of research.

The fixed points of mappings in ordered metric space are of great use in many mathematical problems in applied and pure mathematics. The first result in this direction was obtained by Ran and Reurings [1], in this study, the authors present some applications of their obtained results of matrix equations. In [2], Nieto and Lopez extended the result of Ran and Reurings [3],for non decreasing mappings and applied their result to get a unique solution for a first order differential equation. While Agrawal et al.[4] and O'Regan and Petrutel [5] studied some results for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces. Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [6] introduced the notion of a coupled fixed point of mapping F from $X \times X$ into X. They established some coupled fixed point results and applied there results to the study of existence and uniqueness of solution for a periodic boundary value problem. Lakshmikantham and Ciric [7] introduced the concept of coupled coincidence point and proved coupled coincidence and coupled common fixed



point results for mappings F from $X \times X$ into X and g from X into X satisfying non linear

contraction in ordered metric space.

In this paper, we drive new coupled fixed point theorems for mapping having the mixed monotone property in partially ordered metric space.

1. Preliminaries

We recall the definitions and results that will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.1 A partially ordered set is a set P and a binary relation \leq , denoted by (X, \leq) such that

for all $a, b, c \in P$

- (i) $a \le a$, (reflexiv.ty)
- (ii) $a \le b$ and $b \le c$ implies $a \le c$, (transitivity)
- (iii) $a \le b$ and $b \le a$ implies a = b. (anti symmetry)

Definition 2.2 A sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ in a metric space (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{d}) is said to be convergent to a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$, denoted by $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{z}_n = \mathbf{x}$, if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}) = 0$.

Definition 2.3 A sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ in a metric space (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{d}) is said to be Cauchy sequence if if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n) = 0$, for all n, m > t,

Definition 2.4 A metric space is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Definition 2.5 Let (X, <) be a partially ordered set and $F : X \times X \to X$. The mapping F is said to has the mixed monotone property if F(x, y) is non – decreasing in x and is monotone non-increasing in y, that is, for any $x, y \in X$,



 $x_1,x_2\in X\,,\;x_1\leq x_2\;\;\Rightarrow\;\;F(x_1,y)\leq F(x_2,y)$

and

 $y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \leq y_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \geq F(x, y_2)$

Definition 2.6 An element $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$ is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping $\mathbf{P} : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ if

 $\mathbf{x} = F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and $\mathbf{y} = F(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$

Theorem 2.7 Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $F : X \times X \to X$ be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on X. assume that there exists a $\alpha \in [0,1)$ with

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(x, y) + d(u, v)]$$

For all $x \ge u$ and $y \le v$, if there exist two elements $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with

 $\mathbf{x}_0 \leq F(\mathbf{x}_0,\mathbf{y}_0) ~ \mathrm{and} ~ \mathbf{y}_0 \geq F(\mathbf{y}_0,\mathbf{x}_0)$

then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that x = F(x, y) and y = F(y, x).

2. Main Results

Theorem 3.1 Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $F : X \times X \to X$ be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on X. assume that there exists a $\alpha \in [0,1)$ with

 $d\left(F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\right) \leq \alpha \max\left\{\frac{d(\mathbf{x}, F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))d(\mathbf{u}, F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}))}{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})}, \frac{d(\mathbf{u}, F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))d(\mathbf{x}, F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}))}{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})}, d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})\right\}$ (3.1.1)

For all $x \ge x$ and $y \le y$, if there exist two elements $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \le F(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_0 \ge F(y_0, x_0)$, then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that x = F(x, y) and y = F(y, x).

Proof

Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with

 $x_0 \le F(x_0, y_0) \& y_0 \ge F(y_0, x_0)$ (3.1.2)

Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that,

$$\mathbf{x_{n+1}} = F(\mathbf{x_n}, \mathbf{y_n}) \quad \& \quad \mathbf{y_{n+1}} = F(\mathbf{y_n}, \mathbf{x_n})$$
(3.1.3)

For all n = 0, 1, 2, ...

We claim that $\{x_n\}$ is monotone non decreasing and $\{y_n\}$ monotone non increasing i.e.

 $x_n \le x_{n+1}$ and $y_n \ge y_{n+1}$ for all n = 0, 1, 2, (3.1.4)

From (3.1.2) and (3.1.3) we have

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \,, y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0) \quad \text{ And } \quad x_1 = F(x_0, y_0) \,\,, \,\, y_1 = \,\, F(y_0, x_0)$$

Thus $x_0 \leq x_1, y_0 \geq y_1$ i.e equation (3.1.4) true for some n = 0.

Now suppose that equation (3.1.4) hold for some n.

i.e., $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$ and $y_n \geq y_{n+1}$



We shall prove that the equation (3.1.4) is true for n+1

Now $x_n \le x_{n+1}$ and $y_n \ge y_{n+1}$ then by mixed monotone property of F, we have

 $x_{n+2} = F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \ge F(y_n, x_{n+1}) \ge F(x_n, y_n) = x_{n+1}$

and

 $y_{n+2} = F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \le F(y_n, x_{n+1}) \le F(y_n, x_n) = y_{n+1}$

Thus by the mathematical induction principle equation (3.1.4) holds for all n in N.

So $\mathbf{x_0} \leq \mathbf{x_1} \leq \mathbf{x_2} \leq \dots \dots \leq \mathbf{x_n} \leq \mathbf{x_{n+1}} \leq \dots \dots$

and

 $y_0 \geq y_1 \geq y_2 \geq \ldots \ldots \geq y_n \geq y_{n+1} \geq \ldots \ldots$

Since $x_{n-1} \le x_n$ and $y_{n-1} \ge y_n$, from (3.1.1) we have,

$$d(F(x_n, y_n), F(z_{n-1}, y_{n-1})) \le \alpha \max \begin{cases} \frac{d(x_n F(x_n, y_n))d(x_{n-1}, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}))}{d(x_n, x_{n-1})}, \\ \frac{d(x_n, y_n)d(x_n, x_{n-1})}{d(x_n, x_{n-1})}, \\ \frac{d(x_n, y_n)d(x_n, y_{n-1})}{d(x_n, x_{n-1})}, \\ \frac{d(x_n, y_n)d(x_n, y_{n-1})}{d(x_n, y_{n-1})} \end{cases}$$

 $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \le \alpha \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), 0, d(x_n, x_{n-1})\}$

If we take max.is equal to $d(x_n, x_{r+1})$,

 $d(x_{\mathtt{r}},x_{\mathtt{n+1}}) \leq \alpha \, d(x_{\mathtt{r}},x_{\mathtt{n+1}})$, which contradiction of the hypothesis,

This implies, $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \alpha . d(x_n, x_{n-1})$ (3.1.5)



Similarly since $y_{n-1} \ge y_n$ and $x_{n-1} \le x_n$ and from (3.1.1) we have

$$d(y_{n}, y_{n+1}) \le \alpha d(y_{n}, y_{n-1})$$
(3.1.6)

By adding (3.1.5) and (3.1.6) we get,

$$\begin{split} &d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ + \ d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \ \le \ \alpha \ d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \ + \ \alpha \ d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \\ &d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ + \ d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \le \ \alpha \ \left(\ d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \ + \ d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \right) \end{split}$$

let us denote $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(y_n, y_{n+1})$ by d_n then

 $\mathsf{d}_n \leq \ \alpha \ \mathsf{d}_{n-1}$

Similarly it can be proved that $d_{n-1} \leq a d_{n-2}$

Therefore $d_n \leq \alpha^2 d_{n-2}$

By repeating we get, $d_n \le \alpha d_{n-1} \le \alpha^2 d_{n-2} \le \dots \dots \le \alpha^n d_0$

This implies that,

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} d_n = 0$

Thus
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\mathbf{y}_{n+1}, \mathbf{y}_n) = 0$$

For each m > n we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq \ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - \ d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \ \dots + \ d(x_{m-1}, x_m)$$

and



$$d(y_n,y_m) \leq \ d(y_n,y_{n+1}) + \ d(y_{n+1},y_{n+2}) - \ldots + \ d(y_{m-1},y_m).$$

By adding these, we get

$$d(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}) + d(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}},\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{m}}) \leq \frac{\alpha^{\mathbf{n}}}{1-\alpha} \, \dot{\boldsymbol{c}}_{\mathbf{0}}$$

This implies that,

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} (d(x_n,x_m) + d(y_n,y_m)) = 0$$

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequence in X. since X is a complete metric space, there exist

 $x,y \in X \ \text{ such that } \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x \quad \text{and } \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = y.$

Thus by taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.1.3) we get,

$$\mathbf{x} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{y}_{n-1}) = F\lim_{n \to \infty} (\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{y}_{n-1}) = F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

and

$$\mathbf{y} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{y}_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(\mathbf{y}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) = F\lim_{n \to \infty} (\mathbf{y}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) = F(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$$

Therefore x = F(x, y) and y = F(y, x)

Thus F has a coupled fixed point in X.

Theorem 3.2

www.iiste.org

Let $(X \leq)$ be a partially ordered set and suppose there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is

a complete metric space. Let $F : X \times X \to X$ be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property

on X. assume that there exists a $\alpha \in [0,1)$ with

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \le \alpha \max \{d(u, F(x, y)), d(x, F(u, v))\}$$

$$(3.2.1)$$

For all $x \ge u$ and $y \le v$, if there exist two elements $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \le F(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_0 \ge F(y_0, x_0)$, then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that x = F(x, y) and y = F(y, x).

Proof

Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with

 $x_0 \le F(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_0 \ge F(y_0, x_0)$ (3.2.2)

Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that,

$$x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$$
 and $y_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)$ (3.2.3)

For all n = 0, 1, 2, ...

We claim that $\{x_n\}$ is monotone non decreasing and $\{y_n\}$ monotone non increasing i.e.

 $\mathbf{x_n} \le \mathbf{x_{n+1}}$ and $\mathbf{y_n} \ge \mathbf{y_{n+1}}$ for all $\mathbf{n} = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \dots$ (3.2.4)

From (3.2.2) and (3.2.3) we have

 $x_0 \leq F(x_0,y_0) \,, y_0 \geq F(y_0,x_0) \quad \text{ and } \quad x_1 = F(x_0,y_0) \,\,, \,\, y_1 = \,\, F(y_0,x_0)$

Thus $x_0 \le x_1$, $y_0 \ge y_1$ i.e equation (3.2.4) true for some n = 0.



Now suppose that equation (3.2.4) and hold for some n.

i.e.,
$$x_n \leq x_{n+1}$$
 and $y_n \geq y_{n+1}$

We shall prove that the equation (3.2.4) is true for n+1

Now $x_n \le x_{n+1}$ and $y_n \ge y_{n+1}$ then by mixed monotone property of F, we have

$$\mathbf{x_{n+2}} = F(\mathbf{x_{n+1}}, \mathbf{y_{n+1}}) \ge F(\mathbf{y_n}, \mathbf{x_{n+1}}) \ge F(\mathbf{x_n}, \mathbf{y_n}) = \mathbf{x_{n+1}}$$

and

$$y_{n+2} = F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \le F(y_n, x_{n+1}) \le F(y_n, x_n) = y_{n+1}$$

Thus by the mathematical induction principle equation (3.2.4) holds for all n in N.

So
$$x_0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \le \dots \dots \le x_n \le x_{n+1} \le \dots$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$y_0 \ge y_1 \ge y_2 \ge \dots \dots \ge y_n \ge y_{n+1} \ge \dots \dots$$

Since $\mathbf{x}_{n-1}\!\leq\!\mathbf{x}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{y}_{n-1}\!\geq\!\mathbf{y}_n$, from (3.2.1) we have,

$$d(F(x_{n}, y_{n}), F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})) \leq \alpha \max[d(x_{n-1}, F(x_{n}, y_{n})), d(x_{n}, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}))]$$

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \le \alpha \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), 0\}$$

This implies, $d(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} d(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n-1})$ (3.1.5)



Similarly since $y_{n-1} \ge y_n$ and $x_{n-1} \le x_n$ and from (3.1.1) we have

$$d(y_{n}, y_{n+1}) \le \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} d(y_{n}, y_{n-1})$$
(3.1.6)

By adding (3.1.5) and (3.1.6) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} &d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \alpha d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + \alpha d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \\ &d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \alpha \left(d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $h - \frac{o}{1-o}$ and $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(y_n, y_{n+1})$ by d_n then

 $d_n \leq \ \alpha \ d_{n-1}$

Similarly it can be proved that $d_{n-1} \leq h d_{n-2}$

Therefore $d_{\underline{n}} \leq h^2 d_{\underline{n-2}}$

By repeating we get, $d_n \leq h d_{n-1} \leq h^2 d_{n-2} \leq \dots \dots \leq h^n d_0$

This implies that,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n = 0$

Thus $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\mathbf{y}_{n+1}, \mathbf{y}_n) = 0$

For each $\mathbf{m} \ge \mathbf{n}$ we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) \le d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m)$$



 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$d(y_n, y_m) \le d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) - \dots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m)$$

By adding these, we get

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{y}_n, \mathbf{y}_m) \leq \frac{\mathbf{h}^n}{1-\mathbf{h}} \, \dot{\mathbf{c}}_0$$

This implies that,

$$\lim_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m}\to\infty} (d(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}) + d(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}},\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{m}})) = 0$$

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequence in X. since X is a complete metric space, there exist

 $x,y\in X \ \text{such that} \ \lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=x \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty}y_n=y.$

Thus by taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.1.3) we get,

$$\mathbf{x} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{y}_{n-1}) = F\lim_{n \to \infty} (\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{y}_{n-1}) = F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

and

$$\mathbf{y} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{y}_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) = \mathbf{F}\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}_{n-1}, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$$

Therefore x = F(x, y) and y = F(y, x)

Thus F has a coupled fixed point in X.

Acknowledgement: - This work is done under the project No. 2556/MPCOST/Bhopal. Author is thankful to Dr. S.S.Rajput (Prof. & Head, Govt.PG College Gadarwara) & Mr. Animesh(JRF MPCOST Bhopal,India) for valuable suggestions.



References

- Ran, ACM, Reurings, MCB: A ⁻ fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 132, 1435{1443 (2004).
- Nieto, JJ, Lopez, RR: Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations. Order 22, 223{239 (2005)
- Nieto, JJ, Lopez, RR: Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary deferential equations. Acta Math. Sinica Engl. Ser. 23(12), 2205-2212 (2007)
- Agarwal, R.P., El-Gebeily, MA, Oregano, D: Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. Appl. Anal. 87, 1{8 (2008)
- O'Regan, D, Petrutel, A: Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces. J.Math. Anal. Appl. 341, 241{1252 (2008)
- Bhaskar. TG, Lakshmikantham, V: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. Nonlinear Anal. 65 1379{1393 (2006)
- Lakshmikantham, V, Ciric, Lj: Coupled ⁻ fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partiallyordered metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 70, 4341 (4349 (2009)
- Abbas, M, Cho, YJ, Nazir, T: Common ⁻ fixed point theorems for four mappings in TVS-valued cone metric spaces. J. Math. Inequal. 5, 287{299 (2011)
- Abbas, M, Khan, MA, Radenovic, S: Common coupled fixed point theorem in cone metric space for w-compatible mappings. Appl. Math. Comput. 217 195{202 (2010)
- Cho, YJ, He, G, Huang, NJ: The existence results of coupled quasi-solutions for a class of operator equations. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 47, 455{465 (2010)
- Cho, YJ, Saadati, R, Wang, S: Common ⁻ fixed point theorems on generalized distance in order cone metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 61, 1254{1260 (2011)
- Cho, YJ, Shah, MH, Hussain, N: Coupled ⁻ fixed points of weakly F-contractive mappings in topologicalspaces. Appl. Math. Lett. 24, 1185{1190 (2011)



 Ciric, Lj, Cakic, N, Rajovic, M, Ume, JS: Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions in partially

ordered metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2008, 11, (ID 131294) (2008)

- Gordji, ME, Cho, YJ, Baghani, H: Coupled ⁻ fixed point theorems for contractions in intuitionist fuzzy normed spaces. Math. Comput. Model. 54, 1897{1906 (2011)
- Graily, E, Vaezpour, SM, Saadati, R, Cho, YJ: Generalization of ⁻ fixed point theorems in ordered metricspaces concerning generalized distance. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, 30 (2011). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-30
- Karapinar, E: Couple ⁻ fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces. Comput.Math. Appl. (2010). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2010.03.062
- Sabetghadam, F, Masiha, HP, Sanatpour, AH: Some coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces.Fixed point Theory Appl. 2009 (ID 125426), 8 (2009)
- Samet, B: Coupled ⁻ fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in partially ordered metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 72, 4508{4517 (2010)
- Samet, B, Vetro, C: Coupled ⁻fixed point, F-invariant set and ⁻xed point of N-order. Ann. Funct. Anal. 1(2), 46{56 (2010)
- 20. Samet, B, Yazidi, H: Coupled ⁻ fixed point theorems in partially ordered "-chainable metric spaces. J.

Math. Comput. Sci. 1, 142{151 (2010)

- 21. Sintunavarat, W, Cho, YJ, Kumam, P: Common ⁻ fixed point theorems for c-distance in ordered cone
- metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 62, 1969{1978 (2011)
 - Sintunavarat, W, Cho, YJ, Kumam, P: Coupled coincidence point theorems for contractions without commutative condition in intuitionist fuzzy normed spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, 81 (2011)
 - Zhu, X-H, Xiao, J-Z: Note on \Coupled xed point theorems for contractions in fuzzy metric spaces".

Nonlinear Anal. 72, 5475 [5479 (2011)

- Shatanawi, W: Partially ordered cone metric spaces and coupled ⁻xed point results. Comput. Math.
- Appl. 60, 2508{2515 (2010)
 - Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: A new approach to generalized metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7, 289{297}(2006)
 - 26. Abbas, M, Rhoades, BE: Common ⁻ fixed point results for non-commuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 215, 262{269 (2009)
 - 27. Chugh, R, Kadian, T, Rani, A, Rhoades, BE: Property p in G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (ID 401684), 12 (2010)
 - Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, in Proc. Int. Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Valencia, Spain, pp. 189{198, July 2003
 - 29. Mustafa, Z, Obiedat, H, Awawdehand, F: Some ⁻ fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2008 (ID 189870), 12 (2008)
 - Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: Fixed point theorems for contractive mapping in complete G_i metric spaces.
 Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2009 (ID 917175), 10 (2009)
 - Mustafa, Z, Shatanawi, W, Bataineh, M: Existence of ⁻ fixed point results in G-metric spaces. Int.
 J.
- Math. Anal. 2009 (ID 283028), 10 (2009)
 - Shatanawi, W: Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying ©-maps in G-metric spaces.
 Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (ID 181650), 9 (2010)
 - Abbas, M, Khan, AR, Nazir, T: Coupled common fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. (2011). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2011.01.006
 - Shatanawi, W: Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces. Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 40(3),441{447 (2011)
 - Saadati, R, Vaezpour, SM, Vetro, P, Rhoades, BE: Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered G-metric spaces. Math. Comput. Model. 52, 797 [801 (2010)





36. Choudhury, BS, Maity, P: coupled - fixed point results in generalized metric spaces. Math.

Comput. Model. 54, 73{79 (2011)

37. Khan, MS, Swaleh, M, Sessa, S: Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points.

Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 30, 1{9 (1984)

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/Journals/</u>

The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

