Civil and Environmental Research
ISSN 2224-5790 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0514 (Online)
DOI: 10.7176/CER
Vol.11, No.1, 2019



# Development Program to Estimate the Suitable of Raw Materials to Produce Cement

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Mosleh Salman Asmaa Mahdi Ali Civil Engineering Department, College of Engineering, University of Mustansiriayah, Baghdad, Iraq

#### Abstract

Raw material composition plays an essential role on the lining life of cement rotary kiln. They are obtained from hard rock quarries that represent the first step in the cement manufacturing process. That raw materials are transported to Al- Kufa cement plant then crushed and ground to very fine powder and then blended in the correct proportions. This research aims to study suitable rations of raw materials to produce cement in al Kufa cement plant in Iraq. Through a software program, suitale raw materials ratios for the clinker were estimated, then chemical and physical tests for clinker and cement according to Iraqi Standard Specification were done to recognize the effects on the properties of cement such as the ratios of major and minor oxides, Lime saturations factor (LSF), Silica Modulus (SM) Alumina Modulus (AM), compressive strength, setting time and soundness.

**Keywords:** Portland Cement, Raw material, Cement industry, Rotary kiln and Factors Affective of clinker

**DOI**: 10.7176/CER/11-1-06

#### 1. Introduction

Portland cement is a gray non-metallic, inorganic powder, the result of a mixture of calcium silicate (mainly limestone) and aluminoferrites. When cement is mixed with water it forms a paste that sets and hardens due to the formation of calcium silicate hydrates and or calcium aluminates hydrates as a result of the exothermic reaction (RailiKajasteand MarkkuHurme, 2016; - L. M. Aksel'rod, I. G. Maryasev and A. A. Platonov, 2013)

The raw materials used in the manufacture of cement are limestone, clay, gypsum, slag and pozzolanic materials. The basic stages of cement manufacturing include limestone quarrying, the preparation and pyroprocessing of the raw meal (clinkerization), clinker cooling and cement grinding. The chemical process of cement manufacturing can be described as a calcinations process that initiates with a decomposition of calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) at about 900°C to produce calcium oxide (CaO, lime) with subsequent liberation of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>). Following, the clinkering process is conducted in the rotary kiln by reacting calcium oxide at a high temperature (1400-1500°C) with silica, alumina and ferrous oxide to form the silicates, aluminates and ferrites of calcium which are tha main component of clinker. The clinker cooled and is milled along with gypsum and other additives to produce the gray powder known as cement (Adem Atmaca and RecepYumrutas,2014; Azad Rahman, M.G. Rasul, and S. Sharma,2016)

A cement rotary kiln is a distributed parameter process system which has a highly complex behavior due to chemical reactions. Raw meal for cement production is a mixture of predetermined proportions of limestone, silica and small quantities of alumina and iron oxide. The primary function of a rotary kiln is to provide a hightemperature environment to drive solid-soild and solid-liquid reactions for clinker formation. In the beginning section of a kiln, starting from solid entrance, the calcination of raw material is completed. Thereafter, the soilds undergo soild-soild reactions as they move forward. Then, the solid enters a higher temperature zone where it melts to form a liquid phase. The final reactions take place in this phase. So that it causes formation of coating over the refractory lining in the remaining part of the kiln. In this higher temperature section, called burning zone, the refractory of the kiln is under severe damage because of high temperature. Moreover, the high-coating thickness creates serious problem for the flow of soilds along the kiln. Therefore, the thickness of the coating in the buring zone is momentous because of the protection of the refractory lining from damaging which increases the life of the refractory and movement of feed through the kiln ( Azad Rahman, M.G. Rasul, and S. Sharma, 2016; Junli Zhang, Jianguo Liu and Cheng Yiying, 2009; A.M. Castañón, S. García-Granda, and M.P. Lorenzo, 2015) Methods based on measuring the thermal radiation from the shell surface were conventional for checking and estimating the coating thickness and lining in the rotary cement kilns (M. Pisaroni, R. Sadi and D. Lahaye, 2012)

## 1.1 Factors Affecting Burnability:

The following are the important parameters which affect the burnability of araw mix (Guoxiang Yin,2012; José Luis Aguirre González, 2005; Jacek Szczerba,2010)

# a. Chemical Composition:

Each component of the raw mix has individual and combined [(Lime SaturationFactor (LSF), Silica Modulus (SM), Alumina Modulus (AM),] effects on burnability. The formula, limiting range and the preferable range of the LSF, SM and AM is shown in Table1



## **b.** Thermal Treatment:

Burning of the raw mix is generally carried out at 1450-1500°C. Excessive high burning temperature results in a great stress on the kiln and the refractory lining, more fuel consumption, reduction in cement strength and larger alite crystal.

On increasing holding time, C<sub>3</sub>A content may decrease, C<sub>4</sub>AF may increase, C<sub>2</sub>S may decrease, C<sub>3</sub>S may increase. Rapid burning is always favored as because fine grains of C<sub>2</sub>S formed which accelerate theinteraction of C<sub>2</sub>S, CaOand liquid. Thermal activation can be enhanced by either with mechanical (vibratory mill) or chemical (mineralizer) activation. Mechanical activation gives better results than chemical.

Table 1: The Formula, Limiting Range And The Preferable Range Of The LSF, SM And AM

Parameter	Formula	Limiting range	Preferable range
LSF	% CaO 28x %SiO2 + 1.2x %Al2O3 + 0.65x%Fe2O3	0.66-1.02	0.92-0.96
SM	%5i02 % Al203 + %Fe203	1.9-3.2	2.3-2.7
AM	% Al203 % Fe203	1.5-2.5	1.3-1.6

#### c. Liquid Phase Formation:

The amount of liquidformed, its appearance temperature, viscosity, surface tension and ionic mobility in the clinkerization process.

## d. Clinker Quality:

The burnability becomes worse as C<sub>3</sub>S content increases while an increasing C<sub>3</sub>A and C<sub>4</sub>AF, the burnability improves.

## e. Kiln Atmosphere:

A reducing atmosphere during burning affect the colour of the clinker by reducing iron oxide, enhancing  $C_3A$ , decreasing  $C_4AF$ ,  $C_3S$ .

## 2. Experimental Materials:

Field visits were implemented during 2016 to Al-Kufa Cement plant in Iraq to view the rotary kiln of cement manufacturing. Chemical and physical tests for clinker and cement according to Iraqi Standard Specification were done to recognize the effects on the properties of cement. A software program was achieved to calculate the ratios of the raw materials of clinker.

### 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1 Calculations of Mixing Ratios For Raw Materials:

A software program is achieved to calculate the mixing ratios of raw materials for the production of the final mixture of the resistant clinker and this program requires:

1- Chemical analysis tests for the raw materials, shown in table 2 that represents the chemical tests of the raw materials.

Table 2: Chemical Tests of Raw Material

Compound	Limestone	Clay	Sand	Iron ore
SiO2	2.52	39.06	87.91	16.90
Al2O3	0.23	11.42	3.14	4.05
Fe2O3	0.56	7.60	1.88	62.20
CaO	53.12	15.35	3.24	2.48
MgO	0.64	5.39	0.86	1.38
SO3	0.64	1.59	1.22	0.50
L.O.I	41.43	17.52	1.36	10.94
Total	99.14	97.83	99.61	98.45

- 2- Specifying the target of LSF = 0.92, AM=0.75 and SM=2.6.
- 3- Calculating the CaO of the raw materials under this equation



CaO max =  $2.8* SiO_2 + 1.2*Al_2O_3 + 0.56* Fe_2O_3$ 

According to Table 2:

C1 of lime stone = CaO of lime stone – (LSF\* CaOmax of lime stone)

C1 = 46.04

C2 of clay= CaO of clay – (LSF\* CaOmax of clay)

C2=128.012

C3of sand= CaO of sand- (LSF\* CaOmax of sand)

C3 = 227.81

C4of Iron= CaO of Iron- (LSF\* CaOmax of Iron)

C4 = 82.721

Calculation of percentage of primary materials

## Mix 1

 $\sqrt{\text{C2}} = \frac{\text{C1}}{\text{C1}+\text{C2}}, \%\text{C2}$ 

%C2 = 46.039/43.039+128.012=0.31 of clay

[% C1= 1-0.31=0.69 of limestone]

## Mix2

% C1 = C3/C1 + C3

% C1=227.81/46.039+227.81=0.83 of limestone

% C3= 1-0.83= 0.168 of sand

## Mix3

 $\overline{\% C1} = C4/C1 + C4$ 

%C1 = 82.721/82.721+46.039 = 0.64 of limestone

% C4 = 1 - 0.64 = 0.36 Iron ore

4- Calculating of the main oxides of mixtures:

#### Mix 1

Limestone + clay

0.69 + 0.31

 $SiO_2 = 0.69*2.52+0.31*39.06 = 13.85$ 

 $Al_2O_3 = 0.69*0.23+0.31*11.42=3.7$ 

 $Fe_2O_3 = 0.69*0.56+0.31*7.6=2.74$ 

CaO = 0.69\*53.12+0.31\*15.35=41.41

## Mix2

Limestone+Sand

0.83 + 0.168

 $SiO_2 = 0.83*2.52+0.168*87.91 = 16.88$ 

 $Al_2O_3 = 0.83*0.23+0.168*3.14 = 0.72$ 

 $Fe_2O_3 = 0.83*0.56+0.168*1.88 = 0.78$ 

CaO = 0.83\*53.12+0.168\*3.24 = 44.73

## Mix3

Limestone+Iron ore

0.64 + 0.36

 $SiO_2 = 0.64 *2.52 + 0.36 *16.9 = 7.66$ 

 $Al_2O_3 = 0.64 *0.23 + 0.36 * 4.05 = 1.60$ 

 $Fe_2O_3 = 0.64 *0.56 + 0.36 * 62.20 = 22.60$ 

CaO = 0.64 \*53.12 + 0.36 \* 2.48 = 35.01

From the mixtures above we get mixtures 4 and 5:

Determination of alumina coefficient (AM) = 0.75

 $AM = Al_2O_3/Fe_2O_3$ 

 $Al_2O_3 = 0.75* Fe_2O_3$ 

## For Mix 1 (limestone+Clay)

 $A1 = Al_2O_3 - 0.75* Fe_2O_3$ 

A1= 3.7-0.75\*2.74=1.64

For Mix 2 (limestone+sand)

A2= 0.72- 0.75\* 0.78= 0.132

For Mix 3 (limestone+Iron ore)

A3= 1.6- 0.75\* 22.6= 15.36

Calculation of percentage of primary materials



#### <u>Mix 1</u>

% A3 = A3/A1 + A3,

%A3 = 15.36 / 1.64 + 15.36 = 0.9035% of limestone + clay

1-0.9035 = 0.096% limestone+Iron

#### Mix3

% A2 = A2/A2 + A3

% A2 = 15.35/15.35+0.1327=0.9914% of limestone+sand

1-0.9914= 0.0087% limestone+Iron

of the above ratio, the main oxides can be calculated by mixing No.4 and

#### Mix 4

 $SiO_2 = 0.9035*13.85 + 0.096*7.66 = 13.85$ 

Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>= 0.9035\*3.70+0.096\*1.6=3.5

 $Fe_2O_3 = 0.9035*2.74+0.096*22.6 = 4.66$ 

CaO = 0.9035\*41.41+0.096\*35.01 = 40.79

#### Mix5

 $SiO_2 = 0.991*16.88 + 0.008*7.66 = 16.80$ 

 $Al_2O_3 = 0.991*0.72+0.008*1.6 = 0.73$ 

 $Fe_2O_3 = 0.991*0.78+0.008*22.6 = 0.97$ 

CaO = 0.991\*44.73+0.008\*35.01 = 44.65

To calculate the final mixture for the production of the resistant clinker by combining mixing No.4 and 5 by setting the coefficient of silica to be 2.6

 $SM = SiO_2/(Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3)$ 

 $S=SiO_2 - SM (Al_2O_3+Fe_2O_3)$ 

S4 = 13.25 - [2.6(3.5 + 4.66)] = -7.96

S5 = 16.8 - [2.6 (0.73 + 0.97)] = 12.39

% S5= S4/ S5+S4

% S5=7.96 / (7.96+12.39) = 0.3912% of [(lime+Iron)+ (lime+sand)]

% S4 = 1-0.3912 = 0.6088 % of [(lime+clay)+ (lime+iron)]

#### Mix 6

 $SiO_2 = 0.3912*16.80 + 0.61*13.25 = 14.639$ 

 $Al_2O_3 = 0.3912*0.73+0.61*3.5 = 2.41$ 

 $Fe_2O_3 = 0.3912*0.97+0.61*4.66 = 3.22$ 

CaO= 0.3912\*44.65+0.61\*40.79= 42.30

The percentages of the mixing of the raw materials in the process of production of the Al-Kufa Cement plant in Iraq during 2016 is listed in tables below using the software program.

Table 3: Final Chemical Tests of Raw Material

Compound	Limestone	Clay	Sand	Iron ore
SiO2	2.36	40.64	88.02	2.65
Al2O3	0.26	13.89	4.22	0.9
Fe2O3	0.48	6.08	0.70	92.64
CaO	51.82	15.87	1.62	2.31
L.O.I	42.97	15.66	3.35	0.9

**Table 4: Ratios Of Raw Materials For Clinker** 

Compound	Mix1	Mix2	Mix3
SiO2	13.89	2.48	16.402
Al2O3	4.37	0.52	0.909
Fe2O3	2.17	37.81	0.516
CaO	40.99	31.77	43.591
Compound	Mix4	Mix 5	Mix 6
Compound SiO2	Mix4 13.02	Mix 5 16.16	<b>Mix 6</b> 14.277
		_	-
SiO2	13.02	16.16	14.277



**Table5: Raw Materials For Clinker** 

Compound	Clinker
SiO2	22.27
Al2O3	4.37
Fe2O3	5.31
CaO	64.78
L.S.F	0.912

**Table 6: Ratios Of Raw Materials For Clinker** 

Mix 1	% limestone % clay	0.70
Mix2	%Limestone % sand	0.84 0.16
Mix3	%limestone %iron	0.595 0.405
Mix4	%lime+clay % lime+iron	0.92 0.08
Mix5	%lime+sand %lime+iron	0.983 0.017
Mix6	% lime+iron+clay+sand % lime+clay	0.401 0.599

Table7: Final Ratio Of Raw Material For Clinker

Limestone	0.747
Clay	0.167
Iron	0.021
sand	0.065

# 3.2Physical And Chemical Tests Of Clinker And Cement:

Samples were taken for clinker and cement produced in the Al-Kufa cement plant in Iraq during 2016 .Below are the results chemical and physical tests according to Iraqi Standard Specification.



		BF	102.96	104.36	103.85	106.03	106.29	106.19	106.07	105.38	102.35	103.19	105	106.05	105.72	104.24	104.53	103.3	103.79	102.44	103.93	100.71	100.91	102.26	103.03	103.58	102.87	102.42	100.36	100.99	101.97	103.04	105.62		h	106.29	100.36	103.67
	Factories	FLUX	27.23	23.93	26.97	23.3	26.16	26.03	26.14	23.67	27.39	27.03	26.02	23.67	292	27.07	27.18	27.43	27.3	26.87	26.11	27.23	27.1	27.13	26.9	27.21	26.27	26.8	27.71	27.33	26.64	26.23	23.77	Factories	FLUX	27.71	25.50	26.66
		n	32.77	31.4	32.38	29.73	31.26	31.18	31.76	31.23	33.29	32.32	30.39	30.33	31.39	32.64	32.83	32.63	32.29	32.63	31.4	34.13	33.74	33.33	32.68	32.37	32.19	33.03	34.17	34.44	33.97	32.28	31.26		8	34.44	29.73	32.32
		CAF	13.7	14.33	13.31	14.52	14.85	14.73	15.28	13.7	13.88	13.28	14.24	14.12	1331	13.67	13.82	13.67	13.52	13.08	14.3	13.98	13.85	16.43	13.79	13.18	14.76	14.97	16.01	16.37	13.61	13.22	13.46		CAF	16.43	14.12	883
er	nds (96)																																	Clinker Compounds (96)				
Clink	Clinker Compounds (%)	C,A	233	797	2.7	2.03	2.77	2.73	234	1.13	233	787	2.84	2.83	222	222	5.6	5.6	2.66	2.78	2.97	2.06	202	1.46	1.95	293	2.38	291	234	137	177	203	5	Compou	Y.	757	113	238
Sulfate Resistance Clinker	Clinker	3.	22	23.38	21.38	18.27	19.42	20.21	20.5	20.38	23.06	22.82	20.75	20.52	20.03	20.72	20.03	20.28	19.33	24.34	23.64	27.93	27.22	23.18	22.77	21.39	23.74	26.35	26.33	26.63	29.43	25.17	20.57	Clinker	3.	29.45	18.27	22.74
ate Res		જ	52.85	32.62	33.48	37.93	36.12	33.14	23.07	33.38	32.28	31.61	34.88	33.11	33.69	X,X	33.91	33.13	36.24	51.23	52.49	46.93	48.21	52.2	32.06	32.73	49.36	48.18	48.21	48.03	43.02	30.32	33.03		3.	37.93	45.02	32.61
Sulf		ΑM	0.81	080	0.84	8.0	080	0.83	0.81	0.72	0.81	0.84	0.87	0.87	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.83	0.84	0.83	0.88	0.79	0.79	0.74	0.78	98'0	0.84	98'0	0.81	0.78	90	0.79	0.73		ΑM	0.88	0.72	0.82
_	Mod els	SM	2.31	2.49	233	2,32	2.38	2.41	2.38	2.46	231	234	2.48	23	2.38	23	2.28	2.29	2.31	2.41	2.5	2.36	2.38	2.32	234	23	2.47	2.4	2.31	573	239	2.46	243	Models	NS	252	2.28	238
nt Plan		LSF	0.92	0.92	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.93	26'0	0.92	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.91	0.91	6.0	6.0	0.91	0.92	0.93	0.91	0.91	6.0	69	60	160	633		LSF	0.94	0.90	0.92
Ceme		ECAO	9.0	0.7	0.53	0.47	0.46	978	0.38	87	0.49	9970	970	0.66	978	628	0.49	0.33	9970	0.38	0.43	0.41	0.45	0.49	0.38	0.33	0.33	0.49	0.41	5	0.62	0.49	7970		EC.20	0.70	0.33	659
Al-Kufa Cement Plant											_				_														_		_							
4		Total	9.43	25.6	99.33	99.14	29.62	28.7	18 66	29.65	25.66	88.68	99.37	89.38	99.66	878	39.32	99.48	99.47	99.34	99.38	19'61	99.4	99.39	99.47	99.44	99.4	86.53	99.33	99.46	28.77	26 26	88		Total	18 68	99.14	15 85
		I	-	۳.																																		
mpany	(9)	Д	66	<u> </u>																														•				
tate Company	nalysis (96)	SO, I		173		143	136	183	133		109			173	7	172	0.86	0.98	0.73	1.09	1.16	1.49	1.2	123	1.38	1.8	1.68	191	132	27	<b>51</b>	3	1.66	nalysis (96)	SO;	150	0.73	139
ment State Company	emical Analysis (%)		137	173		$\dashv$	$\dashv$	33 163	$\dashv$	2	$\dashv$	163	1.4	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	32 0.86	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	-		Н		-	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	139 132	$\dashv$	+	51	$\exists$	enical Analysis (%)	MgO, so,	┝	3.20 0.73	336 139
raqi Cement State Company	Chemical Analysis (96)	so;	3.6 1.37	173	3.37 1.4	3.48	3.4	$\dashv$	3.44	3.6 1.33	$\dashv$	337 1.63	3.67 1.4	33	3,43	3.4	$\dashv$	3.6	3.39	3.69	3.75	3.74	3.6	33	$\dashv$	3.6	3.57	$\dashv$	379	$\dashv$	3.62	3	$\exists$	Chemical Analysis (%)		3.73	Н	$\dashv$
Iraqi Cement State Company	Chemical Analysis (96)	Med. so,	63.34 3.6 1.37	3.6 1.21	63.72 3.57 1.4	3.48	64.13 3.4	64.03 3.3	3.44	6335 3.6 1.33	3.6	63.4 3.37 1.63	63.88 3.67 1.4	55 33	64.09 3.43	63.91 3.4	3.2	63.9 3.6	64.17 3.39	63.56 3.69	3.75	62.9 3.74	3.6	63.42 3.5	638 3.63	63.42 3.6	63.21 3.57	3.6	62.58 3.59	2	3.62	833	2	Chemical Analysis (96)	OPPN	64.26 3.73	3.20	378
	Chemical Analysis (96)	C3G NGC SO;	3.16 63.34 3.6 1.37	4.78 63.89 3.6 1.21	63.72 3.57 1.4	4.77 64.03 3.48	4.88 64.13 3.4	64.03 3.3	5.02 64.09 3.44	3.16 63.93 3.6 1.33	5.22 63.59 3.6	3.02 63.4 3.57 1.65	4.68 63.88 3.67 1.4	4.64 64 3.3	5.03 64.09 3.45	3.15 63.91 3.4	64.26 3.2	3.13 63.9 3.6	5.1 64.17 3.59	4.93 63.36 3.69	63.79 3.75	3.23 62.9 3.74	5.21 63.12 3.6	5.4 63.42 3.5	5.19 63.38 3.63	63.42 3.6	4.85 63.21 3.57	4.92 63.18 3.6	62.58 3.59	5.38 63.06 3.5	5.13 62.89 3.62	833	5.08 63.83 3.5	Chemical Analysis (%)	Calo. Neo.	5.40 64.26 3.75	62.89 3.20	878
Table 8 Iraqi Cement State Company	Chemical Analysis (96)	Fe.O; GaQ, MgQ, SO;	3.16 63.34 3.6 1.37	4.78 63.89 3.6 1.21	423 5.03 68.72 3.57 1.4	4.77 64.03 3.48	4.16 4.88 64.13 3.4	4.84 64.03 3.5	4.09 5.02 64.09 3.44	3.72 5.16 63.99 3.6 1.33	4.22 5.22 63.59 3.6	42 5.02 63.4 3.57 1.63	4.06 4.68 63.88 3.67 1.4	4.03 4.64 64 3.3	4.05 5.03 64.09 3.45	4.24 5.15 63.91 3.4	3.2 64.26 3.2	4.27 5.15 63.9 3.6	4.26 3.1 64.17 3.39	4.21 4.93 63.36 3.69	4.7 63.79 3.75	4.13 5.23 62.9 3.74	4.09 5.21 63.12 3.6	4 3.4 63.42 3.3	4.05 5.19 63.38 3.63	4.29 4.99 63.42 3.6	4.07 4.85 63.21 3.57	4.24 4.92 63.18 3.6	5.26 62.98 3.59	4.18 5.38 63.06 3.5	4.11 5.13 62.89 3.62	5 6333 333	3.82 5.08 63.83 3.5	Chenical Analysis (96)	Fe.0, GaQ, MgQ,	4.30 5.40 64.26 3.75	4.64 62.89 3.20	3.04 63.63 3.36
	Chemical Analysis (96)	SiO. AliO, Fe.O. GAQ MEQ SO.	21.38 4.18 5.16 63.34 3.6 1.37	22 4.04 4.78 63.89 3.6 1.21	21.6 4.23 5.03 63.72 3.57 1.4	21.62 3.81 4.77 64.03 3.48	21.54 4.16 4.88 64.13 3.4	2136 4.12 4.84 64.03 3.3	21.64 4.09 5.02 64.09 3.44	21.84 3.72 5.16 63.99 3.6 1.30	21.8 4.22 5.22 68.39 3.6	21.54 4.2 5.02 63.4 3.57 1.63	21.68 4.06 4.68 63.38 3.67 1.4	21.66 4.03 4.64 64 3.3	21.64 4.05 5.03 64.09 3.45	21.58 4.24 5.15 63.91 3.4	21.7 4.3 5.2 64.26 3.2	21.38 4.27 3.13 63.9 3.6	21.62 4.26 5.1 64.17 3.39	22.04 4.21 4.95 63.36 3.69	22.06 4.12 4.7 63.79 3.75	22.1 4.13 5.23 62.9 3.74	22.18 4.09 5.21 63.12 3.6	21.82 4 5.4 63.42 3.5	21.64 4.05 5.19 63.38 3.63	21.34 4.29 4.99 63.42 3.6	22.02 4.07 4.85 63.21 3.57	21.94 4.24 4.92 63.18 3.6	4.24 5.26 62.98 3.59	21.94 4.18 5.38 63.06 3.5	22.12 4.11 5.13 62.89 3.62	3.56 5 65.33 3.35	21.66 3.82 5.08 63.83 3.5	Chemical Analysis (%)	SiO, AliO, FelO, GAO, MEO.	22.18 4.30 5.40 64.26 3.73	3.72 4.64 62.89 3.20	4.11 5.04 63.63 3.36
		Ali-O; Fe-O; GaQ, MaQ, SO;	1330 21.38 4.18 5.16 63.34 3.6 1.37	1235 22 4,04 4,78 63.89 3.6 1.21	1260 21.6 4.23 5.03 63.72 3.57 1.4	1310 21.62 3.81 4.77 64.03 3.48	1300 2134 4.16 4.88 64.13 3.4	1250 2136 4.12 4.84 64.03 3.3	21.64 4.09 5.02 64.09 3.44	1390 21.84 3.72 3.16 63.93 3.6 1.33	21.8 4.22 5.22 68.39 3.6	1306 21.54 4.2 5.02 63.4 3.57 1.65	1283 21.68 4.06 4.68 63.88 3.67 1.4	1296 21.66 4.03 4.64 64 3.3	21.64 4.05 5.03 64.09 3.45	1356 21.38 4.24 5.15 63.91 3.4	1383 21.7 4.3 3.2 64.26 3.2	1309 21.58 4.27 5.15 63.9 3.6	1343 21.62 4.26 3.1 64.17 3.59	1370 22.04 4.21 4.95 63.36 3.69	22.06 4.12 4.7 63.79 3.75	1345 22.1 4.13 5.25 62.9 3.74	22.18 4.09 5.21 63.12 3.6	1335 21.82 4 5.4 63.42 3.5	1220 21.64 4.05 5.19 63.38 3.63	1230 21.34 4.29 4.99 63.42 3.6	1240 22.02 4.07 4.85 63.21 3.57	1373 21.94 4.24 4.92 63.18 3.6	1360 21.94 4.24 5.26 62.98 3.59	1380 21.94 4.18 5.38 68.06 3.5	1293 22.12 4.11 5.13 62.89 3.62	22.02 3.36 5 63.33 3.55	1280 21.66 3.82 5.08 63.83 3.5	Chemical Analysis (%)	Al.O. Fe.O. C30. MgO.	1390 22.18 4.30 5.40 64.26 3.73	21.34 3.72 4.64 62.89 3.20	21,77 4,11 3,04 63,63 3,36



Table 8: Iraqi Cement State Company Kufa Cement Plant Sulfate Resistance Clinker

		9	78	29	20	22	8	39	11	33	90	7	80	32	35	83	25	20			92	88	
ries	占	106.46	103.78	105.67	105.07	104.22	105.08	104.39	105.41	105.03	104.06	106.2	105.8	106.32	107.05	106.53	106.52	107.07	ies	101	107.26	103.68	ļ
Factories	FLUX	25.15	26.19	25.41	25.8	26.21	25.89	26.14	25.72	26.01	26.35	26.02	26.34	25.97	25.45	25.61	25.71	25.44	Factories	XOTE	26.42	25.15	
	ម	31.07	33.05	31.56	32.36	32.76	31.94	32.18	31.58	32.62	32.55	31.66	31.71	30.89	30.71	30.87	30.97	30.5		ម	33.05	30.50	
(%)	C,AF	15.09	15.82	15.88	16.07	16.37	15.7	15.67	15.67	16.55	15.67	15.52	15.82	14.97	14.73	14.79	14.85	14.91		C,AF	16.55	14.61	
spunodi	C,A	1.68	1.7	0.87	1.14	1.07	1.55	1.73	1.36	0.81	1.91	1.97	1.85	2.38	2.36	2.35	2.34	2.04		C,A	2.45	0.81	
Clinker Compounds (%)	స్ట	21.91	25.65	21.84	22.97	22.78	21.82	22.42	21.26	21.87	23.35	19.84	18.15	18.48	19.7	20.07	20.07	18.74		S <sup>c</sup> 2	26.1	18.15	
Clin	కోప	55.02	50.3	54.73	53.69	54.25	62.28	54.19	65.55	26.92	53.04	56.47	59.02	58.65	57.18	56.63	56.32	58.38		S <sup>c</sup> O	59.02	50.3	
	AM	0.77	0.76	0.7	0.72	0.71	0.75	0.77	0.74	0.70	0.78	0.78	0.77	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.8		ΑM	0.83	0.70	
Models	SM	253	2.42	2.48	2.44	2.41	2.45	2.43	2.46	2.39	2.41	2.39	2.37	2.44	2.49	2.47	2.46	2.49	Models	SM	257	237	
	L.S.F	0.92	0.91	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93		L.S.F	0.93	0.91	ı
	E.Cao	0.49	99.0	0.7	0.7	99.0	0.52	0.45	0.62	0.62	99'0	0.62	0.41	0.62	0.53	0.53	0.7	0.62		E.Can	0.95	0.41	
												_											_
	Total	99.41	99.34	99.33	99.41	99.35	96.36	99.28	99.31	99.31	993	99.33	99.39	99.36	99.46	99.27	99.34	98'36		Total	99.57	99.24	
	Tota	99,41	99.34	99.33	99.41	99.35	99.36	99.28	99.31	99.31	99.3	99.33	99.39	96.36	99.46	99.27	99.34	99.36		Total	99.57	99.24	
alysis (%)	SO, Tota			1.29 99.33			0.82 99.36		1.00 99.31		0.91			0.63 99.36		1.12 99.27		0.91 99.36	alysis (%)	SO; Total	1.72		I
emical Analysis (%)							0.82						0.63						emical Analysis (%)				I
Chemical Analysis (%)	so	1.28	1.28	1.29	1.06	0.68	0.82	1.01	1.00	0.97	0.91	1.15	0.63	0.63	1.09	1.12	1.15	0.91	Chemical Analysis (%)	so	1.72	0.63	I
Chemical Analysis (%)	Mag. so.	3.04 1.28	3.04 1.28	3.11 1.29	3.04 1.06	3.06 0.68	3.08 0.82	3.1 1.01	3.12 1.00	2.88 0.97	3.12 0.91	2.95 1.15	3.06 0.63	3.18 0.63	3.1 1.09	3.00 1.12	3.1 1.15	3.11 0.91	Chemical Analysis (%)	WER SO,	3.25 1.72	2.88 0.63	I
Chemical Analysis (%)	Fe,O, CalO MEQ SO,	64.21 3.04 1.28	63.68 3.04 1.28	64.03 3.11 1.29	64.09 3.04 1.06	64.17 3.06 0.68	64.26 3.08 0.82	64 3.1 1.01	64.2 3.12 1.00	64.16 2.88 0.97	64.01 3.12 0.91	64.35 2.95 1.15	64.62 3.06 0.63	64.71 3.18 0.63	64.53 3.1 1.09	64.4 3.00 1.12	64.39 3.1 1.15	64.64 3.11 0.91	Chemical Analysis (%)	Fe,O, C,#O MEQ SO,	64.71 3.25 1.72	63.68 2.88 0.63	T
Chemical Analysis (%)	Al.O, Fe.O, CAO MEO SO,	3.8 4.96 64.21 3.04 1.28	3.96 5.2 63.68 3.04 1.28	3.66 5.22 64.03 3.11 1.29	3.8 5.28 64.09 3.04 1.06	3.84 5.38 64.17 3.06 0.68	3.88 5.16 64.26 3.08 0.82	3.94 5.15 64 3.1 1.01	3.8 5.15 64.2 3.12 1.00	3.78 5.44 64.16 2.88 0.97	5.15 64.01 3.12 0.91	4 5.1 64.35 2.95 1.15	4.02 5.2 64.62 3.06 0.63	4.04 4.92 64.71 3.18 0.63	3.98 4.84 64.53 3.1 1.09	4.86 64.4 3.00 1.12	4.00 4.88 64.39 3.1 1.15	4.9 64.64 3.11 0.91	Chemical Analysis (%)	Al.O. Fe.O. CaO MEG SO.	5.44 64.71 3.25 1.72	3.66 4.8 63.68 2.88 0.63	T
Chemical Analysis (%)	SiO, Alio, Felo, Cat Man SO,	22.12 3.8 4.96 64.21 3.04 1.28	22.18 3.96 5.2 63.68 3.04 1.28	22.02 3.66 5.22 64.03 3.11 1.29	22.14 3.8 5.28 64.09 3.04 1.06	22.22 3.84 5.38 64.17 3.06 0.68	22.16 3.88 5.16 64.26 3.08 0.82	22.08 3.94 5.15 64 3.1 1.01	22.04 3.8 5.15 64.2 3.12 1.00	22.08 3.78 5.44 64.16 2.88 0.97	22.1 4.01 5.15 64.01 3.12 0.91	21.78 4 5.1 64.35 2.95 1.15	21.86 4.02 5.2 64.62 3.06 0.63	21.88 4.04 4.92 64.71 3.18 0.63	21.92 3.98 4.84 64.53 3.1 1.09	21.9 3.99 4.86 64.4 3.00 1.12	21.82 4.00 4.88 64.39 3.1 1.15	21.9 3.9 4.9 64.64 3.11 0.91	Chemical Analysis (%)	SiO, Alio, Felo, Calo Man So,	22.4 4.08 5.44 64.71 3.25 1.72	21.78 3.66 4.8 63.68 2.88 0.63	
Chemical Analysis (%)	Al.O, Fe.O, CAO MEO SO,	3.8 4.96 64.21 3.04 1.28	3.96 5.2 63.68 3.04 1.28	3.66 5.22 64.03 3.11 1.29	3.8 5.28 64.09 3.04 1.06	3.84 5.38 64.17 3.06 0.68	22.16 3.88 5.16 64.26 3.08 0.82	3.94 5.15 64 3.1 1.01	1323 22.04 3.8 5.15 64.2 3.12 1.00	3.78 5.44 64.16 2.88 0.97	4.01 5.15 64.01 3.12 0.91	4 5.1 64.35 2.95 1.15	21.86 4.02 5.2 64.62 3.06 0.63	4.04 4.92 64.71 3.18 0.63	3.98 4.84 64.53 3.1 1.09	3.99 4.86 64.4 3.00 1.12	4.00 4.88 64.39 3.1 1.15	3.9 4.9 64.64 3.11 0.91	Chemical Analysis (%)	Al.O. Fe.O. CaO MEG SO.	4.08 5.44 64.71 3.25 1.72	3.66 4.8 63.68 2.88 0.63	



		Soundaras	Autoclar W	0.15	0.1	0.11	0.1	10.07	0.00	0.09	1.0	200		0.07	0.1	0.00	0.12	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.09	0.09	200	20.0	0.13			777	0.14	0.13		Soundness	Autoclar W	0.14	0.07	0.10	0.80	7
			7day Aut				Ž.		Н		+	+	+	H	000			18		-		4	-		+	+		+								Н		Н		23.00
		Comp. Strength (Nimm?)		2 25.2	25.6	2 29.2	4 25.4	2 29.4	29.8	4 30.2	+	+	27.8	+	19.2	50 30	31.4	4 30,2	2 29	25.6	$\dashv$	+	50.4	$\dashv$	-	23	2 29.2	+	+	+	Н	20.1		Comp. Strength (N/mm²)	Te Te	Н		36 29.13		4 100
			t) 3day	10 20.2	21.5	22.2	10 22.4	10 22.2	21.00	$\rightarrow$	+	+	2 2 2	$\vdash$		30 21.6	12.2	40 22.4	21.2	10 20.6	$\dashv$	-	13.5	-	+	+	+	20	+	+	Н	22.8				Н		21.36		13.00
	Physical Test	Setting time	(min) F(k)	05:40	03:20	03:20	02:10	03:10	02:30	$\dashv$	_	+	02.50	$\vdash$	03:00	03:30	03:40	03:40	03:20	03:10	┪	$\neg$	03:40	┪	$\dashv$	+	$\dashv$	03:30	+	03:30	Н	03:30	Physical Test	Serting time	(ii) F(k)	Н		•	10	-
	Physic		-		130	130	200	Н	3 120		+	+	130	Н		3 160	130	130	130	320	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	130	+	130	+	9	+	340	130	340	Physic		1 (min)	Н		136		4
		Water	- 23	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	+	+	+		Н	23.5	25.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	200	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	25	$\dashv$	+	+	+	+		Н	23.5	200		a market		Н		25.50		8
			(cm²/gm)	3130	3105	3150	3203	3172	3063	2943	2112		2026	3056	3097	3065	3172	2943	2976	3010	2943	3076	3097	2951	405	3076	2933	200	3070	3063	3010	3076		100	(cm <sup>2</sup> /gm)	3203	2931	3063		2300/2300
		Finences Residue (%)	180µ	0.15	0.2	0.2	0.12	0.14	0.15	87	0.18	2		0.17	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.23	0.2	0.17	0.23	0.18	0.16	0.25	200	0.17	0.22	2	3	0.17	0.24	0.18		Financia Residue (%)	180	0.25	0.12	0.18		
ant		Finance	90 <sup>th</sup>	\$.9	4.25	4.2	3.62	3.5	4.92	4.73	4.48	7		4.28	4.22	3,45	3.48	4.52	4.34	**	4.51	4.2	7	5.24	-	9	-	9	+		\$.03	4.62		Finance	ğ	5.24	3.48	4.38		
Ceme	(0,6)	CAR		14.56	14.61	13.72	14.91	14.45	14.06	14.75	14.73	14.7	1	14.73	14.76	14.67	14.27	14.55	14.33	14.24	14.52	13.46	14.76	13.34	18.03	14.55	18.28	13.00	1	14.97	18.12	14.94	(0,0) s	14	ġ.	13.46	13.72	14.76		
Supplied Cement	punodu	C.A.	,	2,77	2.9	2.51	1.42	2.51	2.29	1.57	2.24	202	2 25	2.99	2.95	2.57	2.93	2.46	2.3	2.36	2.59		2.65	27.2	133	2.03	1.47	200	173	2.06	2.27	3	punodu		d S	2.99	1.47	2.48	3.5 3.6.0	
	Cement Compounds (%)	ž	}	28.85	28.54	29.45	\$0.75	28.45	29.59	30.49	30.34	2	38.82	29.92	26.75	24.99	27.56	25.06	24,44	26.12	23.67	26.75	22.57	27.52	27.84	30.03	22.97	29.02	20.55	23.05	28.15	26.39	Cement Compounds (%)	č	3	30.34	22.87	27.42		
Al-Kufa Cement Plant	Cen	5	2	45.15	44.19	43.73	41.51	44.5	45.71	45.12	42.29	9	44.87	42.55	45.54	47.44	43.73	47.65	47.94	47.16	49.27	46.44	49.57	45.55	44.43	43,43	30.34	45.55	200	47.85	47.72	45.54	Cen	2	3	50.34	42.26	45.44		
Cemen		AN		0.56	0.87	0.87	0.82	0.56	0.87	12.0	0.57	20	0.83	0.87	0.87	0.56	0.87	0.53	0.52	0.83	0.56	0.79	9.24	0.51	0.83	9.0	0.75	9.64	9.83	2.0	0.81	0.76		1/4	The same	0.57	0.75	0.85		
Kufa	Models	20		2.49	2.41	2.55	2.43	2.44	1.52	2,47	2.41	1	247	2.39	2.55	2.36	2.4	2.45	2.43	133	2.34	2.37	2,33	2.37	1.37	22	2.42	2	1 52	2.41	2.37	2.49	Models	20	ii.	2.56	2.34	2.43		
		1.87		0.55	0.55	0.55	0.87	0.55	0.55	0.87	0.87	0.67	200	0.55	0.59	0.9	0.59	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.59	0.93	0.59	0.59	0.00	0.93	0.00	600	6:0	6.0	0.59		1 to 1	100	0.91	0.87	0.89	1.02	9970
npany		7.00 1.00		0.78	0.7	0.95	0.95	0.78	97.0	97.0	0.74	97.4	0.74	0.78	0.95	0.74	0.56	1.03	1.07	1.03	0.74	0.52	0.9	0.62	1.07	0.33	6.0	6.0	8	92.0	0.95	60		2	100	1.07	0.7	0.87		
ate Company		,si	Res	0.65	0.72	0.36	0.65	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.63	8	9 25	0.7	9.64	0.64	9.0	0.7	0.63	0.52	0.56	9.0	0.36	0.7	8	0.39	9.0	2		0.71	0.63	9.45		'al	Res	0.83	0.45	0.63	2	
ent Sta		Total		22.5	99.54	99.44	29,42	99.4	99.26	25.55	33.42	29.57	20.43	29.41	99.27	99.23	29.52	99.27	99.49	99.53	29.55	20.2	29.34	22.52	99.53	99.38	99.45	29.42	1 15	99.29	99.53	25.25		1	1001	99.58	99.23	99.59		
Ceme	(9/6)	101		1.33	1.64	1.55	1.43	1.65	:	111	1.06	:	2	:	1.65	1.55	2.54	1.65	1.96	1.61	23	::	1.65	**	1.5	2.02	:	3		:	1.62	1.52	(0,6)	101	1	2.54	1.06	87	4	$\neg$
Iraq	nalysis	S	ï	2.00	2.51	2.10	2.39	2.19	1.14	202	2.4	8 :	2.00	127	2.16	2.23	2.25	2.1	1.37	2.29	1.5	1.55	2.27	2.06	1.59	2	2.05	2	2	2.17	17	2	nalysis	S	ŝ	2.4	1.5	2.16	22/28	
Table 9: Iraqi Cement St	Chemical Analysis (%)	Neo	ř	17.1	3.04	3.32	1175	3.22	\$1.14	3	2	9	: :	2.93	2.2	3.2	3.5	3.3	3.2	3.05	3.54	3.09	2	2.95	2.98	2.35	2	2	2	3.17	2.93	2	Chemical Analysis (%)	3	P	3.34	2.9	3.12	•	
I	Che	8		62.41	62.01	61.91	61.83	61.92	62	97.18	172		9 19	61.93	61.94	62.09	61.02	62.15	62.12	62.44	62.56	62.18	62.36	62.56	62.11	61.99	62.56	62.18	20 20	62.17	62.54	5	Che	8	3	62.44	61.02	62.07	100	
		0		4.72	4.8	4.51	4.9	4.76	4.62	2	42.4	9	4.8	4.84	4.83	4.52	4.69	4.75	4.78	4.63	4.87	3.05	4.53	3.04	4.54	4.55	3.02	9		4.92	4.97	4.53		0	5	3.03	4.51	4.83		
		ALO.		4.06	4.16	3.94	404	4.1	4.04	80.4	7		90.9	4.22	4.23	4.16	4.10	3.93	5.92	10.00	4.2	4.00	4.09	4.03	7	20.00	3.76	7	7	3.92	4.03	2.73		0,4	Š	4.22	3.73	4.02		
		S		21.54	21.55	21.75	21.72	21.55	23.52	21.95	21.75	1 30	111	21.86	21.26	11.2	21.12	21.25	21.14	21.52	21.22	21.56	21.02	977	11.4	512	21.26	21.32	1	11.2	27.22	::		S	ë	21.95	21.02	21.52		
8		Part No.		11		11	22		22	:	+	+	: :			12	2	11	12	2	u	77	2	::	72	2	$\dashv$	+	2 2		Н	:					Min.	Ave.	Max.	Min.
		DATE		01/01/2016	07/01/2016	15/01/2016	31/01/2016	01/02/2016	07/02/2016	15/02/2016	28/02/2016	07/03/2016	15/03/2016	31/03/2016	01/04/2016	07/04/2016	15/04/2016	30/04/2016	01/05/2016	07/05/2016	15/05/2016	31/05/2016	01/06/2016	07/06/2016	15/06/2016	30/06/2016	01/07/2016	9100/00/0	31/07/2016	01/08/2016	07/08/2016	15/08/2016					virilled		650	365



			_	_		_	_		_	_		_	_	_	_		_	_	_				_	_	_	_	_
	Soundness	Autoclare W	900	0.12	0.11	13	80'0	8070	800	10	60'0	075	600	90'0	0.1	0.1	200	10	80'0		Soundness	Autoclare W	0.14	90'0	0.1	080	
	kengh m <sup>)</sup> )	7day	a	30.2	53	30.2	38.6	8	82	8	30.2	29.2	28.2	30.2	8	8	æ	31.4	53		breagh m²)	7day	31.4	28.2	29.73		23.00
	Comp. Strength (Nimm')	3day	111	50.6	22.4	111	20.8	22.4	77	22.8	21.8	Z,	22	22.4	23	32.6	111	11.1	22.4		Comp. Strength (Nimm*)	3day	23.4	19.0	22.16		93
is est	- Figure	F(k)	08:30	03:30	03:30	03:10	03:30	03:10	03:30	03:30	03:40	03:40	03:40	03:30	03:20	03:30	08:30	03:30	03:10	ext	time	F(k)	03:30	03:10	03:28	9	
Physical Test	Setting time	(iii)	35	140	130	120	160	120	140	140	120	ន	8	160	130	140	340	140	120	Physical Test	Serting time	1 (mi)	160	120	137		3
P.	1		52	23.3	523	23.3	523	523	523	233	523	22	ä	23.3	523	523	52	233	523	Ph	1	100	23.30	23.30	23.30		
	Blaine	(carlign)	3367	3097	2943	3024	2002	3035	3076	3129	3010	900	3119	3024	3010	6667	3076	3119	3097		Shire	(cariga)	3383	5719	3029		2500/2300
	angine	) for	0.22	0.18	0.14	0.18	0.12	170	0.18	0.18	0.22	70	977	0.14	0.22	0.23	870	0.18	81.0			) #08I	85	0.12	670	П	
	Financia Raidue (M)	106	4.78	4.52	300	4.52	400	484	4.8	474	4.63	436	9	3.42	4.48	4.87	2	431	m		Financia Residue (M)	106	22	m	4.47		
(96)	24.0	3	13.69	13.38	13.64	15.34	14.73	14.83	14.91	13.7	13.03	14.83	3	13.12	14.36	14.79	14.48	13.91	14.12	(96)	74.0	3	13.7	13.69	14.69		
Cement Compounds (96)	2	ş	222	9	111	2.44	2.78	977	1.78	138	573	67.7	187	232	288	1.79	582	787	707	Cement Compounds (%)	3	ş	282	##	573	33.55.0	
nt Comp	8	3	26.02	23.37	20.72	31.69	23.31	28.02	28.42	12.91	28.1	78.34	27.19	27.73	23.18	23.87	23.08	17.77	23.46	nt Com	9,	3	31.69	23.08	1697		
Ceme	80	3	46.08	47.31	46.28	40.62	48.26	44.63	44.71	45.02	44.01	44.07	46.03	43.91	49.76	47.37	49.13	43.17	47.66	Ceme	9,7	3	49.76	40.62	43.73		
	W	ē	0.87	0.74	0.72	0.82	9870	0.84	0.78	0.74	1870	0.82	980	0.81	0.87	0.78	0.87	0.87	870		W	ĕ	0.88	0.72	0.82		
Models	100	ii.	252	141	174	237	233	77	2.49	24	62.39	2.43	247	539	77	677	338	23	526	Models	100	No.	328	233	2.44		
	10 10	307	60	68.0	68.0	0.87	160	68.0	880	880	8870	880	680	68'0	160	68.0	160	68.0	60		101	107	1610	280	68.0	1.02	9970
	Š	3	107	660	60	111	##	660	0.78	6870	60	89	9	1.03	660	0.74	0.78	103	9		3	1.000	173	0.74	960		
	,ä	Se.	6970	0.72	9870	970	870	970	3	970	970	890	790	0.72	970	970	0.73	679	87		.희	SS.	9870	0.46	970	Э	
	Ž	i i	¥736	8	39.65	99.45	883	99.43	99.44	8	8786	8768	59.47	96.36	6766	86.38	88	88	99.49		į	1001	7.66	96.24	99.37		
(96)	5	101	249	1.76	n	2	171	273	97	17	23	133	5	17.1	27	202	27	131	2.46	(96)	5	101	97	5	272	4	
Analysis	٤	Ş	245	139	238	232	212	27	51	241	238	~	2	133	2.03	177	525	23	208	<b>Anal</b> ysis	٤	ŝ	2.46	2.80	3.04	23/23	
Chemical Analysis	Ş	g.	3.20	97	33	267	3.14	×		53	52		308	308	3.1		28		33	Chemical Analysis	Ş		3.30	2.80	3.04	-	$\square$
2	8		61.7	62.08	62.17	619	29	61.84	9779	Н	$\vdash$	61.71	62.28	62.33	62.36	62.13	62.07	61.84	62.07	១	٤		62.56	61.50	61.94	Ц	$\square$
	-	5	3	3.12	3.14	3.04	484	4.88	49	3,16	434	4.88	47	4.97	4.72	436	4.76	437	4.64		-	5	5.16	4.50	4.83	Ц	$\square$
	$\vdash$	Š	22	3.78	3.7	4.14	$\vdash$	4.08	22	Н	4.02	358	406	4.03	4.1	3.78	4.12	399	3.72		-	2	414	3.70	3.95		$\square$
		5	21.2	21.42	21.6	21.74	503	21.32	21.68	21.38	21.38	21.54	21.6	27.38	21.18	21.34	20.98	21.38	21.42		S	5	21.78	20.90	21.43		$\square$
	Part No.		22	83	12 9	ı	83	12 9	22 25	52	12 9	22	23		22 97	83	12 9	12	13				Max.	Min	Ave.	Max.	Min
	DATE		31/08/3078	9102/60/10	9102/60/20	13/09/201	9102/60/08	9102/01/10	07/10/2016	13/10/2016	9102/01/18	01/11/2016	07/11/2016	9102/11/51	9102/11/08	9102/21/10	9102/21/20	13/12/2016	31/17/2016					verified		689	1981

## 4. Conclusions

1- The basis for this property is well-burned clinker with consistent chemical composition and free lime. There are only two reasons for the clinker free lime to change in a situation with stable kiln



- operation: variation in the chemical composition of the kiln feed or variations in its fineness. Variations in fineness depend on possible changes in raw materials or in operation of the raw mill. Variation in chemical composition is related to raw mix control and the homogenization process.
- 2- Refractory chemistry is important because it helps determine if a given product is compatible with certain applications. Although the chemical composition alone is not a suitable criterion to define product usage.
- 3- The finer the raw mix will have to be ground to ensure satisfactory combination at acceptable kiln temperatures. When decarbonation is complete at about 1100 C, the feed temperature rises more rapidly. Lime reacts with silica to form belite (C2S) but the level of unreacted lime remains high until a temperature of ~1250 C is reached. This is the lower limit of the rmodynamic stability of alite (C3S). At ~1300 C partial melting occurs, the liquid phase (or flux) being provided by the alumina and iron oxide present. The level of unreacted lime reduces as C2S is converted to C3S. The process will be operated to ensure that the level of unreacted lime (free lime) is below 3%.
- 4- As the clinker passes under the flame it starts to cool and the molten C3A and C4AF, which constitute the flux phase, crystallize. This crystallization is normally complete by the time the clinker exits the rotary kiln and enters the cooler at a temperature of 1200 C. Slow cooling should be avoided as this can result in an increase in the belite content at the expense of alite and also the formation of relatively large C3A crystals which can result in unsatisfactory concrete rheology.
- 5- Higher amount of sandstone is hard to burn in kiln because it consumes large amount of coaml and it even increases free lime.
- 6- Percentage of C<sub>3</sub>S content in clinker in increased. Alite hardens the cement faster and contributes to early strength formation. It resistant to sulfur attack hence high strength of C<sub>3</sub>S will increase the strength at all ages.
- 7- Lime saturations factor (LSF), Silica Modulus (SM) and Alumina Modulus (AM) and variations in clinker quality on the limit. It can be decreased by carrying out various steps at different level which reduces the deviations of blending efficiency, raw mill feed, kiln feed and clinker compositions and its minerals.
- 8- LSF should be in the range of 92%±1, but minimum value obtained is 89%. To determine the silica and the SM minimum value obtained is 2.3 and it goes to a maximum value of 2.65. The minimum AM value obtained is 0.7 and it goes to a maximum value of 0.9. The importance of the calculation is preparation a kiln feed mixture with a suitable content of calcium carbonate (61-65%) to avoid hard burning process at high content of calcium carbonate content, as well as to avoid friction resulting from the silica on the formed coat on the internal kiln wall when calcium carbonate content is low.
- 9- When the LSF is above 0.95 there is an excess of lime, which cannot be combined no matter how long the clinker is fired and this remains as free lime in the clinker. As a low level of uncombined lime must be achieved the influence of LSF on the content of C3S and C2S.
- 10- The higher silica modulus (SM) the less molten liquid or flux is formed. This makes clinker combination more difficult unless the LSF is reduced to compensate. The flux phase facilitates the coalescence of the clinker into nodules and also the formation of a protective coating on the refractory kiln lining.
- 11- High Alumina Modulus (AM) cements will have a high C3A content, and this can be disadvantageous in certain cement applications, where it is desired to minimize the concrete temperature rise.
- 12- The SO3 have to be closely controlled because they are volatilized in the kiln and can cause severe operational problems associated with their condensation and the formation of build-ups in the kiln.

## References

- Raili Kajaste and Markku Hurme "Cement Industry Greenhouse Gas Emissions Management Options And Abatement Cost" Aalto University, Department of Biotechnology and Chemical Technology, Finland, Journal of Cleaner Production, Vol.112, 2016, pp.4041-4052.
- L. M. Aksel'rod, I. G. Maryasev and A. A. Platonov, "Method Of Determining The Resistance Of Furnace Refractories To Slag And Clinker", Journal of Refractories and Industrial Ceramics Vol. 54, No. 4, 2013, pp. 59 64.
- Adem Atmaca and RecepYumrutas," Analysis Of The Parameters Affecting Energy Consumption Of A RotaryKiln In Cement Industry", University of Gaziantep, Department of Mechanical Engineering, , Turkey, Journal of Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol.66, 2014, pp.435-444.
- Azad Rahman, M.G. Rasul, and S. Sharma" Cement Kiln Process Modeling to Achieve Energy Efficiency by Utilizing Agricultural Biomass as Alternative Fuels" School of Engineering and Technology, Australia,



- chapter 9, 2016, pp.197-225.
- Junli Zhang, Jianguo Liu and Cheng Yiying" Comparison Of The Fixation Effects Of Heavy Metals By Cement Rotary Kiln" *Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, China*, Journal of Hazardous Materials, Vol. 165, 2009, pp.1179-1185.
- A.M. Castañón, S. García-Granda, and M.P. Lorenzo "Energy And Environmental Savings Via Optimisation Of The ProductionProcess At A Spanish Cement Factory", Journal of Cleaner Production, Vol. 98, 2015, pp.47-52.
- M. Pisaroni, R. Sadi and D. Lahaye"Counteracting Ring Formation In Rotary Kilns" Journal ofMathematics in Industry,2012,http://www.mathematicsinindustry.com
- Guoxiang Yin"High Performance Iron-Rich Magnesia-Spinel Composite For Burning Zone Of Cement Rotary Kiln" School of materials Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, China, Advanced Materials Research, ISSN: 1662-8985, Vols. 476, 2012,, pp 1915-1919.
- B.Gerald and M.T.Homas,"Magnesia-Bricks An Innovative Burnt Basic Refractory",inProceedingsofUNITECR'99,Osaka,Japan,2009, pp. 201–203.
- G. Gelbmann, R. Krischanitz and S. Joerg Austria "Hybrid Spinels Technology For Basic Bricks In Chemically Highly Loaded Cement Rotary Kilns" Proceedings of the Unified International Technical Conference on Refractories, American Ceramic Society, 2014, PP.1-3