

Identification and Analysis of Factors that Affect Student's learning among University Students

Samuel Embiza Tadesse (Corresponding author)

Department of Psychology, Adigrat University, PO box 50, Adigrat, Tigray, Ethiopia

Kibrom Gidey

Department of Psychology, Adigrat University, PO box 50, Adigrat, Tigray, Ethiopia

Abstract

The purpose of this study was assessing the factors that affect students learning in University graduate students. The main focus of this study was to see how some students perform well than the other in the academic achievement. To this end: four departments in the college of social science and humanities were selected for these department were the only one in the college which has graduate classes, in which 52 students questionnaire were collected, in addition to the instrument, focused group discussion was also made. All graduate class, who have been in the respected department were also involved in the study. Of the respective total population size of the study groups, 52 students as sample size were taken. Besides, some students were asked to give their idea using informal interview to strengthen the collected data. Prior to the data collection, a pilot study was made to see the reliability and face validity of the instrument. Thus, the reliability of the instrument was 0.7 in Cronbach alpha. After having the intended data, SPSS version 16 was employed to analysis the data. In here, factorial analysis, Pearson correlation, coefficient alphas, descriptive statistics, and percentage were employed on the data. The use of factor analysis has accomplished in identifying six distinct factors, so that using the highest load of the items the highest six components were considered to infer the result of the study: teaching methodology, teacher student relationship, peer influence, class size, context of the students, and personal interaction were identified as factors that affect in the university students' learning. Based on these findings conclusions and possible recommendations were made.

Keywords: Learning, Factor, outside factors, inside factors

1. Introduction

1.1 Back Ground of Study

Learning, in psychology, is the process by which a relatively lasting change in potential behavior occurs that brought about by experience Barron et al (2015). Incase learning is talking about step by step process in which an individual experiences permanent, lasting changes in knowledge, behavior or way of processing the world Jameson et al (2008). Students between the age 5 and 18 years are expected to learn in school. This is the primary job in society and it is possibly the one thing that will prepare them to become productive members in their adult year what they learn education Traylor (2010).

In order for the student to learn there are several factor that must be considered. Most of these factors could be categorized in to internal and external. Traylor (2010) for instance has discussed that factors related with social or cultural values, the school environment as well as teachers and administration that teach them has an impact on students learning ability. Another important factor falls up on the student ability and willingness to learn.

(Elsworth (1995), Traylor (2010), Pamela and Davis-Kean (2005), and Sears (2015)) have found that socio economic status, parent's education, school structure and resource, language barriers, learning disability, teacher administration, student willing to learn, and family back grounds could affect the learner. Besides, Haas (2002) found that students' learning has relation to the teaching methodology.

In line with above, Pamela and Davis-Kean (2005) has concluded that there are two principle agencies, the family and the school, which powerfully shapes the student's learning experience. The influences of these two agencies in constrained by the wider social and cultural systems in to which they are embed Sears (2015).

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Developing country, like Ethiopia, should properly utilize and adopt the experience of teaching and learning process and experience of the middle income and developed countries to bring a desired progress so as to exploit its human resources for better economic growth.

One of the indicators of quality of education is the academic performance of student; however, most of the students were not seemed to perform well in their university course as expected. Teachers blame and cursed the new generation as if all students have no substance in academia. The academic staff and students have sat for a meeting usually, however, it is little documentation and research around the problems of the university. Thus, Students' learning can be affected by a number of factors that emanate from within the university and out of the

university. Low academic performance adversely affects the motivation of the learners and ultimately brings about failure and wastage: to minimize this educational wastage, Adigrat University implementing excellent and various policies to bring quality education: However, factors that mainly affect to students learning were not well documented. Based on the above mentioned premises, this study was attempted to answer the following question.

1. What factors affects the student learning in the university students.
2. Which factors are emanate from the inside and outside of the university

1.3 Objectives of the Study

1.3.1 General Objective

The objective of this study was to assess factors that affecting students' learning in the context of Adigrat University.

1.3.2 Specific Objectives

- To identify factors that emanate from the inside and outside of the university.
- To examine factor that highly affects the student learning in general.

1.4 Significant of the Study

University is among the vital organization in which the younger generations are shaped towards the progressive advancement of every aspect in a nation. University students should be trained properly; their problems should be identified and treated accordingly with the collaboration of all teachers, administrative and other concerned bodies, thus, this study could have the following significance:

1. It initiates university and other stakeholders to give emphasis on factors affecting student learning., and
2. The finding and suggested recommendation could be used as possible solutions to resolve the problem.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Site

The study was conducted in Tigray Regional state Northern Ethiopia where the three Universities are located, and categorized in first, second and third generation according to their establishment. Among the three, Adigrat University is the newer one but enrolled over 10,000 students in 37 departments with six distinct colleges, found about 898 kilometer from the capital of the country. Communities of the region are more engage on agriculture and live in rural areas.

2.2 Data collection

The data for the analysis were collected from students in the graduate class through focused group discussion and structured instruments. The target population for the study consists of all students at college of social science and humanities in the university. Each graduate class was purposively selected for the study in order to have a representation and more information on the university life: of all university students', 50 students were randomly selected from each department. Two-stage random sampling procedure was used for data collection. In the first stage, college and departments were selected purposively and in the second stage cohorts of students defined from the graduate class attended were selected randomly.

Thus, primary data were used in the study, prior to its distribution pilot study has been made with few graduate students for checking the reliability and found to be 0.7 in Cronabach alpha and the actual data were collected through administering reliability and face validity checked structured questionnaire to students. Following the data collection, responses were coded and entered in SPSS version 16 software for statistical analysis. Factorial analysis was employed to identify and assess the factors which affect students learning in university.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Results

3.1.1 Analysis the Results of Data

Total items in the instrument were 25 in number which showed the overall variables to identify the factors. The distributed questionnaires were completed and returned on time, and analyzed using the SPSS software version 16. The data that gathered from the participant were coded, labeled and tabulated using the SPSS.

Table 3.1 Characteristic of Respondents

No	Items		Frequency	Percent
1	Sex	Female	27	51.923%
		Male	25	48.07%
2	Age	17-19	1	1.9%
		20-22	32	61.5%
		23-25	15	28.8%
		26-28	1	1.9%
		Above 28	3	5.8%
3	Family Economic Status	Low	8	15.4%
		Medium	41	78.8%
		High	3	5.8%

The above table shows that there were more female respondents than male respondents. Regarding the age wise, the majority of the respondents 32(61.5%) were between the age of 20-22 years which could mean that the beginning of adult hood. Besides, as indicated in the above table the majority of the respondents were come from the medium income level families, 41(78.8%) of the respondents.

KMO and Bartlett's Test in the SPSS was used to measure the sampling adequacy, and found to be 0.573.

“The Kaisare-Meyer-Olkin (1977) measure of the sampling adequacy and Bartlett test of sphericity is addressed that the KMO statistic varies between 0 and 1. A value of 0 indicated that the sum of partial correlation is lager relative to the sum of correlations, indicating diffusion in the pattern of correlations (hence, factor analysis is likely to be inappropriate). A value close to 1 indicates that a pattern of correlation is relatively compact and so factor analysis should yield distinct and reliable factor. Kaiser (1974) recommends accepting value greater than 0.5 as acceptable (value blow this should be lead you to either to collect more data or rethink which variable to include).” (Field, 2005:5)

Based on the Kaisers ideas the sampling adequacy for the instrument of this study is 0.573. Therefore sample size is acceptable

3.1. 2 Identifying the Factors that Affect Student Learning

Factor analysis was employed in principal component to analyze and decide on the correlation matrix using both un-rotated factor solution and screen plot so that to extract, select, and loaded eigen value. In addition to this, Factor analysis on rotation method, using the varimax, Anderson-Rubin and coefficient matrix, was done to display and select both rotated solution and loading plot so that to put the maximum iterations for convergence was 25 variables. Thus, Factorial analysis option was used to select exclude cases pair wise and in coefficient display format identified in both sorted by size and suppress absolute values less than 0.5.

Table 3.2 Communalities

No	Item	initial	Extraction
1	Crowed classroom affects students learning	1.00	.746
2	The space within our class has effect on the learning process	1.00	.752
3	Our teacher can make one to one communications with all of us	1.00	.638
4	The class conditions prepared for learning is standardized one.	1.00	.721
5	Textbooks and reading materials are available to each students	1.00	.657
6	University building are conducive for teaching learning process	1.00	.690
7	library is open for 24 hours except in the holiday	1.00	.682
8	The library has all the necessary reference materials.	1.00	.680
9	The teacher uses the laboratory effectively	1.00	.670
10	Our teacher gives us number of exercises and tests	1.00	.806
11	Our teachers encourages us to be active participates in teaching learning process	1.00	.806
12	Our teacher are qualified and competent enough to teach	1.00	.806
13	Our teachers continuously follow up and evaluate our progress	1.00	.705
14	I feel well when I am with other students	1.00	.788
15	I always sit in center among classmate	1.00	.805
16	I Prefer to study with students in group	1.00	.753
17	Group work helps me to learn well	1.00	.738
18	My parents encourage me to perform well	1.00	.689
19	My parents communicate about my progress with my teacher	1.00	.778
20	My parents involve in university activities when requested	1.00	.672
21	My parents are well educated which reinforce me to perform well	1.00	.803
22	Students are motivated to learning	1.00	.660
23	Students are attend the class regularly	1.00	.574
24	Students are distracted their concentration during teaching and learning	1.00	.776
25	The teacher motivates us to increase our concentration in class	1.00	.747
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis			

As shown in the above table of the SPSS output, the communality before and after extraction. Principal components analysis works on the initial assumption that all variance is common; therefore, before extraction the communalities are all has 1. The communalities in the column labeled extraction reflected that the common variance in the data structure. For example, we can see the first item of the instrument comprises 0.746% of the variance associated with question 1 is common or shared variance. Another ways to look at these communalities is in terms of the proportion of the variance explained by the underlying factors. After extraction some of the factors are discarded and some information was lost. The amount of variance in each variable that can be explained by the retained factors is represented by the communalities after extraction.

3.1.3 Components Matrix of the variable

Table 3.3 shows that the variable before and after rotation. This matrix contains the loading of each variable onto each factor/component. By default SPSS displays all loadings; however, it was requested that all loadings less than 0.5 to be suppressed in the output and so to made it blank for many of the loading value so as to make it important for interpretation and to arrange the variable in a way that readers could understand it. Thus, six factors have been extracted using Kaiser’s Criteria in factorial analysis which used to be accurate for less than 30 variables and communality after extraction with value greater than 0.7. The communalities of this study exceeded 0.7. The average of communality can be found by adding them up and dividing by the numbers of communality in table 3.2 that is $(18.006/25=0.72024)$.

3.1.4 Factor rotation

The rotated component matrix (also called the rotated factor matrix in factor analysis) which is a matrix of the factor loadings for each variable of each factor. This matrix contains the same information as component matrix in the output except that it is calculated after rotation. There are things to considered about the format of matrix such as, factor loadings less than 0.5 have not been display because the study set up for these loading to be suppressed.

Table 3.3 variable which were included in the SPSS

Component Matrix		Component					
No	Items	1	2	3	4	5	6
12	Our teachers are qualified and competent enough to teach	0.745					
13	Our teachers are continuously follow up and evaluate our progress	0.665					
22	Students are motivated to learn	0.631					
11	Our teachers are encourages us to be active participants in teaching and learning process	0.625					
16	I prefer to study with students in group	0.618					
17	Group work helps me to learn well	0.611					
10	Our teacher gives us a number of exercises and test	0.557					
25	The teacher motivates us to increase our concentration in class	0.55					
8	The library has all the necessary materials	0.539	0.526				
3	Our teachers make one to one communication with all of us		0.668				
9	The teacher uses the laboratory effectively		0.653				
5	Textbook and reading materials are available to each students		0.537				
23	Students are attend the class regularly		-0.534				
19	My parents communicated about my progress with my teacher			0.649			
6	University building is conducive for teaching learning process			-0.514			
1	Crowed classroom affect the students learning				-0.653		
2	The space within our class has the effect on the learning process				-0.524		
24	Students are distracted their concentration during teaching and learning				-0.511		
14	I feel well when I am with other students					0.630	
21	My parents are well educated who reinforce me to perform well					-0.502	
15	I always sit in the center among my classmate						0.698
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. a. 6 components extracted.							

When one looked in to the Comparability of the matrix with the un-rotated solution before rotation, most variable loaded highly onto the first factor and the remaining factors did not really get a look in. however the

rotation of the factor structure has clarified things considerably; there are six factors and variable load very highly onto only one factor exception other four items due to the suppression of loadings less than 0.5 and ordering variables by loading size also makes interpretation considerably easier. As can be seen in the below table, four item variables were not loaded in the identified component due to they have less than 0.5 value. Thus, the first six components were displayed with their value greater than 0.5.

Table 3.4 variables which were excluded in the SPSS

No	Items	Component					
		1	2	3	4	5	6
18	My parents encourage me to perform well						
7	Library found in the university is open 24 hours except in the holiday						
4	The class condition prepared for learning is standardized one						
20	My parents involves in university activity when requested						

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
 a. 6 components extracted.

3.1.5 Interpretation

The next step was done at the content of the item question that loads onto the same component to try to identify common themes. If the mathematical factor produced by the analysis represents some real world construct then common themes among highly loading questions can helps to identifies what factors might be. The question that loads highly on factor 1 seems to be teaching methodology. The variables those that are highly loading on factor 2 seem related to teacher student relationship. The question that loads highly on factor 3 labeled to context of the students. The items that loading highly on the 4th is related to class size. The variables that loading highly on the 5 is related to personal interaction. Then, finally the question that loads highly on the 6 is related to peers influence.

3.1.6 Scree plot Interpretation

In the below scree plot indicated that the majority of the variable loading on component 1 than the other components like from component 2 up to the last component. Therefore based on the given eigen value in the SPSS it give us the many component by loading the variable based the eigen value, in which below eigen value 1 were not considered as factors.



3.2 Discussion

It has been distributed an instrument with 25 items to gather the information about the factor that affect student learning at Adigrat University. But the instrument was remained 21 after discarded the 4 items which could not be found loaded in either of the components in the factorial analysis output. Based on the research questions, objective of the study and analysis part of the paper a precise discussion has been made.

The economic status of parents, context of students, could have its own impact on the students learning because 78.8% of the participants come from the family who has medium income level. The finding of this study agrees with Pamela and Davis-Kean (2005) who suggest that the economic status has a negative impact on the student learning. It is also meant the students who came from low and medium economic background could be exposed to risk behavior through the peer influence, besides, result from the focused group discussion shows that those who came from low income level were also suffered by lack of material that help them to learn.

Crowded classroom and space with in class were also found to have a negative impact to learn. In line with this Brewer (2001) found that classrooms size has its own impact to the students learning. Thus, crowded classroom affect students learning which has a consequence on the teaching methodology and teachers-students relationship.

These all mentioned in the above are the factors that emanate from the inside the universities.

One of the items which shows the parental involvement in the students learning was asked whether Parents communicate with teacher has an impact in their academia, then it was found to be median 4 which means around 80 percent of the respondents were agreed. On the other hand the factorial analysis showed that teachers – students relations has found to be one factor which contribute in students learning ability. Roekel (2008) was discussed that in the past parents involvement was characterized by passive interaction, however, today the old model has been replaced with a much more include approach school-family-community-partnership, business leader and community groups all participating in good-oriented activities at all grade levels –linked to students achievement and school success in this levels lack of parental involvement to see their children on going. In line with this Adigrat University also starts up parents’ day celebration with university students’ parent to discuss on their status.

As has been discussed in the above, if there is strong teachers-parent relationship through teachers – students’ relationship the other factor like peer influence and personal interaction would come in to the intended activities, otherwise peer influence found to be one factor which affect learning. Similarly the personal interaction skill also affects with other factors interchangeably.

4. Conclusion and Recommendation

4.1 Conclusions

Based on the aforementioned finding it was arrived as the following conclusion

As it was reported by the respondent class room was crowded, thus, class size has its own impact. In the legislation of the university article 36 stated that class size could be as large as 80 except for the language department in the top case. However, the problem of large class size had on the teaching and learning process had been supported by quite number of the respondents.

In addition to the class size, teaching methodology, teachers to students’ relationship has also correlate with class size. Therefore, the three factors are emanate from the inside the university which can be administered inside the university.

On the other hand, context of the student where an individual student spent on co-curricular activity may affect students learning while in campus. Especially the personal interaction skill could also relate with the peer influence too. Therefore, these three factors could also be categorized in to factors that emanate from outside the university might influence the students learning.

To this end, the finding of the study come up with six distinct factors that affect the university students’ learning ability which can also be categorized in to two further groups called factors from inside and outside of the university.

4.2 Recommendations

To improve the learning ability of the Adigrat University Students the following recommendation is forwarded

- ✓ To assist the students who came from the family low socioeconomic back ground, university established a committee of welfare to students. However, it has to be strengthened the committee through various income generating projects and has to cover the expense of these needy students.
- ✓ The interaction among the parents, teachers and students could be the pillar quality education. In Adigrat University, it has already started up, should be consistent and has to be the pioneer in introducing in celebrating the parents day at higher education institutions.
- ✓ Regarding the classroom size, it is all about administration. An experienced teacher could teach large class but to the one who was not experienced might demotivate them so does could not mange in delivering teaching to the whole class. Thus, the university should reduce in to class size as small as language department. In order to assist the students learning try to use the standardize classroom with specific amounts of students.
- ✓ Experience is more than schooling, but there has to be refreshment course to teachers on methodology and policy of the country. It has to be obliged to attain on these training to the academic staff too.

References

- Barron, A. B., Hebets, E. A., Cleland, T. A., Fitzpatrick, C. L., Hauber, M. E., & Stevens, J. R. (2015) (in press). Embracing multiple definitions of learning. *Trends in Neurosciences* DOI:10.1016/j.tins.2015.04.008
- Brewer, H. (2001). Ten steps to success. *Journal of Staff Development*, 22 (1), 30–31.

- Eleworth, S.(1995). do language barriers affecting students Performance in school. Global post
- Field, A.(2005), *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS*, 2nd edn, SAGE, London. Available on <http://www.statisticshell.com/docs/factor.pdf>
- Haas, S.M (2002).The Influence of Teaching Methods on Student Achievement on Virginia’s End of Course Standards of Learning Test for Algebra I. Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Education In Educational Leadership and Policy Studies. Virginia Beach, Virginia. Available on <http://scholar.lib.vt.edu/theses/available/etd-10062002-202857/unrestricted/HAASDISSERTATION.PDF>
- Jameson, J.K., Clayton, P.H., & Bringle, R.G. (2008). Investigating student learning within and across linked service-learning courses. In M.A. Bowdon, S.H. Billig, & B.A. Holland (Eds.), *Advances in service-learning research: Scholarship for sustaining service-learning and civic engagement* (pp. 3-27). Greenwich, CN: Information Age Publishing
- Pamela E. and Davis-Kean (2005). The Influence of Parent Education and Family Income on Child Achievement: The Indirect Role of Parental Expectations and the Home Environment. *Journal of Family Psychology*. Vol. 19, No. 2, 294–304. Available on <http://www.rcgd.isr.umich.edu/garp/articles/davis-kean05.pdf>
- Roekel, V.D (2008) parent , Family , Community involvement in Education. An NEA policy brief. NEA Education Policy and Practice Department | Center for Great Public Schools | 1201 16th St., NW, Washington, D.C. 20036. Available on http://www.nea.org/assets/docs/PB11_ParentInvolvement08.pdf
- Sears, W., and Sears, M. (2015) the baby book everything you need to know about Your baby from birth to age two.3rd edition available on www.askdrsears.com
- Traylor. D (2010). factors that affect student learning; available on news.wikinut.com

Bibliography

- Ali, Norhidayah, Jus off, Kamaruzaman, Ali, Syukriah, Mokhtar, Najah and Salamt, Azni Syafena Andin. (2009). ‘The Factors Influencing Students’ Performance at Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah, Malaysia’. *Canadian Research & Development Center of Sciences and Cultures: Vol.3 No.4*.
- Astin, A. W. (1982). *The American Freshman, 1966-1981: Some Implications for Educational Policy and Practice*. National Commission on Excellence in Education (ED), Washington, DC. Available on <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED227070.pdf>
- Barkley, Andrew. (2006). ‘The Determinants of College Student Performance: The Role of Assessment Method’. WAEA annual meetings.
- By Mushtaq, I. and Khan, N.S (2012). Factors Affecting Students’ Academic Performance. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research Volume 12 Issue 9 Version 1.0 June 2012*
- Cochran, W. (1977). *Sampling Techniques*. Third Edition. John Wiley and Sons (ASIA) PteLtd
- Elsworth, G. R., and Coulter, F. (1977). Aspiration and attainment: the measurement of professional self- perception in students teachers (Occasional paper, No.11). Hawthorn, Vic: the Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Goldstein, H., Rasbash, J. and Yang, M. (1998). A Multi-level Analysis of School Examination Results. *Oxford Review of Education*, 19 (4), 425-433.
- Hijazi, Syed Tahir and Naqvi, S.M.M. Raza. (2006). ‘Factors Affecting Students’ Performance: A Case of Private Colleges’. *Bangladesh e-Journal of Sociology: Volume 3, No. 1*.
- Kernan, Willium, Bogart, Jane and Wheat, Mary E. (2011). Health related Barriers to learning among graduate student, *Health Education*, vol. 11, No. 5, pp.425-455.
- Nihaadh, M. (2012). Teaching Methodology: One of the Factors Affecting Academic Achievement of Secondary Grade Students. Faculty of Educational Studies. Villa College, Maldives <http://www.villacollege.edu.mv/iri/images/teaching-methodology.pdf>
- Pauk, W., & Ross, J. Q. (2010). *How to study in college* (10th ed.). Boston, MA: Wadsworth.
- Pyc, M. A., & Dunlosky, J. (2010). Toward an understanding of students’ allocation of study time: Why do they decide to mass or space their practice? *Memory & Cognition*, 38, 431–440.
- Rowe, J.K (1995). Factors affecting students progress in reading: key findings from a longitudinal study. *Literacy, teaching and learning. An international journal of realy literacy*. Vol 1 No 2.University of melborne. Available on http://www.earlyliteracyinfo.org/documents/pdf/doc_172.pdf
- Ryan, H. (2013). "The Effect of Classroom Environment on Student Learning". Honors Theses. Paper 2375. Available on http://scholarworks.wmich.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3380&context=honors_theses
- Tesfaw, D and Derebew M. (2014). Multilevel Analysis on Determinants of Academic Achievement of Second Year Regular Students: The Case of Addis Ababa University School of Commerce. *Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSR-JRME) e-ISSN: 2320-7388,p-ISSN: 2320-737X Volume 4, Issue 6 Ver. IV (Nov - Dec. 2014), PP 42-49* www.iosrjournals.org DOI: 10.9790/7388-04644249 www.iosrjournals.org

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

Academic conference: <http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/>

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

