

CPKMS: A Knowledge Management Repository For Community Pediatrics

Oladejo Bolanle F.¹ Olaolorun Ifeoluwatayo A.^{1,2*}

1. Computer Science Department, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

2. Physical and Computer sciences Department, McPherson University, P.O.Box 2094, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria.

* E-mail of the corresponding author: tayo.olaolorun@gmail.com

Abstract

There has been rapid advancement from data to information-to knowledge in the scope of medicine. Although knowledge management conceptual frameworks and models in medicine have been proposed, there is, however, the need for a knowledge management system to cater for the rural healthcare sector. The purpose of this paper is to capitalize medical knowledge in community pediatrics and make it available to medical users (nurses, community doctors and rural health workers) by identifying, capturing, representing and storing such medical knowledge for reuse and distribution. The study begins with brief theoretical background and then it presents a conceptual framework adapted for the implementation of a prototype.

Keywords: Knowledge Management System; Community Pediatrics; Rural Healthcare; Medicine; Medical Knowledge.

1.0 Introduction

The notion of knowledge has been interpreted by various researchers in their fields from different perspectives. Reviews of past literatures reflect the various views based on a researchers' field and philosophical view. A detailed description about the various concepts of knowledge is discussed extensively in this section. The viewpoints of knowledge in the medical domain most importantly in community pediatrics are also addressed.

1.1 Knowledge- definitions So Far

Knowledge as defined by Davenport and Prusak (1998) states that "*it is a fluid mix of framed experience, values, contextual information, and expert insight that provides a framework for evaluating and incorporating new experiences and information*". Nonaka (1994) stated that knowledge is a justified belief that increases an individual's ability to take effective action. Bender and Fish (2000) explained that "*knowledge originates in the head of an individual (the mental state of having ideas, facts, concepts, data and techniques, as recorded in an individual's memory) and builds on information that is transformed and enriched by personal experience, beliefs and values with decision and action-relevant meaning*". Oladejo et al (2009)'s view states that an individual gets knowledge as facts retrieved from observation, learning, experience and understanding of a reality in a particular situation or context for a particular period of time.

1.2 Knowledge Management

Skyme (2011) defined Knowledge management as "*the explicit and systematic management of vital knowledge- and its associated processes of creation, organization, diffusion, use and exploitation- in pursuit of business objectives*". Jarrar *et al.* (2010)'s view of Knowledge management is that it is the process of continually managing knowledge of all kinds to meet existing and emerging needs, to identify and exploit existing and acquired knowledge assets and to develop new opportunities. It is a systematic process of underpinning, observation, instrumentation, and optimization of the firm's knowledge economies. Its overall purpose is to maximize the enterprise's knowledge related effectiveness and returns from its knowledge assets and to renew them constantly. It is the generation, representation, storage, transfer, transformation, application, embedding, and protecting of organizational knowledge (Adapted from Hedlund, 1994). Knowledge management according to Newman and Conrad (1999) is a discipline that helps improve the performance of individuals and organizations by maintaining and leveraging the present and future value of knowledge assets. It encompasses both human and automated activities and their associated artifacts.

1.3 Knowledge Management in the Medical Context

Medical knowledge is created through collection of local experience around specific clinical cases and health services/ programs, generation of new understandings of relationships between specific factors,

processes, and outcomes from primary research and policy development (Mosawi, 2011). Montani (2002) discussed the nature of medical knowledge. He opined that the introduction of Hospital Information System into clinical practice has led to the memorization of a huge quantity of data being extracted from day by day activity, and reporting the unarticulated experience of individual workers. Hsia *et. al* (2006) proposed a conceptual framework that integrated the nursing process, KM activities, and enabling information technology (IT) for designing such a nursing KMS. Orzano *et.al* (2008) pointed out that knowledge management should be seen as a framework for positioning primary care practice to meet the challenges of a rapidly changing health care system in the 21st century. A medical ontology was built by Dieng *et.al* (2006) for knowledge management for a health care network. This enables a cooperative diagnosis by members of the health care network who are doctors, nurses, and social workers. Almeida *et.al* (2010) in their work developed a knowledge management system for supporting creation, capture, storage and dissemination of information about epilepsy and epileptic seizures. Abidi *et al* (2009) developed a web-based knowledge sharing medium for fostering a community of pediatric pain practitioners that engage in collaborative learning and problem solving. The design and use of a web portal featuring a discussion forum to facilitate experiential knowledge sharing based on their knowledge sharing model (LINKS) was presented.

The above literature review points out the fact that knowledge management is no doubt, indispensable and it is therefore relevant in all aspects of medicine.

1.4 Community Pediatrics

Community pediatrics as defined by AAP (1999) states thus:

“Community pediatrics is all of the following:

- A perspective that enlarges the pediatrician’s focus from one child to all children in the community.
- A recognition that family, educational, social, cultural, spiritual, economic, environmental, and political forces act favorably or unfavorably, but always significantly, on the health and functioning of children.
- A synthesis of clinical practice and public health principles directed toward providing health care to a given child and promoting the health of all children within the context of the family, school and the community.
- A commitment to use a community’s resources in collaboration with other professionals, agencies, and parents to achieve optimal accessibility, appropriateness, and quality of services for all children, and to advocate especially for those who lack access to care because of social or economic conditions or their special health care needs.
- An integral part of the professional role and duty of the pediatrician”.

Community pediatrics focuses on the provision of primary health care to children from day old babies to adolescence.

2.0 Medical knowledge Representation and Exploitation in Community pediatrics

The aim of this paper is to capitalize medical knowledge in community pediatrics and make it available to medical users (nurses, community doctors and rural health workers) by identifying, capturing, representing and storing such medical knowledge for reuse and distribution. The approach adopted is to apply knowledge management tools to community pediatrics since existing medical knowledge management systems either excluded or included community pediatrics in them as discussed above in the literature review of knowledge management in medicine.

2.1 Adaptable Knowledge Management Framework for Community Pediatrics (AKMFCP)

Olaolorun & Oladejo (2012) proposed a conceptual framework for knowledge management in community pediatrics. The knowledge management framework is called “Adaptable Knowledge Management Framework for Community Pediatrics” (AKMFCP). AKMFCP consists of the essential components of knowledge management and its application to community pediatrics.




Fig 1: An Adaptable Knowledge Management Framework for Community Pediatrics (Olaolorun & Oladejo, 2012)

Fig 1 above consists of the following components which are:

- **Knowledge Management Processes.** Knowledge management processes are the methods used to capture and share knowledge. These processes include; medical knowledge identification, knowledge capture, knowledge actualization and knowledge reuse.
- **Community Pediatrics Processes.** These are the processes or series of activities performed in most pediatric section of rural health care centers. They include examination of child patient, diagnoses, treatment and health education.
- **Community Pediatric Resources.** These are resources needed for the smooth running of the knowledge management system. They include human resources, operational resources, medical and physical resources.
- **Information Technology.** Information technology offers a potentially useful environment within which to build a multimedia repository for rich, explicit knowledge. Input is captured by forms for assigning various labels, categories, and indices to each unit of knowledge through computer systems and applications.

2.2 Knowledge Representation using Finite Automata

Finite Automata is used for knowledge representation as suggested in (Borisa, 2010). The figure below shows the finite automata for the framework discussed in the above section.




Fig 2: The Finite Automaton for Adaptable Knowledge Management Framework for Community Paediatrics (AKMCP)

The above Finite Automaton (FA) in figure 2 is a five tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, S_0, F)$ describing the transition of medical knowledge from one state to another.

I. Q is the set of states in the automaton represented by circles in the above diagram.

$$Q = \{S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\} \text{ where}$$

S_0 = Medical data and information

S_1 = Medical knowledge in documents, medical databases, textbooks, tacit knowledge from medical practitioners

S_2 = Useful and necessary medical knowledge (tacit and explicit) extracted from documents, medical databases, textbooks, diagnoses, surgeries, treatments prescribed, observation and interviews

S_3 = Corporate memory (CM), Knowledge Management System (KMS)

S_4 = Extracted knowledge used and reused from the Corporate memory (CM), Knowledge Management System (KMS) developed.

II. Σ is the alphabet of characters that can legally occur in the input stream. Typically, Σ is the union of the edge labels in the diagram.

III. $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is the transition function for the automaton. It encodes the state changes induced by an input character for each state; δ is represented in the diagram by the labeled edges that connect states.

$$\{(\langle S_0, k_1 \rangle \rightarrow S_1), (\langle S_1, k_2 \rangle \rightarrow S_0), (\langle S_1, k_3 \rangle \rightarrow S_2), (\langle S_2, k_4 \rangle \rightarrow S_1), (\langle S_2, k_5 \rangle \rightarrow S_3), (\langle S_3, k_6 \rangle \rightarrow S_2), (\langle S_3, k_7 \rangle \rightarrow S_0), (\langle S_4, k_9 \rangle \rightarrow S_3), (\langle S_3, k_8 \rangle \rightarrow S_4)\}$$

$\{K_j\}: j = 1, 2 \dots n$ where K_j represents medical concepts

K_1 = Knowledge Identification

K_2 = Insufficient data/information available (backward transition)

K₃= Knowledge Capture

K₄= Inadequate or insufficient extracted medical knowledge (backward transition)

K₅= Knowledge Actualization, capitalization

K₆= Improper knowledge representation (backward transition)

K₇= Knowledge Retrieval and Reuse

K₈= Medical knowledge Updates

K₉= Corporate memory or Knowledge Management system not useful, outdated or irrelevant hence the (backward transition)

IV. The state $S_0 \in Q$ is the starting state or initial state of the automaton.

V. $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of states that are considered final or accepting states. In the above diagram, there is only one final state S_4 as it is drawn with a double circle.

3. Experimentation of AKMFPC and knowledge Representation with a Prototype

We build “Community Pediatrics Knowledge Management System (CPKMS)” for community pediatrics as a prototype of the framework proposed. We make use of the user-based search technique for exploration of the repository for identical cases of medical knowledge resources.

3.1 Description of CPKMS

CPKMS is a medical knowledge repository for community pediatrics. The essence of CPKMS is to allow ease of diagnoses and treatments for pediatric patients by the rural health workers. Ailments peculiar to a particular age range of children are classified and grouped together. Each age category has peculiar diseases, diagnosis and prescribed treatments. The diagram in figure 3 below shows a sequence diagram describing the basic flow of operations in the developed system.




Fig 3: A Sequence Diagram Showing the Activities Performed Between the System and the User

3.2 Experimentation

The framework and finite automata described in section 3 are implemented using a prototype to simulate the acquisition and representation of medical knowledge. The prototype also presents the exploitation of medical knowledge for reuse.

3.1.2 Medical knowledge acquisition and representation of CPKMS

The acquisition and representation of medical knowledge is simulated. Figure 4 shows the access page. The users of the system are primarily medical professionals. Access to the contents of the system is granted based on permission level stored in the database. Figure 5 describes the categorization of diseases based on the age range of children. This is because some ailments are peculiar to a particular age group.




Fig 4: Access Verification for CPKMS




Fig 5: Selection by Age Category and Description of Diseases Peculiar to New Born Infants

3.1.3 Medical knowledge Reuse and Update

Users can extract, add, update and reuse the medical knowledge stored - as this is one of the major objectives of knowledge representation and exploitation. The search technique used is The Standard Boolean Model for

knowledge extraction and retrieval by Keyword-matching. The search is based on the keyword- matching of the medical user’s query statements. Figure 6 presents a sample user’s query and response. Figure 7 shows a view for knowledge update. Knowledge update is carefully carried out as it will have to be accepted by members of the medical community at large for review before an upload can be carried out.




Fig. 6: User’s Query and Response Based on Keyword Match




Fig.7: A view for Medical Knowledge Update

4.0 Conclusion

CPKMS is undergoing testing exercise as at the time of writing this work to ensure its reliability and efficiency since it has to do with the diagnoses and prescription of treatment to children patients.

References

1. Abidi, S.R., Hussini, S., Sriraj, W., Thienthong, G. & Finley, A. (2009). "Knowledge Sharing for Pediatric Pain Management via a Web 2.0 Framework". *Medical Informatics in a United and Healthy Europe*. IOS Press.
2. Almeida, P., Gomes, P., Sales, F., Nogueira, A. & Dourado, A. (2010). "Ontology and Knowledge Management System on Epilepsy and Epileptic Seizures". In proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Semantic Web Applications and Tools for the Life Sciences, Berlin Germany.
3. American Academy of Paediatrics. (1999). "The Pediatrician's Role in Community Paediatrics". Committee on Community Health services. *Paediatrics* Vol.103 No.6 June 1999; 103; 1304.
4. Bender, S. & Fish, A. (2000). "The Transfer of Knowledge and the Retention of Expertise: The Continuing Need for Global Assignments". *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol.4 No.2, pp. 125-37
5. Borisa, J. (2010). "Extending OWL with Finite Automata Constraints". Master's Projects. Paper 12. http://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/etd_projects/12
6. Davenport, T., & Prusak, L. (1998). "Working Knowledge: How Organizations Manage What They Know". Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
7. Hedlund, G. (1994). "A model of knowledge management and the N-form Corporation". *Strategic Management Journal. Supplement: Chaos theory and strategy: Theory, application, and managerial implications*. Volume 15, Issue Supplement S2, pages 73–90, summer 1994.
8. Hsia Tzyh-Lih, Lin Li-Min, Wu Jen-Her & Tsai Hsien-Tang. (2006). "A Framework for Designing Nursing Knowledge Management Systems". *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management* Volume 1, 2006.
9. Jarrar, Y.F., & Zairi M.Z. (2010). "Knowledge Management: Learning for Organisational Experience". European Centre for Best Practice management. www.ecbpm.com
10. Montani, S. & Bellazi, R. (2002). "Supporting decisions in medical applications: the Knowledge Management Perspective". *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. Volume: 68, Issue: 1-3, Pages: 79-90.
11. Mosawi, A. (2011). "Medical knowledge management and managing knowledge within the health setting". *The New Iraqi Journal of Medicine*, 7 (2):77-82.
12. Newman, B. & Conrad, K. (1999) "A Framework for Characterizing Knowledge Management Methods, Practices, and Technologies". In "The Introduction to Knowledge Management", George Washington University Course EMGT 298. T1, spring 1999.
13. Nonaka, I. (1994), "A Dynamic Theory of Organizational Knowledge Creation". *Organization Science*, (5) 1, pp. 14-37.
14. Oladejo O.B & Osofisan A.O. (2011). "A Conceptual Framework for Knowledge Integration in the Context of Decision Making Progress". *African Journal of Computing & ICT*. Vol 4, No. 2. Issue 2, pp 25-32.
15. OlaOlorun, I.A & Oladejo F. B (2012). "Knowledge Management: A Conceptual Framework for Community Pediatrics". *Computing, Information Systems and Development Informatics Journal (CISDI)* Vol. 3 No. 4.
16. Orzano, A.J., McInerney, C.R., Scharf, D., Tallia, A.F., & Crabtree, B.F. (2008). "A Knowledge Management Model: Implications for Enhancing Quality in Health Care". *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 59(3):489–505.
17. Skyrme, D. (2011). "Knowledge Management Definition". Retrieved from <http://www.skyrme.com/kmbasics/definition.htm>

Dr. (Mrs.) Fausat B. Oladejo is a Lecturer in the Department of Computer Science, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria. She holds a PhD in Knowledge Capitalization. Her research interest is in the area of knowledge capitalization and economic intelligence.

Ifeoluwatayo A. Olaolorun lectures in the Department of Physical and Computer Sciences, McPherson University, Abeokuta, Nigeria. Her research interest is in knowledge management and bioinformatics.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:
<http://www.iiste.org>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

Recent conferences: <http://www.iiste.org/conference/>

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

