Technical Disclosure Commons

Defensive Publications Series

August 16, 2017

Smart State Management

Andy Lou *Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

I-Chun Shih Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Johnny Hung *Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

Ricky Tang Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Follow this and additional works at: http://www.tdcommons.org/dpubs_series

Recommended Citation

Lou, Andy; Shih, I-Chun; Hung, Johnny; and Tang, Ricky, "Smart State Management", Technical Disclosure Commons, (August 16, 2017) http://www.tdcommons.org/dpubs_series/626



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Technical Disclosure Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Defensive Publications Series by an authorized administrator of Technical Disclosure Commons.

Smart State Management

A method of smart state management to make a software stateful is disclosed. This method involves determining where to define states within a software using a classification learning mechanism.

This mechanism includes a normalizer, which can extract the characteristics of a job and an inspector which can determine whether a state will be bypassed according to the characteristics or human-defined rules. The characteristics is a set of attributes containing input values, execution results, and pattern of states that are used by the inspector to determine whether a particular state within a future job is exactly part of this very characteristics.

In order to make the original state machine able to adapt a new stateful flow without changing the original flow or procedures. The smart state management engine needs to define entry points and exit points in different states of software execution.



Smart State Management Engine

When software execution starts, the inspector will look up the classification table and see if a particular state matches an entry in the classification table with the same state pattern. If the state does not match any entry in the classification table, the job will be executed, and then the normalizer will update both of the characteristic table and the classification table after the execution is complete. If the state matches an entry in the classification table, this state will be bypassed and proceed to the next state in the state pattern.

ID	Characteristic	Input	Result	Time Stamp	Jobs
1	E	10.10.1.1	4	2017/04/12	1
				19:30	
2	С	288	Ture	2017/04/12	1
				19:30	
3	В	Bigvm	Bigvm	2017/05/12	1
				19:30	
4	D	{sciprt 1}	Ture	2017/08/12	1
				19:30	
5	A	{scipt 2}	200	2017/03/16	2
				19:30	

Characteristic table:

Classfication table:

ID	Кеу	Time	Bypass	States
		Stampe		
1	E {10.10.1.1,	2017/04/12	0	C,D,B,E,A
	4}	19:30		
2	E {10.10.4.5,	2017/04/19	1	A,Z,E,J,K
	6}	19:30		
3	C {288, True}	2017/04/12	1	D,B,c
		19:30		
4	B {BigVM,	2017/04/12	1	D,B,E
	BigVM}	19:30		
5	D {script 1,	2017/04/12	0	C,B,A
	True}	19:30		
6	E {10.10.6.7,	2017/04/12	0	B,C,D,E
	10}	19:30		

The way to make software execution stateful is mocking up the processes to predict what processes will be executed in a run and can be defined as a state. After the states are defined automatically by the normalizer and the inspector, these states can be used to record the state patterns and update the classification table.



Here is the expeted result:

Optimized Execution Time (P) = $\sum_{x=1}^{n} (C_x JobT_x)$

The C_x is the Bypass parameter (which can be 0 or 1) controlling either to bypass or to execute Job_x . Therefore, the more C_x values are applied to the formula, the more stateful the software execution can be. Furthermore, if more C_x values equal to 0, the fewer states will be executed and the more time can be saved.

Disclosed by Andy Lou, I-Chun Shih, Johnny Hung and Ricky Tang, Hewlett Packard Enterprise