Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare ISSN 2224-3208 (Paper) ISSN 2225-093X (Online) Vol.4, No.24, 2014



# Antibiotic resistance and Molecular characterization of *Salmonella* in diarrhoeal patients' faeces in south-western Nigeria

<sup>1</sup>Moses Ikechukwu Benjamin, <sup>\*1</sup>Oluduro AnthoniaOlufunke, Isawumi Abiola, <sup>2</sup>Ariyo AdenikeBosede and <sup>1</sup>Fashina Christina Dunnah, <sup>3</sup>Ajayi AyodeleOluwaseun

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, ObafemiAwolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria, 220005 <sup>2</sup>Biological Science, Faculty of Science, Federal University, Otuoke, Nigeria 3Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Oye-Ekiti, Nigeria \*<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: <u>aoluduro2003@yahoo.co.uk</u>. Telephone: +2348069379885.

## Abstract

The study reports the prevalence of multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) and molecular chacterization of resistance (*BlaCTX* and *GyrA*) genes in *Salmonella* recovered from stool samples of diarrhoeal patients in Ile-Ife, Osun state, Nigeria.

Salmonella was cultured on molten Salmonella and Shigella agar (Oxoid, Ltd, Bashingstoke, Hampshire, England) plate at  $37^{0}$ C. Susceptibility of isolates to antibiotics was done on Mueller Hinton (Himedia lab Ltd, Vadhani) by disk diffusion technique. Detection of plasmid DNA in multiple antibiotic resistant isolates was carried out by alkali lysis (TENS) method and resistance genes (*BlaCTX* and *GyrA*) were detected by the polymerase chain reaction. A total of 69 Salmonella (S.Typhimurium(82.6 %), S.Typhi(10.1 %) and S.ParatyphiA (7.3 %)) were cultured from 187 diarrhoeal stool samples analysed. Resistance was mostly to nitrofurantoin (100%), ceftriazone (97.2 %), and gentamicin (94.2 %) among others. Sixty seven (97.1 %) of the Salmonella isolates were resistant to at least two different classes of antibiotics with 32 antibiotypes. Multiple plasmids of molecular weights (1.46 - 23.13 kbp) and resistance genes (*GyrA*-282 bp, *blaCTX*-480 bp) were detected in the representative MAR isolates. The prevalence of MAR Salmonella in diarrhoeal patients' stool samples is high in the study area with attendant public health and economic loss consequences. **Keywords:**Salmonella, diarrhoea, antibiotic resistance, plasmid, resistance genes

### **1.0 Introduction**

Salmonellae are Gram-negative, rod-shaped, non-spore forming, and facultative anaerobic bacteria which cause gastroenteritis characterized by nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea (CDC, 2008). Salmonella has been recovered from the intestinal tract of a wide range of warm and cold-blooded animals including fish, reptiles, birds, and mammals (Cox, 1999). Diarrhoeal symptoms include stomach cramps, fever, loss of appetite, stomach upset, weight loss, dehydration, bloody stool, mucoid stool or stool with pus (Rimawaet al., 2001; Donna and Lindsay, 2002). The most commonly isolated diarrhoegenic pathogens include Escherichia coli, Rotavirus, Salmonella sp., Shigella sp., Campylobacter jejuni, Entamoebahistolytica, and Giardia lamblia. The main sources of salmonellosis in humans are food animals and their products such as raw eggs, poultry meat and pork (Haldet al., 2003). Salmonella epidemics may occur among infants in pediatric wards, immune-compromised individuals, elderly people and others. Socio-demographic factors (age, education, income etc.), environmental and sanitation factors (poor access to a good water source and poor sanitation) and climatic factors (rainfall, temperature and humidity) are thought to be related to incidence and spatial distribution of diarrhoea (WHO, 2007). The frequency and gravity of these epidemics are affected by hygienic conditions, malnutrition, and the excessive use of antibiotics that select for multiresistant strains (CDC, 2008). Diarrhoea is one of the most important causes of illness and death all over the World, particularly among infants and young children ((WHO, 2007). It has been reported that five million people especially children under the age of five years die of diarrhoea annually in Nigeria (UNICEF/WHO, 2009).

Over the years, misuse of antibiotics has caused selection, emergence and dissemination of antibiotic resistant *Salmonella* sp. with attendant public health and economic loss consequences (WHO, 1998). There are extremely limited data on the overall health implications of antibiotic resistance in diarrhoeal treatment in Ile-Ife, hence the probable under-estimation of the disease burden in the area. The study reports antibiotic resistance profile and characterization of *Salmonella* in diarrhoeal patients' faeces in Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

## 2.0 Materials and Methods

## 2.1Collection and bacteriological analysis of samples

One hundred and eighty seven (187) diarrhoeal stool samples were collected from patients (children and adults) between April, 2011 and March, 2012 at ObafemiAwolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex (OAUTHC) and other Health Institutions in Ile-Ife (Latitude 7<sup>0</sup>28' 00''N; Longitude 4<sup>0</sup>34' 00''E; Altitude 286 m), Osun State, Nigeria. Stool samples of apparently healthy individuals without diarrhoea were used as control. Samples were collected in clean universal sampling bottles and immediately transported to the Department of

Microbiology laboratory for bacteriological analysis. Stool samples were first enriched in Selenite F broth at 37<sup>o</sup>C and cultured by spreading on Salmonella and Shigella (SS) agar (Oxoid, Ltd, Bashingstoke, Hampshire, England) plates using a calibrated inoculating loop. The plates were then incubated aerobically at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours.

Colonies with a presumptive Salmonella morphology on SS agar were identified by biochemical tests which include; determination of glucose and lactose; gas formation and the production of ferrous sulfite from sodium thiosulfate in Kligler agar; the presence of cytochrome oxidase; citrate utilization; urea hydrolysis in Christensen's agar; the presence of phenylalanine deaminase; the liberation of indole; acid production from sugar fermentation; the decarboxylation of lysine, ornithine, and arginine; and the utilization of malonate as the sole carbon source. These were compared to Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (2001).

## 2.2Antibiotic susceptibility of isolates

Susceptibility of Salmonella to antibiotics was carried out using Kirby-Bauer's disk diffusion method. The disk containing the antibiotics (Fondisk, Lagos, Nigeria); (augmentin (30µg), amoxicillin (25µg), nitrofurantoin (200µg) pefloxacin (5µg), tetracycline (30µg), ciprofloxacin (10µg), ofloxacin (5µg), ceftriazone (30µg), gentamicin (10 µg) and cotrimoxazole(25 µg) were firmly placed on Mueller-Hinton agar (HIMEDIA lab. Ltd Vadhani) plates previously seeded with standardized inoculums ( $10^6$  CFU/ml). The plates were incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 24 h and the diameter of the zone of inhibition was measured to the nearest millimeter with a transparent ruler, and interpreted according to the guidelines of Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2009).

## **2.3Plasmid Analysis**

Plasmid DNA extraction was carried out on the representative MAR isolates following the alkaline lysis (TENS-Tris 25mM, EDTA 10mM, NaOH O.1N and SDS 0.5%) (Sigma products)) method of Kraft et al. (1988) and separated by 0.8% (w/v) agarose gel in Tris-acetate-EDTA buffer containing ethidium bromide (20 ml of 50 X TAE and 6.0 µl of 10 µg/ml ethidium bromide per litre). Hind III DNA marker (Biomerx Lab, Hamburg, Germany) was used as control. Plasmid DNA fragments were visualized by UV light illuminator and photographed with a Leicaflex SL-camera.

## 2.4Detection of resistance genes by polymerase chain reaction

The representative Salmonella isolates that were resistant mostly to beta lactam and fluoroquinolones were screened for *BlaCTX* (480 bp) and *GyrA* (282 bp) genes, respectively using polymerase chain reaction.

DNA extraction in Salmonella was done by suspending a colony from an overnight culture plate on Salmonella and Shigella agar plate in 200 µl of distilled water. After vortexing, the suspension was boiled for 5 min, and 50 µl of the supernatant was collected after centrifuging for 10 min at 14,000 rpm. The primers GyrA- forward -CGTTGGTGACGTAATCGG; CCGTACCGT CAT AGT TAT and BlaCTX- forwardreverse -ATGTGCAGYACCAGT AAR GTKATG GC; reverse- TGGGTR AAR TAR GTSACC AGA AYCAGCGG were employed for the detection of GyrA and BlaCTX genes, respectively. Amplification reactions were carried out in a volume of 25 µl of a PCR mixture containing 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 µM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP; 0.2 µl primer 1,0.2 µl primer 2, 1.5 µl of genomic DNA and 0.1 µl of Taq polymerase.

The PCR reaction was performed in the DNA thermocycler (Eppendorf Mastercycler, USA) programmed for optimum conditions as follows: an initial denaturation at  $95^{\circ}$ C for 3 minutes, 30 cycles of denaturation at  $95^{\circ}$ C for 30 seconds, annealing temperatures of 42  $^{\circ}$ C for*GyrA* and 62  $^{\circ}$ C for *blaCTX* gene for 60 seconds, elongation at 72 °C for 60 seconds and final 10 minutes of extension period at 72 °C. The amplified PCR products alongside DNA standard molecular weight size marker (hind lll; 100bp) was subjected to electrophoresis at 80 volts in horizontal gels containing 1.2 % agarose with Tris-borate buffer (45 mMTris borate, 1mM EDTA). The gel was stained with ethidium bromide and visualized with ultra-violet illumination and photographed. Salmonella entericaserovarTyphimurium was used as positive control.

### **2.5 Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using T-test and Pearson correlation index at P < 0.05 with statistical software SPSS Data Editor Version 16. P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all the comparisons. 3.0 Results

Sixty-nine Salmonella (Salmonella Typhimurium(57), Salmonella Typhi(7), Salmonella ParatyphiA (5) were obtained from 187 diarrhoeal stool samples analysed.

Table 1 shows the resistance profile of the isolates to various antibiotics employed. The isolates showed high levels of resistance to nitrofurantoin (100 %), ceftriazone (89.9 %) and augmentin (55.1 %). The increasing order of occurrence of resistance to the antibiotics was GEN <OFL<PFX<CPX< TET < COT <AMX< AUG <CEF< NIT. There was a significant statistical difference in the incidence of antibiotic resistance among the Salmonella isolates (p < 0.05).

Table 1. Antibiotic resistance profile of the Salmonella isolate					
Antibiotics	Resistance (%)				
Amoxicillin	52.2				
Augumentin	55.1				
Ceftriazone	89.9				
Ciprofloxacin	26.1				
Cotrimoxazole	44.9				
Gentamicin	23.2				
Nitrofurantoin	100				
Ofloxacin	24.6				
Pefloxacin	26				
Tetracycline	37.7				

Sixty seven (97.1 %) of the *Salmonella* isolates were multiple antibiotic resistant to at least two different classes of antibiotics with 32 antibiotypes (Table 2). Resistance to combinations of four antibiotics (25.0 %)) was the highest with "CRO, NIT" featuring predominantly in the antibiotypes (Table 2).

AUG-augmentin,AMX-amoxicillin, NIT-nitrofurantoin, PFX- pefloxacin, TET-tetracycline, CPX-ciprofloxacin, OFL-ofloxacin, CRO-ceftriazone, GEN- gentamicin, COT-cotrimoxazole; *S*. Typhimurium-1, 2, 4, 6, 8-10, 12-22, 24-28, 30, 31, 33-35, 37-39, 41-45, 47, 48, 50-62, 64-69; *S*. Typhi-3, 29, 32, 36, 40, 49, 63; *S*. Paratyphi-5, 7, 11, 23, 46

The estimated molecular weights of plasmid DNA in *Salmonella* and their resistance to individual antibiotics used is presented in table 3. Eighteen *S*. Typhimuriumhaboured multiple plasmids with molecular weights of 1.46-23.13kbp and *S*. Typhi (2) had single plasmid with molecular weight of 23.13kbp.

with more

	<b>*</b>	Number Total		Salmonella isolate	Totals
Antibiotic	MAR patterns	of	occurrence	code	cod
class		isolates	(%)		(%)
2	AMX,NIT	1 (1.5)	16 (23.5)	Sal 40	16
					(23.5)
	AUG, NIT	1 (1.5)		Sal 29	
	CRO, NIT	14		Sal 5, 7, 8, 13, 38,	
		(20.9)		39, 41, 4, 44, 43, 44,	
				47, 49, 53, 54, 65	
3	AMX, CRO, NIT	6 (8.9)	14 (20.6)	Sal 2, 3, 17, 48, 56,	14
				66	(20.6)
	AUG, CRO, NIT	5 (7.5)			
				Sal 6, 30, 45, 58, 61	
	AUG, NIT, COT	1 (1.5)			
				Sal 11	
	CRO, NIT, TET	1 (1.5)		0.1.10	
		1 (1 7)		Sal 12	
	AUG, NIT, GEN	1 (1.5)		0.105	
4	CDO NIT COT TET	1 (1 5)	17 (25.0)	Sal 25	17
4	CRO,NIT,COT,TET	1(1.5)	17 (25.0)	Sal 9 Sal 15	(25.0)
	CRO,NIT,AMX, TET CRO,NIT,COT,AMX	1 (1.5) 1 (1.5)		Sal 15 Sal 18	(23.0)
	AUG,CRO,NIT,COT	1 (1.3) 5 (7.5)		Sal 18 Sal 19, 24, 35, 60,	
	CRO,NIT,GEN,COT	1(1.5)		63	
	CRO,NIT,AMX,PFX	1(1.5) 1(1.5)		Sal 26	
		1 (1.5		Sal 34	
	CRO,NIT,AMX,OFL	2 (2.9)		burbi	
	AUG,NIT,COT,TET	1 (1.5)		Sal 46, 55	
	AUG, CRO,COT,TET	1 (1.5)		Sal 28	
	AUG,NIT,GEN,COT	2 (2.9)		Sal 23	
	AUG,CRO,NIT,TET	1 (1.5)		Sal 42,43	
				Sal 67	
5	AUG,CRO,NIT,COT,TET	1 (1.5)	4 (5.9)	Sal 37	4 (5.9)
-	AUG,CRO,NIT,COT,OFL	1 (1.5)	()	Sal 50	
	AUG, CRO,NIT,TET,CPX	1 (1.5)		Sal 57	
	AUG,CRO,NIT,TET,OFL	1 (1.5)		Sal 52	
6	AUG,CRO,NIT,GEN,COT,TET	1 (1.5)	5 (7.4)	Sal 36,42, 69, 16,4	5 (7.4)
-	AUG,CRO,NIT,GEN,COT,OFL	1 (1.5)		Sal 20	
				Sal 51, 31, 21	
	AUG,CRO,NIT,COT,TET,OFL	3 (4.5)		541 51, 51, 21	
7	CRO,NIT,GEN,COT,TET,AMX,	1 (1.5)	12 (17.6)	Sal 59	12
	OFL	. /			(17.6)
	AUG,CRO,NIT,GEN,TET,COT,OFL	1 (1.5)		Sal 44	. /
				Sal 36, 32, 10, 1, 14,	
	AUG,CRO,NIT,GEN,COT,TET,OFL	10		22, 27, 33, 64, 68	
		(14.0)			

## Table 2. Multiple antibiotic resistance patterns of the Salmonella isolates

(14.9)

Salmonella species isolate code	Number of Plasmid	r weights of plasmid D Estimated molecular sizes of plasmid (kb)	Resistance to general antibiotics employed
Sal 1	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> CPX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 9	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup>
Sal 10	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> CPX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 19	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup>
Sal 20	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> CPX <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 22	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> CPX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 25	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup>
Sal 26	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup>
Sal 27	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> CPX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 31	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup>
Sal 32	1	23.13	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> CPX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 33	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> CPX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 35	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup>
Sal 36	1	23.13	CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> CPX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 42	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 50	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> CPX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 62	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup>
Sal 64	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> CPX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 66	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> CPX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>
Sal 69	3	23.13, 1.60, 1.46	AUG <sup>R</sup> CRO <sup>R</sup> NIT <sup>R</sup> GEN <sup>R</sup> COT <sup>R</sup> OFL <sup>R</sup> AMX <sup>R</sup> TET <sup>R</sup> PFX <sup>R</sup>

Table 3. Estimated molecular weights of plasmid DNA in Salmonella

AUG-augmentin,AMX-amoxicillin, NIT-nitrofurantoin, PFX- pefloxacin, TET-tetracycline, CPX-ciprofloxacin, OFL-ofloxacin, CRO-ceftriazone, GEN- gentamicin, COT-cotrimoxazole; *S*. Typhimurium-1, 9, 10, 19, 20, 22, 25, 26, 27, 31, 33, 35, 42, 50, 62, 64, 66, 69; *S*.Typhi - 32, 36




Figure 1 shows the agarose gel electrophoresis of the various plasmid DNA bands isolated from the representatives MAR *Salmonella* isolates and their molecular weights.


Figure 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmid DNA in multiple antibiotic resistant *Salmonella* isolates. Lane M= DNA marker (HIND III digest); Lane 1 = Sal 1; Lane 2 = Sal 42; Lane 3 = Sal 33; Lane 4 = Sal 64; Lane 5 = Sal 36; Lane 6 = Sal 27; Lane 7 = Sal 10; Lane 8 = Sal 20; Lane 9 = Sal 62; Lane 10 = Sal 31; Lane 11 = Sal 69; Lane 12 = Sal 32; Lane 13 = Sal 22; Lane 14 = Sal 35; Lane 15 = Sal 66; Lane 16 = Sal 9; Lane 17 = Sal 26; Lane 18 = Sal 19; Lane 19 = Sal 25; Lane 20 = Sal 50.

The molecular detection of *BlaCTX*(480 bp) and *GyrA* (282 bp) genes in 20 representatives *Salmonella* profiled is shown in Table 3. The *BlaCTX*(480 bp) gene was haboured by *Salmonella* Typhimurium (18), *S*. Typhi (1) and *S*. Paratyphi A (1). Meanwhile, all the representative isolates had *GyrA* (282 bp) gene.

The agarose gel electrophoreses showing the amplification of resistance (*GyrA* and *BlaCTX*) genes in the representatives MAR *Salmonella* isolates are depicted by figures 2 and 3, respectively. All the representative isolates had *GyrA* gene (282 bp) (Fig 2) while 4 had *BlaCTX* gene (480 bp) (Fig. 3).

Lanes / Isolates	BlaCTX gene	GyrA gene	
	(480 bp)	(282 bp)	
M – Marker	-	-	
L <sub>1</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>2</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	+	+	
L <sub>3</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>4</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>5</sub> SalmonellaTyphi	+	+	
L <sub>6</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>7</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>8</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>9</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>10</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>11</sub> SalmonellaParatyphi A	+	+	
L <sub>12</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>13</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>14</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>15</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	+	+	
L <sub>16</sub> Salmonella Typhimurium	-	+	
L <sub>17</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>18</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>19</sub> SalmonellaTyphimurium	-	+	
L <sub>20</sub> Salmonella Typhimurium	-	+	

Key: - = absent; + = present



M -VE +VE I 2 18 19 20 3 5 6 10 11 12 3 14 15 16 17 4 7 8 9

Figure 2. PCR amplification gel of *GyrA* (282 bp) gene in *Salmonella* isolates Lane M=100bp marker (hind lll); -ve = negative control without DNA; +ve = *Salmonella enterica*serovarTyphimurium (positive control).




Figure 3. Polymerase chain reaction amplification gel of *BlaCTX* (480 bp) gene

Lanes 1-20: Salmonella isolates, Lane M=100bp marker; Lane 2, 5, 11 and 15 were positive for BlaCTX.

## DISCUSSION

The importance of Salmonella in public health is very significant. In this study, 82.6 % of the Salmonella recovered were Salmonella Typhimurium. This result confirms Salmonella Typhimurium as one of the important causal agents of diarrhoea in Ile-Ife. The incidence of Salmonella in diarrhoea had been previously reported (Grabow, 1996; Scuderiet al., 1996). Of recent, Casmir et al. (2014) reported the isolation of Salmonella in children with acute gastroenteritis in Abuja, Nigeria. Major outbreaks of human salmonellosis had been attributed to the consumption of contaminated foods in restaurants and institutional kitchen. Undercooked food, slow freezing, and keeping foods for many hours under no refrigeration are considered contributing factors for the emergence of this disease in humans (Costa, 1996). However, the incidence of cases and deaths due to diarrhoea has been greatly increased by a combination of poor sanitation and hygiene, unavailability of vaccines and high cost of effective antimicrobial chemotherapy.

The resistance of the Salmonella isolates to the antibiotics tested in this study calls for great concern, as some of the isolates were resistant to beta-lactam antibiotics (augmentin and amoxicillin) and other antibiotics (tetracycline, gentamycin, ciprofloxacin)typically used for the treatment of diarhoea and a number of infectious diseases. Ehinmidu (2003) previously reported resistance by Salmonella to beta-lactam antibiotics. In 2001, detection of quinolone resistant isolates was reported from Austria (17 %), France (9 %), Greece (32 %), the Netherlands (6 %) and Portugal (60 %) (EC, 2003).

Resistance, particularly to the commonly available antibiotics poses a major health concern, as alternative therapeutic choices are either unavailable or too expensive to be affordable by most patients. Most of the diarrhoeal patients employed in this study first engaged in self-medication before seeking physician's attention. This act may be responsible for the large number of the multiple antibiotic resistance patterns observed among the isolates in this study. Some of the Salmonella isolates were still susceptible to expensive antibiotics like ofloxacin (62.3 %), pefloxacin (60.9 %) and ciprofloxacin (58 %) because these antibiotics are very expensive and not readily available.

The multiple antibiotic resistance commonly observed in most strains in this study may be plasmid mediated as plasmid DNA of large molecular weights were isolated. The first case of diarrhoea due to Salmonella carrying plasmid-encoded resistance to chloramphenicol, ampicillin and cotrimoxazole was reported from East and South Asia (Mirzaet al., 2000). In the present study, all the 69 Salmonella isolates were resistant to nitrofurantoin and a greater percentage to tetracycline. Murugkaret al. (2005) previously reported the resistance of Salmonella Typhimurium to quinolones, gentamicin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline etc.

The report of this study corroborates the findings of Adeshinaet al. (2010) who reported that multiple antibiotic resistant Salmonella isolates haboured plasmid sizes of 23.13 kbp and 0.145 kbp conferring resistance to antibiotics. The detection of GyrA gene in all the isolates depicts resistance to fluoroquinolones while BlaCTX gene denotes resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics. This could be due to the wide and varying use of different antibiotics by human patients with simultaneous evolution of newer antibiotics that have precipitated into pathogens of multiple antibiotic resistance.

Conclusion: Salmonella recovered from the study are multiple antibiotic resistant, harbouringblaCTX and gyrAgenes.

## Acknowledgements

Authors thank Professor O. Odeyemi, Department of Microbiology, ObafemiAwolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria and the Staff and Head of Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology Division of the Nigerian Institute of Medical Research, Lagos for providing bench space. We are grateful to the various hospital patients (children and adults) for providing the stool samples. We thank the laboratory staff of all the hospitals used for their contribution in collecting the samples for study.

### References

- Adeshina, G.O., Osuagwu, N., Okeke, C. E., Ehinmidu, J. O. (2010). Plasmid DNA analysis and conjugative study of antibiotic resistant *Salmonella* Typhi and Paratyphito ten selected antibiotics in Zaria, Nigeria. *African J. pharm. Pharmacol*,4 (4): 151-157.
- Bergeys Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (2001). Bergeys Manual Trust and Willians and Wilkins, Baltimore; 2nd Edition: Vol.1.
- Berends, B. R., Van Knapen, F., Mossel, D. A., Burt, S. A., Snijders, J. M. (1998). Impact on human health of Salmonella sp. on pork in The Netherlands and the anticipated effects of some currently proposed control strategies. *Inter J. Food. Microbiol*, 44: 219–229.
- Casmir, I., Cajetan, I., Bassey B., Nkiruka, F.I., Nazek, A. (2014). Molecular characterization and antibiotic resistance of Salmonella in children with acute gastroenteritis in Abuja, Nigeria. J Infect Dev Ctries, 8(6):712-719
- Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), (2006). Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS). *Salmonella* surveillance, annual summary, Atlanta, GA. 2008, http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/phlisdata/salmtab/2006/*Salmonella*AnnualSummary2006.pdf
- Clarke, R. C., Gyles, C. L.(1993). *Salmonella*. In: Gyles, CL and Thoen, CO. Pathogenesis of bacterial Infections in animals. Second Ed., Iowa State Uni. Press, Ames, 133-153.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute CLSI (2009). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing.Nineteenth Information supplement, Vol. 29, No. 3. CLSI/NCCLS document M100-S19, Wayne, Pennsylvania.
- Costa, F. N. (1996).Sorotipos de *Salmonella*emcarcaças e cortes de frangoobtidosnaindústria e no comércio e comportamento das cepasisoladasfrente à ação de antimicrobianos. [Master dissertation], Jaboticabal (SP): Faculdade de CiênciasAgrárias e Veterinárias, UNESP, 82.
- Cox J. (1999). *Salmonella*. In: Robinson, RK, Batt CA, Patel, PD. (eds); Encyclopedia of Food Microbiology. Academic Press, San Diego, California, 1928-1976.
- D'Aoust, J. Y. (1997). *Salmonella* species. In: Doyle MP, BeuchatLR, Montville JJ. (eds), Food Microbiology Fundamentals and Frontiers. ASM Press, Washington, 129.
- Donna, D. and Lindsay, H. (2002). Chronic Diarrhoea.www.cdcov/ncidod/dpd/parasites/diarrhoea/factsht\_chronic\_diarrhoea.htm.
- Ehinmidu, J. (2003). Antibiotics susceptibility patterns of urine bacterial isolates in Zaria, Nigeria. *Trop. J. PharmaceuResear*,**2**: 223-228.
- European Commission (EC) (2003).Trends and Sources of Zoonotic Agents in Animals, Feedstuffs, Food and Man in the European Union and Norway in 2000 to the European Commission in accordance with Article 5 of the Directive 92/117/EEC, prepared by the Community Reference Laboratory on the Epidemiology of Zoonoses, BgVV, Berlin, Germany.
- Grabow, W. O. K. (1996). Waterborne diseases: Update on water quality assessment and control. Water SA, 22: 193-202.
- Hald, T., Wegener, H. C., Lo Fo, W. D., Madsen, M., Korsgard, H., Bagar, F., Gerner-Smidt, P., Molbak, K. (2003). *Salmonella* control programs in Denmark. *Emerg. Infect. Dis*, 9: 774-780.
- Mirza, S., Kariuki, S., Mamun, K. Z., Beeching, N. J., Hart, C. A. (2000). Analysis of plasmid and chromosomal DNA of multi-drug resistant *Salmonella entericaserovarTyphi from Asia*. J. Clin. Microbiol, 38: 449-1452.
- Murugkar, H. V., Rahman, H., Kumar, A., Bhattacharyya, D. (2005). Isolation, Phage typing, and Antibiogram of *Salmonella* from man and animals in Northeastern India. *Indian J. Medicin*, 122: 237-42.
- Rimawa, L. Z., Concerta, M., Shapiro, E. (2001). Diarrhoea in children. J.Paediatr. child health, 37: 1.
- Steinbach, G. and Hartung, M. (1991). An attempt to estimate the share of human cases of Salmonellosis attributable to *Salmonella* originating from swine. Berl. Muench. Tieraeztl. Wochenschr.
- Scuderi, G., Fantasia, M., Filetici, E., Anastasio, M. P. (1996). Food-borne outbreaks causes by *Salmonella* in Italy, 1991-1996. *Epidemiol. Infect*, 116: 257-265.
- United Nations Children's Fund and World Health Organization (UNICEF /WHO) (2009). Why children are still dying and what can be done. ISBN 978-92-806-4462-3 (UNICEF); ISBN 978-92-4-159841-5 (NLM classification: WS 312) (WHO).

- World Health Organization (WHO) (2007). 'Water, Sanitation and Hygiene: Quantifying the health impact at national and local levels in countries with incomplete water supply and sanitation coverage', Environmental burden of disease series, 15.
- World Health Organization (WHO) (1998). Use of quinolones in Food Animals and Potential Impact on Human Health. Report of a World Health Organization's Meeting, 2-5 June, Geneva, Switzerland.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

## CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

**Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/journals/</u> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

## MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <u>http://www.iiste.org/book/</u>

## **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

