Journal of Natural Sciences Research ISSN 2224-3186 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0921 (Online) Vol.4, No.17, 2014



Chemical Composition and Bioactivity of Essential Oils of Seed and Leaf from *Foeniculum vulgare* Mill cultivated in Southeast of Morocco

Sellam Khalid^{1*}, Ramchoun Mhamed¹, Bammou Mohammed^{1,3}, Alem Chakib¹ and El Rhaffari Lhoussaine¹

- 1. Laboratory of environment and health, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences & Techniques 52000 Errachidia, Morocco.
- 2. Laboratory of Biochemistry, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences & Techniques 52000 Errachidia, Morocco.
- 3. Laboratory of Soil Microbiology and environment, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences 1201 Meknes, Morocco.

* E-mail of the corresponding author: sellam.khalid2010@gmail.com

Abstract

Analysis of essential oils obtained from the seeds and leaves of *Foeniculum vulgare* Mill. cultivated in southeast of Morocco revealed that trans-anethole was the major constituent (54.67% in seed oil and 50.60% in leaf oil), followed by estragol (35.33% in seed oil and 30.15% in leaf oil). Other components present in leaf oil included fenchone, linalool and α -pinene, while seed oil contained fenchone, limonene and γ -terpinen. Besides, minor constituents like camphene, camphor, myrcene, pahllandrene, fenchyl acetate and β -bisabolene were obtained from seeds and leaves.

The essential oils of the seeds and leaves of *Foeniculum vulgare* were assayed for their antioxidant and antimicrobial activity. The seed oil show remarkable antioxidant effect, while the leaf oil exhibits a strong broad spectrum antibacterial activity against all test organisms.

Keywords: F. vulgare, essential oil, antibacterial, antioxidant, chemical composition.

1. Introduction

Foeniculum vulgare Mill. (Umbelliferaceae). commonly known as fennel, is a small genus of annual, biennial or perennial herbs. It is widely cultivated for its aromatic fruits, which are used as culinary spices. Herbal drugs and essential oil of fennel have antispasmodic, diuretic, anti-inflammatory, analgesic and antioxidant effect (Ebbed et al., 2010, Choi & Hwang, 2004; Misharima & polshkov, 2005; parejo *et al.*, 2002, Pardhan et al., 2008). The volatile oil showed antioxidant, antimicrobial and hepatoprotective (Toma et al., 2008; Ozbek et al., 2003).

In this study, essential oil of *Foeniculum vulgare* seeds and leaves were assayed for their antioxidant properties and antimicrobial activity.

The chemical compositions were studied by GC/SM analysis. The antioxidant capacity was quantified using 2,2diphenyl-1-picryhydrazyl (DPPH) radical, chelating effect on Fe²⁺ ions and reducing actions assays and the β carotene bleaching test. The antibacterial activity was determined by the disk diffusion and agar dilution assays.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Plant material

The seeds and leaves of *Foeniculum vulgare* were collected from Errachidia region (southeast of Morocco). The voucher specimens have been deposited at the Biochemistry of Natural Products Laboratory, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences & Techniques, Errachidia, Morocco.

2.2. Hydrodistillation apparatus and procedure

The essential oils were obtained by hydrodistillation method from fresh leaves and seeds collected from the plants grown in Errachidia region during juin 2013.

The yield of essential oil obtained from the seeds (SO) and leaves (LO) was found to be 2.8% and 2% respective. The obtained essential oil was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and after filtration, stored at $+ 4^{\circ}$ C until tested and analyzed.

2.3. Essential oil analysis

Components were identified on the basis of gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) library and confirmed by calculation of retention indices from GC-FID. GC-MS was performed on a GC 6890 Agilent equipped with an HP-INNOWAX capillary column (50m x 0.2 mm; film thickness 0.5 μ m). Carrier gas: Helium 1.6 ml/min, split 1/100; injector temperature: 280°C; oven temperature: 60°C (2 min isothermal) then 3°C/min to 180°C, then 8°C/min to 245°C (10 min isothermal). MS 5973 N Agilent; source temperature: 230°C; mass range: 35 to 350 amu; scan speed: 1 scan/sec. GC-FID: Fast GC HP- 6850 equipped with a DB-WAX capillary column (20 m, 0.1 mm, 0.2 μ m). Carrier gas H2 at 0.7 ml/min, split 60 ml/ min. Injector temperature 275°C; Detector temperature 275°C; oven temperature 60°C (2 min isothermal) then 12°C/min to 248°C (5min

isothermal). Detected compounds concentrations are relative percentages (ISO7609), with a threshold of 0.05%. *2.4. Antibacterial activity*

Microorganisms

The antimicrobial activity was evaluated by paper disc diffusion and dilution methods against four selected Gram-positive and Gram-negative species: *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 35218), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) and *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC 29213). Microorganisms were obtained from the culture collection of the Institute of Hygiene (Rabat).

Diffusion method

The qualitative antimicrobial essay of the volatile fraction of *Foeniculum vulgare* was carried out by the disc diffusion method (NCCLS, 1999). It was performed using culture growth at 37° C for 18h and adjusted to approximately 10^{8} colony forming unit per milliliter (CFU/ml). The culture medium used for the bacteria was Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA). Five hundred microliters of the inoculums were spread over plates containing MHA and a Whatman paper disc (6 mm) impregnated with 5, 10, 15μ l of the volatile fraction was placed on the surface of the media. The plates were left 30min at room temperature to allow the diffusion of the oil. They were incubated 24h at 37° C for the bacteria. After incubation period, the inhibition zone obtained around the disc was measured. Two controls were also included in the test, the first was involving the presence of microorganisms without test material and the second was standard antibiotic: Ampicillin used to control the sensitivity of the tested bacteria. The experiments were run in triplicate, and the developing inhibition zones were compared with those of reference discs.

Dilution method

The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) of tested volatile fractions were determined using the Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) dilution method (NCCLS, 1999). All tests were performed in MHB supplemented with Tween 80 (1%). Bacterial strains were cultured overnight in MHB at 37°C. Tubes of MHB containing various concentrations of volatile fractions were inoculated with 10 μ l of 10⁸ CFU/ml of standardized microorganism's suspensions. Control tubes without tested samples were essayed simultaneously. All samples were tested in triplicate. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration preventing visible growth (May et al., 2000; Burt, 2004).

2.5. Antioxidant activity

The antioxidant activity was assessed by 2,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and β -carotene bleaching method systems. Data collected for each assay was an average of three experiments.

Free radical-scavenging assay

The method is based on the reduction of alcoholic DPPH solutions in the presence of a hydrogen donating antioxidant. DPPH solutions show a strong absorption band at 517 nm with a deep violet color. The absorption vanishes and the resulting discoloration is stochiometric with respect to degree of reduction. The remaining DPPH, measured after a certain time, corresponds inversely to the radical scavenging activity of the antioxidant (Shahidi, 2001). 50μ L of the extracted oil dilutions in ethanol was added to 1mL of 100 μ M solution of DPPH. After 30 min of incubation at room temperature, the absorbance was read against a blank at 517nm (Jenway UV/Vis 6000). Inhibition of DPPH free radical in percent (I %) was calculated as follows:

$I(\%) = (1 - Asample/Ablank) \times 100$

- Ablank is the absorbance of the control reaction (containing all reagents except the test compound);
- Asample is the absorbance of the test compound. Extract concentration providing 50% inhibition;
- IC50 was calculated from the graph plotting inhibition percentage against extract concentration.
- All tests were carried out in triplicate. The BHT was used as positives control.

β -*Carotene bleaching assay*

The β -carotene method was carried out according Shahidi (2001), two milliliters of β -carotene solution (0.2 mg ml⁻¹ in chloroform) were pipetted into a round-bottomed flask containing 20 µl linoleic acid and 200 µl Tween 20. The mixture was then evaporated at 40°C for 10min to remove the solvent, the addition of distilled water (100 ml) followed immediately. After agitating the mixture, 1.5ml aliquot of the resulting emulsion was transferred into test tubes containing 150µl of extract and the absorbance was measured at 470 nm against a blank consisting of an emulsion without β -carotene. The tubes were placed in a water bath at 50°C and the oxidation of the emulsion was monitored by measuring absorbance at 470 nm after two hours using spectrophotometry. The same procedure was repeated with the synthetic antioxidant, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) as positive control. The antioxidant capacity (AA%) of the solutions tested was calculated via the following formula:

$AA\% = (\beta$ -carotene content after 2 h assay/initial β -carotene content) x100

2.6. Statistical analysis

Results are presented as mean \pm SD of three independent tests. All tests were carried out in an identical

condition.

3. Results and discussion

Chemical Composition of the Essential Oil

The yellowish oils isolated by hydrodistillation from the leaves and the seeds of *F. vulgare* were obtained in yield of 2% and 2.8% (w/w) respectively. The essential oil was analysed by means of GC-MS. The components of the oil, the retention times (RT) the percentage constituent (%) are summarized in Table 1. The number of identified compounds was 24 in the seeds and 26 in the leaves, representing 97.05% and 98.16% of the total composition of the two organs. Trans anethole (50.60%), estragole (30.15%), fenchone (4.32%), linalool (2.83%), α -pinene (2.56%) and γ -terpinene (2.02%) were the main components for the leaf oil, comprising 92.48% of the oil. Trans anethole (54.67%), estragole (35.33%) and α -pinene (2.01%) were the main components for the seed oil, comprising 92.01% of the oil. The components present in the essential oil obtained from seeds and leaves of fennel cultivated in southeast of Morocco are similar to those reported for sweet fennel but the relative percentage of compounds such as anethole, estragole and fenchone differed (Chowdhury et al., 2009; Ebeed et al., 2010, Raal et al., 2012). It may be attributed to different factors such as geographical environment, growth season and physiological age of the plant besides the method of oil isolation.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Rt ^b	Compounds ^a	Leaf oil	Seed oil	
4,08	α-thujone	0,21	0,21	
8,49	α-pinene	2,56	2,01	
9,95	camphene	0,2	0,32	
10,56	sabinene	0,29	0,29	
10,95	β-pinene	0,3	-	
11,68	mycerene	0,03	0,06	
11,82	Δ -3-carene	0,61	0,61	
12,25	α-terpinene	0,4	0,06	
12,92	p-cymene	0,22	0,23	
13,04	limonene	1,2	0,4	
13,84	γ-terpinene	2,02	1,2	
13,95	fenchone	4,32	0,06	
14,46	linalool	2,83	1,09	
15,9	camphor	0,27	0,98	
16,04	terpinen-4-ol	0,3	0,03	
16,84	methyl chavicol	0,02	0,27	
17,15	fenchyl acetate	0,13	0,03	
18,01	estragole	30,15	35,33	
21,05	trans anethole	50,6	54,67	
23,83	thymol	0,02	0,03	
24,81	α-copaene	0,21	0,05	
27,09	β-caryophyllene	0,06	0,16	
27,71	α-phellandrene	0,07	-	
28,36	β-bisabolene	0,03	0,07	
	totale	97,05%	98,16%	

^a Compounds listed in order of elution.

^b Retention time (as minutes).



Figure 1. The molecular Structures of the major bioactive essential oil components of Foeniculum vulgare: transanethole (a), estragol (b) and fenchone(c).

Antibacterial activity

The seed and leaf essential oil of F. vulgare exhibited a strong broad-spectrum antibacterial activity against all test organisms using the disk diffusion method (table 2). All tested Gram-positive bacteria species are sensitive to F. vulgare leaves and seeds essential oil with the inhibition zones ranging from 24.0 ± 1.5 to 26.2 ± 0.4 mm for B. cereus and 28.0 ± 1.5 to 30.9 ± 0.4 mm for S. aureus, respectively at least concentration (5µl/disc). The strongest activity was observed against B. cereus, followed by S. aureus. The weakest activity was observed against P. aeruginosa.

According to the results of dilution method, MIC and MBC values of F. vulgare seeds and leaves essential oil on different kinds of bacteria summarized in Tables 3, The seeds oil showed strongest antibacterial activity against tested bacteria than leaves oil. The most sensitive bacteria to essential oil of F. vulgare were B. cereus and S. aureus, MIC values of leaves oil against B. cereus and S. aureus was 0.039 mg/ml. The minimum inhibitory concentration of seeds oil against S. aureus and B. cereus was lower than 0.039 mg/ml.

Most studies concerning the antimicrobial mode of action of essential oil constituents have been performed on bacteria, gram-negative bacteria are generally less susceptible than gram-positive bacteria. The outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria contains hydrophilic lipopolysaccharides (LPS), which create a barrier toward macromolecules and hydrophobic compounds, providing gram-negative bacteria with higher tolerance toward hydrophobic antimicrobial compounds like those found in essential oils (Celikel et al., 2008; Joshi, 2013).

Results of this study suggested that F. vulgare essential oil have potential effects as antibacterial agents. Main components of essential oil and extract, such as anethole, estragole (methyl chavicol), fenchone and α -pinene have been previously reported to have antibacterial activity (Ebeed et al., 2010; choudhury et al., 2009).

		Inhibition zone diameter									
Microorganism	Lea	f oil (µl	/disc)	9	Seed oil (µ	Amp ^b					
	5	10	15	5	10	15	(30µg/disc)				
Gram-negative bacteria											
E. coli ATCC25922	14.2±0.3	16.2±0.	5 17.4±1.2	2 15.2±0.8	3 17.5±0.5	20.2±0.4	27.7±1.5				
P. aeruginosa ATCC27853	8.4±0.6	10.2±1.	4 11.3±0.4	9.8±1.2	11.5±0.9	13.2±1.2	21.0±1.2				
Gram-positive bacteria											
S. aureus ATCC25923	24.0±1.5	28.7±0.	3 36.4±0.2	2 28.0±1.5	5 30.7±0.4	37.4±0.7	32.7±0.6				
B. cerus ATCC 29213	26.2±0.4	34.8±0.	5 36.7±1.4	130.9±0.4	38.5±1.3	42.7.±1.6	24.0±1.0				

Table 2. Antibacterial activity of *Foeniculum vulgare* essential oil against the bacterial strains based on disc diffusion method.

nhibition (mm) including disk diameter of 6 mm.

Antibiotic: Ampicillin (Amp).

Table 3.	The MIC	and 1	MBC	values	of	leaves	and	seeds	essential	oil	from	Foeniculum	vulgare	against	the
microorga	nism.														

	Leaf oil	(mg/ml)	Seed oil (mg/ml)	Antibiotic (Amp µg/ml)	
Microorganism	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC
Gram-negative bacteria						
E. coli ATCC25922	0.078	0.156	0,039	0,078	1.95	>3.90
P. aeruginosa ATCC27853	0.156	1.25	0,078	0,156	3.90	7.81
Gram-positive bacteria						
S. aureus ATCC25923	0.039	0.078	< 0,039	0,039	6.25	15.62
B. cerus ATCC 29213	0.039	0.078	< 0,039	0,039	3.90	7.81

MIC: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration. MBC: Minimum Bactericide Concentration; values given as mg/ml for the essential oils and as μ g/ml for antibiotics.

A negative control was performed in 0 µl/disc no inhibition was not observed.

Antioxidant activity

The potential antioxidant activity of seeds and leaves essential oil from *F. vulgare* were determined by employing two complementary tests, namely DPPH free radical scavenging and β carotene/linoleic acid test systems. Total antioxidant activity of the plant extracts are recommended to carry out by employing two or more methods (Politeo et al., 2007).

Based on this recommendation, in the current work two complementary test systems were used to evaluate the antioxidant properties of the essential oil of seed and leaves of *F. vulgare*. In both test systems the essential oil of *F. vulgare*, exhibited antioxidant properties. Free radical scavenging properties and the inhibition effects on the linoleic acid oxidation of seeds and leaves essential oil of *F. vulgare* is given in Table 3. The IC₅₀ value of seed oil is 14.3 ±1.8 µg/ml, which is higher than the leaves oil (22.6 ± 1.7 µg/ml). In the β-carotene/linoleic acid assay, the seeds oil and leaves oil seemed to inhibit the oxidation of linoleic acid with the value of 76.6 ± 2.6 and 82.4 ± 2.4 % inhibition respectively (Table 4). It is worth to mention that the value of the leaves oil (82.4%) is quite close to synthetic antioxidant, BHT (86.2%).

Several studies have been conducted to clarify the possible substances involved in antioxidant properties of the essential oil. Among the identified compounds in the essential oil from Fennel, Anethole, estragole and fenchone may be considered the main contributors to the antioxidant activity (Mohamad et al., 2011; Faudale et al., 2008). And there are reports that, monoterpene hydrocarbons (limonene, γ -terpinene) and oxygenated monoterpenes (linalool, and terpinen-4-ol) have shown antioxidant activity and it's likely that the activity of essential oil of *F*. *vulgare* is due to this compounds (Ruberto and Barta, 2000; Shahat et al., 2011).

Table 4. Antioxidant capacity of *Foeniculum vulgare* essential oil.

	Foeniculum	vulgare	Synthetic antioxidant		
	leaf oil	seed oil	ВНТ		
DPPH (IC ₅₀ µg/mL DPPH solution)	14,3 ± 1,8	22,6.±1,7	7.73±1.62		
Inhibition in linoleic acid system (%)	82,4 ± 2,4	76.6±2,6	86.2±0.5		

Values are means \pm standard deviation of three separate experiments.

In conclusion, this current study has revealed some variation in biological activities and chemical composition of essential oil from the seeds and leaves of F. vulgare. It was concluded that the seeds oil has strong antimicrobial activity, while the leaves oil has a strong antioxidant activity. Handed the chemical composition of these two essential oils is marked by the presence of same major compounds; anethole, estragale and fenchone with almost the same concentrations. Therefore the variations observed in biological activities can be explained by the differences reported for minority compounds between seeds oil and leaves oil.

References

Burt, S., 2004. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 94: 223-253.

Celikel, N., Kavas, G., 2008. Antimicrobial properties of some essential oils against some pathogenic microorganisms. Czech J Food Sci. 26(3): 174-181.

Choi, E.M., Hwang, J.K., 2004. Antiinflammatory, analgesic and antioxidant activities of the fruit of Foeniculum vulgare, Fitoterapia. 75(6), 557-565.

Chowdhury, J.U., Mobarok, H. Bhuiyan, N.I. and Nandi, N.C., 2009. Constituents of essential oils from leaves and seeds of Foeniculum vulgare Mill. Cultivated in bangladesh. Bangladesh J. Bot. 38(2): 181-183.

Ebeed, N.M., Abdou, H.S., Booles, H.F., Salah, S.H., Ahmed, E.S. and Fahmy, K., 2010. Antimutagenic and chemoprevention potentialities of sweet fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill.) hot water crude extract. Journal of American Science. 6 (9): 831-822.

Faudale, M., Viladomat,, F., Bastida, J., Poli, F., Codina, C., 2008. Antioxidant activity and phenolic composition of wild, edible, and medicinal fennel from different Mediterranean countries. J. Agric. Food Chem. 56: 1912-1920.

Joshi, R.K., 2013. Chemical constituents and antibacterial property of the essential oil of the roots of Cyathocline pupurea. J Ethnopharmacol. 145(2): 621-625.

May, J., Chan, C.H., King, A., Williams, L., French, G.L., 2000. Time-kill studies of tea tree oils on clinical solates. J Antimicrobial Chemoter. 45: 639-643.

May, J., Chan, C.H., King, A., Williams, L., French, G.L., 2000. Time-kill studies of tea tree oils on clinical isolates. J Antimicrob Chemother. 45: 639–43.

Misharina, T.A., Polshkov, A.N., 2005. Antioxidant properties of essential oils: autooxidation of essential oils grom laurel and fennel and effects of mixing with essential oil from coriander, Prikl Biokhim Mikrobiol. 41(6), 693-702.

Mohamad, R.H., El Bastawey, A.M., Abdel-Monem, M.G., 2011. Antioxidant and anticarcinogenic effects of methanolic extract and volatile oil of fennel seeds (Foeniculum vulgare). J. Med. Food. 14(9): 986-1001.

National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 6th edition. Approved Standards. M2-A6, Wayne, PA. 1999: 220-221.

Ozbek, H., Ugras, S., Dulger, H., Bavram, I., Tuncer I., Ozturk, G., Ozturk, A. 2003. Hepatoprotective effect of Foeniculum vulgare essential oil, Fitoterapia. 74(3): 317-319.

Parejo, I., Viladomat, F., Bastida, J., Rosas-Romero, A., Flerlage, N., Burillo, J., Codina, C., 2002. Comparison between the radical scavenging activity and antioxidant activity of six distilled and nondistilled mediterranean herbs and aromatic plants, J. Agric Food Chem. 50(23): 6882-680;

Politeo, O., Juki, M., and Milos, M., 2007. Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of free volatile aglycones from Laurel (Laurus nobilis L.) compared to its essential oil. Croatica Chemica Acta. 80: 121-126.

Pradhan, M., Sribhuwaneswari, S., Karthikeyan, D., Minz, S., Sure, P., Chandu, A.N., Mishra, U., Kamalakannan, K., Saravanankumar, A. and Sivakumar, T., 2008. In-vitro Cytoprotection activity of Foeniculum vulgare and Helicteres isora in cultured human blood Lymphocytes and antitumour activity against B16F10 melanoma cell line. Research J. Pharm. and Tech. 1(4): 450-454.

Raal, A., Orav, A., Arak, E., 2012. Essential oil composition of Foeniculum vulgare Mill. Fruits from pharmacies in different countries. Nat. Prod. Res. 26(13): 1173-1178.

Ruberto, G. and Barata, M.T., 2000. Antioxidant activity of selected essential oil components in two lipid model system. Food Chem. 69: 167-174

Shahat, A.A., Ibrahim, A.Y., Hendawy, S.F., Omer, E.A., Hammouda, F.M., Abdel Rahman F.H., Saleh, M.A., 2011. Chemical composition, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of essential oils from organically cultivated fennel cultivars. Molecules, 16(2): 1366-1377.

Shahidi, F., Chavan, U.D., Naczk, M., Amarowicz, R., 2001. Nutrient distribution and phenolic antioxidants in air-classified fractions of beach pea (Lathyrus maritimus L.). J. Agric. Food Chem. 49: 926-933.

Toma, C., Pancan, I., Chiriță, M., Zamfir, A., 2008. Electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometric investigation of Melissa officinalis oil, Farmacia. 56(1), 92-98.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <u>http://www.iiste.org/journals/</u> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <u>http://www.iiste.org/book/</u>

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

