Journal of Natural Sciences Research ISSN 2224-3186 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0921 (Online) Vol.3, No.8, 2013



Evaluation of Surface Water Quality Indices for Heavy Metals of Diyala River-Iraq

Enaam J. Abdullah

Geology department, College of science, Baghdad University, PO box 47066, Al-Jadria Str., Iraq E-mail:dr.enaam2010@yahoo.com

Abstract

The present study aimed to envisage the water quality status of Diyala River (Iraq) with respect to its heavy metal concentrations by preparing the most recent heavy metal pollution index, metal index and to evolve the sources of heavy metals. Ten locations were selected along of the Diyala River, from Kalar district to the confluence with Tigris River. Six heavy metals viz. Zinc (Zn), Nickle (Ni), Cadmium (Cd), Copper (Cu), Lead (Pb) and Chromium (Cr) were analyzed using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS). The mean HPI 2097 far above the critical value of 100, indicates that Diyala River is critically polluted with respect to heavy metals. MI revealed low quality water with MI value 71.63, suggests that the river is seriously affected with respect to heavy metal. The study revealed the impact of anthropogenic sources on the pollution load of the river water.

Keywords: Diyala River, Heavy metal pollution index, metal index, Water contamination.

1. Introduction

Rapid urbanization and industrial development during last decade have provoked some serious concerns for the environment. Heavy metals contamination in river is one of the major quality issues in many fast growing cities, because maintenance of water quality and sanitation infrastructure did not increased along with population and urbanization growth especially for the developing countries (Sundaray et al., 2006; Akoto et al., 2008; Ahmad et al., 2010). Heavy metals are among the most common environmental pollutants, and their occurrence in waters and biota indicate the presence of natural or anthropogenic sources. The main natural sources of metals in waters are chemical weathering of minerals and soil leaching. The anthropogenic sources are associated mainly with industrial and domestic effluents, urban storm, water runoff, landfill leachate, mining of coal and ore, atmospheric sources and inputs rural areas (Zarazua et al., 2006). Rivers in urban areas have also been associated with water quality problems because of the practice of discharging of untreated domestic and small scale industries into the water bodies which leads to the increase in the level of metals concentration in river water (Sekabira et al., 2010). Trace metal contaminations are important due to their potential toxicity for the environment and human beings (Lee et al., 2007; Adams et al., 2008). Some of the metals like Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni and Zn are essential as micronutrients for the life processes in animals and plants while many other metals such as Cd, Cr, Pb and Co have no known physiological activities (Kar et al., 2008; Suthar and Singh, 2008; Aktar et al., 2010). Metals are non-degradable and can accumulate in the human body system, causing damage to nervous system and internal organs (Lee et al., 2007; Lohani et al., 2008). However, the rivers play a major role in assimilation or transporting municipal and industrial wastewater and runoff from agricultural and mining land (Singh et al., 2004). The spatial study of heavy metals by producing heavy metal pollution index can be helpful in identifying and quantifying trends in water quality (Prasad & Kumari, 2008; Reza & Singh, 2010) and can provide the accumulated information and assessments in a form that resource management and regulatory agencies can use to evaluate alternatives and make necessary decisions (Nair et al., 2010).

The present study aimed to envisage the water quality status of Diyala River with respect to its heavy metal concentrations by preparing the most recent heavy metal pollution index and meal index and to evolve the sources of heavy metals.

2.Materials and Methords

2.1 Study area:

Diyala River basin is located between Latitude (33° 13'00" N- 35° 50' 00" N) and Longitude (44° 30' 00" E- 44° 50' 00" E), and passes the river in Diyala province northeast of Baghdad, Figure (1). Diyala River is one of the main water resources of Iraq and one of the most important tributaries of Tigris River in Iraq. For this reason many cities are situated on its banks, as well as, wastes fluids of agricultural and industrial activities in these cities are also concentrated directly to this river. It drains an area of about 32600 km² lying across Iraqi-Iranian frontiers. The river basin is widely varied through the entire catchments area from semi-arid plain north of Baghdad to mountainous area of western Iran (Al- Ansari and Al-Jabbari,1987). The river catchments were divided into four parts; above Derbendikhan, Upper Diyala, Middle Diyala and Lower Diyala, each of these have

different characteristics and different contribution to the main river flow. From geological view point, river catchments have different geological units; above Derbendikhan the catchments lies within thrust zone and the exposed rocks are of Jurassic age, whereas the Upper and Middle Diyala lie within the folded zone in which the cretaceous strata are exposed, as well as Mukdadiya, Fatha formations and Quaternary terraces are dispersed. Lower Diyala is covered mainly by recent alluvium and lies within the unfolded zone. The Climatic conditions vary so much in the river catchments in which the rainy season starting from November to April, the annual amount of precipitation varies from 800 mm near the northern parts to 250 mm near southern limits of the basin. The annual evaporation rate may reach as high as 2000 mm/year (Al-Jiboury, 1991). These conditions have clear effects on alteration of wet and dry years and then on the variation of river water quality. However, the catchments area of Diyala river are lies within highly cultivated regions and have many canals and drainage channels, which contributing and affects river hydrochemistry. The Diyala River basin is one of these basins, which cover the important parts of Iraq and its impact in the quality of the waters of the Tigris, which meets the latter south of Baghdad (Al-Timemi, 2007).

2.2 Field sampling and laboratory methods

For this study numerous stations had been sampled. Ten water samples were collected from the study area during November 2011. The samples covered the study area from Kalar district to the confluence with Tigris River, Figure 1. The samples were collected at 10-15 cm depth in separate pre-conditioned and acid rinsed clean polypropylene bottles The collected samples were filtered (Whatman no. 42) and acidified with concentrated nitric acid to a pH below 2.0 to minimize precipitation and adsorption on container walls. For the determination of total heavy metals in the samples extraction procedures as described in APHA, 2005 were followed. Heavy metals concentrations (Zn, Ni, Cd, Cu, Pb, Cr) were determined in acidified filtrates water samples by atomic absorption spectroscopy in the laboratories of college of sciences, Baghdad University.

2.3 Heavy metal Pollution index

Heavy metal pollution index (HPI) is a technique of rating that provides the composite influence of individual heavy metal on the overall quality of water. The rating is a value between zero and one, reflecting the relative importance of individual quality considerations and inversely proportional to the recommended standard (Si) for each parameter (Reza & Singh, 2010; Prasad and Mondal, 2008; Prasad & Kumari ,2008). The calculation of HPI involves the following steps:

First, the calculation of weightage of ith parameter Second, the calculation of the quality rating for each of the heavy metal Third, the summation of these sub-indices in the overall index

The weightage of ith parameter

$$W_{i=} k/S_i$$

Where W_i is the unit weightage and S_i the recommended standard for i^{th} parameter, while k is the constant of proportionality.

Individual quality rating is given by the expression

$$Q_{i=} 100 V_i / S_i$$

where Q_i is the sub index of i^{th} parameter, V_i is the monitored value of the *i*th parameter and S_i the standard or permissible limit for the i^{th} parameter.

The Heavy Metal Index (HPI) is then calculated as follows

HPI=
$${}^{n}_{i=1} (Q_i W_i) / {}^{n}_{i=1} W_i$$

where Q_i is the sub index of ith parameter. W_i is the unit weightage for ith parameter, n is the number of parameters considered. The critical pollution index value is 100. For the present study the *Si* value was taken from the Iraqi drinking water specifications standard, 2009, No.417.

2.4 Metal Index

Another index used is the general metal index (MI) for drinking water (Bakan et al., 2010) which takes into account possible additive effect of heavy metals on the human health that help to quickly evaluate the overall quality of drinking waters. Metal pollution Index is given by the expression proposed by (Caeiro et al., 2005). $MI = \sum [Ci/(MAC)i]$

Where MAC is maximum allowable concentration and Ci is mean concentration of each metal. The higher the concentration of a metal compared to its respective MAC value the worse the quality of water. MI value > 1 is a threshold of warning (Bakan et al., 2010). Water quality and its suitability for drinking purpose can be examined by determining its metal pollution index (Mohan et al., 1996; Prasad & Kumari, 2008).

(2)

(3)

(1)

3. Results and Discussion:

Concentrations of the six studied heavy metals have been shown in Table 1. The metal concentrations were significantly different between sampling locations. However, the concentrations of Ni, Cd, Pb and Cr were found to be above the highest permissible value of Iraqi standards for drinking water, 2009, No.417. While the concentrations of Zn and Cu were found to be below the highest permissible value of the mentioned guide line. Based on the concentration ranges and abundance heavy metals are ranked as Cr> Pb>Ni >Zn >Cd > Cu (Table 1).

Heavy metal pollution index is an effective tool to characterize the surface water pollution (Prasad& Kumari, 2008; Reza & Singh, 2010) as it combines several parameters to arrive at a particular value which can be compared with the critical value to assess the keel of pollution load.

In order to calculate the HPI of the water, the mean concentration value of the selected metals (Zn, Ni, Cd, Cu, Pb, Cr) have been taken into account. Table 2 details the calculations of HPI with unit weightage (Wi) and standard permissible value (Si) as obtained in the presented study. The mean of heavy metal pollution index value was found to be 2097 far above the critical value of 100. Though HPI value indicates that Diyala River is critically polluted with respect to heavy metals.

HPI was also calculated separately for each sampling location to compare the pollution load and assess the water quality of the selected locations Table 3, Figure 2. The highest value of HPI was found in location 1, as Zn, Ni, Cd, Cu, Pb and Cr were found to have anthropogenic origin and mainly came from industrial activities, though municipal sewage, domestic wastes, traffic sources and atmospheric depositions, chemical weathering of minerals, and industrial discharges increase their concentration in water (Manoj et al., 2012). While the lower HPI value was found in location 5, this may indicate the dilution affect due to seepage or percolation of rain water (Reza et al., 2011).

MI for Diyala River revealed low quality water with MI value 71.63 table 4, suggests that the river is seriously affected with respect to heavy metal pollution according to Lyulko et al., 2001; Caerio et al., 2005, Table 5.

No work has been done on HPI and MI related to Iraqi Rivers, Prasad and Jaiprakash (1999) studied the mining area filled with fly ash and reported 11.25 HPI value, while Prasad and Sangita (2008) reported 36.67 which is below critical index, Nalawade et al., 2012 reported 5.5 index value near fly ash dumping sites, Manoj et al., 2012 reported 49.12 HPI value of the Subarnarekha River (India), Reza and Singh, 2010 found The mean values of HPI were 36.19 in summer and 32.37 for winter seasons of river water Angul-Talcher region india. Amadi et al., 2012 found that the MI indicates that the River Chanchaga, Minna, North-central Nigeria is slightly affected with respect to heavy metal pollution. Ameh and Akpah ,2011 reported MI index the River PovPov in Itakpe Nigeria status was 403 and 87.12 respectively, clearly indicating low-quality water.

4. Conclusion

Heavy metal pollution index is very useful tool in evaluating over all pollution of water bodies with respect to heavy metals. Overall HPI calculated based on the mean concentration of the heavy metals was found to be 2097 which is more than the critical pollution index value of 100, indicates that the selected water samples from the river are critically contaminated with respect to heavy metals. The result of the MI was found to be 71.63 suggests that the selected river is seriously affected with respect to heavy metal pollution. The study revealed the impact of anthropogenic sources on the pollution load of the river water.

Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to Assistant prof. Dr. Raad Al-Khafajee to providing samples collection facilities.

References

Adams, R. H.; Guzmán Osorio, F. J.; Zavala Cruz, J., (2008). Water repellency in oil contaminated sandy and clayey soils. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech., 5 (4), 445-454..

Ahmad, M. K.; Islam, S.; Rahman, S.; Haque, M. R.; Islam, M. M., (2010). Heavy metals in water, sediment and some fishes of Buriganga River, Bangladesh. Int. J. Environ. Res., 4 (2),321-332.

Akoto ,O.; Bruce, T. N.; Darko, G. (2008). Heavy metals pollution profiles in streams serving the Owabi reservoir. African J .Environ. Sci. Tech., 2 (11), 354-359.

Aktar, M. W.; Paramasiva m, M.; G anguly, M.; Purka it, S.; Sengupta, D., (2010). Assessment and occurrence of various heavy metals in surface water of Ganga river around Kolkata: a study for toxicity and ecological impact. Environ. Monitor. Assess., 16 0 (1-4), 207 -2 13.

Al-Ansari, N.and Al-Jabbari, M.(1987): Hemrin reservoir, geological and hydrological investigation, J.of Water Res., Special Publ. No. 2, sector 2.

Al-Jiboury, T.H.(1991). Hydrology and geomorphology of Diyala River, Unpub. Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of

Baghdad,238p.

Al-Timemi, O.S. (2007). Assessment of water resources in Diyala River basin- middle part. Unpub. Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Baghdad, College of Science, 165p.

Amadi A. N., Yisa J., Ogbonnaya,I. C. Dan-Hassan, M. A. Jacob J. O. & Alkali Y. B. (2012): Quality Evaluation of River Chanchaga Using Metal Pollution Index and Principal Component Analysis. Journal of Geography and Geology; Vol. 4, No. 2.

Ameh, E. G and Akpah, F.A (2011). Heavy metal pollution indexing and multivariate statistical evaluation of hydrogeochemistry of River PovPov in Itakpe Iron-Ore mining area, Kogi State, Nigeria. Adv. Appl. Sci. Res., 2 (1):33-46

APHA (2005). Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. 21st ed. American Public Health Association, Washington. DC, USA.

Bakan, G., Hulya, B. O., Sevtap, T. and Huseyin, C. (2010). Turkish journal of

Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 10: 453-62.

Caerio, S., Costa, M. H., Ramos, T. B., Fernandes, F., Silveira, N., Coimbra, A., Painho, M. (2005). Assessing heavy metal contamination in Sado Estuary sediment: An index analysis approach. Ecological Indicators, 5, 155-169.

Kar, D., Sur, P., Mandal, S.K., Saha, T. & Kole, R.K. (2008). Assessment of heavy metal pollution in surface water. Int. J.Environ. Sci. Tech., 5(1):119-124.

Lee, C. L.; Li, X. D.; Zhang, G.; Li, J.; Ding, A. J.; Wang, T., (2007). Heavy metals and Pb isotopic composition of aerosols in urban and suburban areas of Hong Kong and G u a ngzhou, Sou t h C h i n a Evidence of the long-range transport of air contaminants. Environ. Pollut.,41 (2), 43 2-44 7.

Lohani, M. B.; Singh, S.; Rupainwa r, D. C.; Dhar, D. N., (2008). Seasonal variations of heavy metal contamination in river Gomti of Lucknow city region. Environ. Monitor. Assess., 1 47 (1-3), 253-263.

Lyulko, I., Ambalova, T., & Vasiljeva, T. (2001). To Integrated Water Quality Assessment in Latvia. MTM (Monitoring Tailor-Made) III, Proceedings of International Workshop on Information for Sustainable Water Management. Netherlands, 449-452.

Manoj, K., Kumar, P. and Chaudhury, S. (2012). Study of Heavy Metal Contamination of the River Water through index Analysis Approach and Environmetrics Bull. Environ. Pharmacol. Life Sci.; Volume 1 [10] September 2012: 07 - 15

Ministry of Planning and Development Cooperation, Central Agency for Standardization and Quality Control, Standard No, (417), 2009, Drinking water, p.9. Iraq.

Mohan S. V., Nithila P. and Reddy S. J. (1996). Estimation of heavy metal in drinking water and development of heavy metal pollution index, J. Environ. Sci. Health A., 31(2)

Nair, I.V., Singh, K., Arumugam, M., Gangadhar, K. & Clarson, D. (2010). Trace metal quality of Meenachil River at Kottayam, Kerala (India) by principal component analysis. World Appl. Sci. J., 9(10):1100-1107.

Nalawade P.M.; A.D. Bholay and M. B. Mule (2012). Assessment of Groundwater and Surface Water Quality Indices for Heavy Metals nearby Area of Parli Thermal Power Plant Universal Journal of Environmental Research and Technology Volume 2, Issue 1: 47-51

Prasad, B., and Jaiprakas, K. C. (1999). Evaluation of heavy metals in ground water near mining area and development of heavy metal pollution index. J Environ Sci Health A 34(1): 91–102.

Prasad, B. & Kumari, S. (2008). Heavy metal pollution index of ground water of an abandoned open cast mine filled with fly ash: A case study. Mine Water Environ., 27 (4): 265-267.

Prasad, B., and Sangita, K. (2008). Heavy Metal Pollution Index of Ground Water of an Abandoned Open Cast Mine Filled with Fly Ash: a Case Study. Mine Water Environ., 27: 265–267.

Prasad, B., Mondal, K. K., (2008). The impact of filling an a b a n do ne d open cast mine with fly ash on ground water quality: A case study. Mine Water Environ., 2 7 (1), 40 -45.

Reza, R. & Singh, G. (2011). Heavy metal contamination and its indexing approach for river water. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech., 7(4):785-792.

Reza, R. & Singh, G. (2010). Heavy metal contamination and its indexing approach for river water. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech., 7(4):785-792.

Sekabira , K.; Oryem Origa , H .; Basamba, T. A.; Mutumba, G. ; K a kudidi , E . , (2010). Assessment o f heavy metal pollution in the urban stream sediments and its tributaries. Int.J . Environ. Sci. Tech., 7 (3), 435 - 446.

Singh, K. P. ; Ma llik , A. ; Moha n, D. ; Sinha , S., (2004). Multivariate statistical techniques for the evalution of spatial and temporal variations in water quality of Gomti river (India): A case study. Water Res., 3 8 (1 8), 3 9 8 0 -39 92.

Sundara y,S. K .; Pa nda ,U. C. ; N a y a k ,B .B .; Bh a t ta , D ., (2006). Multivariate statistical tecniques for the eval uation of spatial and temporal variation i n water quality of Mahanadi river-estuarine system (India).

A case s tudy. Environ. Geochem. Health, 28(4), 317-330.

Suthar, S.; Singh, S.,(2008). Vermicomposting of domestic waste by using two epigeic earthworms (Perionyx excavatus and Per ionyx s ansib ar ic us). Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech., 5 (1), 99-106.

Zarazua, G., Avila-Perez, p., Tejeda, S., Barcelo-Quintal, I. and Martinez, T. (2006). Analysis of total and dissolved heavy metals in surface water of a Mexican polluted river by Total reflection X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry, Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 61, 1180-1184.



Figure 1: Map of Study area along with sampling locations.

Tuble 17 Heavy metal concentrations at anter one focutions of Diguna River							
Sampling locations	Zn mg/l	Ni mg/l	Cd mg/l	Cu mg/l	Pb mg/l	Cr mg/l	
1	0.105	N.D	0.267	0.193	0.402	1.626	
2	0.176	0.184	0.086	0.097	0.087	1.973	
3	0.123	0.259	0.108	0.096	0.258	2.445	
4	N.D	0.098	N.D	0.098	0.151	N.D	
5	0.032	0.064	N.D	N.D	0.036	N.D	
6	0.072	0.108	N.D	0.074	0.151	N.D	
7	0.368	0.063	0.034	N.D	0.708	2	
8	0.073	0.106	0.051	0.09	0.228	1.027	
9	0.066	0.159	0.047	N.D	0.11	0.413	
10	0.089	0.078	0.079	0.018	0.326	N.D	

Table 1: Heavy metal concentrations at different locations of Divala River

N.D: not detected

Table 2: Mean HPI of Diyala River.

Heavy metals	Mean Concentrations mg/l (Vi)	Highest permitted value mg/l (Si)*	Unit weightage (Wi)	Subindex Qi	Wi x Qi	
Zn	0.1104	3	0.333	3.68	1.2254	
Ni	0.1119	0.02	50	559.5	27975	
Cd	0.0672	0.003	333.33	2240	746659	
Cu	0.0666	1	1	6.66	6.66	
Pb	0.2457	0.01	100	2457	245700	
Cr	0.9484	0.05	20	1897	37940	
			∑ Wi= 504.663		∑ Wi x Qi= 1058281.8	
HPI = 2097						

* Iraqi drinking water specifications standard, 2009, No.417.

Tuble 0: III I recorded at uniter ent sampling locations.										
Sampling	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
locations										
HPI	6803	2311	3210	347.7	103	352.7	2341	1708	1362.7	2421.9
Σ HPI = 2096										

Table 3: HPI recorded at different sampling locations.



Figure 2 : HPI values at various sampling points.

Table 4. Weam with of Diyala River						
Heavy metals	Mean Concentrations mg/l (Ci)	Highest permitted value mg/l (MAC)i	MI			
Zn	0.1104	3	0.0368			
Ni	0.1119	0.02	5.595			
Cd	0.0672	0.003	22.4			
Cu	0.0666	1	0.0666			
Pb	0.2457	0.01	24.57			
Cr	0.9484	0.05	18.968			
$\sum MI = 71.636$						

Table 4: Mean MI of Diyala River

Table 5: Water Quality Classification using MI (Lyulko et al., 2001; Caerio et al., 2005)

MI	Characteristics	Class
<0.3	Very pure	Ι
0.3-1.0	Pure	II
1.0-2.0	Slightly affected	III
2.0-4.0	Moderately affected	IV
4.0-6.0	Strongly affected	V
>6.0	Seriously affected	VI

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

CALL FOR PAPERS

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. There's no deadline for submission. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/Journals/</u>

The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a **fast** manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

