Research Journal of Finance and Accounting ISSN 2222-1697 (Paper) ISSN 2222-2847 (Online) Vol.6, No.7, 2015



Positivity Preserving Schemes for Black-Scholes Equation

Mohammad Mehdizadeh Khalsaraei (Corresponding author) Faculty of Mathematical Science, University of Maragheh, Maragheh, Iran E-mail: Muhammad.mehdizadeh@gmail.com

Reza Shokri Jahandizi Faculty of Mathematical Science, University of Maragheh, Maragheh, Iran E-mail: reza.shokri.j@gmail.com

Abstract

Mathematical finance is a field of applied mathematics, concerned with financial markets. In the market of financial derivatives the most important problem is the so called option valuation problem, i.e. to compute a fair value for the option. The solution of the Black-Scholes equation determines the option price, respectively according to the used initial conditions. In this paper, first we show that the positivity is not ensured with classical finite difference schemes when applied to the Black-Scholes equation for very small time steps. Next, by reforming the discretization of the reaction term of equation, a family of efficient explicit schemes are derived that is free of spurious oscillations around discontinuities and preserving positivity. **Keywords:** Positivity, Nonstandard discretization, Black-Scholes equation

1. Introduction

In this work, we are interested in the *option valuation problem* satisfies the Black-Scholes partial differential equation presented in [5] as:

$$-\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} - rV = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where V(S, t) is the price of the option and endowed with initial and boundary conditions:

$$V(S,0) = \max(S-K,0)1_{[L,U]}(S),$$

$$V(S,t) \to 0 \text{ as } S \to 0 \text{ or } S \to \infty,$$

with updating of the initial condition at the monitoring dates t_i , $i = 1, \dots, F$:

$$V(S, t_i) = V(S, t_i^{-}) \mathbf{1}_{[L,U]}(S), \qquad 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_F = T,$$

where $1_{[L \ U]}(S)$ is the indicator function, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{l}_{[L,U]}(\mathbf{S}) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad S \in [L,U] \\ 0 & if \quad S \notin [L,U] \end{cases}$$
(2)

here, the parameter r > 0 is the interest rate and the reference volatility is $\sigma > 0$.

To obtain the finite difference approximation for equation (1), let the computational domain $[0, S_{\max}] \times [0, T]$ is discretized by a uniform mesh with steps ΔS , Δt in order to obtain grid points $(j\Delta S, n\Delta t)$, $j = 1, \dots, M$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ so that $S_M = S_{\max} = M \Delta S$ and $T = N \Delta t$. By using the forward difference for $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$ and centered difference for discretization of $\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}$ and approximations V_j^n of Vat the grid points, we have the following explicit finite difference method [6]:

$$-\frac{V_{j}^{n+1}-V_{j}^{n}}{\Delta t}+rS_{j}\frac{V_{j+1}^{n}-V_{j-1}^{n}}{2\Delta S}+\frac{1}{2}(\sigma S_{j})^{2}\frac{V_{j-1}^{n}-2V_{j}^{n}+V_{j+1}^{n}}{\Delta S^{2}}-rV_{j}^{n}=0.$$
 (3)

This method has lower accuracy and often generates numerical drawbacks such as spurious oscillations and

negative values in the solution when applied to (1). Whenever the financial parameters of the Black-Scholes model σ and r satisfy the relationship $\sigma^2 \square r$, see Figure 1. The parameters used in this simulation is taken from [5].



Figure 1. Truncated call option value for explicit method with $\Delta S = 0.01$, $\Delta t = 10^{-5}$. parameters: L = 90, K = 100, U = 110, r = 0.05, $\sigma = 0.001$, T = 0.01, $S_{\text{max}} = 120$.

2. Construction of new scheme

Following the suggested strategy in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], by reforming the discretization of the reaction term to $V(S, t) = aV_{j-1}^n + V_j^n + V_{j+1}^n - (a+b)V_j^{n+1}$,

we get a family of explicit nonstandard finite difference method as follows:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} - r\left(a+b\right)\right) V_{j}^{n+1} = \left(-\frac{rS_{j}}{2\Delta S} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sigma S_{j}}{\Delta S}\right)^{2} - ra\right) V_{j-1}^{n} + \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} - \left(\frac{\sigma S_{j}}{\Delta S}\right)^{2} - r\right) V_{j}^{n} + \left(\frac{rS_{j}}{2\Delta S} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sigma S_{j}}{\Delta S}\right)^{2} - rb\right) V_{j+1}^{n},$$
(4)

and the matrix form of the (4) is

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} - r\left(a+b\right)\right) V^{n+1} = A V^{n},$$
(5)

where A is the following tridiagonal matrix

$$A = \left\{ -\frac{rS_j}{2\Delta S} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma S_j}{\Delta S} \right)^2 - ra , \frac{1}{\Delta t} - \left(\frac{\sigma S_j}{\Delta S} \right)^2 - r , \frac{rS_j}{2\Delta S} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma S_j}{\Delta S} \right)^2 - rb \right\}.$$
 (6)

The constant a is chosen according to the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Sufficient for scheme (5) to be positive is

$$a \leq -\frac{r}{8\sigma^2}$$
, $b \leq -\frac{r}{8\sigma^2}$, $\Delta t < \frac{1}{(\sigma M)^2 + r}$. (7)

Proof. From (5) it is enough to show that

$$-\frac{rS_{j}}{2\Delta S} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma S_{j}}{\Delta S}\right)^{2} - ra \ge 0,$$
(8)

$$\frac{rS_j}{2\Delta S} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma S_j}{\Delta S}\right)^2 - rb \ge 0,$$
(9)

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} - \left(\frac{\sigma S_j}{\Delta S}\right)^2 - r \ge 0, \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} - r(a+b) \ge 0, \tag{11}$$

from (8) we can write

$$ra \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma S_{j}}{\Delta S}\right)^{2} - \frac{rS_{j}}{2\Delta S},$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a \leq \frac{1}{r} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma S_{j}}{\Delta S}\right)^{2} - \frac{rS_{j}}{2\Delta S}\right],$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2r} \left[\left(\frac{S_{j}}{\Delta S}\right)^{2} - \frac{r}{\sigma^{2}} \left(\frac{S_{j}}{\Delta S}\right) + \frac{r^{2}}{4\sigma^{4}} - \frac{r^{2}}{4\sigma^{4}}\right],$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2r} \left[\left(\frac{S_{j}}{\Delta S} - \frac{r}{2\sigma^{2}}\right)^{2} - \frac{r^{2}}{4\sigma^{4}}\right],$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2r} \left(\frac{S_{j}}{\Delta S} - \frac{r}{2\sigma^{2}}\right)^{2} - \frac{r}{8\sigma^{2}},$$

$$(12)$$

now, the last inequality in (10) shows sufficiency of $a \le -\frac{r}{8\sigma^2}$ for (7), and similarly, from (9) we derive

that $b \le -\frac{r}{8\sigma^2}$. In the other hand (10) and (11) leads to $\Delta t < \frac{1}{(\sigma M)^2 + r}$, and the proof is complete.

The proposed positive scheme is convergent due to following theorem:

Theorem 2. Under conditions (7), the new scheme is stable and convergent with local truncation error $O(\Delta t, \Delta S^2)$ for a = b and $O(\Delta t, \Delta S)$ otherwise.

Proof. Under conditions (7) we have $\frac{1}{\Delta t} - r(a+b) \ge 0$. In the other hand we have $||A||_{\infty} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} - r(a+b) - r$, and for spectral radius, ρ of the iteration matrix we derive

$$\rho\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\Delta t}-r\left(a+b\right)}A\right) \leq \left\|\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\Delta t}-r\left(a+b\right)}A\right\|_{\infty} \leq \left|\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\Delta t}-r\left(a+b\right)}\right\| \|A\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{\Delta t}-r\left(a+b\right)-r}{\frac{1}{\Delta t}-r\left(a+b\right)} < 1,$$

therefor the scheme is stable and convergent with local truncation error:

$$T_{j}^{n} = -\frac{V(S_{j}, t_{n+1}) - V(S_{j}, t_{n})}{\Delta t} + rS_{j}\frac{V(S_{j+1}, t_{n}) - V(S_{j-1}, t_{n})}{2\Delta S}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(\sigma S_{j})^{2}\frac{V(S_{j-1},t_{n})-2V(S_{j},t_{n})+V(S_{j+1},t_{n})}{\Delta S^{2}} -r(aV(S_{j-1},t_{n})+V(S_{j},t_{n})+bV(S_{j+1},t_{n})-(a+b)V(S_{j},t_{n+1})),$$
(14)

(15)

by Taylor's expansion, we have

$$V\left(\mathbf{S}_{j},\mathbf{t}_{n+1}\right) = V\left(\mathbf{S}_{j},\mathbf{t}_{n}\right) + \Delta t \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right)_{j}^{n} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t^{2} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial t^{2}}\right)_{j}^{n} + \frac{1}{6}\Delta t^{3} \left(\frac{\partial^{3} V}{\partial t^{3}}\right)_{j}^{n} + \cdots,$$

$$V\left(\mathbf{S}_{j+1},\mathbf{t}_{n}\right) = V\left(\mathbf{S}_{j},\mathbf{t}_{n}\right) + \Delta S \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)_{j}^{n} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta S^{2} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S^{2}}\right)_{j}^{n} + \frac{1}{6}\Delta S^{3} \left(\frac{\partial^{3} V}{\partial S^{3}}\right)_{j}^{n} + \cdots,$$

$$V\left(\mathbf{S}_{j+1},\mathbf{t}_{n}\right) = V\left(\mathbf{S}_{j},\mathbf{t}_{n}\right) - \Delta S \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)_{j}^{n} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta S^{2} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S^{2}}\right)_{j}^{n} - \frac{1}{6}\Delta S^{3} \left(\frac{\partial^{3} V}{\partial S^{3}}\right)_{j}^{n} + \cdots,$$

substitution into the expression for T_{i}^{n} then gives

$$T_{j}^{n} = \left(-\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}S^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial S^{2}} - rV\right)_{j}^{n} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta t \left(\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial t^{2}}\right)_{j}^{n} + r(a-b)\Delta S \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)_{j}^{n}$$
$$-\frac{r}{2}(a+b)\Delta S^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial S^{2}}\right)_{j}^{n} + r(a+b)\Delta t \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right)_{j}^{n} + \frac{r}{2}(a+b)\Delta t^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial t^{2}}\right)_{j}^{n} + \cdots$$

But V is the solution of the Black-Scholes equation so

$$\left(-\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+rS\,\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}+\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2S^2\,\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}-rV\,\right)_j^n=0.$$

Therefore, if a = b then $T_j^n = O(\Delta t, \Delta S^2)$, otherwise $T_j^n = O(\Delta t, \Delta S)$.

3. Numerical Results

To illustrate the advantage of the designed new positive explicit scheme see Figure 2 that shows the new explicit scheme is positivity-preserving and spurious oscillations are avoided.



Figure 2. Truncated call option value for new explicit method with $\Delta S = 0.01$, $\Delta t = 10^{-5}$. parameters: L = 90, K = 100, U = 110, r = 0.05, $\sigma = 0.001$, T = 0.01, $S_{max} = 120$.

In the case of larger time step, we see the same behavior, see Figure 3. These numerical results are obtained with $\sigma^2 \Box r$.



Figure 3. Truncated call option value for new explicit method with $\Delta S = 0.01$, $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$. parameters: L = 90, K = 100, U = 110, r = 0.05, $\sigma = 0.001$, T = 0.01, $S_{max} = 120$.

4. Conclusions and discussion

We constructed a family of explicit method based on a nonstandard discretization scheme to solve option valuation problem with double barrier knock-out call option. In particular, the proposed method uses a nonstandard discretization in reaction term and the spatial derivatives are approximated using standard finite difference scheme. It has been shown that the proposed new scheme preserve the positivity as well as stability and consistence. Future work will include extending the method to nonlinear Black-Scholes equation.

References

[1] Mehdizadeh Khalsaraei, M. & Khodadoosti, F. (2014) *A new total variation diminishing implicit nonstandard finite difference scheme for conservation laws.* Computational Methods for Differential Equations, 2, 85–92

[2] Mehdizadeh Khalsaraei, M. & Khodadoosti, F. (2014) Nonstandard finite difference schemes for differential equations. Sahand Commun. Math. Anal, Vol. 1 No. 2, 47–54

[3] Mehdizadeh Khalsaraei, M. & Khodadoosti, F. *Qualitatively stability of nonstandard 2-stage explicit Runge-Kutta methods of order two*, Computational Mathematics and Mathematical physics. In press.

[4] Mickens, R. E. (1994) Nonstandard Finite Difference Models of Differential Equations. World Scientific, Singapore.

[5] Milev, M. & Tagliani, A. (2013). *Efficient implicit scheme with positivity preserving and smoothing properties*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 243, 1–9

[6] Tagliani, A., Fusai, G. & Sanfelici, S. (2002). *Practical Problems in the Numerical Solution of PDEs in Finance*. Rendiconti per gli Studi Economici Quantitativi, 2001, 105–132

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <u>http://www.iiste.org/journals/</u> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: http://www.iiste.org/book/

Academic conference: http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

