# Georgia State University College of Law Reading Room

Georgia Business Court Opinions

5-9-2008

# Order on Defendants' Motion to Strike (JAMES & JACKSON LLC)

Alice D. Bonner Superior Court of Fulton County

Follow this and additional works at: https://readingroom.law.gsu.edu/businesscourt

### Institutional Repository Citation

Bonner, Alice D., "Order on Defendants' Motion to Strike (JAMES & JACKSON LLC)" (2008). *Georgia Business Court Opinions*. 36. https://readingroom.law.gsu.edu/businesscourt/36

This Court Order is brought to you for free and open access by Reading Room. It has been accepted for inclusion in Georgia Business Court Opinions by an authorized administrator of Reading Room. For more information, please contact mbutler@gsu.edu.



FILED IN OFFICE

MAY - 8 2008

DEPUTY CLERK SUPERIOR COURT FULTON COUNTY, GA

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

JAMES & JACKSON LLC, individually and derivatively on behalf of MBC, GOSPEL NETWORK, LLC.,

Plaintiffs,

Civil Action No.: 2006CV124372

۷.

EVANDER HOLYFIELD, JR., WILLIE E. GARY, CECIL FIELDER, LORENZO WILLIAMS, THOMAS WEIKSNAR, CHAN ABNEY, LORI METOYER-BROWN, and RICK NEWBERGER,

Defendants.

# **ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE**

This case is before the Court on Defendants' Motion to Strike portions of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint. Specifically, Defendants petition this Court to strike Plaintiff's characterizations of the Delaware proceeding between it and Willie Gary, LLC over MBC as instructing, determining, or deciding that MBC should be judicially dissolved and an auction conducted.

A motion to strike is governed by O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(f) where a party may move "within 30 days after the service of the pleading upon him" to strike "from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(f) models the language of Section 12(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, thus federal cases addressing motions to strike may be relevant to this Court's analysis. Contrary to Defendants' position, however, Georgia's case law is clear that a motion to strike made by counsel outside of the 30 day window expressly provided in O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(f) is untimely. Potpourri of Merrick, Inc., v. Gay Gibson, Inc., 132 Ga.

1

App. 565, 566 (1974) ("As the motion here was not made within 30 days of service upon plaintiff, the court did not abuse its discretion in refusing to strike these defenses, even if they were legally insufficient."). Accordingly, Defendants' Motion to Strike is hereby **DENIED** as untimely filed.

In addition, Defendants urge the Court, under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(f), to strike language from a pleading "upon a Court's own initiative at any time". Thus, the Court will review Plaintiff's Complaint to determine if any language is "redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(f).

As highlighted by Defendants, the Court focused upon the portions of the Complaint construing the Delaware action as recommending judicial dissolution of MBC. After carefully reviewing the portions of the Delaware Chancery Court transcripts provided, it is clear that the Chancery Court contemplated either a mediated resolution of the dispute or dissolution of MBC, possibly through an auction (rather than a put or call option). The transcripts of the proceedings convey that dissolution was a very real possibility and that the parties were taking initial steps to investigate and structure an auction to facilitate it. It is also important to note that at the time of the Delaware action, Willie Gary, LLC was the party requesting judicial dissolution.

While Plaintiff's characterization in its Compliant in this action that Chancellor Strine "instructed", "determined", or "decided" that dissolution and an auction were the appropriate remedies for the parties is an extrapolation of the direction of the case, it is also typical puffing and posturing found in pleadings. It is not, however, grounds to strike the pleadings because such characterizations are not patently false, nor, is it clear that the Delaware action will "have no possible bearing upon the subject matter of the litigation....." <u>Medlin v.</u>

2

<u>Carpenter</u>, 174 Ga. App. 50, 55, (1985); <u>see also Smith v. Morris, Manning, & Martin</u>, 254 Ga. App. 355, 357 (2002) (Upholding a trial court's order to strike language in a pleading because it was "patently false and [a] sham pleading"). Because such motions are not favored in Georgia and the case law urges the Court to exercise caution in striking pleadings, the Court declines the opportunity to act "upon its own initiative" and strike Plaintiff's Complaint. <u>See Northwestern Mut. Life Ins. Co. v. McGivern</u>, 132 Ga. App. 297, 302 (1974) (holding that "if there is any doubt as to whether under any contingency the matter may raise an issue, the motion should be denied...").

**SO ORDERED** this <u></u> day of May, 2008.

ALICE D. BONNER, SENIOR JUDGE Superior Court of Fulton County Atlanta Judicial Circuit

#### Copies to: Jerry A. Landers, Jr. GREEN JOHNSON & LANDERS LLP 33625 Cumberland Boulevard, Suite 600 Atlanta, GA 30339 (770) 690-8001 / (770) 690-8206 (fax)

#### Of Counsel

William Brewer III, Esq.
Michael Collins, Esq.
Eric Haas, Esq.
Andrew L. Poplinger, Esq.
Daniel C. Skinner, Esq.
C. Dunham Biles, Esq.
BICKEL & BREWER
4800 Bank One Center
1717 Main Street
Dallas, Texas 75201
(214) 653-4000/ (214) 653 1015 (fax)

Mary Ann Diaz, Esq. Chanthina Bryant Abney, Esq. Maria P. Sperando, Esq. **GARY WILLIAMS PARENTI FINNEY LEWIS McCanus WATSON & SPERANDO PL** 221 East Osceola Street Stuart, Florida 34994 (772) 283-8260/ (772-283-4996 (fax)

#### Of Counsel:

Anthony L. Cochran, Esq. John K. Larkins, Esq. CHILIVIS, COCHRAN, LARKINS & BEVER, LLP 3127 Maple Drive Atlanta, Georgia 30047 (404) 233-4171/(404) 261-2842 *fax*