Acta fytotechn zootechn, 20, 2017(3): 54–59 http://www.acta.fapz.uniag.sk

Original Paper

Comparative sensitivity of maize weevil to essential oil of *Hoslundia opposita* Vahl leaves subjected to different drying regimes

Samuel Adelani Babarinde^{1*}, Oladele Abiodun Olaniran¹, Lamidi Ajao Usman², Ebenezer Oluwaseun Esan³, Adeola Afolabi¹, Olawumi Sanmori¹, Joshua Damilare Lomowu¹ ¹Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Department of Crop and Environmental Protection, Ogbomoso, Nigeria ²University of Ilorin, Department of Chemistry, Ilorin, Nigeria ³Obafemi Awolowo University, Institute of Agricultural Training & Research, Ibadan, Nigeria

Article Details: Received: 2016-06-11 | Accepted: 2016-07-28 | Available online: 2017-09-30

http://dx.doi.org/10.15414/afz.2017.20.03.54-59

Botanical essential oils (EOs) are effective alternatives to over-dependence on synthetic insecticides in stored product protection. However, the burden of handling bulky fresh botanicals needed for EO extraction and the tendency of the freshly harvested botanical to rot in transit, if wrongly handled, can be bottlenecks to pilot scale application of EO. Therefore, this study evaluates the comparative efficacy of the EOs obtained from freshly harvested *Hoslundia opposita* Vahl (Lamiaceae) and plants exposed to different shade-drying regimes (1–5 days) against maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky, under laboratory conditions. Evaluation included fumigant toxicity and repellence bioassays. At 2 hours after exposure (HAE), EO of freshly harvested *H. opposita* leaves caused significantly (P < 0.001) lower mortality (53.30%) than the value observed in *H. opposita* leaves dried for 5 days (90.00%). The lethal time for 90.00% of the weevils (LT₉₀) obtained in EO from *H. opposita* leaves dried for 5 days (2.00 h) was significantly lower than 2.80 and 7.14 h obtained in EOs from the fresh and 1 day-dried leaves, respectively. At 1 HAE, EOs obtained from *H. opposita* leaves dried for 4 and 5 days caused 60.00% repellence which was significantly higher than 20.00% observed in fresh leaves. At 2 HAE, EOs obtained from *H. opposita* dried for 4 and 5 days caused significantly higher repellence (100.00 and 80.00%, respectively) than what was observed in fresh leaves (70.00%). The results imply that shade drying freshly harvested *H. opposita* leaves has no deleterious effects on the efficacy of its EO against maize weevil.

Keywords: bird gooseberry, *Hoslundia opposita* Vahl, botanical essential oils, drying time, insecticidal, maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky

1 Introduction

The maize weevil, Sitophilus zeamais Motschulsky, 1855 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) is a cosmopolitan major insect pest of stored cereals in developing countries. Recent studies show that its hosts range has increased beyond cereals to other cereal products like pasta and processed tuberous crops. It can also feed on dried vegetables for survival in the absence of its preferred food (Babarinde et al., 2008b; 2013a,b). The use of botanicals for control of pests is receiving renewed attention because of several reasons. Such reasons include availability, low cost implications, reduced technical knowledge, target specificity, biodegradability and ecological safety and compatibility with other control strategies (Babarinde et al., 2008a, 2015; Maheswaran and Ignacimuthu, 2013). Essential oil (EO) is a preferred formulation for pest control because it is effective at comparatively low concentrations. More so, it is effective even without direct contact with the target organism (Moharramipour and Negahban, 2014; Babarinde et al., 2015).

There is contrasting view on the impact of drying methods on the chemical composition of botanical EO. While some authors reported variations only in the quantity, and claimed no disparities in the chemical composition (Omidbaigi et al., 2004; Khalid et al., 2008), other researchers gave contrary reports. For instance, Arabhosseini et al. (2006), Khangholi and Rezaeinodehi (2008), Sellami et al. (2011), Hanaa et al. (2012), Shahhoseini et al. (2013) and Usman et al. (2016) reported that drying methods or regimes have the tendency to affect both the vield and chemical composition of the EOs obtained from botanicals. It can therefore be concluded that the impact of drying methods and regime on the qualitative or quantitative composition of botanical EOs depend on the studied botanical species. Studies on the comparative bioactivity of the EOs obtained from botanicals exposed to varying drying regime against stored product insects are scarce in literatures. From literature search, studies on the bioactivity of EOs obtained from freshly harvested leaves against insect pests seem to be more numerous

^{*}Corresponding Author: Samuel Adelani Babarinde, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Department of Crop and Environmental Protection, Ogbomoso, Nigeria, e-mail: sababarinde@lautech.edu.ng

than those on the bioactivity of EOs obtained from dried leaves. There is paucity of information on comparative bioactivities of the EOs obtained from the freshly harvested botanical and the dried one against *S. zeamais* in the literatures. Recently, Usman et al. (2016) reported the comparative contact toxicity of the EOs obtained from the fresh and dried leaves of *Citrus meyeri* against *Callosobruchus maculatus* Fabricius.

Information about the pesticidal properties of Hoslundia opposita Vahl (Lamiaceae) leaves is scarce in the literatures except for Babarinde et al. (2014; 2017ab) who recently reported the bioactivity of its EO against rust red flour beetle, Triboliun castaneum Herbst and cowpea seed bruchid, Callosobruchs maculatus Fabricius. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the bioactivity of H. opposita EO against S. zeamais. This study was designed in order to compare the sensitivity of S. zeamais to EO from freshly harvested H. opposita Vahl (Lamiaceae) with the EOs obtained from H. opposita subjected to different drying periods. The thrust of this work was the need to examine the comparative efficacy of EO obtained from fresh leaves with from the dried leaves. This is because conveyance of fresh leaves from the place of harvest to the place of extraction can be tedious and the harvested plants may rot in transit if the botanicals are not well handled and long duration is required. Therefore, the objectives of this study is to evaluate the effect of drying periods of *H. opposita* leaves on fumigant toxicity and repellence of the EO obtained from the leaves against maize weevil, Sitophilus zeamais.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Insect culture

Sitophilus zeamais was obtained from the Stored Product Entomology Unit of the Department of Crop and Environmental Protection Laboratory, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology (LAUTECH) Ogbomoso, Nigeria. The insect was raised on uninfested Tsolo (a local yellow maize variety) bought at Sabo Market, Ogbomoso. The maize was not pre-treated with any insecticide. Approximately 300 g maize was weighed into each of six 1 L capacity plastic jars and 30 mixed sexes S. zeamais adults were introduced into each jar. The jars were covered with muslin cloth and tied with robber band to allow aeration and prevent either escape of the introduced weevils or intrusion of unwanted species. The insect culturing jars were put inside a wooden cupboard in the laboratory (28 \pm 2 °C temperature and 70 \pm 3% relative humidity). A period of 14 days was allowed for mating and oviposition of the insects, after which the introduced parental generation was removed from the cultures. The insect cultures were maintained throughout the experimental period from which adults of known age were obtained when needed for bioassay.

2.2 Procurement of Hoslundia opposita essential oil

Freshly harvested *Hoslundia opposita* leaves were obtained from the premises of the University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria in September, 2015 at 7 am and subjected to different shade-drying periods (1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 days) under shade at 28 ± 2 °C temperature and $70\pm3\%$ relative humidity on a wooden laboratory bench. Extraction of the EOs was done at the Department of Chemistry Laboratory, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria using hydro distillation method with the use of Clevenger type apparatus (Babarinde et al., 2014), using 200 g each of either the freshly harvested or the dried leaves. The EOs obtained from the freshly harvested leaves and the leaves exposed to the different drying periods were separately stored in labeled glass sample bottle at 4 °C until use.

2.3 Bioassays of insect

Two bioassays (fumigant toxicity and repellence) were used to evaluate the comparative sensitivity of maize weevil, *S. zeamais*, to the EOs. The concentrations used for the bioassays were determined by preliminary (dummy) experiments

2.3.1 Fumigant toxicity bioassay

Fumigant toxicity bioassay was done as described by Babarinde et al. (2014), using Whatman filter paper (approximately 4 cm² area) folded and glued to the inner surface of the lid of 0.75 L capacity fumigation chamber. EOs (25 µL) obtained from the freshly harvested H. opposita leaves and the leaves exposed to different drying period were separately applied to the filter paper glued to the lid of the chamber and covered for 20 min prior to the introduction of the insects. The control was 0.75 L capacity fumigation chamber without EO application. Thereafter, ten 1- to 5-day old S. zeamais adults were separately introduced into the both EO-treated and control fumigation chambers and then covered again. The experiment was replicated three times. Data were taken half-hourly for 5 hours on the mortality of S. zeamais. Insects were adjudged dead when they were unable to move their legs and antennae.

2.3.2 Repellence bioassay

Area preference test previously described by Babarinde et al. (2014; 2017a) was used for the repellence bioassay using 5 μ L EO obtained from the *H. opposita* leaves exposed to different drying regimes. The test arena was a 9 cm diameter Petri dish with Whatman No 1 filter paper (9 cm diameter) cut into equal halves and joined together with an adhesive tape. The EO concentration (5 μ L) was applied onto one half paper disc using a pre-set micro applicator, while the other half was left untreated. Thereafter, ten 1- to 5-day old *S. zeamais* adults were introduced to the centre of the test arena. The experiment was replicated three times. Repellence data (numbers of insects present in control and treated halves) were taken at 1 and 2 hours after treatment. Percentage repellence (PR) value was calculated thus:

$$PR = (Nu - N_{\rm i}/Nu + N_{\rm i}) \times 100,$$

where:

 N_{μ} – number of insects on untreated disc

 N_t – number of insects on treated disc

2.4 Experimental design and statistical analyses

The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and significant means were separated using Studentized Neuman Keuls (SNK) at 5% probability level. Probit analysis was used to determine the lethal time for 50% and 90% (LT_{so} and LT_{90}) of the assayed weevils. All statistical analyses were done using SPSS Software (SPSS, 2006).

3 Results

3.1 Fumigant toxicity of the essential oils against S. zeamais

The results of the toxic effect of drying regimes on the EO obtained from the leaves of H. opposita against S. zeamais is presented in Table 1. Throughout the experimental period, it was observed that mortality observed in untreated control was significantly (P < 0.05) lower than mortality observed in experimental set up with EO treatments. When S. zeamais adults were exposed to EO for 0.5 h, the percentage mortality (13.33-36.67%) was significantly (Df = 6, 20; F = 7.515; P < 0.001) higher than 0% mortality observed in the untreated control. Also, at 1 hour exposure period, percentage mortality observed in weevils treated with the EO of leaves dried for 4 and 5 days (56.67% and 50.00%, respectively) was significantly (Df = 6, 20; F = 9.492; P < 0.001) higher than mortality observed in weevils treated with the EO of 3-day drying period (23.33%) and the untreated control (3.33%). At 1.5 hour exposure period, all EO caused significantly (Df = 6, 20; F = 15.97;P < 0.001) higher fumigant toxicity (46.67-73.33%) than what was observed in the untreated control (3.33%). At 2 hours after exposure (HAE), mortality observed from the EO of freshly harvested H. opposita leaves (53.3%) was significantly (*Df* = 6, 20; *F* = 14.828; *P* < 0.001) lower than 90% mortality observed from H. opposita leaves dried for 5 days. At 2.5-5 HAE, mortality due to exposure of weevils to EO-fumigated chambers was not significantly affected by drying regime of the botanicals, but values (70.00–100.00%) were significantly higher than mortality observed in the untreated control (3.33%).

Means were compared along the drying regimes. Values with different alphabets for the same bioassay duration

(1 or 2 h) are significantly (P < 0.05) different using SNK. Repellence classes inserted into the bars: Class I = 0.1–0% Class II = 20.1–40%; Class III = 40.1–60.1% Class IV = 60.1–80%; Class V = 80.1–100%. ANOVA Results {(1 h: Df = 5,17; F = 8.057, p = 0.002); (2 h: Df = 5,17; F = 27.00, P < 0.001)}.

Although the EOs from *H. opposita* leaves dried for 1–5 days had lower LT_{50} values (1.28–1.77 h) compared with the value observed in the EO from freshly harvested leaves (2.22 h), the difference was not significant, taking into consideration the overlapping of their Fiducial limits. However, the LT_{90} (2.00 (1.84–2.19) h) obtained from the EO of the *H. opposita* leaves shade-dried for 5 days was significantly lower than 2.80 (2.52–4.79) h and 7.14 (5.13–9.27) h obtained for the EOs from the freshly harvested and 1 day-dried *H. opposita* leaves, respectively (Table 2).

3.2 Repellent properties of the essential oils against S. zeamais

The result of repellence test is represented in (Fig. 1). At 1 HAT, EOs obtained from *H. opposita* leaves shade-dried for 4 and 5 days caused Class III repellence (60.00%) which was significantly (Df = 6, 20; F = 8.057; P < 0.001) higher than Class I (20.00%) observed in freshly harvested *H. opposita* leaves. At 2 HAE EOs obtained from *H. opposita* dried for 4 to 5 days caused significantly





Fumigant toxicity of essential oils obtained from Hos/undia opposita leaves exposed to different drying regimes against Sitophilus zeamais

100.00 ±0.00 86.67±6.67b 100.00 ±0.00 100.00 ±0.00 100.00 ±0.00 100.00 ±0.00

 $\begin{array}{c} 100.00 \pm 0.0b\\ 80.00 \pm 11.55b\\ 100.00 \pm 0.0b\\ 100.00 \pm 0.0b\\ 100.00 \pm 0.0b\\ 100.00 \pm 0.0b\end{array}$

100.00 ±0.0b

100.00 ±0.0c

100.00 ±0.0b

76.67±14.53b 70.0±17.32b 96.67±3.33b

53.33 ±3.33b

53.33 ±3.33b

40.00 ±5.77bc 40.00±5.77bc 40.00 ±5.77bc 23.33 ±3.33b

76.67±12.02b

76.67±12.02b

76.6±12.02b

63.33±14.53bc 76.67 ±6.66bc 66.67 ± 3.33bc 73.33 ±6.68bc 90.00 ± 5.77c

53.33±8.82b 56.67 ±6.67b 46.67 ±3.33b

26.67 ±3.33bc 23.33±3.33bc

20.00 ±0.00bc 3.33 ±3.33b 36.67 ± 8.82c 23.33 ±3.33bc

100.00 ±0.0b

100.00 ±0.0c 86.67 ± 3.33b 100.00 ±0.0c 100.00 ±0.0c

100.00 ±0.0b 100.00 ±0.0a 100.00 ±0.0b

100.00 ±0.0b 86.67 ± 3.33b

86.67 ±3.33b

83.33 ± 3.33b 100.00 ±0.0b

80.00 ±0.0b 1 00.00 ±0.0b

73.33 ±6.68b 70.00 ± 5.75b

56.67 ±8.82c 50.00 ±5.77c

Ś

4.5

4

3.5

 \sim

2.5

2

1.5

ity at hours after exposure

0.5

l Period Fresh)

Table 1 Morta	Drying	0 day	1 day	2 days	3 days	4 days	5 days	Untrea	contro	
						·				1

Data are means \pm standard errors. Values with different alphabets along the column are significantly (P <0.05) different using SNK.

P < 0.001

P = 0.001

Df = 6, 20

Df = 6, 20F = 63.000 P < 0.001

Df = 6, 20 F = 87.667 P < 0.001

Df = 6, 20

Df = 6, 20 F = 27.303 P < 0.001

Df = 6, 20 F = 13.714 P < 0.001

Df= 6, 20 F = 14.828 P < 0.001

Df = 6, 20F = 15.97

Df= 6, 20 F = 9.492 P < 0.001

Df = 6, 20F = 7.515

> **ANOVA** Results

F = 52.689P < 0.001

F = 2163.667 P < 0.001

3.33±3.33a

3.33 ± 3.33a

3.33 ±3.33a

3.33 ±3.33a

10.00 ±5.77a

3.33 ±3.33a

3.33 ± 3.33a

3.33 ± 3.33a

3.33±3.33a

0.00 ±0.00a

ated

ble 2 Lethal tim	e (LT $_{50}$ and LT $_{90}$ (h)) of esse	ential oils obtained from <i>I</i>	Hoslundia opposita leaves	exposed to different dry	ving regimes against Sito	philus zeamais	
ying regime	LT50 (95% FL)	LT90 (95% FL)	Regression equation	Slope (± SE)	Chi square	Df	
day (Fresh)	2.22 (0.97–2.47)	2.80 (2.52–4.79)	Υ = -4.43 - 4.20X	-4.20 (±1.06)	22.30	2	
day	1.32 (0.22–2.48)	7.14 (5.13–9.27)	Υ = -0.21 - 0.52X	-0.52 (±0.41)	2.32	2	
days	1.63 (1.31–1.80)	2.33 (2.19–2.55)	Υ = -1.72 - 2.99X	-2.99 (±0.58)	11.89	2	
days	1.57 (1.25–1.83)	3.02 (2.64–3.64)	Υ = -0.89 - 6.31X	-6.31 (±0.14)	20.45	2	
days	1.77 (0.00–2.56)	3.26 (0.64–13.07)	Υ = -1.12 - 2.48X	-2.48 (±0.49)	33.54	2	
days	1.28 (1.10–1.42)	2.00 (1.84–2.19)	Y = -0.70 - 2.84Χ	-2.84 (±0.25)	7.85	Δ	

SE – Standard error; FL – Fiducial Limit

(Df = 6, 20; F = 27.00; P < 0.001) higher repellence (100.00 and 80.00%, respectively) than what was observed in freshly harvested leaves (70.00%).

4 Discussion and conclusions

It was observed that regardless of the drying regime, EO obtained from H. opposita leaves had significant fumigant toxicity against S. zeamais compared with the untreated control. Toxicity of H. opposita was exposure period-dependent. This was because the weevils had no escape route from the experimental unit, and so, the longer the period of exposure, the higher the toxic effect of the EO that penetrated into their body systems. This agrees with Lira et al. (2015) who reported the fumigant toxicity of EO from Alpinia purpurata inflorescences against S. zeamais. The observation was also in line with Babarinde et al. (2014) who reported the fumigant toxicity of the EO obtained from the freshly harvested H. opposita leaves against T. castaneum. Santos et al. (2015) recently reported the toxicity of plant oils from southwestern Amazon against S. zeamais. The fumigant toxicity of the EOs implies that they could be used in controlling Sitophilus species infesting cereals at small concentrations without direct contact with the target organisms.

Percentage repellence also progressed with exposure period and was significant at 1 and 2 HAT. Higher repellence observed at a later exposure period than early exposure period implies that the components of H. opposita EO did not volatilize because the repellency chamber was closed. Also, there was hyper excitability due to the olfaction of the EO at the early exposure period, but the insects attained stability in their responses to the EO with an increase in exposure period (Babarinde et al., 2014). Repellent property of the EO shows that they can be used to control non-resident S. zeamais populations. The repellent property of the EOs obtained from Pistacia lentiscus (Anacadiaceae) leaves, and some tropical and Mediterranean botanical species against S. zeamais has been reported by some authors (Conti et al., 2010; Bougherra et al., 2015) From the results of the two bioassays, EO obtained from H. opposita leaves dried for 4 or 5 days appear to be significantly better than the EO obtained from freshly harvested H. opposita. This result contradicts the result of Usman et al. (2016) who reported similarity between the contact toxicity of the EO obtained from both fresh and dried leaves of Citrus meyeri against C. maculatus. The disparity in the results of the two experiments could be attributed to the differences in the studied organisms, the botanicals and the bioassays evaluated. While Usman et al. (2016) evaluated contact toxicity against C. maculatus using C. meyeri, the present study reports the evaluation of fumigant toxicity and repellence of *H. opposita* against *S. zeamais*.

Bioactivities of EOs against stored product insects have been associated with the chemical constituents present in the EOs. For instance, Pimienta-Ramírez et al. (2016) reported that Eupatorium glabratum EO and two of the main components of the oil, α -pinene and α -phellandrene, were toxic against S. zeamis. In another study, fumigant toxicity of EO obtained from Aphyllocladus decussatus Hieron, Aloysia polystachya Griseb, Minthostachys verticillata Griseb Epling and Tagetes minuta L, which are rich in ketones and their major components: a-thujone, R-carvone, S-carvone, (-) menthone, R (+) pulegone and E-Z- ocimenone were evaluated against S. zeamais. M. verticillata oil was the most toxic and all ketones showed insecticidal activity against the weevil (Herrera et al., 2014). Although, the scope of this study does not include the elucidation of the bioactive components present in each EO, the comparative disparity in the bioactivity of the EOs could be due to the variations in the components present in each EO. According to Shahhoseini et al. (2013) in a study on the effect of different drying methods on the EO of Lemon verbena (Lippia citriodora), the highest content of EO and total monoterpenes were obtained at 30 °C oven drying, neral and geranial being maximized at that temperature. However, increasing temperature of oven had a negative effect on oil content and monoterpenes like neral and geranial. Also, Teles et al. (2013) evaluated the EO content and composition of fresh and dry leaves of spearmint (Mentha villosa Huds.) and reported that the drying methodologies affected the composition of the EOs. Rahimmalek and Goli (2013) also reported that the yield and composition of the EOs obtained from Thymys daenensis subsp. daenensis. Celak leaves were affected by the drying treatments.

In conclusion, the results indicate that the EOs obtained from either fresh or dried *H. opposita* leaves have promising potentials as an effective insecticide against *S. zeamais* adults. However, it is recommended that when there is the need for drying of the freshly harvested botanical in order to reduce the botanical bulkiness and ease of conveyance, shade-drying should be preferred to high temperature oven-drying or sun-drying. This is because shade-drying can preserve the thermo-labile components of the botanical EOs, which might be negatively affected by high temperature drying (Agah and Najafian, 2012).

References

AGAH, M. and NAJAFIAN, S. (2012) Essential oil content and composition of *Lippa citriodora* as affected by drying method before flowering stages. In *European Journal of Experimental Biology*, vol. 2, no 5, pp. 1771–1777.

ARABHOSSEINI, A. et al. (2006) Loss of essential oil of tarragon (*Artemisia dracunculus* L.) due to drying. In *Journal*

of the Scence of Food and Agriculture, vol. 86, pp. 2543-2550. doi:http://dx.http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2641

BABARINDE G. O., BABARINDE S. A. and OGUNSOLA S. O. (2013a) Effect of maize weevil (*Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky 1855) infestation on the quality of three commercial pastas. In *Food Science and Quality Management*, vol. 21, pp. 1–11.

BABARINDE S. A. et al. (2013b) Effect of the prevalent insect species of yam chips on consumers' acceptability of yam paste. In *Agriculturae Tropica Subtropica*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 97–101. doi: https://dx.http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/ats-2013-0017

BABARINDE S. A. et al. (2008a) Potential of Tithonia diversifolia with Pirimiphos-methyl in control of *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). In *African Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology*, vol. 2 no. 2, pp. 77–80.

BABARINDE S. A. et al. (2015) First report of toxicity of *Xylopia parviflora* (A. Rich.) Benth (Annonaceae) root bark's essential oil against cowpea seed bruchid, *Callososbruchus maculatus* Fabricius (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Bruchinae). In *Natural Product Reearch*, vol. 29 no. 4, pp. 349–352. doi: http://dx.http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2014.940943

BABARINDE S. A. et al. (2017a) Chemical composition of the essential oil of Nigeria grown *Hoslundia opposita* VAHL (Lamiaceae) dried leaves and its bioactivity against cowpea Seed Bruchid. In *Chemistry and Biodiversity*, vol. 14 (In Press). doi:https://sx.http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201600418

BABARINDE S. A. et al. (2017b) Insectifugal and insecticidal potentials of two tropical botanical essential oils against cowpea seed bruchid. In *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* (In Press). doi:https://dx.http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9589-x

BABARINDE S. A., SOSINA A. and OYEYIOLA E. I. (2008b) Susceptibility of selected crop in storage to *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky in southwestern Nigeria. In *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 541–550.

BABARINDE S. A. et al. (2014) Toxicity and repellence of *Hoslundia opposita* Vahl (Lamiaceae) leaves' essential oil against rust-red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). In *Natural Product Reearch*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 361–371. doi:http://dx.http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14786419.20 13.866115

BOUGHERRA H. H. et al. (2015) *Pistacia lentiscus* essential oil has repellent effect against three major insect pests of pasta. In *Industrial Crops and Products*,vol. 63, pp. 249–255. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.09.048

CONTI B. et al. (2010) Repellence of essential oils from tropical and Mediterranean Lamiaceae against *Sitophilus zeamais*. In *Bulletin of Insectology*, vol 63, no. 2, pp. 197–202.

HANAA, A. R. M. et al. (2012) Lemongrass (Cymbopogon citratus) essential oil as affected by drying methods. In *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, vol. 57 no. 2, pp. 113–116. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aoas.2012.08.004

HERRERA J. M. et al. (2014) Fumigant toxicity from five essential oils rich in ketones against *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motschulsky). In *Agriscientia*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 35–41.

KHALID K. A., HU W. and CAI W. (2008) The effects of harvesting and different drying methods on the essential oil composition of Lemon Balm (*Melissa officinalis* L.). In *Journal of Essential Oil Beaing Plants*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 342–349. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2008.10643639

KHANGHOLI S. P. and REZAEINODEHI A. (2008) Effect of drying temperature on essential oil content and composition of Sweet Wonnwood (*Artemisia annua*) growing wild in Iran. In *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 934–937.

LIRA C. S. et al. (2015) Evaluation of the toxicity of essential oil from *Alpinia purpurata* inflorescences to *Sitophilus zeamais* (maize weevil). In *Crop Protection*, vol. 71, pp. 95–100. Doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2015.02.004

MAHESWARAN R. and IGNACIMUTHU S. (2013) Bioefficacy of essential oil from *Polygonum hydropiper* L. against mosquitoes, *Anopheles stephensi* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. In *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, vol. 97 no. 1, pp. 26–31. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2013.06.028

MOHARRAMIPOUR S. and NEGAHBAN M. (2014) Plant essential oils and pest management. In SAHAYARAJ, K. (ed): *Basic and applied aspects of biopesticides*. New Delhi: Springer, India. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-1877-7-7

OMIDBAIGI R., SEFIDKON F. and KAZEMI F. (2004) Influence of drying methods on the essential oil content and composition of Roman chamomile. In *Flavour and Fragrance Journal*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 196–198. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ffj.1340

PIMIENTA-RAMÍREZ L. et al. (2016) Chemical composition and evaluation of the essential oil from *Eupatorium glabratum* as biopesticide against *Sitophilus zeamais* and several stored maize fungi. In *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 113–120. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2015.10 93969

RAHIMMALEK M. and GOLI S. A. H. (2013) Evaluation of six drying treatments with respect to essential oil yield, composition and color characteristics of *Thymys daenensis* subsp. *daenensis*. Celak leaves. In *Industrial Crops and Products*, vol. 42, pp. 613– 619. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.06.012

SANTOS A. C. V. et al. (2015) Use of plant oils from the southwestern Amazon for the control of maize weevil. In *Journal of Stored Product Research*, vol. 63, pp. 67–70. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2015.07.002

SELLAMI I. H. et al. (2011). Qualitative and quantitative changes in the essential oil of *Laurus nobilis* L. leaves as affected by different drying methods. In *Food Chemistry*, vol. 126, no. 2, pp. 691–697. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. foodchem.2010.11.022

SHAHHOSEINI R.et al. (2013) The effect of different drying methods on the content and chemical composition of essential oil of Lemon verbena (*Lippia citriodora*). In *Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Pants*, vol. 16, No. 4, pp. 474–481. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1080/0972060X.2013.813270

SPSS. (2006) Statistical Package for Social Sciences. Version 15.0 for Windows.

TELES S. et al. (2013). Effect of geographical origin on the essential oil content and composition of fresh and dried Mentha villosa Hudson leaves. In *Industrial Crops and Products*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 1–7. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. indcrop.2012.12.009

USMAN L. A. et al. (2016) Phytochemical profile and insecticidal activity of essential oil from fresh and dried leaves of the Nigerian grown *Citrus meyeri*. In *Journal of Turkish Chemical Society (Section A)*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 207–218. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.18596/jotcsa.09331