# Capture components for cubic polynomials with parabolic fixed points

#### Shizuo Nakane\*

The parameter space for a family of cubic polynomials with parabolic fixed points of multiplier one is investigated. Especially, the dynamics on the boundaries of the capture components is revealed.

#### 1 Introduction

In this note, we will investigate the dynamics of the family

$$Per_1(1): P_a(z) = z^3 + az^2 + z, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}.$$

of cubic polynomials with parabolic fixed point 0 of multiplier one. Especially we will study the parameter space of our family. One of the two critical points of the map  $P_a$  belongs to the immediate basin  $\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  of the parabolic fixed point 0. The dynamics of  $P_a$  is completely determined by the behaviour of the orbit of another critical point. We are much interested in the parameters for which both critical points belong to the basin  $\mathcal{B}_a(0)$ . We call here the set of such parameters the parabolic set and its connected component a parabolic component.

Our family  $Per_1(1)$  in cubic polynomials has been investigated by many authors. Douady-Hubbard [DH] studied the discontinuity of the straightening map of cubic-like maps on  $Per_1(1)$ . Milnor [M1] considered the family of real cubic polynomials and conjectured the non-local connectivity of the cubic connectedness locus. Lavaurs [L] settled this conjecture through the study of  $Per_1(1)$ . See also Epstein-Yampolsky [EY]. Thus  $Per_1(1)$  reflects the features of the cubic dynamics much different from the quadratic one.

Willumsen [W] gave necessary conditions for stretching rays to accumulate on a map in the main parabolic component of  $Per_1(1)$ . Inspired by her work, the author showed, in the joint work [KN] with Y. Komori that, in a certain region of the space of real cubic polynomials, most stretching rays have non-trivial accumulation sets on the real slice of the main parabolic component of  $Per_1(1)$ . In fact, they oscillate wildly as they approach

<sup>\*</sup>Professor, General Education and Research Center, Tokyo Polytechnic University, Received Sept. 8, 2005

 $Per_1(1)$ . In order to study stretching rays in non-real regions, we have to reveal the structure of those parabolic components. This note is a first step toward this aim. And we will investigate the dynamics on the boundaries of the capture components.

#### 2 Connectedness locus

Note that critical points of the map  $P_a$ :

$$c_{\pm}(a) = \frac{-a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 3}}{3} = \frac{a}{3} \left( -1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 3/a^2} \right).$$

are two branches of a two-valued holomorphic function on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . It branches at  $a=\pm\sqrt{3}$ . Or they are holomorphic functions on the double covering space of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}-\{\pm\sqrt{3}\}$ . In order to consider them on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , we must fix their branches. Since  $c_{\pm}(a)$  are holomorphic for large |a|, we fix such branches. Then they are single-valued holomorphic in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}-[-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3}]$ . Note that they are replaced by each other when we go through the slit  $[-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3}]$ . On this slit, both critical points belong to  $\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$ . Thus the slit is included in the connectedness locus. Since they have the following asymptotic behaviours near  $a=\infty$ :

$$c_{+}(a) = -\frac{1}{2a} + O(\frac{1}{a^{3}}), \quad c_{-}(a) = -\frac{2a}{3} + O(\frac{1}{a}),$$

the critical values satisfy

$$P_a(c_+(a)) = O(\frac{1}{a}), \quad P_a(c_-(a)) \approx \frac{4a^3}{27}.$$

Thus, for large |a|,  $c_{-}(a)$  escapes to  $\infty$  and  $c_{+}(a)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{B}_{a}^{*}(0)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** The connectedness locus  $M_1(1)$  of the family  $Per_1(1)$  is characterized by

$$M_1(1) = \{ a \in \mathbb{C}; c_-(a) \in K_a = K(P_a) \}.$$

In  $\mathbb{C} - M_1(1)$ , the unique indifferent cycle 0 is persistent. Hence  $P_a$  is J-stable there. Actually we have

**Lemma 2.2.** The complement of  $\partial M_1(1)$  is the set of parameter a such that  $P_a$  is *J*-stable.

proof. Note that  $P_a$  is J-stable if and only if the family  $\{P_a^k(c_{\pm}(a); k \geq 0\}$  forms a normal family in a neighborhood of a. If we put  $m_a = 3max(|a|, 1)$ , it follows  $K_a \subset \mathbb{D}_{m_a}$ . Thus, if  $a \in IntM_1(1)$ , both critical points are contained in  $\mathbb{D}_{m_a}$  and  $P_a$  is J-stable by

Montel's theorem. If  $a \in \partial M_1(1)$ ,  $P_a$  is not J-stable since  $\{P_a^k(c_-(a))\}$  is not normal. This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

Now let  $\tilde{c}_{-}(a)$  be the co-critical point of  $c_{-}(a)$ . It satisfies  $P_a(\tilde{c}_{-}(a)) = P_a(c_{-}(a))$ . If  $\varphi_a$  denotes the Böttcher coordinate of  $P_a$ ,  $\varphi_a(\tilde{c}_{-}(a))^3 = \varphi_a(P_a(\tilde{c}_{-}(a))) \approx 4a^3/27$ . Thus, if we put  $\Phi(a) = 3\varphi_a(\tilde{c}_{-}(a))/\sqrt[3]{4}$ , we have

**Proposition 2.1.**  $\Phi: \overline{\mathbb{C}} - M_1(1) \to \overline{\mathbb{C}} - \overline{\mathbb{D}_{3/\sqrt[3]{4}}}$  is a conformal isomorphism satisfying  $\lim_{a\to\infty} \Phi(a)/a = 1$ .

Corollary 2.1.  $M_1(1)$  is connected.

Now, external rays are defined for  $M_1(1)$  and we can discuss their landing properties. Note that the correspondence between the parameter space and the dynamical plane is done through the co-critical point.

### 3 Conformal position maps

In this section, we will show that every parabolic components are simply connected. For a parabolic component W, the critical point  $c_+(a)$  always belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  and there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $P_a^k(c_-(a))$  first hits  $\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$ . We call such k the preperiod of W. W is called a capture component if k > 0. If k = 0, that is, if both critical points are contained in  $\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$ , W is called an adjacent component. It turns out that there are only two adjacent components, each containing  $\sqrt{3}$  or  $-\sqrt{3}$ .

Let W be a parabolic component of preperiod k. In order to study the global topology of parabolic components, we use the *conformal position map m* after Zakeri [Z]. Let  $\psi_a: \mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  be the Riemann map satisfying  $\psi_a(0) = c_+(a)$ ,  $\psi_a(1) = 0$ . We define  $m: W \to \mathbb{D}$  by  $m(a) = \psi_a^{-1}(P_a^k(c_-(a)))$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For any capture component W,  $\psi_a$  depends holomorphically on  $a \in W$ .

proof. Consider the map  $R_a = \psi_a^{-1} \circ P_a \circ \psi_a : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ . Since it is a proper holomorphic map between  $\mathbb{D}$ , it is a Blaschke product of degree two with critical points  $0 \text{ (and } \infty)$ . Since  $J_a$  is locally connected,  $\psi_a$  can be continued to a continuous map  $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \to \overline{\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)}$ . Because of the maximum principle, it must be injective on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ . Thus  $\partial \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  is a Jordan curve and  $\psi_a : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \to \overline{\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)}$  is an onto homeomorphism. Then  $\psi_a$  conjugates  $P_a$  to  $R_a$  also on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ . Hence  $J(R_a) = \partial \mathbb{D}$  and 1 is a fixed point. Since points on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  are not attracted by 1, 1 is not attracting but parabolic with multiplier one. Since Blaschke product is commutable with the map  $z \mapsto 1/\overline{z}$ ,  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} - \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  is also the basin of 1 and 1 has multiplicity three. That is,  $R_a''(1) = 0$ . Now it is easy to see that  $R_a(z) \equiv R(z) = \frac{z^2 + 1/3}{1 + z^2/3}$ , which is independent of

a.  $\psi_a$  maps each point on the inverse orbit by R of 1 to the point on the inverse orbit by  $P_a$  of 0, which moves holomorphically on a. Thus  $\psi_a \circ \psi_{a_0}^{-1}$  gives a holomorphic motion of the inverse orbit of 0. By the  $\lambda$ -lemma, it continues to to a holomorphic motion of  $\partial \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$ . Hence,  $\psi_a \circ \psi_{a_0}^{-1}$  on  $\partial \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$ , and consequently  $\psi_a$  on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  depends holomorphically on a. Now, by the Poisson formula,  $\psi_a$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  depends holomorphicall on a.  $\square$ 

Thus the map  $m: W \to \mathbb{D}$  is holomorphic for any capture component.

**Lemma 3.2.** For any capture component W of preperiod k,  $m: W \to \mathbb{D}$  is proper.

*proof.* Suppose  $a_n \in W$  tends to  $a_0 \in \partial W$  and  $m(a_n) \to m_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ . By Montel's theorem, we may assume  $\psi_{a_n}$  converges to a conformal map  $\psi_0$  locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Then  $\psi_0(\overline{\mathbb{D}_r}) \subset \mathcal{B}^*_{a_0}(0)$  for any r < 1. In fact, if there exist an r < 1 and a point  $w_1 \in \psi_0(\overline{\mathbb{D}_r}) \cap J_{a_0}$ , then, for any r' > r,  $\psi_0(\mathbb{D}_{r'})$  is an open neighborhood of  $w_1$  and contains a repelling periodic point  $w_0$  of  $P_{a_0}$ . This point is locally holomorphically continued to a repelling periodic point w(a) of  $P_a$ . Thus  $w(a) \in J_a \cap \psi_0(\overline{\mathbb{D}_{r'}})$  for any a close to  $a_0$ . On the other hand, since  $\psi_{a_n}(\overline{\mathbb{D}_{r''}}) \to \psi_0(\overline{\mathbb{D}_{r''}})$  for any r'' > r',  $\psi_0(\overline{\mathbb{D}_{r'}}) \subset \psi_{a_n}(\overline{\mathbb{D}_{r''}})$  holds for large n. Thus  $J_{a_n}$  does not intersect  $\psi_0(\overline{\mathbb{D}_{r'}})$  for large n. This is a contradiction. Thus  $\psi_0(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathcal{B}^*_a(0)$ .

Now  $P_{a_0}^k(c_-(a_0)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \psi_{a_n}(m(a_n)) = \psi_0(m_0) \in \mathcal{B}_{a_0}^*(0)$ , which implies  $a_0$  is parabolic. This contradicts the fact  $a_0 \in \partial W$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 3.3.** Let W be a capture component of preperiod k and  $a, b \in W$ . Suppose a  $qc\text{-map }\varphi: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  conjugates  $P_a$  on  $\mathbb{C} - \mathcal{B}_a(0)$  to  $P_b$  on  $\mathbb{C} - \mathcal{B}_b(0)$ . If m(a) = m(b), then there exists a  $qc\text{-conjugacy }\psi$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  between  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  such that  $\psi$  is conformal on  $\mathcal{B}_a(0)$  and coincides with  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{C} - \mathcal{B}_a(0)$ .

proof. Since  $R_a \equiv R$ , the map  $\psi = \psi_b \circ \psi_a^{-1}$  gives a conformal equivalence betwee  $P_a$  on  $\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  and  $P_b$  on  $\mathcal{B}_b^*(0)$ . From the assumption m(a) = m(b), we have  $\psi(P_a^k(c_-(a))) = P_b^k(c_-(b))$ . Hence  $\psi$  can be holomorphically continued to  $\mathcal{B}_a(0)$  by  $\psi = P_b^{-n} \circ \psi \circ P_a^n$ . Recall that the maps  $\psi_a$  and  $\psi_b$  can be extended to the homeomorphisms from  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  onto  $\overline{\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{B}_b^*(0)}$  respectively. Since  $\psi = \varphi$  on the inverse orbit of 0,  $\psi = \varphi$  holds also on  $\partial \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$ . Pulling back by  $P_a$ , the same holds on  $J_a = \partial \mathcal{B}_a(0)$ . If we extend  $\psi$  to the complemen of  $K_a$  by  $\psi = \varphi$ ,  $\psi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  is a homeomorphism. Then  $\psi$  is a qc-map by Rickman's theorem.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 3.1.** For every capture component of preperiod  $k, m : W \to \mathbb{D}$  is a conformal isomorphism.

proof. We have only to show the injectivity of m. Suppose m(a) = m(b). Using Böttcher coordinates, the conformal equivalence  $\varphi_{a,c} = \varphi_c^{-1} \circ \varphi_a$  gives a holomorphic motion of  $\mathbb{C} - K_a$ . By the optimal  $\lambda$ -lemma of Slodkowski [Sl], this can be extended to

the holomorphic motion  $\overline{\varphi_{a,c}}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We apply the previous lemma to  $\varphi = \overline{\varphi_{a,b}}$ . Then there exists a qc-conjugacy  $\psi$  between  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ , conformal on  $\mathcal{B}_a(0)$  and coincides with  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{C} - \mathcal{B}_a(0)$ . Since  $\psi$  is a qc-map on  $\mathbb{C}$  and conformal except on the measure zero set  $J_a$ , it is conformal on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $P_a$  is conformally conjugate to  $P_b$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then a = b. This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

Corollary 3.1. Every capture component is simply connected.

## 4 Boundaries of capture components

In this section, we give some properties of the boundaries of capture components.

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose  $a_0 \neq 0$ . If the external ray  $R_{a_0}(t)$  of  $P_{a_0}$  with angle  $t = p/3^k$  or  $t = p/(2 \cdot 3^k)$  lands at  $z_0 \in J_{a_0}$  and  $P_{a_0}^n(z_0)$  is not a critical point for any  $n \geq 0$ , then there exists an open neighborhood U of  $a_0$  such that, for any  $a \in U$ ,  $R_a(t)$  lands at a repelling or parabolic preperiodic point  $z_a$ . The landing point  $z_a$  depends holomorphically on a in U.

**Lemma 4.2.** The external rays  $R_M(t)$  of  $M_1(1)$  with angles  $t = \pm 1/6, \pm 1/3$  land at the origin.

proof. The external rays  $R_a(0)$  and  $R_a(1/2)$  land at fixed points of  $P_a$  if they do not meet the critical point  $c_-(a)$ . If  $R_a(0)$  (resp.  $R_a(1/2)$ ) meets  $c_-(a)$ , then one of  $R_a(\pm 1/3)$  (resp. one of  $R_a(\pm 1/6)$ ) meets the co-critical point  $\tilde{c}_-(a)$ , i.e. a lies on one of  $R_M(\pm 1/3)$  (resp. one of  $R_M(\pm 1/6)$ ). In other words,  $R_a(0)$  (resp.  $R_a(1/2)$ ) lands at a fixed point 0 or -a unless a belongs to  $R_M(\pm 1/3)$  (resp.  $R_M(\pm 1/6)$ ). Thus, at the accumulation point  $a_0$  of  $R_M(\pm 1/3)$  (resp.  $R_M(\pm 1/6)$ ), the landing of  $R_a(0)$  (resp.  $R_a(1/2)$ ) is unstable. On the other hand, Lemma 4.1 implies those stabilities at  $a_0 \neq 0$ . Thus those rays must land at the origin.  $\square$ 

The four rays  $R_M(\pm 1/3)$  and  $R_M(\pm 1/6)$  and their landing point 0 separate the parameter space into four parts. In the region  $\mathcal{R}_1$  bounded by  $R_M(-1/6)$  and  $R_M(1/6)$ ,  $R_M(0)$  (resp.  $R_M(1/2)$ ) lands at 0 (resp. -a). In the region  $\mathcal{R}_3$  bounded by  $R_M(1/3)$  and  $R_M(-1/3)$ ,  $R_M(1/2)$  (resp.  $R_M(0)$ ) lands at 0 (resp. -a). In the remaining two regions  $\mathcal{R}_2$  and  $\mathcal{R}_4$ ,  $R_M(0)$  and  $R_M(1/2)$  land at 0.

**Lemma 4.3.** For a point a on the boundary of a parabolic component W,  $P_a$  has neither Siegel disks nor Cremer cycles.

proof. If  $P_{a_0}$  has a Siegel or Cremer periodic point  $z_0$ , then evidently  $a_0 \neq 0$ . By Lemma 3.3 of Kiwi [K],  $z_0$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{a_0}^*(0)$  are separated by a union  $\mathcal{R}$  of a finite collection of closed preperiodic external rays and  $\mathcal{R}$  separates the orbits of critical points. Note that

preperiodic external rays must land at repelling or parabolic preperiodic points. Since  $P_{a_0}$  has no other non-repelling cycles, the landing points of the rays in  $\mathcal{R}$  are repelling except at 0. By the previous lemma, landing of those rays is stable around  $a_0$ . Hence, the same holds for  $a \in W$  close to  $a_0$ . But, in W, the orbit of  $c_-(a)$  hits  $\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  after finite iteration. This is a contradiction.  $\square$ 

We will show that, if W is capture,  $P_a$  has no parabolic cycles except 0. Suppose W is capture and  $a \in W$ . Since  $K_a$  is pathwise connected, there is a path  $\gamma$  connecting  $c_-(a)$  to  $c_+(a)$ . We denote  $z_a$  the point where  $\gamma$  first hits  $\partial \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$ . Since  $K_a$  is full,  $z_a$  is uniquely determined independent of the choice of  $\gamma$ . Since  $K_a$  is locally connected, at least two external rays land at  $z_a$ . Among them, we take two rays  $R_a(t_1)$  and  $R_a(t_2)$  separating  $c_-(a)$  from  $\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  and consider the sector  $S_0$  bounded by these two rays and  $z_a$ , containing  $c_-(a)$ . In the following, we use the theory of orbit portraits developed in Milnor [M2]. We denote by  $A_a(z)$  the set of angles of external rays of  $P_a$  landing at z.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let W be a capture component of preperiod k and  $a \in W$ . Then  $z_a$  is a periodic point of period  $m \le k$ .

proof. Suppose  $z_a$  is not periodic. Put  $z_j = P_a^j(z_a)$  and let  $S_j$  be the succesive image sectors of  $S_0$  at  $z_j$  bounded by  $R_a(3^jt_1)$  and  $R_a(3^jt_2)$ . (Note that  $P_a(S_0)$  covers  $\mathbb C$  and doubly covers  $S_1$ .) Then, since  $S_j$  contains no critical points, it does not intersect  $\mathcal B_a^*(0)$  for any  $j \geq 1$ . But its angular length  $3^j(t_2 - t_1)$  eventually becomes greater than one, a contradiction.  $\square$ 

Apparently  $z_a$  is repelling unless it is 0. If  $W \subset \mathcal{R}_1$  or  $W \subset \mathcal{R}_3$ ,  $z_a \neq 0$  for any  $a \in \overline{W}$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** The point  $z_a$  is repelling also on  $\partial W$  unless it is 0.

proof. Suppose  $z_{a_0}$  is not repelling for some  $a_0 \in \partial W$ . It must be parabolic by Lemma 4.3. Then, as  $a \in W$  tends to  $a_0$ ,  $z_a$  meets other repelling periodic points, say  $z_{a,j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Then  $A_{a_0}(z_{a_0})$  is the union of  $A_a(z_a)$  and  $A_a(z_{a,j})$ . By the theory of orbit portraits, this happens only if k=1 and the combinatorial rotation number at  $z_{a_0}$  is 0, i.e.  $z_{a_0}$  has just two angles. This contradicts the fact that  $z_a$  has at least two angles.  $\Box$  The above proof implies that, if  $z_a \neq 0$  for  $a \in W$ , the same holds also for  $a \in \partial W$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** Suppose  $z_a \neq 0$  for  $a \in W$ . Then  $A_{a_0}(z_{a_0}) = A_a(z_a)$  holds for  $a_0 \in \partial W$  and  $a \in W$ .

proof. It follows  $z_{a_0} \neq 0$ . Since  $z_{a_0}$  is repelling by Lemma 4.5, so is  $z_a$  for any  $a \in W$  close to  $a_0$ . By stability, it follows  $A_{a_0}(z_{a_0}) \subset A_a(z_a)$ . If  $A_{a_0}(z_{a_0}) \neq A_a(z_a)$ , there exists  $t \in A_a(z_a)$  such that  $R_{a_0}(t)$  lands at some point  $w_{a_0} \neq z_{a_0}$ . By stability,  $w_{a_0}$  must be parabolic. Evidently  $w_{a_0} \neq 0$ . Then, for  $a \in W$ , the corresponding point  $w_a \neq z_a$  is repelling and has angle t, a contradiction.  $\square$ 

From the three lemmas above, it follows that, if  $z_a \neq 0$  in W, the rays landing at  $z_a$  separate  $c_-(a)$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*_a(0)$  for any a in a neighborhood W' of  $\overline{W}$ . Since  $c_-(a) \neq z_a$ , we conclude that  $c_-(a)$  does not belong to an open neighborhood  $U_a$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{B}^*_a(0)}$  for any  $a \in W'$ . Put  $U'_a = U_a - \bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} \overline{S_j}$  and  $U''_a = U_a \cap P_a^{-1}(U_a) - \bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} P_a^{-1}(\overline{S_j})$ . Then  $P_a : U''_a \to U'_a$  is proper holomorphic. By thickening, we get a quadratic-like map  $P_a : V_a \to V'_a$ . By straightening, this map is hybrid equivalent to a quadratic polynomial p. Since  $P_a$  has a parabolic fixed point 0 of multiplier one,  $p(z) = z^2 + 1/4$ .

Capture component W where  $z_a = 0$  sits in the region  $\mathcal{R}_2$  or  $\mathcal{R}_4$ . In this case, two rays  $R_a(0)$  and  $R_a(1/2)$  stably lands at  $z_a = 0$ . Another fixed point  $z_0 = -a$  is separated by these two rays from  $c_+(a)$ . We take a path  $\gamma$  in  $K_a$  connecting  $z_0$  to 0.

**Lemma 4.7.** There exists a sequence of points on the inverse orbit of  $z_0$  converging to 0.

*proof.* First note that  $\gamma$  is not included in a Fatou component. Otherwise, that component is invariant since 0 has combinatorial rotation number 0.

Suppose  $\gamma$  does not intersect any Fatou components. Then, since it does not contain  $c_{-}(a)$ ,  $P_a$  is injective on  $\gamma$ . Then  $P_a(\gamma) = \gamma$  since  $P_a$  fixes its endpoints. Because  $P_a$  is repelling near both endpoints,  $P_a$  must have another fixed point in the interior of  $\gamma$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $\gamma$  intersects both the Fatou set and the Julia set. The rotation number of  $z_0$  is not 0 since  $0, 1/2 \notin A_a(z_0)$ . Hence, the local image of  $\gamma$  around  $z_0$  is another branch. Thus there exists a preimage  $z_1 \in \gamma$  of  $z_0$ . Let  $\gamma_1$  be the subpath of  $\gamma$  connecting 0 to  $z_1$ . Suppose  $P_a(\gamma_1)$  does not contain  $z_1$ . Since the regions bounded by  $\gamma$  and  $P_a(\gamma_1)$  is included in  $K_a$ ,  $z_1$  is on the boundary of a Fatou component. Then  $z_0$  is also on the boundary of a Fatou component U. Since U is periodic, U is a periodic Fatou component, a contradiction. Thus there exists a preimage  $z_2 \in \gamma_1$  of  $z_1$ . Repeating this argument, we get a sequence  $z_j \in \gamma$  on the inverse orbit of  $z_0$ . Since its accumulation point is a fixed point, it must be 0. This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

By the same proof of Lemma 4.5, it follows that  $z_0$  is repelling for  $a \in \partial W$ . Moreover, at least two rays land at  $z_0$ . Hence the same is true for  $z_j$ . Using these rays, we get a quadratic-like map  $P_a: V_a \to V_a'$ , hybrid conjugate to p. We do not need thickening in this case. Especially, since  $\partial \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  is homeomorphic to J(p), we get the following.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let W be a capture component. Then  $\partial \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  is locally connected in a neighborhood W' of  $\overline{W}$ .

Corollary 4.1. Let W be a capture component. Then the Riemann map  $\psi_a : \mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  depends holomorphically on a in W'.

*proof.* The same proof of Lemma 3.1 works since we only use the local connectivity of  $\partial \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** Let W be a capture component of preperiod k. Then  $P_a^k(c_-(a)) \in \partial \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  if  $a \in \partial W$ .

proof. Since  $a \mapsto \partial \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  is a holomorphic motion on U, it is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff distance. For  $a \in W$ , we have  $P_a^k(c_-(a)) \in \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$ . By continuity,  $P_a^k(c_-(a)) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_a^*(0)}$  for  $a \in \partial W$ . Since  $P_a^k(c_-(a)) \notin \mathcal{B}_a^*(0)$  for  $a \in \partial W$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$ 

Corollary 4.2. Let W be a capture component. Then, for  $a \in \partial W$ ,  $P_a$  has no parabolic cycle except 0.

**Corollary 4.3.** The map  $m: W \to \mathbb{D}$  extends to a continuous surjective map  $m: \overline{W} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . If, in addition,  $\partial W$  is locally connected, then  $m: \overline{W} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  is a homeomorphism.

proof. In order to prove the surjectivity of m, we define the internal ray in W as the inverse image of a ray in  $\mathbb{D}$  by the map m. For any point  $w_0 = e^{2\pi it} \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ , consider the internal ray  $R_W(t) \equiv m^{-1}(\{re^{2\pi it}; 0 \leq r < 1\})$ . We do not know whether this ray lands or not on  $\partial W$ . Take any accumulation point  $z_0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} m^{-1}(r_n e^{2\pi it}) \in \partial W$ . By the continuity of m, it follows  $m(z_0) = e^{2\pi it} = w_0$ .

If  $\partial W$  is locally connected,  $m^{-1}$  has a continuous extension to  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Then  $m^{-1}$  is the inverse of m also on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

## References

- [DH] A. Douady and J. Hubbard: On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings. Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 18 (1985), pp. 287–343.
- [EY] A. Epstein and M. Yampolsky: Geography of the cubic connectedness locus. Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 32 (1999), pp. 151–185.
- [K] J. Kiwi: Non-accessible critical points of Cremer polynomials. Stony Brook Preprint 1996/2.
- [KN] Y. Komori and S. Nakane: Landing property of stretching rays for real cubic polynomials. Conformal Geometry and Dynamics 8 (2004), pp. 87–114.
- [L] P. Lavaurs: Systèmes dynamiques holomorphes: explosion de points périodiques paraboliques. these Univ. Paris-Sud, 1989.
- [M1] J. Milnor: Remarks on iterated cubic maps. Experimental Math. 1 (1992), pp. 5–24.
- [M2] J. Milnor: Periodic orbits, external rays and the Mandelbrot set: An expository account. To appear in Asterisque.

- [S1] Z. Slodkowski: Extensions of holomorphic motions. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 22 (1995), pp. 185–210.
- [W] P. Willumsen: Holomorphic dynamics: On accumulation of stretching rays. Ph.D. thesis Tech. Univ. Denmark, 1997.
- [Z] S. Zakeri: Dynamics of cubic Siegel polynomials. Comm. Math. Phys. 206 (1999), pp. 185–233.