

Decision-Making Swarms


Sanza Kazadi, George Jenó, Xinyu Guan, Nick Nusgart, Andriy Sheptunov



Illinois Mathematics and Science Academy

1500 Sullivan Rd., Aurora, IL 60506

Decision-making swarms are prevalent in the world



Decision-making swarms are prevalent in the world



Outline

- Swarm Definitions
- Swarm Design
- Designing Ant Swarms
- Measuring Shortest Length with Ant Swarms
- Designing Locust Swarms
- Measuring Density with Locust Swarms

An agent is a subset of a system which controls at least one degree of freedom



A swarm is a collection of agents that has more abilities than any individual agent.



How do we mathematically analyse a swarm?



Local properties are anything the agent can directly observe or interact with.

A Local Property is
Relative Position



Global properties are well-defined, differentiable functions of the local properties.



Global properties are well-defined, differentiable functions of the local properties.




A Global Property is
Length of Swarm


Global properties are well-defined, differentiable functions of the local properties.




The Global Property space, or the Phase Space, can be drawn.




The Phase Space shows clearly the ways in which the swarm must change in order to reach the desired end phase.



The Hamiltonian Method of Swarm Design entails looking at the phase space and determining which direction the Global Properties must change. The direction that they must go determines the swarm conditions, which must be met in order for the desired outcome to be achieved.



A swarm decision is a change in attractor in Phase Space.




How do we make the swarm flexible so it can decide to converge to a closer food source if the closer one is made available to the swarm at a much later time than the further food source?




How do we make the swarm flexible so it can decide to converge to a closer food source if the closer one is made available to the swarm at a much later time than the further food source?




How do we make the swarm flexible so it can decide to converge to a closer food source if the closer one is made available to the swarm at a much later time than the further food source?




How do we make the swarm flexible so it can decide to converge to a closer food source if the closer one is made available to the swarm at a much later time than the further food source?




How do we make the swarm flexible so it can decide to converge to a closer food source if the closer one is made available to the swarm at a much later time than the further food source?




How do we make the swarm flexible so it can decide to converge to a closer food source if the closer one is made available to the swarm at a much later time than the further food source?




How do we make the swarm flexible so it can decide to converge to a closer food source if the closer one is made available to the swarm at a much later time than the further food source?



A global property is the length of the paths




A global property is the number of ants on each path.




After some mathematical tricks, it can be seen that the dot product of the two global properties needs to be negative in order for the swarm to make the decision. The state change can be seen below.

Swarm Condition:

$$\vec{d}_T \cdot \vec{dN}_T < 0.$$




How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?




A group of grasshoppers is in an enclosed space

How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?




As they move around, they contact each other

How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?




Upon contact, the grasshopper's serotonin level increases

How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?




Continued collisions further increase the serotonin levels, until...

How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?




Continued collisions further increase the serotonin levels, until...

How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?




Continued collisions further increase the serotonin levels, until...

How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?




The grasshopper begins its transition into a locust

How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?




The erratic behavior of locusts lead to many more collisions, increasing the rate of serotonin increase for surrounding grasshoppers

How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?




As a result, more grasshoppers turn into locusts

How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?




As a result, more grasshoppers turn into locusts

How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?




Eventually, all of the grasshoppers have transitioned into locusts


How can we engineer a swarm that can determine the size of a room by merely bumping into each other?



Unique state




Increases through contact with agents



Transitions once state reaches threshold

The internal state of the agent increases by a constant amount for collisions with other agents. This state also decays exponentially over time. After the threshold, the agent is triggered.



The global property is the sum of each agent's internal property, and so the swarm condition is that the global property must increase over time.

- Global Property

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} s_i.$$


- Desired Behavior

$$\frac{dP}{dt} > 0$$


The swarm condition can be expressed in terms of parameters that determine the dynamics of the internal state.

- **Swarm condition**

$$\frac{k_1 P}{R_{max}} < N_{trigger}.$$





$N_{trigger} = 2$



$N_{trigger} = 20$

The state change can be seen below. The swarm condition is verified through experimental data.



How do we engineer swarms to make decisions provably?

1. ???
2. ???
3. ???
4. Swarm works a priori

The Hamiltonian Method of Swarm Design can be used to generate the swarm conditions, but there is no general methodology to go from those conditions to the swarm working a priori.

1. Hamiltonian Method of Swarm Design
2. Swarm Conditions
3. ???
4. Swarm works a priori

What's a general methodology for the third step?

References

- S. Kazadi and J. Lee. *Artificial physics, swarm engineering, and the Hamiltonian Method*. **Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science**, San Francisco, CA, 2007. 2
- S. Kazadi. *Model independence in swarm robotics*. **Journal of Intelligent Computing and Cybernetics, Special Issue on Swarm Robotics**, 2(4):672–694, 2009. 3
- S. Kazadi, D. Jin, and M. Li. *Utilizing abstract phase space in swarm design and validation*. **Advances in Swarm and Computational Intelligence**, volume 9140, pages 14–29, New York, NY, USA. Springer, 2015. 4
- M. Dorigo, V. Maniezzo, and A. Colorni. *The ant system: optimization by a colony of cooperating agents*. **IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics - Part B**, 26 (1), pp. 1-13, 1996. 5
- R. Schoonderwoerd, O. Holland, J. Bruten, and L. Rothkrantz. *Ant-based load balancing in telecommunications networks*. **Adaptive Behavior**, 5 (2), pp. 169-207, 1996. 6
- G. Valentini, E. Ferrante, H. Hamann, M. Dorigo. *Collective decision with 100 Kilobots: speed versus accuracy in binary discrimination problems*. **Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems**, 30 (3), pp. 553-580, 2016. 7
- A. Reina, G. Valentini, C. Fernández-Oto, M. Dorigo, V. Trianni. *A Design Pattern for Decentralised Decision Making*. **PLOS ONE**, 10(10), 2015: e0140950. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0140950 1
- Thomas D. Seeley, Susannah C. Buhrman. *Group decision making in swarms of honey bees*. Springer-Verlag, 1999, 45, pp.19-31. 2
- Thomas Seeley, P. Kirk Visscher. *Group decision making in nest-site selection by honey bees*. *Apidologie*, Springer Verlag, 2004, 35 (2), pp.101-116. <10.1051/apido:2004004>. <hal- 00891879> 3
- Thomas D. Seeley, P. Kirk Visscher, Thomas Schlegel, Patrick M. Hogan, Nigel R. Franks and James A. R. Marshall. *Stop Signals Provide Cross Inhibition in Collective Decision-Making by Honeybee Swarms*. *Science* 335, 2011, pp.108-111. [doi: 10.1126/science.1210361]

Thank you!

