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#### Abstract

We consider the following question of Bollobás: given an $r$-colouring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$, how large a $k$-connected subgraph can we find using at most $s$ colours? In [3] the authors provided a partial solution to this problem when $s=1$ (and $n$ is not too small). Here we shall consider the case $s \geqslant 2$, proving in particular that when $s=2$ and $r+1$ is a power of 2 then the answer lies between $\frac{4 n}{r+1}-5 k r(r+2 k+1)$ and $\frac{4 n}{r+1}+4$, that if $r=2 s+1$ then the answer lies between $\left(1-1 /\binom{r}{s}\right) n-2\binom{r}{s} k$ and $\left(1-1 /\binom{r}{s}\right) n+1$, and that phase transitions occur at $2 s=r$ and $s=\Theta(\sqrt{r})$. We shall also mention some of the more glaring open problems relating to this question.


## 1. Introduction

A graph $G$ on $n \geqslant k+1$ vertices is said to be $k$-connected if whenever at most $k-1$ vertices are removed from $G$, the remaining vertices are still connected by edges of $G$. The following question is due to Bollobás: When we colour the edges of the complete graph $K_{n}$ with at most $r$ colours, how large a $k$-connected subgraph are we guaranteed to find using only at most $s$ of the colours? In [3], the current authors considered the case $s=1$ of this question, and proved fairly close bounds in the case that $r-1$ is a prime power. In this paper we shall continue the investigations of [3] by considering the case $s \geqslant 2$, and in particular the case $s=2$, and the cases $2 s \approx r$ and $s=\Theta(\sqrt{r})$, where the function 'jumps'. The majority of the problem remains wide open however, and so we shall also discuss some open problems and conjectures.

Let us begin by recalling the results and notation of [3]. We note that a gentler introduction into the problem is provided in that paper. Suppose we are given $n, r, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and a function $f: E\left(K_{n}\right) \rightarrow[r]$, i.e., an $r$-colouring of the edges of $K_{n}$. We assume always that $n \geqslant 2$. Given a subgraph $H$ of $K_{n}$, write $c_{f}(H)$ for the order of the image

[^0]of $E(H)$ under $f$, i.e., $c_{f}(H)=|f(E(H))|$, the number of different colours with which $f$ colours $H$. Now, define $M(f, n, r, s, k)=$ $\max \left\{|V(H)|: H \subset K_{n}, c_{f}(H) \leqslant s\right\}$, the order of the largest $k$ connected subgraph of $K_{n}$ using at most $s$ colours from $[r]$. Finally, define $m(n, r, s, k)=\min _{f}\{M(f, n, r, s, k)\}$. Thus, the question of Bollobás asks for the determination of $m(n, r, s, k)$ for all values of the parameters. We shall state all of our results in terms of $m(n, r, s, k)$.

In [3] fairly tight bounds were given on the function $m(n, r, 1, k)$. To be precise, it was shown that $m(n, 2,1, k)=n-2 k+2$ for every $n \geqslant 13 k-15$, that

$$
\frac{n-k+1}{2} \leqslant m(n, 3,1, k) \leqslant \frac{n-k+1}{2}+1
$$

for every $n \geqslant 480 k$, and more generally that

$$
\frac{n}{r-1}-11\left(k^{2}-k\right) r \leqslant m(n, r, 1, k) \leqslant \frac{n-k+1}{r-1}+r
$$

whenever $r-1$ is a prime power.
In this paper we shall study the function $m(n, r, s, k)$ when $s \geqslant 2$; in other words, we are looking for large highly-connected multicoloured subgraphs of multicoloured graphs. When trying to work out what happens to $m(n, r, s, k)$ when $s>1$, one quickly realises that new ideas are going to be needed. For example, none of the extremal examples from [3] are any help to us, since in each of them any two colours $k$-connect almost the entire vertex set! However, we shall find that a number of the tools developed in that paper are still useful to us. We shall recall these results as we go along.

Our main results are as follows. We begin with the case $s=2$. When also $r+1$ is a power of 2 , we have the following fairly tight bounds.

Theorem 1. Let $n, r, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $r \geqslant 3, r+1$ a power of 2 and $n \geqslant 16 k r^{2}+4 k r$. Then

$$
\frac{4 n}{r+1}-5 k r(r+2 k+1) \leqslant m(n, r, 2, k) \leqslant \frac{4 n}{r+1}+4
$$

In particular, if also $k$ and $r$ are fixed, then $m(n, r, 2, k)=\frac{4 n}{r+1}+o(n)$.
We remark that the lower bound in Theorem 1 in fact holds for all $r \geqslant 3$, but the upper bound may increase by a factor of at most 2 when $r+1$ is not a power of 2 . For $r=3$ (and $n \geqslant 13 k-15$ ), however, we can solve the problem exactly.

Theorem 2. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n \geqslant 13 k-15$. Then

$$
m(n, 3,2, k)=n-k+1
$$

Our next result shows that there is a jump at $2 s=r$, from $(1-\varepsilon) n$ to $n-2 k+2$.
Theorem 3. Let $n, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n \geqslant \max \left\{2\binom{2 s}{s}(k-1)+1,13 k-15\right\}$.
Then

$$
m(n, 2 s, s, k)=n-2 k+2
$$

Moreover, if $2 s<r \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(s, r)>0$ such that

$$
m(n, r, s, k)<(1-\varepsilon) n
$$

for every sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Moreover, we can determine the maximum possible $\varepsilon$ exactly.
Theorem 4. Let $n, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geqslant 2$ and $n \geqslant 100\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{2} k^{2}$, and let $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(s)=\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{-1}$. Then $(1-\varepsilon) n-2\binom{2 s+1}{s} k \leqslant m(n, 2 s+1, s, k) \leqslant(1-\varepsilon) n+1$.
We have seen that (rather unsurprisingly) when $r$ is very large compared with $s$, the function $m(n, r, s, k) / n$ is very close to 0 , and when $s$ and $r$ are comparable the same function is close to 1 . The next theorem shows that the function changes from one of these states to the other rather rapidly. This is another example of a phase transition with respect to $s$.
Theorem 5. Let $n, r, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n \geqslant 16 k r^{2}+4 k r$. Then

$$
\left(1-e^{-s^{2} / 4 r}\right) n-2 k r\binom{r}{\lceil s / 2\rceil} \leqslant m(n, r, s, k) \leqslant(s+1)\left\lceil\frac{n}{\lfloor\sqrt{2 r}\rfloor}\right\rceil .
$$

In particular, if $n=n(r) \gg k r\binom{r}{\lceil s / 2\rceil}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(n, r, s, k)}{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & s \ll \sqrt{r} \\
1 & \text { if } & s \gg \sqrt{r}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we shall recall the tools developed in [3]; in Section 3 we shall prove Theorems 1 and 2; in Section 4 we shall prove Theorems 3 and 4, and discuss the jump at $2 s=r$; in Section 5 we shall prove Theorem 5; and in Section 6 we shall look back on what we have learnt, and point out some of the most obvious questions of the many that remain.

## 2. Tools

In [3] various techniques were developed to find monochromatic $k$ connected subgraphs. Many of these tools will prove to be useful to us below, and for the reader's convenience we shall begin by stating them here. We start with the most crucial lemma from [3], which may be proved by induction on $m+n$.
Lemma 6. Let $q, \ell, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m, n \geqslant \ell$ and $m+n \geqslant 2 \ell+1$. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph with parts $M$ and $N$ of size $m$ and $n$, respectively. If $G$ has no $(\ell+1)$-connected subgraph on at least $q$ vertices, then

$$
e(G) \leqslant \frac{q(n-\ell)(m-\ell)}{m+n-2 \ell}+\left(\ell^{2}+\ell\right)(m+n-2 \ell)
$$

We shall use Lemma 6 to prove Theorem 1 and Lemma 8, below. We shall use Lemma 8 to prove Theorem 4, but we also consider the result to be interesting in its own right. First however we note the following simple corollary of Lemma 6.

Corollary 7. Let $c, d, k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m, n>k$, and let $G$ be a bipartite graph with parts $M$ and $N$ of size $m$ and $n$, respectively. Let $f: E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a colouring of the edges of $G$, and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $q_{k}(i)$ be the maximum order of an $k$-connected subgraph of $G$ which has all edges coloured $i$. Suppose that all but at most d edges have colours from the set $[c]$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{c} q_{k}(i) \geqslant(m+n-2 k)\left(1-\frac{d}{m n}-\frac{c k^{2}(m+n)}{m n}\right)
$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 6 with $\ell=k-1$ and $q=q_{k}(i)+1$ for each $i \in[c]$, and note that
$\frac{q(n-\ell)(m-\ell)}{m+n-2 \ell}+\left(\ell^{2}+\ell\right)(m+n-2 \ell) \leqslant \frac{q m n}{m+n-2 k}+k^{2}(m+n)-1$.
Adding the resulting inequalities gives

$$
m n-d \leqslant \frac{m n}{m+n-2 k} \sum_{i=1}^{c}\left(q_{k}(i)+1\right)+c k^{2}(m+n)-c .
$$

Rearranging the inequality gives the desired result.
We shall need the following observation of Bollobás and Gyárfás [2].
Observation 1. For any graph $G$ and any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, either
(a) $G$ is $k$-connected, or
(b) $\exists v \in V(G)$ with $d_{G}(v) \leqslant d+k-3$, or
(c) $\exists K_{p, q} \subset \bar{G}$, with $p+q=|G|-k+1$, and $\min \{p, q\} \geqslant d$.

Suppose we are given an $r$-colouring $f$ of the edges of $K_{n}$. For each $S \subset[r]$, let $q_{k}(S)$ denote the maximum order of a $k$-connected subgraph of $K_{n}$, all of the edges of which have colours from $S$.

Corollary 7 and Observation 1 now allow us to prove the following result, which we shall use in the proof of Theorem 4 to show that any $s$-set of colours gives a large $k$-connected subgraph. It may be thought of as a stability result for 3 -colourings.

Lemma 8. Let $n, k, r, t \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n>2 t+k$, let $f$ be an $r$-colouring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$, and let $S, T, U \subset[r]$ be such that $S \cup T \cup U=[r]$. Then either
(a) $q_{k}(U) \geqslant n-t$, or
(b) $q_{k}(S)+q_{k}(T) \geqslant n-2 t-4 k-\frac{2 k^{2} n^{2}}{t(n-2 t-k)}$.

In particular, if $q_{k}(U)<n-k \sqrt{n}$ and $n \geqslant 25 k^{2}$, then

$$
q_{k}(S)+q_{k}(T) \geqslant n-9 k \sqrt{n} .
$$

Proof. Let $n, k, r, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n>2 t+k$, let $f$ be an $r$-colouring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$, and let $S, T, U \subset[r]$ be such that $S \cup T \cup U=[r]$. The result is trivial if $t \leqslant k$, so assume that $t>k$. We divide the problem into two cases, as follows.

Case 1: There exists a complete bipartite subgraph $K_{a, b}$, with $a+b \geqslant$ $n-t-k, b \geqslant a \geqslant t$, and all edges having colours from the set $S \cup T$.

We apply Corollary 7 to $K_{a, b}$, with $k=k, c=2$ and $d=0$. The lemma says exactly that

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{k}(S)+q_{k}(T) & \geqslant(a+b-2 k)\left(1-\frac{2 k^{2}(a+b)}{a b}\right) \\
& >a+b-\frac{2 k^{2}(a+b)^{2}}{a b}-2 k \\
& >n-2 t-4 k-\frac{2 k^{2} n^{2}}{t(n-2 t-k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so we are done in this case.
Case 2: No such bipartite subgraph of $K_{n}$ exists, and $q_{k}(U)<n-t$.
Let $G$ be the graph with $V(G)=V\left(K_{n}\right)$ and $E(G)=f^{-1}(U)$, and apply Observation 1 to $G$ with $d=t$. Since $q_{k}(U)<n$, we know that $G$ is not $k$-connected. Similarly there does not exist a complete bipartite subgraph $K_{a, b}$ of $\bar{G}$ with $a+b=|G|-k+1$ and $b \geqslant a \geqslant t$, since

Case 1 does not hold. Hence there must exist a vertex $v_{1} \in V(G)$ with $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \leqslant t+k-3$.

Now let $G_{1}=G-v_{1}=G\left[V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right]$, and apply Observation 1 to $G_{1}$, again with $d=t$. Again (since $q_{k}(U)<n-1$, and $\left|G_{1}\right|-k+1 \geqslant$ $n-t)$, there must exist a vertex $v_{2} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ with $d_{G_{1}}\left(v_{2}\right) \leqslant t+k-3$. Let $G_{2}=G_{1}-v_{2}$, and continue until we have obtained a set $X=$ $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\} \subset V$ satisfying $\left|\Gamma_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \backslash X\right| \leqslant t+k-3$ for every $i \in[t]$.

We now apply Corollary 7 to the bipartite graph with parts $X$ and $V \backslash X$, and edges from the set $S \cup T$. The lemma says that

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{k}(S)+q_{k}(T) & \geqslant(n-2 k)\left(1-\frac{t(t+k-3)}{t(n-t)}-\frac{2 k^{2} n}{t(n-t)}\right) \\
& >n-2 k-2(t+k-3)-\frac{2 k^{2} n^{2}}{t(n-t)} \\
& >n-2 t-4 k-\frac{2 k^{2} n^{2}}{t(n-2 t-k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so we are done in this case as well.
The final part of the lemma follows by letting $t=\lfloor k \sqrt{n}\rfloor$, and noting that $(k \sqrt{n}-1)(n-2 k \sqrt{n}-k) \geqslant k n^{3 / 2} / 3$ if $n \geqslant 25 k^{2}$.

We shall frequently need to show that specific bipartite graphs have large $k$-connected subgraphs. The following observation from [3] is the basic tool we use to do this.

Lemma 9. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph with partite sets $M$ and $N$ such that $d(v) \geqslant k$ for every $v \in M$, and $|\Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma(z)| \geqslant k$ for every pair $y, z \in N$. Then $G$ is $k$-connected.

The next two lemmas now follow from Lemma 9 by removing a suitably chosen set of 'bad' vertices.

Lemma 10. Let $a, b, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $G$ be a bipartite graph with parts $M$ and $N$ such that $|M| \geqslant 4 b+k,|N| \geqslant a \geqslant 2 k$, and $d(v) \geqslant|M|-b$ for every $v \in N$. Then $G$ has a $k$-connected subgraph on at least

$$
|G|-\frac{a b}{a-k+1}>|G|-2 b
$$

vertices.
Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G$ be as described. Let

$$
U=\left\{v \in M: d_{G}(v) \leqslant k-1\right\},
$$

and observe that each vertex of $U$ sends at least $|N|-k+1$ non-edges into $N$, and that there are in total at most $b|N|$ non-edges between $M$ and $N$ (since $d(v) \geqslant|M|-b$ for every $v \in N)$. Hence

$$
|U|(|N|-k+1) \leqslant b|N|
$$

and so

$$
|U| \leqslant \frac{b|N|}{|N|-k+1} \leqslant \frac{a b}{a-k+1}<2 b,
$$

since the function $\frac{b x}{x-k+1}$ is decreasing for $x>k-1$, and $|N| \geqslant a \geqslant$ $2 k$. Now, consider the bipartite graph $G^{\prime}=G[M \backslash U, N]$. Each vertex of $M \backslash U$ has degree at least $k$ in $G^{\prime}$, by the definition of $U$, and each pair of vertices of $N$ have at least $k$ common neighbours in $M$, since $|M| \geqslant 4 b+k$, so $|M \backslash U| \geqslant 2 b+k$, and each vertex of $N$ has at most $b$ non-neighbours in $M$. Thus, by Lemma $9, G^{\prime}$ is $k$-connected, and has order

$$
|G|-|U| \geqslant|G|-\frac{a b}{a-k+1}>|G|-2 b
$$

The following easy lemma is very similar to Lemma 15 of [3], but a little stronger. In particular, we have removed the requirement that $3|M| \geqslant|N|$.

Lemma 11. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $G$ be the complete bipartite graph with parts $M$ and $N$, where $|N| \geqslant|M| \geqslant 15 k$. Let $f$ be an $r$-colouring of $E(G)$, and let $S, T, U \subset[r]$ be such that $S \cup T \cup U=[r]$. Suppose that
(a) $|\{v \in N: f(u v) \in S\}| \leqslant k$ for every $u \in M$, and
(b) $|\{u \in M: f(u v) \in T\}| \leqslant k$ for every $v \in N$.

Then there exists a $k$-connected subgraph of $G$, using only colours from $U$, and avoiding at most $5 k$ vertices of $M$ and $2 k$ vertices of $N$. In particular, $q_{k}(U) \geqslant|G|-7 k$.

Proof. We may assume that $r=3$, and that $S=\{1\}, T=\{2\}$ and $U=\{3\}$. Let $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant m \geqslant 15 k$, let $|M|=m$ and $|N|=n$, and let $f$ be a 3 -colouring of $E(G)$ satisfying the conditions of the lemma. Let
$S_{M}=\{v \in M: v$ sends at most $3 n / 5$ edges of colour 3 into $N\}$, and
$S_{N}=\{v \in N: v$ sends at most $6 k$ edges of colour 3 into $M\}$
be sets of 'bad' vertices. We shall remove the bad sets and apply Lemma 9.

We need to bound $\left|S_{M}\right|$ and $\left|S_{N}\right|$ from above. Since each vertex of $M$ has at most $k$ incident edges of colour 1 , we have $\left|f^{-1}(1)\right| \leqslant k m$,
and similarly $\left|f^{-1}(2)\right| \leqslant k n$. Also, since each vertex of $S_{M}$ has at least $2 n / 5$ incident edges of colour 1 or 2 , we have $\left|f^{-1}(1)\right|+\left|f^{-1}(2)\right| \geqslant$ $\left|S_{M}\right|(2 n / 5)$. Finally, each vertex of $S_{N}$ has at most $6 k$ incident edges of colour 3 , and at most $k$ incident edges of colour 2 , so has at least $(m-7 k)$ incident edges of colour 1 . Hence $\left|f^{-1}(1)\right| \geqslant\left|S_{N}\right|(m-7 k)$. Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|S_{M}\right| \leqslant \frac{5}{2 n}\left(\left|f^{-1}(1)\right|+\left|f^{-1}(2)\right|\right) \leqslant \frac{5 k(m+n)}{2 n} \leqslant 5 k, \text { and } \\
\left|S_{N}\right| \leqslant \frac{\left|f^{-1}(1)\right|}{m-7 k} \leqslant \frac{k m}{m-7 k} \leqslant 2 k
\end{gathered}
$$

since $m \geqslant 14 k$.
Now, let $M^{\prime}=M \backslash S_{M}$ and $N^{\prime}=N \backslash S_{N}$, and let $H$ be the bipartite graph with vertex set $M^{\prime} \cup N^{\prime}$, and edge set $f^{-1}(3)$. If $x \in N^{\prime}$, then $x$ sends at least $6 k$ edges of colour 3 into $M$, so

$$
d_{H}(x) \geqslant 6 k-\left|S_{M}\right| \geqslant 6 k-5 k=k,
$$

and similarly if $y, z \in M^{\prime}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Gamma_{H}(y) \cap \Gamma_{H}(z)\right| & \geqslant 3 n / 5+3 n / 5-n-\left|S_{N}\right| \\
& =n / 5-\left|S_{N}\right| \geqslant 3 k-2 k=k
\end{aligned}
$$

since $n \geqslant 15 k$, so the conditions of Lemma 9 are satisfied. Thus by Lemma $9, H$ is $k$-connected. Since also $|M \backslash V(H)|=\left|S_{M}\right| \leqslant 5 k$ and $|N \backslash V(H)|=\left|S_{N}\right| \leqslant 2 k, H$ is the desired subgraph.

The results above will be our main tools in the sections that follow. However, we shall also use the following well-known theorem of Mader [4] in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 5.

Mader's Theorem. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $G$ be a graph with average degree $\alpha$. Then $G$ has an $\alpha / 4$-connected subgraph.

Mader's Theorem implies that a monochromatic $(n-1) / 4 r$-connected subgraph exists in any $r$-colouring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ (to see this, simply consider the colour which is used most frequently). This subgraph is $k$ connected if $n \geqslant 4 k r+1$, and has at least $(n-1) / 4 r+1$ vertices. It is this weak bound that we shall use.

We also state the following result from [3] here, so that we may refer to it more easily. We shall use Theorem 12 to prove the lower bounds in Theorems 2 and 3.

Theorem 12. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n \geqslant 13 k-15$. Then

$$
m(n, 2,1, k)=n-2 k+2 .
$$

Finally we make some simple observations about $k$-connected graphs.

Observation 2. Let $G$ be a graph, and $v \in V(G)$. If $G-v$ is $k$ connected and $d(v) \geqslant k$, then $G$ is also $k$-connected.

Given graphs $G$ and $H$, define $G \cup H$ to be the graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H)$.

Observation 3. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be $k$-connected subgraphs of a graph $G$. If there exist $k$ vertices $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \subset V\left(H_{1}\right)$ such that $\left|\Gamma\left(v_{i}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)\right| \geqslant k$ for each $i \in[k]$, then $H_{1} \cup H_{2}$ is $k$-connected.

Observation 4. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $G$ and $H$ are $k$-connected graphs, and $|V(G) \cap V(H)| \geqslant k$, then the graph $G \cup H$ is also $k$-connected.

Throughout, we shall write $V$ for $V\left(K_{n}\right)$. For any undefined terms see either [1] or [3].

## 3. The case $s=2$

We begin at the bottom, with the case $s=2$. We shall be able to give fairly tight bounds on $m(n, r, 2, k)$ for infinitely many value of $r$. We begin with a construction, which will give us our upper bound.

Lemma 13. For every $n, r, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
m(n, r, s, k) \leqslant m(n, r, s, 1) \leqslant 2^{s}\left\lceil\frac{n}{2^{\left\lfloor\log _{2}(r+1)\right\rfloor}}\right\rceil<2^{s}\left\lceil\frac{2 n}{r+1}\right\rceil
$$

In particular, if $r+1$ is a power of 2 and a divisor of $n$, then

$$
m(n, r, s, k) \leqslant \frac{2^{s} n}{r+1}
$$

Proof. Let $n, r, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $R=\left\lfloor\log _{2}(r+1)\right\rfloor$. We shall define a $\left(2^{R}-1\right)$-colouring $f: E\left(K_{n}\right) \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{R} \backslash \mathbf{0}$ of the edges of $K_{n}$. First partition $V=V\left(K_{n}\right)$ into $2^{R}$ subsets $\left\{V_{x}: x \in\{0,1\}^{R}\right\}$ of near-equal size (i.e., $\left|\left(\left|V_{x}\right|-\left|V_{y}\right|\right)\right| \leqslant 1$ for every pair $x, y$ ). Now if $i \in V_{x}, j \in V_{y}$ and $x \neq y$, then let $f(i j)=x-y(\bmod 2)$; for the remaining edges choose $f$ arbitrarily.

Choose a subset $S \subset\{0,1\}^{R} \backslash \mathbf{0}$ of size $s$, a vector $x \in\{0,1\}^{R}$ and a vertex $v \in V_{x}$. Let $G$ be the graph with vertex set $V$ and edge set $f^{-1}(S)$, and let $\mathcal{P}(S)=\left\{y_{1}+\ldots+y_{t}(\bmod 2) \in\{0,1\}^{R}: t \in \mathbb{N}\right.$, and $y_{i} \in S$ for each $\left.i \in[t]\right\}$. Note that $|\mathcal{P}(S)| \leqslant 2^{s}$.

Now, there is a path from $v$ to a vertex $u \in V_{y}$ using only edges of $S$ if and only if $x-y \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, since such a path corresponds to a sum of vectors from $S$. Hence the component of $v$ in $G$ is exactly $\bigcup\left\{V_{y}: x-y \in \mathcal{P}(S)\right\}$.

Since $v$ and $S$ were arbitrary, $\left|V_{y}\right| \leqslant\left\lceil n / 2^{R}\right\rceil$ for each $y \in\{0,1\}^{R}$, and $|\mathcal{P}(S)| \leqslant 2^{s}$, it follows that in the colouring $f$, there is no 1-connected subgraph using at most $s$ colours on more than

$$
2^{s}\left\lceil\frac{n}{2^{R}}\right\rceil=2^{s}\left\lceil\frac{n}{\left.2^{\left\lfloor\log _{2}(r+1)\right\rfloor}\right\rceil}\right\rceil
$$

vertices. This proves that $m(n, r, s, 1) \leqslant 2^{s}\left\lceil\frac{n}{2^{\left\lfloor\log _{2}(r+1)\right\rfloor}}\right\rceil$; the remaining inequalities are trivial.

The following corollary is immediate from the lemma; we state it just for emphasis.

Corollary 14. If $n, r, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $r+1$ is a power of 2 , then

$$
m(n, r, 2, k) \leqslant 4\left\lceil\frac{n}{r+1}\right\rceil .
$$

We shall prove an almost matching lower bound on $m(n, r, 2, k)$. To help the reader (and because we can prove a stronger result in this case), we begin with the case $k=1$. We shall need the following result from [3].

Lemma 15. The order of the largest monochromatic component of an $r$-colouring of $E\left(K_{m, n}\right)$ is at least $\frac{m+n}{r}$.

Theorem 16. Let $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, with $r \geqslant 3$. Then

$$
m(n, r, 2,1) \geqslant \frac{4 n}{r+1}
$$

Proof. Let $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, with $r \geqslant 3$, and let $f$ be an $r$-colouring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$. We shall show that there exists a connected subgraph of $K_{n}$, on at least $\frac{4 n}{r+1}$ vertices, using only at most two colours in the colouring $f$. For aesthetic reasons, we shall assume that $r+1 \mid 4 n$ (otherwise the proof is almost the same, but slightly messier). Let $G$ be the largest connected monochromatic subgraph of $K_{n}$, let $A=V(G)$, and let $|A|=\frac{c n}{r+1}$. If $c \geqslant 4$ then we are done, so assume that $c<4$.

Let $B=V \backslash A$, and without loss of generality, assume that the edges of $G$ all have colour 1. Then since $G$ is maximal, no edge in the bipartite graph $H=K_{n}[A, B]$ has colour 1, so some colour occurs at least $\frac{|A||B|}{r-1}$ times in $H$. Again without loss let this colour be 2 , and let $B_{2}=\{u \in B: f(u v)=2$ for some $v \in A\}$ be the set of vertices of $B$ which are incident to some edge of $H$ of colour 2 .

Suppose first that $\left|B_{2}\right| \geqslant \frac{(4-c) n}{r+1}$. Then the set $A \cup B_{2}$ is connected by colours 1 and 2 , and $\left|A \cup B_{2}\right| \geqslant \frac{4 n}{r+1}$, so we are done. So assume that $\left|B_{2}\right|<\frac{(4-c) n}{r+1}$, and choose a set $B_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $B_{2} \subset B_{2}^{\prime} \subset B$, and $\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right|=\frac{(4-c) n}{r+1}$.

We apply Lemma 15 to the bipartite graph $H_{2}$ with parts $A$ and $B_{2}^{\prime}$, and edges of colour 2. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e\left(H_{2}\right) & \geqslant \frac{|A||B|}{r-1}=\left(\frac{1}{r-1}\right)\left(\frac{c n}{r+1}\right)\left(n-\frac{c n}{r+1}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{r+1-c}{(4-c)(r-1)}\right)\left(\frac{c n}{r+1}\right)\left(\frac{(4-c) n}{r+1}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{r+1-c}{(4-c)(r-1)}\right)|A|\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

so Lemma 15 implies that there exists a connected subgraph of $H_{2}$ on at least

$$
\frac{e\left(H_{2}\right)\left(|A|+\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right|\right)}{|A|\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right|} \geqslant\left(\frac{(r+1-c)}{(4-c)(r-1)}\right)\left(\frac{4 n}{r+1}\right)
$$

vertices, since $|A|+\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right|=\frac{4 n}{r+1}$. This subgraph is monochromatic, and so, since $G$ was chosen to be the largest monochromatic subgraph, we have

$$
\frac{4(r+1-c) n}{(4-c)(r-1)(r+1)} \leqslant \frac{c n}{r+1}
$$

which implies that

$$
(r-1) c^{2}-4 r c+4(r+1) \leqslant 0
$$

since $c<4$ and $r>1$. The quadratic factorises as $(c-2)((r-1) c-$ $2(r+1)) \leqslant 0$, so we have $2 \leqslant c \leqslant \frac{2(r+1)}{r-1}$.

We shall only need that $c \geqslant 2$. For suppose some vertex $u \in B$ sends edges of only one colour into $A$, i.e., $\mid\{i \in[r]: f(u v)=i$ for some $v \in A\} \mid=1$. Let that colour be $j$, and consider the star, centred at $u$, with edges of colour $j$. It is monochromatic, connected, and has order larger than $G$, a contradiction. Thus every vertex in $B$ sends edges of at least two different colours into $A$, and so, by the pigeonhole
principle, some colour ( $\ell$, say) is sent by at least $\frac{2|B|}{r-1}$ different vertices of $B$.

Let $D=\{u \in B: f(u v)=\ell$ for some $v \in A\}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A \cup D| & \geqslant \frac{c n}{r+1}+\frac{2|B|}{r-1}=\frac{n}{r+1}\left(c+\frac{2(r+1-c)}{r-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{n}{r+1}\left(\frac{c(r-3)+2(r+1)}{r-1}\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{n}{r+1}\left(\frac{2(r-3)+2(r+1)}{r-1}\right)=\frac{4 n}{r+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

the last inequality following because $c \geqslant 2$ and $r \geqslant 3$. The vertices of $A \cup D$ are connected by edges of colour 1 and $\ell$, so we are done.

We now modify the proof of Theorem 16 to prove Theorem 1. We shall use Mader's Theorem, and Lemmas 6 and 10.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, with $r \geqslant 3$ and $n \geqslant 16 k r^{2}+4 k r$. The upper bound follows by Lemma 13 if $r+1$ is a power of 2 ; it remains to prove the lower bound. If $n<k r(r+1)(r+2 k+1)$ then the result is trivial, so assume $n \geqslant k r(r+1)(r+2 k+1)$.

Let $f$ be an $r$-colouring of the edges of $K_{n}$. We shall show that there exists a connected subgraph of $K_{n}$, on at least $\frac{4 n}{r+1}-5 k r(r+2 k+1)$ vertices, using only at most two colours in the colouring $f$. Let $G$ be the largest $k$-connected monochromatic subgraph of $K_{n}$, let $A=V(G)$, and let $|A|=\frac{c n}{r+1}$. Following the proof of Theorem 16, we shall show that $c \geqslant 2-\frac{17 k r^{2}(r+2 k)}{n}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $c<2-\frac{17 k r^{2}(r+2 k)}{n}$.

Let $B=V \backslash A$, and without loss of generality, assume that the edges of $G$ all have colour 1. Now, since $G$ is maximal, no vertex in $B$ sends more than $k-1$ edges of colour 1 into $A$, by Observation 2. Thus in the bipartite graph $H=K_{n}[A, B]$, some colour occurs at least $\frac{(|A|-k+1)|B|}{r-1}$ times; without loss let this colour be 2. Let $B_{2}=\{u \in B:|\{v \in A: f(u v)=2\}| \geqslant k\}$ be the set of vertices of $B$ which are incident to at least $k$ edges of $H$ of colour 2.

Suppose first that $\left|B_{2}\right| \geqslant \frac{(4-c) n}{r+1}$. Then the set $A \cup B_{2}$ is $k$ connected by colours 1 and 2 by Observation 2, and $\left|A \cup B_{2}\right| \geqslant \frac{4 n}{r+1}$, so we are done. So assume that $\left|B_{2}\right|<\frac{(4-c) n}{r+1}$, and choose a set $B_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $B_{2} \subset B_{2}^{\prime} \subset B$, and $\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{(4-c) n}{r+1}\right\rfloor$.

We shall apply Lemma 6 to the bipartite graph $H_{2}$ with parts $A$ and $B_{2}^{\prime}$, and edges of colour 2. First note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(H_{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{(|A|-r(k-1))|B|}{r-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since we discarded at most $(k-1)|B|$ edges of colour 2 from $H$ when forming $H_{2}$. Let $\ell=k-1$; we must check that $|A|,\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \ell$ and $|A|+\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant 2 \ell+1$. These bounds follow because $n>4 k r$, so

$$
|A| \geqslant \frac{n-1}{4 r}+1>k
$$

by Mader's Theorem, and

$$
\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \frac{(4-c) n}{r+1}-1>\frac{2 n}{r-1}-1>k,
$$

since we assumed that $c<2$.
So, by Lemma 6, if there does not exist a $k$-connected subgraph of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ on at least $q$ vertices, then

$$
\begin{align*}
e\left(H_{2}\right) & \leqslant \frac{q(|A|-\ell)\left(\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right|-\ell\right)}{|A|+\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right|-2 \ell}+\left(\ell^{2}+\ell\right)\left(|A|+\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right|-2 \ell\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{q\left(\frac{c n}{r+1}\right)\left(\frac{(4-c) n}{r+1}\right)}{\frac{4 n}{r+1}-2 k}+\left(\ell^{2}+\ell\right)\left(\frac{4 n}{r+1}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{q c(4-c) n^{2}}{(r+1)(4 n-2 k(r+1))}+k^{2}\left(\frac{4 n}{r+1}\right), \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

since $|A|+\left|B_{2}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \frac{4 n}{r+1}-1$ and $k=\ell+1$. Combining (1) and (2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& q \geqslant \frac{(r+1)(4 n-2 k(r+1))}{c(4-c) n^{2}}\left(\frac{(|A|-r(k-1))|B|}{r-1}-k^{2}\left(\frac{4 n}{r+1}\right)\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{4 n(r+1)-2 k(r+1)^{2}}{c(4-c) n^{2}}\left(\frac{(c n-k r(r+1))(r+1-c) n}{(r-1)(r+1)^{2}}-\frac{4 k^{2} n}{r+1}\right) \\
&=\frac{4(r+1-c) n}{(4-c)(r-1)(r+1)}-\left(\frac{4 k r(r+1-c)}{c(4-c)(r-1)}+\frac{16 k^{2}}{c(4-c)}+\frac{2 k(r+1-c)}{(4-c)(r-1)}\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2 k^{2} r(r+1)(r+1-c)}{c(4-c)(r-1)}+\frac{8 k^{3}(r+1)}{c(4-c)}\right) \\
&> \frac{4(r+1-c) n}{(4-c)(r-1)(r+1)}-17 k(r+2 k), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\frac{r+1-c}{r-1}<2$ and $\frac{1}{4}<c<2$, so $c(4-c)>\frac{1}{2}$.
Inequality (3) holds if there does not exist a $k$-connected subgraph of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ on at least $q$ vertices. Therefore, since $G$ was chosen to be the largest $k$-connected monochromatic subgraph of $K_{n}$, and $|G|=\frac{c n}{r+1}$, we have

$$
\frac{c n}{r+1}+1>\frac{4(r+1-c) n}{(4-c)(r-1)(r+1)}-17 k(r+2 k)
$$

which implies

$$
(c-2)((r-1) c-2(r+1))<\frac{68 k r^{2}(r+2 k)}{n}
$$

as in the proof of Theorem 16. Now, $c<2$, so $(r-1) c-2(r+1)<-4$, and thus

$$
2-c<\frac{17 k r^{2}(r+2 k)}{n}
$$

so $c \geqslant 2-\frac{17 k r^{2}(r+2 k)}{n}$, as claimed.
Now, for each $j \in[r]$ define

$$
C_{j}=\{v \in B:|\{u \in A: f(u v) \neq j\}| \leqslant k r\},
$$

and suppose that $\left|C_{j}\right| \geqslant 2 k r$ for some colour $j \in[r]$, i.e., there are at least $2 k r$ distinct vertices in $B$ which each send at least $|A|-k r$ edges of colour $j$ into $A$.

We shall apply Lemma 10 to obtain a contradiction. Let $F$ be the bipartite graph with parts $A$ and $C_{j}$, and edges of colour $j$, and let $a=2 k r$ and $b=k r$. Now, $\left|C_{j}\right| \geqslant 2 k r \geqslant 2 k$, and $|A| \geqslant \frac{n-1}{4 r}+1 \geqslant$ $4 k r+k$ (by Mader's Theorem, and because $n \geqslant 16 k r^{2}+4 k r$ ), and $d_{F}(v) \geqslant|A|-k r$ for every $v \in C_{j}$, by the definition of $C_{j}$.

Thus by Lemma 10, there exists a $k$-connected subgraph $F^{\prime}$ of $F$ on more than $|A|+\left|C_{j}\right|-2 b$ vertices. But $\left|C_{j}\right| \geqslant 2 k r=2 b$, so $\left|V\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right|>$ $|A|$, and $F^{\prime}$ is monochromatic. This is a contradiction, since $G$ was chosen to be the largest monochromatic $k$-connected subgraph of $K_{n}$.

So for each colour $j \in[r]$, there are at most $2 k r$ distinct vertices in $B$ which send at least $|A|-k r$ edges of colour $j$ into $A$. We remove these vertices from $B$ to obtain

$$
B^{\prime}=\{v \in B:|\{u \in A: f(u v) \neq j\}|>k r \text { for every } j \in[r]\},
$$

with $\left|B^{\prime}\right| \geqslant|B|-2 k r(r-1)$ (note that $\left|C_{1}\right|=0$ ). Now, for each vertex $v \in B^{\prime}$, we have $|\{i \in[r]:|\{u \in A: f(u v)=i\}| \geqslant k\}| \geqslant 2$, i.e., $v$ sends at least $k$ edges of at least two different colours into $A$. Therefore, by the pigeonhole principle, there must exist a colour, $\ell$ say, such that at least $\frac{2\left|B^{\prime}\right|}{r-1}$ vertices of $B^{\prime}$ send at least $k$ edges of colour $\ell$ into $A$.

Let $D=\{v \in B:|\{u \in A: f(u v)=\ell\}| \geqslant k\}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A \cup D| & \geqslant \frac{c n}{r+1}+\frac{2\left|B^{\prime}\right|}{r-1} \geqslant \frac{c n}{r+1}+\frac{2|B|}{r-1}-4 k r \\
& =\frac{n}{r+1}\left(c+\frac{2(r+1-c)}{r-1}\right)-4 k r \\
& =\frac{n}{r+1}\left(\frac{c(r-3)+2(r+1)}{r-1}\right)-4 k r \\
& \geqslant \frac{4 n}{r+1}-\frac{17 k r^{2}(r+2 k)(r-3)}{4(r-1)(r+1)}-4 k r \\
& >\frac{4 n}{r+1}-5 k r(r+2 k+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $c \geqslant 2-\frac{17 k r^{2}(r+2 k)}{n}$ and $r \geqslant 3$. Now by Observation 2, the subgraph of $K_{n}$ with vertex set $A \cup D$ and all edges of colour 1 or $\ell$ is $k$-connected, so we are done.

When $r=3$ we can do better than Theorem 1 ; in fact we can determine the function $m(n, 3,2, k)$ exactly when $n \geqslant 13 k-15$. The alert reader will have noticed that this is the same bound on $n$ as we
obtained in Theorem 12 - this is not coincidence, the bound is necessary because we shall use Theorem 12 in the proof of Theorem 2 !

The following simple construction gives us our upper bound.
Lemma 17. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n \leqslant 3 k-3$ then $m(n, 3,2, k)=0$. If $n \geqslant 3 k-2$ then $m(n, 3,2, k) \leqslant n-k+1$.

Proof. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant 3 k-2$. Let $A, B$ and $C$ be pairwise disjoint subsets of $V=V\left(K_{n}\right)$, each of size $k-1$, and let $W=V \backslash$ $(A \cup B \cup C)$. Colour the edges between $A$ and $B \cup W$ with colour 1, those between $B$ and $C \cup W$ with colour 2 , and those between $C$ and $A \cup W$ with colour 3 . Colour the edges inside the sets arbitrarily.

Let $H$ be a $k$-connected subgraph on at least $n-k+1$ vertices, using at most two colours, and let these colours be 1 and 2 (the proof in the other cases is identical). Let $V(H)=X$. Since $n \geqslant 3 k-2,|X| \geqslant 2 k-1$, so the set $X \cap(A \cup W)$ is non-empty. Let $u \in X \cap(A \cup W)$. Now $X \cap C=\emptyset$, since if $v \in X \cap C$, then $u$ and $v$ are disconnected in $H[X \backslash B]$, which is a contradiction, since $|X \cap B| \leqslant|B|=k-1$. Since $X \cap C=\emptyset$ and $|C|=k-1$, we have $|X| \leqslant n-k+1$.

We have shown that in the colouring described above, there is no $k$-connected subgraph using only two colours on more than $n-k+1$ vertices. Therefore $m(n, 3,2, k) \leqslant n-k+1$ when $n \geqslant 3 k-2$.

Now let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leqslant 3 k-3$. Partition $V$ into parts $A, B$ and $C$, each of size at most $k-1$, and colour the edges between the parts as above: colour 1 between $A$ and $B$, colour 2 between $B$ and $C$, and colour 3 between $C$ and $A$. This time, however, colour the edges inside $A$ with colour 2 , those inside $B$ with colour 3 , and those inside $C$ with colour 1 . Now it is easy to check that there is no $k$-connected subgraph using only two colours, so $m(n, 3,2, k)=0$ as claimed.

We now prove the matching lower bound when $n \geqslant 13 k-15$. The argument is similar to the proof of Theorem 12 in [3] - just one extra idea is needed.

Proof of Theorem 2. The upper bound follows from Lemma 17, and for $k=1$ the result is trivial, so let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $n \geqslant 13 k-15$, and let $f$ be a 3 -colouring of the edges of $K_{n}$. We shall find a $k$ connected subgraph $H$ of $K_{n}$, using at most 2 colours of $f$, on at least $n-k+1$ vertices.

For $i=1,2,3$, let $G^{(i)}$ denote the graph with vertex set $V=V\left(K_{n}\right)$ and edge set $f^{-1}(i)$ (the edges of colour $i$ ), and for each pair $\{i, j\} \subset$ $\{1,2,3\}$, let $G^{(i, j)}$ denote the subgraph with vertex set $V$ and edge set $f^{-1}(i) \cup f^{-1}(j)$ (the edges of colour $i$ or $j$ ).

We shall first find two $k$-connected subgraphs, using at most two colours each, which cover the vertex set $V$. Since $n \geqslant 13 k-15$, by Theorem 12 either $G^{(1,2)}$ or $G^{(3)}$ contains a $k$-connected subgraph $H$ on at least $n-2 k+2 \geqslant 11 k-13>2 k-1$ vertices. Suppose that $H$ is in $G^{(3)}$, and let $V(H)=X$. Let $A$ be the set of vertices of $V \backslash X$ which send at least $k$ edges of colour 1 or 3 into $X$, and let $B$ be the set of vertices of $V \backslash X$ which send at least $k$ edges of colour 2 or 3 into $X$. Since $|X| \geqslant 2 k-1$, we have $A \cup B=V \backslash X$. Without loss of generality, let $|A| \geqslant|B|$. Now $G^{(1,3)}[X \cup A]$ is $k$-connected, by Observation 2, and

$$
|X \cup A| \geqslant|X|+\frac{n-|X|}{2} \geqslant n-k+1
$$

since $|X| \geqslant n-2 k+2$, so we have found the desired subgraph.
So we may assume that $G^{(1,2)}$ contains a $k$-connected subgraph on at least $n-2 k+2$ vertices, and similarly for $G^{(1,3)}$ and $G^{(2,3)}$. Let $Y$ be the vertex set of the largest $k$-connected subgraph in $G^{(1,2)}$, and let $Z$ be the vertex set of the largest $k$-connected subgraph in $G^{(1,3)}$. Since $|Y|,|Z| \geqslant n-2 k+2, n \geqslant 13 k-15$ and $k \geqslant 2$ we have

$$
|Y \cap Z| \geqslant n-4 k+4 \geqslant 9 k-11>2 k-1 .
$$

We claim that $Y \cup Z=V$. To see this, suppose there is a vertex $v \in V \backslash(Y \cup Z)$. Since $|Y \cap Z| \geqslant 2 k-1, v$ must send at least $k$ edges of colour 1 or 2 , or at least $k$ edges of colour 1 or 3 into $Y \cap Z$. Without loss of generality, assume that $v$ sends at least $k$ edges of colour 1 or 2. Then $G^{(1,2)}[Y \cup\{v\}]$ is $k$-connected by Observation 2, contradicting the maximality of $Y$. So $Y \cup Z=V$, as claimed, and we have found two $k$-connected bichromatic subgraphs which cover $V$.

Now, let $C=Y \backslash Z$, and $D=Z \backslash Y$. If $|Z| \geqslant n-k+1$ then $G^{(1,3)}[Z]$ is the desired $k$-connected subgraph, so assume not. Therefore $|C| \geqslant k$, and similarly we may assume that $|D| \geqslant k$. We wish to apply Lemma 9 to the bipartite graph $G^{\prime}=G^{(2,3)}[Y \cap Z, C \cup D]$, so let $M^{\prime}=Y \cap Z$ and $N=C \cup D$. We must first remove the 'bad' vertices, of degree at most $k-1$ in $G^{\prime}$, from the graph. As in the proof of Lemma 10, define

$$
U=\left\{v \in M^{\prime}: d_{G^{\prime}}(v) \leqslant k-1\right\} .
$$

We shall show that $|U| \leqslant k-1$.
For each $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, let $r(i)=\left|f^{-1}(i) \cap E(C, D)\right|$ be the number of edges between $C$ and $D$ that are coloured $i$. Since $Z$ is maximal, each vertex of $C$ can send at most $k-1$ edges of colour 1 or 3 into $Z$, so $G^{(1,3)}[C, Z]$ has at most $|C|(k-1)$ edges. Therefore, $G^{(1)}[C, Y \cap Z]$ has at most $|C|(k-1)-r(1)-r(3)$ edges. Similarly, $G^{(1)}[D, Y \cap Z]$ has at
most $|D|(k-1)-r(1)-r(2)$ edges, so $G^{\prime}$ has at most

$$
|N|(k-1)-|C||D|-r(1)
$$

non-edges, since $|C|+|D|=|N|$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{3} r(i)=|C||D|$.
Now, by the definition of $U$, each vertex of $U$ sends at least $|N|-k+1$ edges of colour 1 into $N=C \cup D$, so $G^{\prime}$ has at least $|U|(|N|-k+1)$ non-edges. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
|U|(|N|-k+1) & \leqslant|N|(k-1)-|C||D|-r(1) \\
& \leqslant|N|(k-1)-k^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $|C|,|D| \geqslant k$ and $r(1) \geqslant 0$. Thus

$$
|U| \leqslant \frac{|N|(k-1)-k^{2}}{|N|-k+1}=k-1-\frac{2 k-1}{|N|-k+1}<k-1,
$$

since $|N|-k+1>0$.
We complete the proof of Theorem 2 by setting $M=M^{\prime} \backslash U$, and applying Lemma 9 to the graph $G=G^{(2,3)}[M, N]$. By the definition of $U, d_{G}(x) \geqslant k$ for every vertex $x \in M$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|M| & =|Y \cap Z|-|U| \geqslant(n-4 k+4)-(k-1) \\
& =n-5 k+5 \geqslant 8 k-10 \geqslant 3 k-2
\end{aligned}
$$

since $|U| \leqslant k-1, n \geqslant 13 k-15$ and $k \geqslant 2$. Also $d_{G}(y) \geqslant|M|-k+1$ for every $y \in N$, since each vertex of $C \cup D$ sends at most $k-1$ edges of colour 1 to $Y \cap Z$. Therefore,

$$
\left|\Gamma_{G}(y) \cap \Gamma_{G}(z)\right| \geqslant|M|-2 k+2 \geqslant k
$$

for every pair $y, z \in N$, so by Lemma $9, G$ is $k$-connected.
Since $M \cup N=V \backslash U$ and $|U| \leqslant k-1, G$ is the desired $k$-connected subgraph using at most two colours.

Remark 1. We needed the bound $n \geqslant 13 k-15$ in order to apply Theorem 12 - the rest of the proof required only $n \geqslant 8 k-7$. Therefore any improvement on the bound on $n$ in Theorem 12 would give an immediate improvement here also.

When $r+1$ is not a power of 2 , we can in general only determine $m(n, r, 2, k)$ up to a factor of 2 . However, it follows from Theorem 4, which we shall prove in the next section, that $m(n, 5,2, k)=\frac{9 n}{10}-O(k)$, and we have the following conjecture for the case $r=6$.

Conjecture 1. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n$ sufficiently large compared to $k$. Then

$$
m(n, 6,2, k)=\frac{3 n}{4}-O(k)
$$

We remark that the upper bound, $m(n, 6,2, k) \leqslant \frac{3 n}{4}$, follows from the construction in Lemma 23 below, with $R=4$. We suspect that the following problem is not easy.

Problem 1. Determine $m(n, r, 2, k)$ (up to an error term depending on $r$ and $k$ ) for those $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r+1$ is not a power of 2.

## 4. The Jump at $2 s=r$

We next turn to the range $2 s \approx r$, where the function $m(n, r, s, k)$ 'jumps' from $(c+o(1)) n$ with $c<1$, to $n-f(k)$. We shall prove Theorems 3 and 4 , which describe this transition quite precisely. We begin with an instant corollary of Theorem 12, which turns out to give the exact minimum when $2 s=r$.

Lemma 18. Let $n, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n \geqslant 13 k-15$. Then

$$
m(n, 2 s, s, k) \geqslant n-2 k+2 .
$$

Proof. Let $n, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant 13 k-15$, let $f$ be a (2s)-colouring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$, and let $S \subset[2 s]$ with $|S|=s$. Define the 2-colouring $f_{S}$ induced by $f$ and $S$ by $f_{S}(e)=1$ if $f(e) \in S$, and $f_{S}(e)=2$ otherwise. By Theorem 12, since $n \geqslant 13 k-15$, there exists a monochromatic $k$ connected subgraph $H$ of $K_{n}$ (in the colouring $f_{S}$ ) on at least $n-2 k+2$ vertices. $H$ uses at most $s$ colours in the colouring $f$, so $m(n, 2 s, s, k) \geqslant$ $n-2 k+2$.

Next we prove the matching upper bound. The colouring which gives the bound is a generalization of the 2-colouring of Bollobás and Gyárfás [2].

Lemma 19. Let $n, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n \geqslant 2\binom{2 s}{s}(k-1)+1$. Then

$$
m(n, 2 s, s, k) \leqslant n-2 k+2
$$

Proof. Let $n, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n \geqslant 2\binom{2 s}{s}(k-1)+1$. For each subset $T \subset[2 s]$ with $|T|=s$, let $A_{T}$ and $B_{T}$ be subsets of $V=V\left(K_{n}\right)$ of size $k-1$, with the sets $\left\{A_{T}, B_{T}: T \subset[2 s],|T|=s\right\}$ pairwise disjoint.

Let $W=V \backslash \bigcup_{T} A_{T} \cup B_{T}$, so $|W|=n-2\binom{2 s}{s}(k-1) \geqslant 1$. Define a (2s)-colouring $f$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ as follows. Let

- $f(\{i, j\}) \in[2 s] \backslash T$ if $i \in W$ and $j \in A_{T} \cup B_{T}$,
- $f(\{i, j\}) \in[2 s] \backslash\left(T \cup T^{\prime}\right)$ if $i \in A_{T} \cup B_{T}, j \in A_{T^{\prime}} \cup B_{T^{\prime}}$ and $T^{\prime} \neq T^{c}$,
and for each $s$-set $T$ with $1 \notin T \subset[2 s]$, let
- $f(\{i, j\})=1$ if $i \in A_{T}$ and $j \in A_{T^{c}}$, or $i \in B_{T}$ and $j \in B_{T^{c}}$, and
- $f(\{i, j\}) \in T$ if $i \in A_{T}$ and $j \in B_{T^{c}}$, or $i \in B_{T}$ and $j \in A_{T^{c}}$.

Now, suppose $H$ is a $k$-connected subgraph of $K_{n}$ using at most $s$ colours; let $T \subset[2 s]$, with $|T|=s$, be a fixed $s$-set containing every colour used in $H$. We claim that $H$ contains no vertex of $A_{T} \cup B_{T}$. Indeed, suppose $u \in V(H) \cap A_{T}$ say (the proof if $u \in V(H) \cap B_{T}$ is identical), and let $v \in W$ (recall that $|W| \geqslant 1$ ).

Observe that since $H$ used only colours from $T, \Gamma_{H}(u) \subset A_{T^{c}} \cup B_{T^{c}}$. Moreover, if $1 \in T$ then $\Gamma_{H}(u) \subset A_{T^{c}}$, and if $1 \notin T$ then $\Gamma_{H}(u) \subset B_{T^{c}}$. So, if we set $H^{\prime}=H-A_{T^{c}}$ if $1 \in T$ and $H^{\prime}=H-B_{T^{c}}$ if $1 \notin T$, it is clear that $u$ and $v$ are disconnected in $H^{\prime}$. Since $\left|A_{T^{c}}\right|=\left|B_{T^{c}}\right|=k-1$, this contradicts the assumption that $H$ is $k$-connected. This proves the claim.

We have shown that $H$ contains no vertex of $A_{T} \cup B_{T}$. Since $\mid A_{T} \cup$ $B_{T} \mid=2 k-2$, and $H$ was an arbitrary $k$-connected subgraph using at most $s$ colours, this completes the proof of the lemma.

Remark 2. Note that when $s=1$ the bound $n \geqslant 2\binom{2 s}{s}(k-1)+1$ reduces to $n \geqslant 4 k-3$, and the construction reduces to that of Bollobás and Gyárfás [2]. Notice also that the construction may be altered slightly to give the bound $m(n, 2 s, s, k) \leqslant n-2 a$ if $n \geqslant 2\binom{2 s}{s} a+1$, for each $a \leqslant k-1$.

By Lemma 18, any $r$-colouring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ contains a $k$-connected subgraph, using at most $s$ colours, on at least $n-2 k+2$ vertices, if $r \leqslant 2 s$. Suppose $s$ is decreased a little, are similar statements are still true? In particular, for which $s$ can we always find a $k$-connected subgraph on at least $n-g(k)$ vertices (for some function $g$ )? Or on at least $n-o(n)$ vertices? It turns out that the answer is the same in each case: if and only if $2 s \geqslant r$.

Lemma 20. Let $n, r, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $2 s<r$, then

$$
m(n, r, s, k) \leqslant\left\lceil\left(1-\binom{r}{s}^{-1}\right) n\right\rceil
$$

Proof. Let $n, r, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $s<2 r$. Partition $V=V\left(K_{n}\right)$ into $t=\binom{r}{s} \geqslant 3$ subsets $\left\{A_{T}: T \subset[r],|T|=s\right\}$ of near equal size. Colour an edge between $A_{T}$ and $A_{T^{\prime}}$ with any colour from the set $[r] \backslash\left(T \cup T^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\left|T \cup T^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 2 s<r$, such a colour always exists. Colour the edges within the sets $A_{T}$ arbitrarily.

Let $T$ be any subset of $[r]$ with $|T|=s$. Note that

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{n}{t}\right\rfloor \leqslant\left|A_{T}\right| \leqslant\left\lceil\frac{n}{t}\right\rceil \leqslant \frac{n}{2}
$$

since the parts are of near equal size and $t \geqslant 3$. There are no edges of colour $T$ between $A_{T}$ and $V \backslash A_{T}$, so the largest $k$-connected subgraph using the colours of $T$ has order at most

$$
\max \left\{\left|A_{T}\right|, n-\left|A_{T}\right|\right\} \leqslant n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{t}\right\rfloor=\left\lceil\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right) n\right\rceil .
$$

Since $T$ was an arbitrary subset of $[r]$ of size $s$, the lemma follows.
Theorem 3 now follows immediately from Lemma 18, 19 and 20.
Proof of Theorem 3. Let $n, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant 2\binom{2 s}{s}(k-1)+1$, and $n \geqslant 13 k-15$. Since $n \geqslant 13 k-15$, we have $m(n, 2 s, s, k) \geqslant n-2 k+2$ by Lemma 18 , and since $n \geqslant 2\binom{2 s}{s}(k-1)+1$, we have $m(n, 2 s, s, k) \leqslant$ $n-2 k+2$ by Lemma 19. Hence $m(n, 2 s, s, k)=n-2 k+2$.

The moreover part of the theorem follows by Lemma 20.
When $s=1$ it is easy to modify the construction of Bollobás and Gyárfás [2] to give $m(n, 2,1, k)=0$ when $n \leqslant 4 k-4$, and they conjectured that the function jumps at this point, from 0 to $n-2 k+2$. For $s \geqslant 2$ however, we have little clue how this transition occurs.
Problem 2. Determine $m(n, 2 s, s, k)$ when $n \leqslant 2\binom{2 s}{s}(k-1)$.
Theorem 3 implies that $2 s=r$ marks a sort of 'threshold' for $m(n, r, s, k)$ : when $2 s<r$ there is a constant $\varepsilon(r, s, k)<1$ such that $m(n, r, s, k)<(1-\varepsilon(r, s, k)) n$ for every (large) $n \in \mathbb{N}$; when $2 s \geqslant r$, no such constant exists, and in fact $m(n, r, s, k) \geqslant n-2 k+2$ (if $n \geqslant 13 k$ ). Putting it concisely, the function 'jumps' from $n-\Omega(n)$ to $n-2 k+2$.

It is natural to ask what how large $\varepsilon(r, s, k)$ can be, given $2 s<r$. Theorem 4 shows that the maximum is exactly $\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{-1}$.

Say that a set $A \subset V$ is $(k-)$ connected by the set $X \subset[r]$ if the graph with vertex set $A$ and edge set $f^{-1}(X)$ is $(k$-)connected. We shall now prove Theorem 4 , beginning with the case $k=1$.

Theorem 21. Let $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, with $s \geqslant 2$. Then

$$
m(n, 2 s+1, s, 1)=\left\lceil\left(1-\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{-1}\right) n\right\rceil
$$

Proof. The upper bound follows from Lemma 20; we shall prove the lower bound. Let $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, with $s \geqslant 2$, let $r=2 s+1$, and let $f$ be an $r$-colouring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{S}=\{S \subset[r]:|S|=s\}$, and for each subset $S \in \mathcal{S}$, let $A_{S}$ be a set of maximum order which is connected by $S$, and let $B_{S}=V \backslash A_{S}$. Note that $\left|A_{S}\right| \geqslant 1$ for every $S$. We are required to show that there exists a set $S \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left|B_{S}\right| \leqslant\binom{ 2 s+1}{s}^{-1} n$, and we shall do so by showing that either $A_{S}$ is large for some $S \in \mathcal{S}$, or the sets $\left\{B_{S}: S \in \mathcal{S}\right\}$ must be pairwise disjoint. To make things easier to follow, we break the proof into several cases.

Case 1: There exist $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $A_{S}=A_{T}$ but $S \neq T$.
If $A_{S}=A_{T}=V$ then we are done, so assume that $B_{S}$ is non-empty. Since $A_{S}$ and $A_{T}$ are maximal, every edge between $A_{S}$ and $B_{S}$ must have a colour from the set $U=\bar{S} \cap \bar{T}$. Note that $|U|=2 s+1-|S \cup T| \leqslant$ $s$, since $S \neq T$. Thus $\left|A_{W}\right|=n$ for any $U \subset W \in \mathcal{S}$, and we are done.

Case 2: There exist $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $A_{S} \cap A_{T}=\emptyset$.
Since $A_{S}$ and $A_{T}$ are maximal, every edge between $A_{S}$ and $A_{T}$ must have some colour from $U=\bar{S} \cap \bar{T}$. Note again that $|U| \leqslant s$, since $S \neq T$. Now, let $B=B_{S} \cap B_{T}$, let

$$
C=\left\{v \in B: \exists w \in A_{S} \cup A_{T} \text { with } f(v w) \in U\right\}
$$

and let $D=B \backslash C$. The set $V \backslash D$ is connected by $U$, so if $|D|=0$ then $\left|A_{W}\right|=n$ for any $U \subset W \in \mathcal{S}$, and we are done.

So assume that $|D| \neq 0$ and let $u \in D$. Since $u \notin A_{S}$, edges between $u$ and $A_{S}$ do not have colours from $S$. Also, by the definition of $D$, these edges do not have colours from $U$. Thus they must have colours
from $[r] \backslash(S \cup U) \subset T$. Similarly, edges between $u$ and $A_{T}$ must have colours from $S$. This is true for any vertex in $D$, so the set $A_{S} \cup D$ is connected by $T$, and the set $A_{T} \cup D$ is connected by $S$. But $A_{S}$ and $A_{T}$ have maximum order, so $\left|A_{S}\right|+|D| \leqslant\left|A_{T}\right|$, and $\left|A_{T}\right|+|D| \leqslant \mid A_{S}$, which implies that $|D|=0$, a contradiction.

Case 3: There exist $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $A_{S} \cap A_{T} \neq \emptyset, A_{S} \not \subset A_{T} \not \subset A_{S}$, $A_{S} \cup A_{T} \neq V$ and $|S \cup T| \geqslant s+2$.

Let $u \in B=V \backslash\left(A_{S} \cup A_{T}\right)$, and let $C=A_{S} \cap A_{T} \neq \emptyset$. Since $u \notin A_{S}$ and $u \notin A_{T}$, the edges between $u$ and $C$ must all have colours from $U=\bar{S} \cap \bar{T}$. Similarly, all edges between $A_{S} \backslash A_{T}$ and $A_{T} \backslash A_{S}$ must have colours from $U$. Thus, the entire vertex set $V$ is connected by $U \cup\{i\}$, where $f(v w)=i$ for some $v \in B \cup C$ and $w \in A_{S} \triangle A_{T}$. Now, since $|S \cup T| \geqslant s+2$, it follows that $|U| \leqslant s-1$, so $|U \cup\{i\}| \leqslant s$ and we are done.

Case 4: There exist $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $A_{S} \cap A_{T} \neq \emptyset, A_{S} \not \subset A_{T} \not \subset A_{S}$, $A_{S} \cup A_{T} \neq V$ and $|S \cup T|=s+1$.

We shall show that Case 3 still holds. Indeed, let $B, C$ and $U$ be as in Case 3, and note that $|U|=s$, and that $U \cap S=U \cap T=\emptyset$. As before, the sets $B \cup C$ and $A_{S} \triangle A_{T}$ are each connected by $U$, and these sets partition the vertex set $V$. Hence, either $A_{U}=V$, in which case we are done, or $A_{U}=B \cup C$, or $A_{U}=A_{S} \triangle A_{T}$. It is simple to check that in either of the latter two cases $S$ and $U$ satisfy the conditions of Case 3, and so we are done as before. Note in particular that $|S \cup U|=2 s \geqslant s+2$ since $s \geqslant 2$.

Case 5: There exist $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $A_{S} \supset A_{T}$ but $S \neq T$.
This case is a little more complicated than the first four. First we shall show that $A_{R} \supset A_{T}$ for every set $R \in \mathcal{S}$.

If $A_{S}=V$ we are done, so we may assume that $B_{S}$ is non-empty. Since $A_{S}$ and $A_{T}$ are maximal, all edges between $B_{S}$ and $A_{T}$ must have colours from $U=\bar{S} \cap \bar{T}$. Choose $W \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $U \subset W$, and let $C_{W}$ be the maximal set connected by $W$ containing $B_{S} \cup A_{T}$. We shall show that $\left|C_{W}\right|>n / 2$, and so $C_{W}=A_{W}$. If $C_{W}=V$ then we are done, so assume that $D_{W}=V \backslash C_{W}$ is non-empty. Now, no edge between $D_{W} \subset A_{S}$ and $B_{S} \subset C_{W}$ can have a colour from $S$ or from $U$, so all of these edges have colours from $T$. But $A_{T}$ was chosen to have maximal
size, so $\left|A_{T}\right| \geqslant\left|D_{W}\right|+\left|B_{S}\right|$. Hence

$$
\left|C_{W}\right| \geqslant\left|A_{T}\right|+\left|B_{S}\right| \geqslant\left|D_{W}\right|+2\left|B_{S}\right|>\left|D_{W}\right|
$$

and so $C_{W}=A_{W}$ as claimed.
We have shown that $A_{W} \supset A_{T}$ for every $\bar{S} \cap \bar{T} \subset W \in \mathcal{S}$, so in particular we can choose $W$ so that $T \cap W=\emptyset$. Let $\{i\}=[r] \backslash(T \cup W)$. Now, by the method of the previous paragraph, $A_{R} \supset A_{T}$ for any $R \in \mathcal{S}$ with $i \in R$. In particular, if $X=W \triangle\{i, j\}$ with $j \in W$, then $A_{X} \supset A_{T}$. Once again applying the method of the previous paragraph, we infer that $A_{R} \supset A_{T}$ for any $R \in \mathcal{S}$ with $j \in R$. Since $j$ was an arbitrary member of $W=[r] \backslash(T \cup\{i\})$, we have proved that $A_{R} \supset A_{T}$ for every set $R \in \mathcal{S}$, as claimed.

We next claim that $B_{Q} \cap B_{R}=\emptyset$ for every $Q, R \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{T\}$ with $Q \neq R$. Indeed, if $B_{Q} \cap B_{R} \neq \emptyset$ and $B_{Q} \not \subset B_{R} \not \subset B_{Q}$, then we are in either Case 3 or Case 4 , since $B_{Q} \cup B_{R} \subset B_{T} \neq V$. But if, on the other hand, $B_{Q} \subset B_{R}$ say, then $A_{Q} \supset A_{R}$, so $A_{P} \supset A_{R}$ for every $P \in \mathcal{S}$ as above, and in particular $A_{T} \supset A_{R}$. But then $A_{R}=A_{T}$, and we are in Case 1. Hence $B_{Q} \cap B_{R}=\emptyset$ for every $Q, R \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{T\}$ with $Q \neq R$, as claimed.

Now, simply observe that for any pair $W, X \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\bar{T} \subset W, X$ and $W \neq X$, every edge between $B_{W}$ and $B_{X}$ must have a colour from $T$, since $[r] \backslash(W \cup X) \subset T$. Since $B_{W}$ and $B_{X}$ are disjoint, and $A_{T}$ was chosen to be maximal, it follows that $\left|A_{T}\right| \geqslant\left|B_{W}\right|+\left|B_{X}\right|$.

Hence, recalling that $A_{T} \cap B_{R}=B_{R} \cap B_{Q}=\emptyset$ for every $Q, R \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{T\}$ with $Q \neq R$, we obtain

$$
n \geqslant\left(\sum_{T \neq R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R}\right|\right)+\left|B_{W}\right|+\left|B_{X}\right| \geqslant\left(\binom{2 s+1}{s}+1\right) \min _{R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R}\right|
$$

and thus $\min _{R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R}\right| \leqslant\left(\binom{2 s+1}{s}+1\right)^{-1} n$, as required.
Finally, suppose that none of Cases $1-5$ hold. The only remaining possibility is that $A_{S} \cup A_{T}=V$ for every pair $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ with $S \neq T$, and therefore that $B_{S} \cap B_{T}=\emptyset$ for every such pair. But now we have

$$
n \geqslant \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R}\right| \geqslant\binom{ 2 s+1}{s} \min _{R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R}\right|
$$

and so $\min _{R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R}\right| \leqslant\binom{ 2 s+1}{s}^{-1} n$, and we are done.

The proof for general $k$ is similar, but we shall need some of the tools from Section 2: to be precise, we shall use Lemmas 8, 10 and 11.

Proof of Theorem 4. The upper bound again follows from Lemma 20; we shall prove the lower bound. Let $n, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $s \geqslant 2$ and $n \geqslant 100\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{2} k^{2}$. Let $r=2 s+1$, and let $f$ be an $r$-colouring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{S}=\{S \subset[r]:|S|=s\}$ as before, and for each subset $S \in \mathcal{S}$, let $A_{S}$ be a set of maximum order which is $k$-connected by $S$, and let $B_{S}=V \backslash A_{S}$. We are required to show that there exists a set $S \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left|B_{S}\right| \leqslant\binom{ 2 s+1}{s}^{-1} n+2\binom{2 s+1}{s} k$.

Let us assume, for a contradiction, that no such set $S$ exists. We begin by using Lemma 8 to show that $\left|A_{S}\right| \geqslant(6 s+78) k$ for every set $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Indeed, let $S \in \mathcal{S}$, and let $T, U \subset[r]$ satisfy $S \cup T \cup U=[r]$. We have

$$
q_{k}(W) \leqslant\left(1-\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{-1}\right) n-2\binom{2 s+1}{s} k<n-k \sqrt{n}
$$

for each $W \in\{T, U\}$, and $n \geqslant 25 k^{2}$, so by Lemma 8 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{k}(S) & \geqslant n-9 k \sqrt{n}-q_{k}(T) \\
& \geqslant\binom{ 2 s+1}{s}^{-1} n+2\binom{2 s+1}{s} k-9 k \sqrt{n} \\
& =\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{-1} \sqrt{n}\left(\sqrt{n}-9 k\binom{2 s+1}{s}\right)+2\binom{2 s+1}{s} k \\
& \geqslant 10\binom{2 s+1}{s} k^{2}+2\binom{2 s+1}{s} k>(6 s+78) k
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\sqrt{n} \geqslant 10 k\binom{2 s+1}{s}$. Thus $\left|A_{S}\right| \geqslant(6 s+78) k$ for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$, as claimed. We must once again consider five cases.

Case 1: There exist $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left|A_{S} \triangle A_{T}\right| \leqslant(4 s+62) k$.
If $\left|V \backslash\left(A_{S} \cup A_{T}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 k$, then $\left|A_{S}\right| \geqslant n-(4 s+64) k$ and we are done, so assume that $|B| \geqslant 2 k$, where $B=B_{S} \cap B_{T}$. Since $A_{S}$ is maximal, a vertex $v \in B$ can send at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $S$ into $A_{S}$, and similarly $v$ can send at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $T$
into $A_{T}$. Therefore, each vertex of $B$ sends at most $2 k-2$ edges with colours not in the set $U=\bar{S} \cap \bar{T}$ into $A=A_{S} \cap A_{T}$.

Now, simply apply Lemma 10 to the bipartite graph $G$ with parts $A$ and $B$, and edges with colours from the set $U$, and with $a=b=2 k$. Note that

$$
|A|=\frac{\left|A_{S}\right|+\left|A_{T}\right|-\left|A_{S} \triangle A_{T}\right|}{2} \geqslant 9 k=4 b+k
$$

and $|B| \geqslant 2 k$, so $G$ contains a $k$-connected subgraph on more than $|G|-4 k$ vertices. But this subgraph uses only colours from $U$, and $|G|=$ $n-\left|A_{S} \triangle A_{T}\right| \geqslant n-(4 s+62) k$, so in this case $q_{k}(U) \geqslant n-(4 s+66) k$ and we are done.

Case 2: There exist $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left|A_{S} \cap A_{T}\right| \leqslant(2 s+16) k$.
Since $A_{S}$ and $A_{T}$ are maximal, each vertex of $A_{S} \backslash A_{T}$ sends at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $T$ into $A_{T} \backslash A_{S}$, and similarly each vertex of $A_{T} \backslash A_{S}$ sends at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $S$ into $A_{S} \backslash A_{T}$. We also have $\left|A_{S} \backslash A_{T}\right|=\left|A_{S}\right|-\left|A_{S} \cap A_{T}\right| \geqslant 15 k$, and similarly $\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{S}\right| \geqslant 15 k$, so we may apply Lemma 11 to obtain a set $X \subset A_{S} \triangle A_{T}$ with $|X| \geqslant\left|A_{S} \triangle A_{T}\right|-7 k$, which is $k$-connected by $U=\bar{S} \cap \bar{T}$.

Let $B=B_{S} \cap B_{T}$, let

$$
C=\{v \in B:|\{w \in X: f(v w) \in U\}| \geqslant k\}
$$

and let $D=B \backslash C$. Note that the set $X \cup C$ is $k$-connected by $U$, so $\left|A_{W}\right| \geqslant n-12 k-|D|$ for any $U \subset W \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore we may assume that $|D|>(4 s+50) k$.

Now, each vertex $u \in D$ sends at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $S$ into $A_{S}$ (since $u \notin A_{S}$ ), and at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $U$ into $X$ (by the definition of $D$ ). Apply Lemma 10 to the bipartite graph with parts $D$ and $X \cap A_{S}$, and edges with colours from $T$, and with $a=b=2 k$. Note that $|D| \geqslant 2 k$ and

$$
\left|X \cap A_{S}\right| \geqslant\left|A_{S}\right|-\left|A_{S} \cap A_{T}\right|-5 k \geqslant 9 k=4 b+k
$$

so the conditions of the lemma hold. Thus there exists a $k$-connected subgraph of $K_{n}$, using colours only from $T$, with at least

$$
|D|+\left|X \cap A_{S}\right|-4 k \geqslant|D|+\left|A_{S}\right|-(2 s+25) k
$$

vertices. Similarly, there exists a $k$-connected subgraph of $K_{n}$, using colours only from $S$, with at least $|D|+\left|A_{T}\right|-(2 s+25) k$ vertices. But $A_{S}$ and $A_{T}$ have maximum order, so $\left|A_{S}\right|+|D|-(2 s+25) k \leqslant\left|A_{T}\right|$, and $\left|A_{T}\right|+|D|-(2 s+25) k \leqslant\left|A_{S}\right|$, which implies that $|D| \leqslant(4 s+50) k$,
a contradiction.
Case 3: There exist $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left|A_{S} \cap A_{T}\right| \geqslant(2 s+5) k$, $\left|A_{S} \backslash A_{T}\right|,\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{S}\right| \geqslant(s+13) k,\left|V \backslash\left(A_{S} \cup A_{T}\right)\right| \geqslant 2 k$ and $|S \cup T| \geqslant s+2$.

Let $B=V \backslash\left(A_{S} \cup A_{T}\right), C=A_{S} \cap A_{T}, X=A_{S} \backslash A_{T}$ and $Y=A_{T} \backslash A_{S}$, so $|B| \geqslant 2 k,|C| \geqslant(2 s+5) k \geqslant 9 k$, and $|X|,|Y| \geqslant(s+13) k \geqslant 15 k$. Since $A_{S}$ and $A_{T}$ are maximal, a vertex of $B$ can send at most $2 k-2$ edges with colours from $S \cup T$ into $C$, thus by Lemma 10 there exists a $k$ connected subgraph $H_{1}$ of $K_{n}[B \cup C]$, using only colours of $U=\bar{S} \cap \bar{T}$, on at least $|B|+|C|-4 k$ vertices. Furthermore, each vertex of $X$ sends at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $T$ into $Y$, and each vertex of $Y$ sends at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $S$ into $X$, so by Lemma 11 there exists a $k$-connected subgraph $H_{2}$ of $K_{n}[X \cup Y]$, using only colours of $U=\bar{S} \cap \bar{T}$, on at least $|X|+|Y|-7 k$ vertices. Thus $\left|V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup V\left(H_{2}\right)\right| \geqslant n-11 k$.

It remains only to ' $k$-connect' $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ using Observation 3. To be precise, for each $i \in S \cup T$ let

$$
D_{i}=\left\{v \in V\left(H_{1}\right):\left|\left\{w \in V\left(H_{2}\right): f(v w) \in U \cup\{i\}\right\}\right| \geqslant k\right\}
$$

and note that $\bigcup_{i} D_{i}=V\left(H_{1}\right)$, since $\left|H_{2}\right| \geqslant|X|+|Y|-7 k \geqslant 2 s k$. Choose $j \in S \cup T$ such that $\left|D_{j}\right| \geqslant\left|H_{1}\right| / 2 s$, and note that $\left|H_{1}\right| \geqslant$ $|B|+|C|-4 k \geqslant 2 s k$, so $\left|D_{j}\right| \geqslant k$.

Now, by Observation 3, $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup V\left(H_{2}\right)$ is $k$-connected by $U \cup\{j\}$, and so $q_{k}(U \cup\{j\}) \geqslant n-11 k$. But $|S \cup T| \geqslant s+2$, so $|U \cup\{j\}| \leqslant s$, and we are done.

Case 4: There exist $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left|A_{S} \cap A_{T}\right| \geqslant(2 s+16) k$, $\left|A_{S} \backslash A_{T}\right|,\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{S}\right| \geqslant(2 s+19) k,\left|V \backslash\left(A_{S} \cup A_{T}\right)\right| \geqslant(s+17) k$ and $|S \cup T|=s+1$.

We shall show that either Case 3 still holds, or $\left|A_{U}\right| \geqslant n-11 k$, where as usual $U=\bar{S} \cap \bar{T}$ (note that now $|U|=s$, since $|S \cup T|=s+1$ ). Let $B, C, X$ and $Y$ be as in Case 3 , so $|B| \geqslant(s+17) k,|C| \geqslant(2 s+16) k$, and $|X|,|Y| \geqslant(2 s+19) k$. As before, we can find disjoint $k$-connected subgraphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, which use only edges with colours from $U$, such that $V\left(H_{1}\right) \subset B \cup C, V\left(H_{2}\right) \subset X \cup Y,\left|V\left(H_{1}\right)\right| \geqslant|B|+|C|-4 k$ and $\left|V\left(H_{2}\right)\right| \geqslant|X|+|Y|-7 k$.

Consider $A_{U}$. Clearly $\left|A_{U}\right| \geqslant \max \left\{\left|H_{1}\right|,\left|H_{2}\right|\right\} \geqslant(n-11 k) / 2 \geqslant 13 k$, but any set of order $13 k$ intersects either $V\left(H_{1}\right)$ or $V\left(H_{2}\right)$ (or both) in at least $k$ vertices. Hence, by Observation 4, either $A_{U} \supset V\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left|A_{U} \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant k-1$, or $A_{U} \supset V\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left|A_{U} \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant k-1$,
or $A_{U} \supset V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup V\left(H_{2}\right)$. In the third case we have $\left|A_{U}\right| \geqslant n-11 k$; we claim that in either of the first two (sub)cases, $S$ and $U$ satisfy the conditions of Case 3 .

Subcase (a): If $A_{U} \supset V\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left|A_{U} \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant k-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|A_{S} \cap A_{U}\right| \geqslant\left|V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap C\right| \geqslant|C|-4 k \geqslant(2 s+5) k, \\
\left|A_{S} \backslash A_{U}\right| \geqslant\left|V\left(H_{2}\right) \cap X\right|-k \geqslant|X|-8 k \geqslant(s+13) k, \\
\left|A_{U} \backslash A_{S}\right| \geqslant\left|V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap B\right| \geqslant|B|-4 k \geqslant(s+13) k, \text { and } \\
\left|V \backslash\left(A_{S} \cup A_{U}\right)\right| \geqslant\left|V\left(H_{2}\right) \cap Y\right|-k \geqslant|Y|-8 k \geqslant 2 k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase (b): Similarly, if $A_{U} \supset V\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left|A_{U} \cap V\left(H_{1}\right)\right| \leqslant k-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|A_{S} \cap A_{U}\right| \geqslant\left|V\left(H_{2}\right) \cap X\right| \geqslant|X|-7 k \geqslant(2 s+5) k, \\
\left|A_{S} \backslash A_{U}\right| \geqslant\left|V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap C\right|-k \geqslant|C|-5 k \geqslant(s+13) k, \\
\left|A_{U} \backslash A_{S}\right| \geqslant\left|V\left(H_{2}\right) \cap Y\right| \geqslant|Y|-7 k \geqslant(s+13) k, \text { and } \\
\left|V \backslash\left(A_{S} \cup A_{U}\right)\right| \geqslant\left|V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap B\right|-k \geqslant|B|-5 k \geqslant 2 k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also $|S \cup U|=2 s \geqslant s+2$ since $s \geqslant 2$, and so $S$ and $U$ satisfy the conditions of Case 3, as claimed. Hence we are done as in that case.

Case 5: There exist $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{S}\right| \leqslant(2 s+19) k$ but $S \neq T$.

This case is once again a little more complicated than the first four. First we shall show that $\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{R}\right| \leqslant(2 s+31) k$ for every set $R \in \mathcal{S}$.

Let $B=B_{S} \cap B_{T}$ and $C=A_{S} \cap A_{T}$, as in Cases 3 and 4. If $\left|A_{S}\right| \geqslant$ $n-(4 s+48) k$ we are done, so we may assume that $\left|B_{S}\right| \geqslant(4 s+48) k$, and hence that

$$
|B|=\left|B_{S} \cap B_{T}\right|=\left|B_{S}\right|-\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{S}\right| \geqslant(2 s+29) k .
$$

Also recall that $\left|A_{T}\right| \geqslant(6 s+78) k$, so

$$
|C|=\left|A_{S} \cap A_{T}\right|=\left|A_{T}\right|-\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{S}\right| \geqslant 9 k .
$$

Now, since $A_{S}$ and $A_{T}$ are maximal, each vertex of $B$ sends at most $2 k-2$ edges with colours from $S \cup T$ into $C$. Let $U=\bar{S} \cap \bar{T}$, and apply Lemma 10 in the usual way (with $a=b=2 k$ ) to obtain a $k$-connected subgraph $H$ of $K_{n}[B \cup C]$, using only colours from the set $U$, on at least $|B|+|C|-4 k$ vertices. Choose $W \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $U \subset W$, and let $C_{W}$ be a set of maximum size, containing $V(H)$, which is $k$-connected by $W$. We shall show that $\left|C_{W}\right| \geqslant(n+k) / 2$, and deduce that $C_{W}=A_{W}$.

Indeed, we are done if $\left|C_{W}\right| \geqslant n-(2 s+38) k$, since $\left|A_{W}\right| \geqslant\left|C_{W}\right|$, so let $D_{W}=V \backslash C_{W}$ and assume that $\left|D_{W}\right| \geqslant(2 s+38) k$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{W} \cap A_{S}\right| & \geqslant\left|D_{W}\right|-\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{S}\right|-\left|B \cap D_{W}\right| \\
& \geqslant\left|D_{W}\right|-(2 s+19) k-4 k \geqslant 15 k
\end{aligned}
$$

and also

$$
\left|B_{S} \cap V(H)\right| \geqslant|B|-4 k \geqslant 15 k
$$

Now, a vertex of $D_{W}$ can send at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $W$ into $V(H)$, and a vertex of $B_{S}$ can send at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $S$ into $A_{S}$. Therefore, by Lemma 11, there exists a $k$-connected subgraph of $\left(D_{W} \cap A_{S}\right) \cup\left(B_{S} \cap V(H)\right)$, using only colours from the set $T$, on at least

$$
\left|D_{W} \cap A_{S}\right|+\left|B_{S} \cap V(H)\right|-7 k \geqslant\left|D_{W}\right|+|B|-(2 s+34) k
$$

vertices. But $A_{T}$ was chosen to have maximal size, so

$$
\left|A_{T}\right| \geqslant\left|D_{W}\right|+|B|-(2 s+34) k
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|C_{W}\right| & \geqslant|B|+|C|-4 k=|B|+\left|A_{T}\right|-\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{S}\right|-4 k \\
& \geqslant\left|D_{W}\right|+2|B|-(4 s+57) k \geqslant\left|D_{W}\right|+k
\end{aligned}
$$

since $|B| \geqslant(2 s+29) k$. Therefore $\left|C_{W}\right| \geqslant(n+k) / 2$, as claimed. But now any subset of $V$ of size at least $\left|C_{W}\right|$ must intersect $C_{W}$ in at least $k$ vertices, and so by Observation 4, any $k$-connected subgraph on at least $\left|C_{W}\right|$ vertices must contain $C_{W}$. In particular, $A_{W} \supset C_{W}$, since $\left|A_{W}\right| \geqslant\left|C_{W}\right|$ by definition, But $C_{W}$ was chosen to have maximum size, so we must have $C_{W}=A_{W}$.

Since $V(H) \subset A_{W}$, we have shown that

$$
\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{W}\right| \leqslant\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{S}\right|+|C \backslash V(H)| \leqslant(2 s+23) k
$$

for every set $W \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\bar{S} \cap \bar{T} \subset W \in \mathcal{S}$. In particular, we may choose $W$ so that $T \cap W=\emptyset$. Now applying the method of the previous paragraphs to the sets $T$ and $W$, we deduce that $\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{R}\right| \leqslant(2 s+27) k$ for any $R \in \mathcal{S}$ with $i \in R$, where $\{i\}=\bar{T} \cap \bar{W}$. In particular, if $X=W \triangle\{i, j\}$ with $j \in W$, then $\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{X}\right| \leqslant(2 s+27) k$. Once again applying the method of the previous paragraphs, we infer that $\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{R}\right| \leqslant(2 s+31) k$ for any $R \in \mathcal{S}$ with $j \in R$. Since $j$ was an arbitrary member of $[r] \backslash(T \cup\{i\})$, we have proved that $\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{R}\right| \leqslant$ $(2 s+31) k$ for every set $R \in \mathcal{S}$, as claimed.

We shall next show that either we are in Case 1,3 or 4 , or $\left|B_{Q} \cap B_{R}\right|<$ $(s+17) k$ for every $Q, R \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{T\}$ such that $Q \neq R$, and moreover $\left|B_{Q} \cap B_{R}\right|<2 k$ if $|Q \cup R| \geqslant s+2$. Indeed, let $Q, R \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{T\}$ with $Q \neq R$,
and let $\left|B_{Q} \cap B_{R}\right| \geqslant 2 k$. Suppose first that $\left|B_{Q} \backslash B_{R}\right| \leqslant(2 s+19) k$. Then $\left|A_{R} \backslash A_{Q}\right| \leqslant(2 s+19) k$, and so $\left|A_{R} \backslash A_{P}\right| \leqslant(2 s+31) k$ for every $P \in \mathcal{S}$, as above, and in particular $\left|A_{R} \backslash A_{T}\right| \leqslant(2 s+31) k$. But now $\left|A_{R} \triangle A_{T}\right| \leqslant(4 s+62) k$, and we are in Case 1.

So suppose next that $\left|B_{Q} \backslash B_{R}\right|,\left|B_{R} \backslash B_{Q}\right| \geqslant(2 s+19) k$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|A_{Q} \cap A_{R}\right| & \geqslant\left|A_{T}\right|-\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{R}\right|-\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{Q}\right| \\
& \geqslant\left|A_{T}\right|-2(2 s+31) k \geqslant(2 s+16) k,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left|A_{T}\right| \geqslant(6 s+78) k$, that $\left|A_{Q} \backslash A_{R}\right|,\left|A_{R} \backslash A_{Q}\right| \geqslant(2 s+19) k$, and that

$$
\left|V \backslash\left(A_{Q} \cup A_{R}\right)\right|=\left|B_{Q} \cap B_{R}\right| .
$$

Thus if $|Q \cup R| \geqslant s+2$ we are in Case 3, and if $|Q \cup R|=s+1$ and $\left|B_{Q} \cap B_{R}\right| \geqslant(s+17) k$ then we are in Case 4. Hence either we are done as before, or $\left|B_{Q} \cap B_{R}\right|<(s+17) k$ for every $Q, R \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{T\}$ with $Q \neq R$, and moreover $\left|B_{Q} \cap B_{R}\right|<2 k$ if $|Q \cup R| \geqslant s+2$, as claimed.

Now, let $W$ and $X$ be as described above, so $[r] \backslash(W \cup X) \subset T$, and observe that a vertex of $B_{W} \backslash B_{X}$ sends at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $W$ into $B_{X} \backslash B_{W}$, and similarly a vertex of $B_{X} \backslash B_{W}$ sends at most $k-1$ edges with colours from $X$ into $B_{W} \backslash B_{X}$. Note also that $\left|B_{W}\right|,\left|B_{X}\right| \geqslant(s+32) k$, else we are done, so

$$
\left|B_{W} \backslash B_{X}\right| \geqslant\left|B_{W}\right|-\left|B_{W} \cap B_{X}\right| \geqslant 15 k,
$$

and similarly $\left|B_{X} \backslash B_{W}\right| \geqslant 15 k$. Hence we may apply Lemma 11 to the bipartite graph with parts $B_{W} \backslash B_{X}$ and $B_{X} \backslash B_{W}$ to obtain a $k$-connected subgraph on at least

$$
\left|B_{W} \triangle B_{X}\right|-7 k \geqslant\left|B_{W}\right|+\left|B_{X}\right|-(s+24) k
$$

vertices, using only colours from the set $T$.
Since $A_{T}$ was chosen to be maximal, we have

$$
\left|A_{T}\right| \geqslant\left|B_{W}\right|+\left|B_{X}\right|-(s+24) k
$$

Now, recall that for every $Q, R \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{T\}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|B_{R} \backslash A_{T}\right|=\left|B_{R}\right|-\left|A_{T} \backslash A_{R}\right| \geqslant\left|B_{R}\right|-(2 s+31) k, \\
\left|B_{Q} \cap B_{R}\right| \leqslant 2 k \text { if }|Q \cup R| \geqslant s+2 \text { and }\left|B_{Q} \cap B_{R}\right| \leqslant(s+17) k \text { if } \\
|Q \cup R|=s+1 \text {. There are exactly }\binom{s+1}{2} \text { pairs } Q, R \in \mathcal{S} \text { such that }
\end{gathered}
$$

$|Q \cap R|=s+1$. Thus, by inclusion-exclusion, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \geqslant & \left|A_{T}\right|+\sum_{T \neq R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R} \backslash A_{T}\right|-\sum_{\substack{Q, R \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{T\}, Q \neq R}}\left|B_{Q} \cap B_{R}\right| \\
> & \left|B_{W}\right|+\left|B_{X}\right|-(s+24) k+\sum_{T \neq R \in \mathcal{S}}\left(\left|B_{R}\right|-(2 s+31) k\right) \\
& \quad-\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{2} k-\binom{s+1}{2}(s+17) k \\
> & \left(\binom{2 s+1}{s}+1\right) \min _{R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R}\right|-\left(\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{2}+\binom{2 s+1}{s}(2 s+40)\right) k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\frac{n+\left(\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{2}+\binom{2 s+1}{s}(2 s+40)\right) k}{\binom{2 s+1}{s}+1} \leqslant \frac{n+2\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{2} k}{\binom{2 s+1}{s}}
$$

reduces to $n \geqslant\binom{ 2 s+1}{s}^{2}\left(2 s+38-\binom{2 s+1}{s}\right) k$, which is true, so

$$
\min _{R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R}\right|<\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{-1} n+2\binom{2 s+1}{s} k
$$

as required.
Finally, suppose that none of Cases $1-5$ hold. The only remaining possibility is that $\left|A_{S} \cup A_{T}\right| \geqslant n-2 k$ for every pair $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ with $|S \cup T| \geqslant s+2$, and $\left|A_{S} \cup A_{T}\right| \geqslant n-(s+17) k$ for every pair $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ with $|S \cup T|=s+1$. But $\left|B_{S} \cap B_{T}\right|=n-\left|A_{S} \cup A_{T}\right|$, so we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & \geqslant \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R}\right|-\sum_{\substack{Q, R \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{T\}, Q \neq R}}\left|B_{Q} \cap B_{R}\right| \\
& \geqslant\binom{ 2 s+1}{s} \min _{R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R}\right|-\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{2} k-\binom{s+1}{2}(s+17) k,
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\min _{R \in \mathcal{S}}\left|B_{R}\right| \leqslant\binom{ s s+1}{s}^{-1} n+2\binom{2 s+1}{s} k$, and we are done.
Setting $s=2$ we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 22. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant(100 k)^{2}$. Then

$$
\frac{9 n}{10}-20 k \leqslant m(n, 5,2, k) \leqslant \frac{9 n}{10}+1 .
$$

Remark 3. In fact one can do a little better in both directions. By taking a little more care in the proof of Theorem 4, one easily obtains

$$
m(n, 5,2, k) \geqslant \frac{9 n-157 k}{10}
$$

and a simple modification of the construction in Lemma 20 gives

$$
m(n, 5,2, k) \leqslant \frac{9 n-k+1}{10}
$$

and more generally

$$
m(n, 2 s+1, s, k) \leqslant\left(1-\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{-1}\right) n-\binom{2 s+1}{s}^{-1}(k-1)
$$

We are sure that neither of these bounds is sharp.

$$
\text { 5. The Jump at } s=\Theta(\sqrt{r})
$$

Perhaps the most basic question one can ask about the function $m(n, r, s, k)$ is the following: for which values of $s$ is $m(n, r, s, k)$ close to 0 , and for which is it close to 1 ? Theorem 5 gives an asymptotic answer to this question. We begin with an easy lemma, which gives the upper bound in the theorem.

Lemma 23. For every $n, r, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
m(n, r, s, k) \leqslant(s+1)\left\lceil\frac{n}{\lfloor\sqrt{2 r}\rfloor}\right\rceil .
$$

Proof. Let $n, r, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $V=V\left(K_{n}\right)$, and partition $V$ into $R=$ $\lfloor\sqrt{2 r}\rfloor$ sets $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{R}$, each of size either $N=\lceil n / R\rceil$ or $N-1$. Noting that $\binom{R}{2}<r$, assign to each pair $\{i, j\} \subset[R]$ a distinct colour $c(\{i, j\}) \in[r]$.

Let $f$ be the following $r$-colouring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ : if $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V_{j}$, and $i \neq j$, then set $f(x y)=c(\{i, j\})$. If $x, y \in V_{i}$, then $f(x y)$ may be chosen arbitrarily. Thus $f$ is a 'blow-up' of a completely multicoloured complete graph.

Now, let $S \subset[r]$ be any subset of size $s$, and let $G$ be the subgraph of $K_{n}$ with vertex set $V$ and edge set $f^{-1}(S)$. Each component of $G$
intersects at most $s+1$ of the sets $\left\{V_{j}: j \in[R]\right\}$, so since $S$ was chosen arbitrarily, we have $m(n, r, s, k) \leqslant M(f, n, r, s, 1) \leqslant(s+1) N$.

Lemma 23 shows that if $s \ll \sqrt{r}$, then $\frac{m(n, r, s, k)}{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow$ $\infty$. Somewhat surprisingly, this simple construction turns out to be asymptotically optimal. Once again, we begin with the case $k=1$, and prove a slightly stronger result.

Theorem 24. Let $n, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
m(n, r, s, 1) \geqslant\left(1-e^{-s^{2} / 3 r}\right) n
$$

Proof. Let $n, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $f$ be an $r$-colouring of the edges of $K_{n}$. If $s=1$ then the result is trivial, since $e^{-x}>1-x$ if $x>0$, and $m(n, r, 1,1) \geqslant n / r$ (consider the largest monochromatic star centred at any vertex). So let $s \geqslant 2$, and assume the result holds for all smaller values of $s$. Let $t \in[s-1]$ (we shall eventually set $t=\lceil s / 2\rceil$, but we shall delay making this choice until it is clear why it is optimal), and let $G$ be a connected subgraph of $K_{n}$, using at most $t$ colours, of maximum order. Let $V=V\left(K_{n}\right), A=V(G), B=V \backslash A$, and $T=f(E(G))$, the set of colours used by $G$. Thus (assuming $|A|<n),|T|=t$. By the induction hypothesis, $|A| \geqslant\left(1-e^{-t^{2} / 3 r}\right) n$.

Now, each vertex in $B$ must send at least $t+1$ different colours into $A$, as otherwise the star centred at that vertex would be a connected component, using at most $t$ colours, larger than $G$. Also, a vertex of $B$ sends no edges with colours from $T$ into $A$, since $G$ was chosen to be maximal. For each vertex $v \in B$, choose a list $L(v)$ of $t+1$ colours $\left\{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{t+1}\right\} \subset[r] \backslash T$ which it sends into $A$. So for each $v \in B$ and $\ell \in L(v)$, there exists a vertex $u \in A$ such that $f(u v)=\ell$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$, and let $\mathcal{T}=\{S \subset[r] \backslash T:|S|=s-t\}$. Suppose that $m(n, r, s, 1) \leqslant n-\varepsilon|B|$. This means that for every set $S \in \mathcal{T}$, the largest connected component in $K_{n}$, using only the colours $S \cup T$, and containing $G$, avoids at least $\varepsilon|B|$ vertices of $B$. Hence, for each $S \in \mathcal{T}$ there are at least $\varepsilon|B|$ vertices $v \in B$ such that $S \cap L(v)=\emptyset$. For each $S \in \mathcal{T}$, let $M(S)=\{v \in B: S \cap L(v)=\emptyset\}$.

Now, observe that for each vertex $v \in B$, there are exactly $\binom{r-2 t-1}{s-t}$ sets $S \in \mathcal{T}$ with $v \in M(S)$. So, summing over $\mathcal{T}$, we obtain $\varepsilon|B|\binom{r-t}{s-t} \leqslant \sum_{S \in \mathcal{T}}|M(S)|=\sum_{v \in B} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{T}} I[v \in M(S)]=|B|\binom{r-2 t-1}{s-t}$,
where $I[T]$ denotes the indicator function of the event $T$, and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon & \leqslant \frac{(r-2 t-1)!(r-s)!}{(r-t)!(r-s-t-1)!}=\frac{(r-2 t-1)}{(r-t)} \cdots \frac{(r-s-t)}{(r-s+1)} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{r-2 t-1}{r-t}\right)^{s-t}<\exp \left(\frac{-(t+1)(s-t)}{r-t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, set $t=\lceil s / 2\rceil$ to (approximately) maximize $\frac{(t+1)(s-t)}{r-t}$, and note that $\frac{(\lceil s / 2\rceil+1)\lfloor s / 2\rfloor}{r-\lceil s / 2\rceil}>\frac{s^{2}}{4 r}$. Recalling that $|B| \leqslant e^{-t^{2} / 3 r} n \leqslant$ $e^{-s^{2} / 12 r} n$, we obtain

$$
\varepsilon|B| \leqslant e^{-s^{2} / 4 r-s^{2} / 12 r} n=e^{-s^{2} / 3 r}
$$

since $s \geqslant 2$. Hence

$$
M(f, n, r, s, 1) \geqslant|A|+(1-\varepsilon)|B|=n-\varepsilon|B| \geqslant\left(1-e^{-s^{2} / 3 r}\right) n
$$

Since $f$ was arbitrary, this proves the theorem.
The proof for general $k$ is, in this case, very similar. All that is necessary is to throw out some 'bad' vertices.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $n, r, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n \geqslant 16 k r^{2}+4 k r$, and let $f$ be an $r$-colouring of the edges of $K_{n}$. If $s=1$ then the result follows by Mader's Theorem (since $n \geqslant 4 k r+1$ ), and the fact that $e^{-x}>1-x$ if $x>0$, so assume that $s \geqslant 2$. Let $t \in[s-1]$ (we shall again eventually set $t=\lceil s / 2\rceil$, but we again delay making this choice to emphasize the similarities with the previous proof), and let $G$ be a $k$-connected subgraph of $K_{n}$, using at most $t$ colours, of maximum order. Let $V=V\left(K_{n}\right), A=V(G), B=V \backslash A$, and $T=f(E(G))$, the set of colours used by $G$. Thus (assuming $|A| \leqslant n-r k),|T|=t$. By Mader's Theorem, we have $|A| \geqslant \frac{n}{4 r} \geqslant 4 k r+k$.

Now, suppose there are at least $2 k r\binom{r-t}{t}$ vertices in $B$ which send at least $k$ edges of no more than $t$ colours into $A$. To be more precise, given $v \in B$, let

$$
L_{k}(v)=\{\ell \in[r]:|\{u \in A: f(u v)=\ell\}| \geqslant k\},
$$

let $D=\left\{v \in B:\left|L_{k}(v)\right| \leqslant t\right\}$, and suppose that $|D| \geqslant 2 k r\binom{r-t}{t}$. Note that by Observation 2, since $G$ is maximal, $L_{k}(v) \cap T=\emptyset$ for every $v \in B$. Thus, by the pigeonhole principle, there exists a subset
$S \subset[r] \backslash T$ of size $t$, and a subset $C \subset B$ of size $2 k r$ such that $L_{k}(v) \subset S$ for every $v \in C$.

Consider the bipartite graph $H$, with parts $A$ and $C$, and edges with colours from $S$. Note that, by the definition of $C$, each vertex of $C$ sends at most $k r$ edges with colours from $\bar{S}$ into $A$, so $d_{H}(v) \geqslant|A|-k r$ for every $v \in C$. Let $a=2 k r$ and $b=k r$, and recall that $|A| \geqslant$ $4 k r+k=4 b+k$, and that $|C| \geqslant a \geqslant 2 k$.

We apply Lemma 10 to $H$, with $a=2 k r$ and $b=k r$, to obtain a $k$-connected subgraph of $H$ on at least

$$
|A|+|C|-\frac{2 k^{2} r^{2}}{2 k r-k+1}>|A|+|C|-2 k r \geqslant|A|
$$

vertices. This subgraph uses at most $t$ colours, and so this contradicts the maximality of $G$. Thus $|D| \leqslant 2 k r\binom{r-t}{t}$.

Let $B^{\prime}=B \backslash D$, so each vertex in $B^{\prime}$ sends at least $k$ edges of at least $t+1$ different colours into $A$, i.e., $\left|L_{k}(v)\right| \geqslant t+1$ for every $v \in B^{\prime}$. For each vertex $v \in B^{\prime}$, choose a list $L(v) \subset L_{k}(v)$ of size $t+1$. So for each $v \in B^{\prime}$ and $\ell \in L(v)$, there exist at least $k$ vertices $u \in A$ such that $f(u v)=\ell$.

The remainder of the proof now goes through exactly as before, since by Observation 2, for each vertex $v \in B^{\prime}$ the vertices $A \cup\{v\}$ are $k$ connected by the colours $T \cup\{\ell\}$ if $\ell \in L(v)$. The reader who feels comfortable with this fact may therefore safely 'jump' to the end of the proof. For the remaining readers, and for completeness, we shall repeat the argument.

So let $\varepsilon>0$, and let $\mathcal{T}=\{S \subset[r] \backslash T:|S|=s-t\}$. Suppose that $m(n, r, s, k) \leqslant n-\varepsilon\left|B^{\prime}\right|$. This means that for every set $S \in \mathcal{T}$, the largest $k$-connected component in $K_{n}$, using only the colours $S \cup T$, and containing $G$, avoids at least $\varepsilon\left|B^{\prime}\right|$ vertices of $B^{\prime}$. Hence, for each $S \in \mathcal{T}$ there are at least $\varepsilon\left|B^{\prime}\right|$ vertices $v \in B^{\prime}$ such that $S \cap L(v)=\emptyset$, by Observation 2. For each $S \in \mathcal{T}$, let $M(S)=\left\{v \in B^{\prime}: S \cap L(v)=\emptyset\right\}$.

Now, observe that for each vertex $v \in B^{\prime}$, there are exactly $\binom{r-2 t-1}{s-t}$ sets $S \in \mathcal{T}$ with $v \in M(S)$. So, summing over $\mathcal{T}$, we obtain

$$
\varepsilon\left|B^{\prime}\right|\binom{r-t}{s-t} \leqslant \sum_{v \in B^{\prime}} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{T}} I[v \in M(S)]=\left|B^{\prime}\right|\binom{r-2 t}{s-t},
$$

as before, and therefore

$$
\varepsilon \leqslant\left(\frac{r-2 t-1}{r-t}\right)^{s-t}<\exp \left(\frac{-(t+1)(s-t)}{r-t}\right)
$$

Now, setting $t=\lceil s / 2\rceil$ to (approximately) maximize $\frac{(t+1)(s-t)}{r-t}$, and noting that $\frac{(\lceil s / 2\rceil+1)\lfloor s / 2\rfloor}{r-\lceil s / 2\rceil}>\frac{s^{2}}{4 r}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(f, n, r, s, k) & \geqslant|A|+(1-\varepsilon)\left|B^{\prime}\right| \geqslant|A|+(1-\varepsilon)\left(|B|-2 k r\binom{r}{\lceil s / 2\rceil}\right) \\
& \geqslant\left(1-e^{-s^{2} / 4 r}\right) n-2 k r\binom{r}{\lceil s / 2\rceil}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f$ was arbitrary, this proves the theorem.
Remark 4. Using induction, as in the proof of Theorem 24, one can slightly improve this bound.

## 6. Further Problems

There is a great deal about the function $m(n, r, s, k)$ that we do not know. In this section we shall discuss some of the most obvious and intriguing of these open questions. We begin with the following corollary of Theorem 5 and Lemma 13. It demonstrates the rather embarrassing state of our knowledge in the range $2<s \ll \sqrt{r}$.

Corollary 25. There exist constants $C, C^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
C s^{2} \leqslant \frac{r}{n} m(n, r, s, k) \leqslant C^{\prime} \min \left\{2^{s}, s \sqrt{r}\right\}
$$

for every $r, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s^{2}<r$, and $n$ sufficiently large.
In particular, we do not know whether the function

$$
g(s)=\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(r \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{n} m(n, r, s, k)\right)\right)
$$

grows like a polynomial or an exponential function (or something in between!). We conjecture that the upper bound is correct in the range $s \ll \log (r)$.

Conjecture 2. Let $2 \leqslant s, k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. If $r>4^{s}$, and $n$ is sufficiently large, then

$$
m(n, r, s, k) \geqslant \frac{2^{s} n}{r+1}-O(k)
$$

We suspect that Conjecture 2 is not easy, and pose the following much weaker statements as open problems.

Problem 3. Prove any of the following.
(i) $g(s)>(1+\varepsilon)^{s}$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ and every $s \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $g(s)<(1+\varepsilon)^{s}$ for every $\varepsilon>0$ and sufficiently large $s$.
(iii) $g(s)=O\left(s^{t}\right)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$.
(iv) $g(s)=\Omega\left(s^{t}\right)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

When $r \gg s \sqrt{r} \gg 2^{s}$, we suspect that the upper bound in Theorem 5 becomes optimal, and $m(n, r, s, k)=\Theta\left(\frac{s n}{\sqrt{r}}\right)$, but at present we seem a long way from proving such a result.

We proved that the function $m(n, r, s, k)$ is 'small' when $s \ll \sqrt{r}$ and 'big' when $s \gg \sqrt{r}$. But what about when $s=\Theta(\sqrt{r})$ ? What is the exact nature of this phase change? Theorem 5 gives us (roughly) the bounds

$$
\left(1-e^{c / 4}\right) n \leqslant m(n, r,\lfloor c \sqrt{r}\rfloor, k) \leqslant \frac{c n}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

when $n$ is sufficiently large compared to $r$. Again we conjecture that the upper bound is correct.
Conjecture 3. Let $c \in(0, \sqrt{2}]$. Then

$$
h(c)=\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{n} m(n, r,\lfloor c \sqrt{r}\rfloor, k)\right)=\frac{c}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

Although we would really like to determine $h(c)$ exactly, we would in fact be very happy with an answer to either of the following, more basic questions.

Question 1. Does there exist a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $h(c)=1$ ?
Question 2. Is $\lim _{c \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(c)}{c}>0$ ?
Finally, we have a question about the phase transition at $2 s=r$. We would like to know the value of $m(n, 2 s-1, s, k)$; in other words, what does the function jump to when $r$ is odd? For $s=2$ we showed that the answer is $n-k+1$, and it is tempting to guess that this is always the correct answer, but we believe this to be false. More precisely we make the following conjecture. The rather strange right-hand side is derived from a fairly complicated construction, which we found and then lost! Since we cannot prove it, we state it as a conjecture.

Conjecture 4. Let $n, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $s \geqslant 3$ and $n$ and $k$ sufficiently large. Then
$m(n, 2 s-1, s, k) \leqslant n-\left(\frac{2 s(r-2)}{r^{2}-2 r+2}\right) k=n-\left(1+\frac{2 s-5}{4(s-1)^{2}+1}\right) k$,
where $r=2 s-1$.

Problem 4. Determine the value of $m(n, 2 s-1, s, k)$ for every $s, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all sufficiently large $n$.
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