ISSN: Print - 2277 - 0593 Online - 2315 - 7461 © FUNAAB 2013 Journal of Natural Science, Engineering and Technology

ETHNOBOTANICAL STUDY OF PLANTS USED IN MANAGING ULCER IN ABEOKUTA METROPOLIS, OGUN STATE, NIGERIA

M. KADIRI^{1*}, A.W. OJEWUMI², Y.O., KOKUMO³

Department of Biological Science, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta. Nigeria. **Corresponding Author:** mukailakadiri@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Ethnobotanical survey of plants often used for the management of ulcer in Abeokuta metropolis, Ogun State was carried out. A total of 100 respondents comprising 50 herbal traditional practitioners and 50 ulcer patients were interviewed. Study was carried out on plant parts used, modes of preparation, solvents used and types of preparation. Also, quantitative analysis of phytochemical ingredients of the most frequently used plants; Occimum basillicum, Musa paradisiaca, Aloe vera, Azadirachta. indica, Brassica oleracae and Carica papaya was carried out. Data were subjected to Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with probability set at (p<0.05). Results revealed a total of 40 plants belonging to 30 families out of which Zingiberaceae, Apocynaceae, Moraceae, Asteraceae, Liliaceae, Malliaceaee, Arecaceae, and Poaceae were the most frequent families. The most frequently mentioned plants were Occimum basillicum (57.5%), Musa paradisiaca (55%), Aloe vera (50%), Azadirachta indica (47.5%), Brassica oleracae (25%) and Carica papaya (25%). Records from this study revealed that the largest life form of plant was herbs (30%), followed by trees (28%), shrubs or trees (4%) while least plant forms were grasses, flowers, underground stems, climbers and chalk as represented by 1% each. The main methods of preparation were decoction and infusion. The plant parts recorded being used for ulcer management were leaves, barks, fruits, seeds, roots, rhizomes and the whole plant as in Aloe vera. The most frequently used plant parts were leaves (45%) while fruits, seeds, roots and rhizomes were the least parts used as represented by 5% each. Water, alcohol palm wine, palm oil and fermented maize water were the medium for ulcer herbal preparations.

Keywords: Ethnobotanical, ulcer, traditional practitioners, plant parts, solvents,

INTRODUCTION

In Nigeria, more than 50,000 plants are been used for medicinal purposes (Schippmann et al., 2002). Despite the serious threat on our ecosystems, herbal medicines are assumed to be of great importance in the primary healthcare of individuals (Sheldon et al., 1997; Mahbubur Rahman, Lingaiah and 2013; Nagaraja, 2013; Awoyemi at al., 2012) and communities in

Nigeria as it becomes a recognized tool in search for new sources of drugs (Sharma and Mujundar, 2003). Cayenne pepper in moderation has been reported to help in the treatment of ulcer in some cases. It stimulating blood flow to bring healing nutrients to the affected area, this member of the nightshade family is good therapy for ulcers. Cabbage and its juice are also known for their ulcer-healing abilities. Researchers have

J. Nat. Sci. Engr. & Tech. 2013, 12: 76-88

76

found that ulcer patients who drink one quart of raw cabbage juice a day often heal their ulcers in five days.

Garden produce rich in flavonoids may be helpful, too. Studies indicate that some bioflavonoids inhibit the growth of H. py*lori*. These compounds are also useful as anti-inflammatories (Dominic, and Ramanujam, 2012). Eat red- and purplecolored foods, such as plums, berries, and red cabbage. Parsley and onions are also good sources. Garlic and licorice have also been shown to kill *H. pylori* in test tubes. Bilberry is used frequently in Russia to treat ulcers. It reduces inflammation in the stomach and intestines and protects their fragile mucous membranes. Calendula is also good for ulcers due to its wound-healing ability. It is slightly unpleasant to drink as tea; add calendula tincture to a pleasantly flavored beverage (Agib and Mohib, 2014).

Due to the fact that herbal medicines have been reported to be comparatively safer than the synthetic drugs, (Durmuşkahya, and Öztürk, 2013: Jitin, .2013). Current treatment of ulcers in developing countries has been largely suppression of pain, with little or no strategy aimed at a cure. Herbal medicine is fast emerging as an alternative treatment to available synthetic drugs for treatment of ulcer possibly due to lower costs, availability, and fewer adverse effects and perceived effectiveness. Many tropical herbs have been scientifically reported to possess potent antiulcer activity (Akinlami *et al.*, 2014).

According to Mathews (1993), only around 10 percent of the vast range of plant species have been discovered by man, meaning the potential for more herbal cures is out there waiting to be utilized for management of diseases including ulcer. In Nigeria, many

varieties of botanical products have been reported to posses antiulcer activity, but the documented literature has centered primarily on the pharmacological action in experimental animals. Except for a few phytogenic compounds, limited clinical data are available to support the use of herbs as gastroprotective agents and thus, the data on the efficacy and safety are limited. Despite this, there are many botanical products with potential therapeutic application because of their high efficacy and low toxicity. Finally, it is note worthy that substances such as flavonoids, alkaloids, aloe gel and many others, that possess antiulcer activity are of particular therapeutic importance as most of the anti-inflammatory drugs used in modern medicine are allergenic. This study was aimed at collating information about plants used in the treatment of ulcer, parts used, methods of extraction and solvents used by an indigenous people living in Abeokuta.

MATERIALS AND METHODS The Study Area

The study was carried out in Abeokuta metropolis, Ogun State, South West Nigeria. A total of 10 Markets including Lafenwa, Odeda, Osiele, Kuto, Iberekodo, Elega, Alabata, Olodo, Itoku, Omida, Sapon, Olugbo, Kila, Siun, Ogunmakin and herbal shops in various communities of Abeokuta were visited.

The town is surrounded by the huge masses of Rocks and hence it's called 'ROCK CITY''. It has a population of about one million people. It is predominantly dominated by the Egbas with sizable proportions of Yewas, Ijebu and other Yoruba ethnic groups in Nigeria. There are two main local Government areas in Abeokuta, Abeokuta North and Abeokuta South. The metropolis is bounded by Odeda, Obafemi Owode and Ewekoro on the East and South east. Yewa North and Imeko- Afon on the North. Abeokuta is in the tropical rain forest zone of South Western Nigeria but the vegetation now is derived savannah. Abeokuta falls within the longitude 3° 21' East and latitude 7° 11'' North. The annual temperature ranges from 22.8° to 34.9°C. The mean annual rainfall is about 107 mm.

Informed consent

The objective of the study was explained to the local physicians and patients in Abeokuta. Approval to conduct the study was given by the community leaders. Informed consent was obtained from each of the participants. An approval for the study was obtained from market and traditional physicians of the communities of the town.

General questionnaire

Well structured 100 questionnaires were administered randomly to Traditional Physicians and ulcer patients, to obtain information on commonly used herbs and parts frequently used as anti-ulcer remedies. Questions on diagnosis of ulcer, names of plants, parts used, usage and dosage were asked.

Methodology

This study was carried out from January, 2012 to June 2012. The questionnaires were administered by systematic random sampling method.

QUANTITATIVE PHYTOCHEMI-CAL SCREENING

Collection and identification of plant materials

The plant material *Anacardium occidentale*, *Azadiracta indica*, *Musa paradisiaca*, and *Macrosphyra longislyla* were collected in Abeokuta and they were identified using an already documented work. The plants were hand

picked and air dried at room temperature. The dried samples were grounded in powder separately using a clean mortar and pestle. *Test for alkaloids*

This is a distillation and titrimetric procedure, 2g of finely ground sample was weighed and I00mls of 80% absolute alcohol added to give a smooth paste. The mixture was transferred to a 250ml flask and more alcohol added to make up to I litre and one gram magnesium oxide added. The mixture was digested in a boiling water bath for I5hrs under reflux air condenser with occasional shaking. The mixture was filtered while hot through a small buchner funnel. The residue was returned to the flask and re-digested for 30mins with 50ml alcohol after which the alcohol was evaporated; hot water was added to replace the alcohol lost. When all the alcohol had been removed, 3 drops or 10% HC1 were added. The whole solution was later transferred into a 250ml volumetric flask, 5ml of zinc acetate solution and 5ml of potassium ferrocyanide solution were added, thoroughly mixed to give a homogenous solution. The flask was allowed to stand for a few minute, filtered through a dry Whatman No. 1 filter paper and IOmI of the filterate was transferred into a separatory funnel and the alkaloids present were extracted vigorously by shaking with five successive portions of chloroform. The residue obtained in IOmI hot distilled water and cone. H₂SO₄ and 0.02g Selenium added and digested to colorless solution got converted to total alkaloid by multiplying by a factor of 3.26 i.e. % Total alkaloid %N X 3.26 ALKALOIDS= %N x 3.26

Test for flavonoids

Half gram of finely ground sample was weighed into 100ml beaker and 80rn1 of 95% Ethanol added and stirred with a glass rod to

prevent lumping. The mixture was filtered through a Whatman No. 1. filter paper into a looml volumetric flask and made up to mark with Ethanol. 11ml extract was pipetted into 50m1 volumetric flask, four drops of Cone. HCl added via a dropping pipette after which 0.5g of magnesium turnings were added to develop a magenta red coloration. Standard flavonoids solution of range 0-5ppm were prepared from l00ppm stock solution and treated in a similar way with HC1 and magnesium turnings. The absorbance of magenta red coloration of sample and standard solutions were read on a digital Jenway V6300 spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 520nm. The percentage flavonoid was calculated using the formula,

Absorbance of sample X average gradient factor x dilution factor

WC Sample x 10,000

Test for Tannin

0.20g of sample was measured into a 50m1 beaker, 20m1 of 50% methanol were added and covered with paraffin and placed in water bath at 77-80° C for I hour. Mixture was shaken thoroughly to ensure a uniform mixing. The extract was quantitatively filtered using a double layered Whatman No 4 filter paper into a 100ml volumetric flask. Twenty mils water added, 2.5m1 folin- ciocalteu Denis reagent and IOmils of 17% Na₂CO₃ were added and mixed thoroughly. The mixture was made up to the mark with water and allowed to stand for 20minutes . Bluish-green colour which developed at the end of range 0-10pp, were treated similarly as I mI standard samples and read after color development on a Spectronic 21D spectrophotometer at a wave length of 760nm. % Tannin was calculated using the formula

% TANNIN = <u>absorbance of sample x aver-</u> <u>age gradient factor x Dilution factor</u> Wt. of sample x 10,000

Test for saponin

The spectrophotometric method of Brunner (1984) was used for saponin Analysis. One gram of finely ground sample was weighed into a 250m1 beaker and 100ml of isobutyl alcohol was added. This was shaken on a UDY shaker for 5 hours to ensure uniform mixing. There after the mixture was filtered through a Whatman No 1 filter paper into 100ml beaker and 20ml of 40% saturated solution of magnesium carbonate was added. The mixture obtained was pipetted into 50ml volumetric flask and 2ml of 5% of FeCl₃ solution were added and made up to mark with distilled water. The mixture was allowed to stand for 30mins for blood red colour to develop. 0- l0ppm standard saponin solution was prepared from saponin stock solution. The standard solution was treated similarly with 2ml of 5% FeCl₃ solution as done for 1ml sample above. The absorbance of the sample as well as standard saponin solutions were read after color development in a Jenway V6300 spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 380mm.

%SAPONIN = <u>Absorbance of sample x</u> <u>gradient factor x dilution factor</u> Wt. of sample x 10,000

Statistical Analysis

The mean and standard deviation of all the data obtained were calculated using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS). Test of significance was conducted by analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and separation of means by Duncan's multiple range Test (DMRT) at 5% probability level.

RESULT

Population of respondents Traditional herbal practitioners (T.H.P) and patients) was characterized by males and female. Results revealed that 29(58%) of the respondents were females while 22(44%) were males. 33(66%) of patients were females while 17(34%) were males.

It was observed that 19 (38%) of the physicians attended primary school, 18 (36%) attended secondary school,2 (4%) attended tertiary institution while 11(22%) of the herbal traditional practitioners had no formal education.

Also, it was observed that 12 (24%) of patients attended primary school, 11 (22%) attended secondary, 20 (40%) tertiary institution while 7 representing 14% of patients had no formal education.

The traditional practitioners were categorized into various age group intervals such as below 30years, 31-40 years, 41-50 years, and 51 years above. It was found that 2(4%) of THP were below 30yeasr, 40% were recorded as age group 31-40 years, and 22 (44%) were recorded as age group 41-50 years while 6 (12%) traditional herbal practitioners were more than 51 years of age.

Also, 24(48%) of patients were below 30 years, 16 (32%) were recorded at age group 31-40years, 16% were recorded at 41-50 while 2 (4%) of the patients were above 51years. The patients were categorized into three ethnic groups (Yoruba, Hausa and Igbo). It was observed that largest number 32 (64%) of respondents were Yoruba, followed by Igbo 24 (28%) while least number of the respondents 4, representing (8%) were Hausa.

Responses of herbal traditional practitioners on effectiveness of herbs in the treatment of ulcer revealed that 16 (32%) of herbal traditional practitioners claimed that herbs were effective remedy in the treatment of ulcer while 34 (68%) claimed that herbs were very effective in the treatment of ulcer. 19 (38%) of patients claimed that the plants collected were effective while 31 representing (62%) claimed that the herbs were very effective on ulcer treatment (Table 1).

The survey identified a total of 40 plants belonging to 30 families out of which Zingiberaceae, Apocynaceae, Moraceae, Asteraceae, Liliaceae, Malliacaeae, Arecaceae , and Poaceae were the most frequent families. The most frequently mentioned plants were Occimum basillicum (57.5%), M. paradisiaca (55%), Aloe vera (50%), A. indica (47.5%), Brassica oleracae (25%) and C. papaya (25%). The life forms of plants covered by this study ranged from trees, shrubs, climbers, grasses, flowers, herbs, underground, Shrub or tree and stems. Findings from this study revealed that the largest population of plant (30%) was herbs, followed by trees (28%), Shrubs or trees (4%) while least plants were grasses, flowers, underground, climbers and chalk represented by 1% each (Table 3).

Herbal remedies can be prepared from either freshly collected or dry plant samples from the field. The plant parts recorded being used for ulcer treatment were leaves, barks, fruits, seeds, roots, rhizomes and the whole plant used as recorded in *Aloe vera*. The most frequently used parts were leaves (45%) while fruits, seeds, roots and rhizomes were the least parts used as represented by 5% each (Table 3).

Total50100.0PatientEffective1938.0	Respondents	Characteristic	Frequency	%frequency	Mode
Male2244TotalFemale295858Total50100.078PatientsMale1734Female3366.0700.0Total50100.0700.0Traditional herbal practitionersFormal Education100.0Primary1938.038.1Secondary1836.038.1Secondary1836.038.1Secondary1836.038.1PatientsNone714.0Total50100.040.0Total50100.040.0Total714.040.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsSecondary1122.0Young2040.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.040.0Total714.010.0Total714.010.0Total710.010.0Total	Traditional berbal practitioners	Gender (Sex)			
Female295858Total Patients50100.0PatientsMale1734Female3366.0Total50100.0Traditional herbal practitionersFormal Education100.0Traditional herbal practitionersFormal Education100.0Traditional herbal practitionersFormal Education36.0None1122.0Primary1938.0Secondary1836.0PatientsNone7PatientsNone7PatientsNone7PatientsNone7PatientsAge intervalPrimary2040.0Age groupAge intervalTraditional herbal practitionersBellow 30yrs2Age interval5Bellow 30yrs2448.0Age interval5PatientAge intervalTotal5100.0Patient16.032.0Patient16.032.0Patient12.0100.0Patient12.0100.0Patient12.0100.0Patient12.0100.0Patient12.0100.0Patient12.0100.0Patient12.0100.0Patient12.0100.0Patient12.0100.0Patient14.020.0Patient14.220.0Patient14.2 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>22</td> <td>11</td> <td></td>			22	11	
Total Patients50100.0PatientsMale Female1734Total50100.0Total50100.0Traditional herbal practitionersFormal Education100.0None1122.0Primary1938.038.0Secondary1836.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone74.0Total50100.040.1PatientsNone74.0Total50100.040.1Total50100.040.1Age groupAge interval74.0Total51 and above24.0Total51 and above24.0Taditonal herbal practitioners70 and2.0<					го
Patients Male 17 34 Female 33 66.0 Total 50 100.0 Traditional herbal practitioners Formal Education 100.0 None 11 22.0 Primary 19 38.0 38.1 Secondary 18 36.0 36.0 Total Tertiary 2 4.0 Total Secondary 11 22.0 Total Secondary 12 24.0 Age group Age interval Secondary 100.0 Total Secondary 2 4.0 44 Total Secondary 2 4.0 48 <		Female			58
Male1734 FemaleTotal50100.0Traditional herbal practitionersFormal Education100.0None1122.0Primary1938.038.1Secondary1836.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone74.0Age groupAge interval50100.0TotalTertiary2040.040.1Age groupAge intervalTertiary2040.0Total50100.01122.0TotalSecondary1122.010.0Age groupAge intervalTertiary2040.0Taditional herbal practitionersBellow 30yrs24.0Taditonal herbal practitionersBellow 30yrs24.0Total50100.01632.0PatientAge interval12.01Total50100.0120Total50100.0120PatientIgbo12.01Total50100.0120Total50100.0120Total50100.0120Total50100.0120Total50100.0120Patie			50	100.0	
Female3366.0Total50100.0Traditional herbal practitionersFormal Education100.0None1122.0Primary1938.038.4Secondary1836.0Tertiary24.0Total50100.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsPrimary1224.0Secondary1122.0Primary2040.040.1Secondary1122.0Total50100.040.1Age groupAge intervalTertiary2040.0Total50100.040.1Age groupAge intervalTertiary24.0Taditional herbal practitionersBellow 30yrs24.04450100.01632.044.5TotalAge intervalTertiary50100.0PatientAge intervalTertiary50100.0Total50100.051and above24.0Total50100.014.2014.20Patient50100.050100.0Total50100.051and above24.0Total50100.05114.2014.20Patient50100.050100.050Patient70 al3264.064.1 <td>Patients</td> <td>Mala</td> <td>17</td> <td>24</td> <td></td>	Patients	Mala	17	24	
Total50100.0Traditional herbal practitionersFormal Education100.0None1122.0Primary1938.038.1Secondary1836.0Total50100.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsAge interval2040.0Total50100.040.1Age groupAge interval1224.0Traditional herbal practitionersBellow 30yrs24.031-402040.041.5022Total50100.041.5022PatientAge interval50100.0PatientAge interval1632.0Patient1632.040.1Total50100.010.0Patient100.012.0Total50100.01Patient50100.01Patient50100.01Patient50100.01Patient50100.01Patient50100.01Patient50100.01Patient50100.01Patients701428.0Patients					
Traditional herbal practitionersFormal Education100.0None1122.0Primary1938.038.1Secondary1836.0Total50100.0PatientsNone714.0PatientsPrimary1224.0Secondary1122.0Total50100.0PatientsAge interval50Total50100.0Total50100.0Age groupAge interval7Traditional herbal practitionersBellow 30yrs24.031.402040.041.502244.04451 and above612.0PatientAge interval100.0Patient51 and above62.0Patient51 and above24.0Total50100.0100.0Patient51 and above24.0Total50100.0100.0Patient51 and above24.0Total50100.01Ethnic groupTribes12.0Total50100.01Patient50100.01Patient50100.01Patient50100.01Patient50100.01PatientsFfective1632.0Patients50100.01Patients50100.0 <td>Total</td> <td>Fernale</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Total	Fernale			
None 11 22.0 Primary 19 38.0 38.0 Secondary 18 36.0 38.0 Total 50 100.0 7 Patients None 7 14.0 7 Age group 12 24.0 7 6 7 Total Secondary 11 22.0 7 7 7 Age group Age interval Tertiary 20 40.0 40.0 3 31.40 20 40.0 44 41.50 22 44.0 44 51 and above 6 12.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 11.50 11.50 11.50 11.50 11.50 11.50 11.50 11.50 11.50 11.50 11.50 11.50		Formal Education	50		
Primary 19 38.0 38.1 Secondary 18 36.0 36.0 Total 50 100.0 7 Patients None 7 14.0 50 Primary 12 24.0 50 50 Secondary 11 22.0 50 50 50 Total Secondary 11 22.0 40.0 40.0 Age group Age interval 50 100.0 6 12.0 100.0			11		
Secondary 18 36.0 Total Fertiary 2 4.0 Patients None 7 14.0 Primary 12 24.0 20 Secondary 11 22.0 40.0 40.0 Total Secondary 11 22.0 40.0 40.0 Total Secondary 11 22.0 40.0 40.0 Total Secondary 11 20.0 40.0 40.0 Age group Age interval T T Taditional herbal practitioners Bellow 30yrs 2 4.0 44 1-40 20 40.0 44 44 50 100.0 P Patient Age interval Bellow 30yrs 24 48.0 48 31-40 16 32.0 41-50 8 16.0 50 100.0 F 11-50 14-50 8 16.0 14-50 14-50 14-50 14-50 14-50 16 12.0					20.0
Tertiary 2 4.0 Total 50 100.0 Patients None 7 14.0 Primary 12 24.0 Secondary 11 22.0 40.0 40.0 Total Secondary 11 22.0 40.0 40.0 Age group Age interval Tertiary 20 40.0 40.0 Total 31-40 20 40.0 41.50 22 44.0 44 Total Second alove 6 12.0 40 44 Total Second alove 6 12.0 40 44 Second alove 6 12.0 40 44 41-50 8 16.0 51 40 48 41-50 8 16.0 51 40 48 41-50 8 16.0 51 40 48 41-50 8 16.0 51 40 40 16 32.0 40.0 41 40 16					38.0
Total 50 100.0 Patients None 7 14.0 Primary 12 24.0 Secondary 11 22.0 Total 7 40.0 40.0 Age group Age interval 7 14.0 Traditional herbal practitioners Bellow 30yrs 2 4.0 Age group Age interval 1 7 Traditional herbal practitioners Bellow 30yrs 2 4.0 31-40 20 40.0 44 50 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total 50 100.0 100.0 Total 50 100.0 100.0 Patient Age interval 1 20 40.0 Patient Age interval 16 32.0 48 31-40 16 32.0 41-50 8 16.0 Total 50 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Ethnic group Tribes 50 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 10					
Patients None 7 14.0 Primary 12 24.0 Secondary 11 22.0 Tertiary 20 40.0 40.1 Age group Age interval 50 100.0 Traditional herbal practitioners Bellow 30yrs 2 4.0 31-40 20 40.0 44 51 and above 6 12.0 20 Patient Age interval 51 31.40 44 51 and above 6 12.0 40.0 44 51 and above 6 12.0 44 44 Total 22 44.0 44 44 44 45 46.0 44 45 46.0 44 45 46.0 44 46 </td <td>Tatal</td> <td>Tertiary</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Tatal	Tertiary			
Primary 12 24.0 Secondary 11 22.0 Tertiary 20 40.0 40.0 Age group Age interval 50 100.0 Age group Age interval 90 40.0 40.0 Traditional herbal practitioners Bellow 30yrs 2 4.0 44 150 22 44.0 44 50 100.0 100.0 100.0 At1-50 22 44.0 44 51 and above 6 12.0 100.0 Patient Age interval 100.0 100.0 100.0 Patient Age interval 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Cotal 50 100.0		News			
Secondary1122.0Tertiary2040.040.0Age groupAge interval50100.0Traditional herbal practitionersBellow 30yrs24.0 31.40 2040.041.502244.0 41.50 2244.044 51 and above612.0PatientAge interval50100.0PatientAge interval9048.048 31.40 1632.041.50816.0Total50100.051 and above24.051Total50100.051 and above24.051 and above24.0Total50100.051 and above24.051 and above24.051 and above50100.0Total50100.0100.0100.0100.0100.0100.0100.0100.0PatientYoruba4896.01428.050100.0 <td< td=""><td>Patients</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Patients				
Tertiary 20 40.0 40.1 Total 50 100.0 100.0 Age group Age interval 50 100.0 Traditional herbal practitioners Bellow 30yrs 2 4.0 31-40 20 40.0 44 1-50 22 44.0 44 51 and above 6 12.0 50 Total 50 100.0 700.0 Patient Age interval 8 6 12.0 Patient Age interval 8 16.0 32.0 48 1-40 16 32.0 48 31-40 16 32.0 48 1-50 8 16.0 51 and above 2 4.0 48 1-50 8 16.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 5					
Total 50 100.0 Age group Age interval 1 Traditional herbal practitioners Bellow 30yrs 2 4.0 31-40 20 40.0 44 51 and above 6 12.0 40 Total 50 100.0 48 Total 50 100.0 44 Fatient Age interval 50 100.0 Patient Age interval 50 100.0 Fotal 50 100.0 51 31-40 16 32.0 Total 50 100.0 51 and above 2 4.0 50 100.0 Ethnic group Tribes Traditional herbal practitioners Yoruba 48 96.0 41 20 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0<					40.0
Age group Traditional herbal practitionersAge intervalTraditional herbal practitionersBellow 30yrs24.0 $31-40$ 2040.041 $31-40$ 2040.044 $51-40$ 2244.044 51 and above612.050PatientAge interval50100.0PatientAge interval816.0Patient1632.041.508 $1-40$ 1632.040.041.50 $1-40$ 1632.040.041.50 $1-40$ 1632.040.051 $1-40$ 1632.040.051 $1-40$ 1632.040.051 $1-40$ 1632.040.051 $1-40$ 1632.040.051 $1-40$ 1632.040.050 $1-40$ 12100.050100.0 $1-40$ 12100.050100.0 $1-40$ 12100.050100.0 $1-40$ 1428.050100 $1-40$ 1428.050100 $2-10$ 1428.050100 $1-20$ 1001428.050 $1-20$ 1001632.050 $1-20$ 1001632.050 $2-10$ 1428.050100 $1-20$ 1632.050100 $1-20$ 16 <td>Tatal</td> <td>i er tiar y</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>40.0</td>	Tatal	i er tiar y			40.0
Traditional herbal practitioners Bellow 30yrs 2 4.0 31-40 20 40.0 41-50 22 44.0 44 51 and above 6 12.0 44 Total 50 100.0 100.0 Patient Age interval 8 16.0 32.0 Patient 41-50 8 16.0 32.0 14-50 8 16.0 50 100.0 Total 50 100.0 100.0 100.0 Cotal 51 and above 2 4.0 48 31-40 16 32.0 41-50 8 16.0 50 100.0		A go interval	50	100.0	
31-40 20 40.0 41-50 22 44.0 44 51 and above 6 12.0 50 100.0 Patient Age interval 50 100.0 48 31-40 16 32.0 41.50 8 16.0 Patient Bellow 30yrs 24 48.0 48 31-40 16 32.0 41.50 8 16.0 Total 50 100.0 51 and above 2 4.0 44 Total 50 100.0 51 and above 2 4.0 44 Total 50 100.0 51 and above 2 4.0 44 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 46 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46	Age group Traditional barbal practitionare		2	4.0	
41-50 22 44.0 44 51 and above 6 12.0 Patient Age interval 50 100.0 Patient Age interval 80 48.0 48 31-40 16 32.0 41.50 8 16.0 Total 50 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total 50 100.0 10	Traditional herbal practitioners				
Total 51 and above 6 12.0 Patient Age interval 50 100.0 Patient Age interval 8 6 32.0 Bellow 30yrs 24 48.0 48 31-40 16 32.0 41-50 A1-50 8 16.0 50 Total 50 100.0 50 Total 50 100.0 50 Ethnic group Tribes 50 100.0 Traditional herbal practitioners Yoruba 48 96.0 Hausa 1 2.0 50 100.0 Patient Yoruba 48 96.0 64 Hausa 1 2.0 50 100.0 Patient Yoruba 32 64.0 64 Hausa 1 2.0 50 100.0 Patient Yoruba 32 64.0 64 Hausa 4 8.0 65 64 Igbo 14 28.0 20 20 Patients <					4.4
Total 50 100.0 Patient Age interval Bellow 30yrs 24 48.0 48 31-40 16 32.0 41-50 8 16.0 51 and above 2 4.0 50 100.0 51 Total 50 100.0 51 50 100.0 51 Total 50 100.0 50 100.0 51 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50					44
Patient Age interval Bellow 30yrs 24 48.0 48 31-40 16 32.0 41-50 8 16.0 51 and above 2 4.0 50 100.0 51 Ethnic group Tribes 50 100.0 51 100.0 51 Traditional herbal practitioners Yoruba 48 96.0 64.0 64 Hausa 1 2.0 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100.0 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 50 100 </td <td>Total</td> <td>ST AILU ADOVE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Total	ST AILU ADOVE			
Bellow 30yrs 24 48.0 48 31-40 16 32.0 41-50 8 16.0 51 and above 2 4.0 Total 50 100.0 Ethnic group Tribes 50 100.0 Traditional herbal practitioners Yoruba 48 96.0 Hausa 1 2.0 1 Igbo 1 2.0 1 Patient Yoruba 48 96.0 Hausa 1 2.0 1 Igbo 1 2.0 1 Patient Yoruba 32 64.0 64 Hausa 4 8.0 64 Igbo 14 28.0 100 Responses on the effects of herbs Responses 50 100 Responses on the effects of herbs Kerponses 50 100 Patients Very effective 34 68.0 68.0 Patients S0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Patient Effective 19 <		Ago intorval	50	100.0	
31-40 16 32.0 41-50 8 16.0 51 and above 2 4.0 Total 50 100.0 Ethnic group Tribes 7 Traditional herbal practitioners Yoruba 48 96.0 Hausa 1 2.0 1 1 Total 50 100.0 1 1 Total 50 100.0 1 1 1 Total 50 100.0 1	Falleni		24	10 0	10
41-50816.051 and above24.050100.0Ethnic groupTribesTraditional herbal practitionersYoruba4896.0Hausa12.0Igbo12.0Total50100.0PatientYoruba3264.0PatientYoruba3264.0Igbo1428.0Igbo1428.0Igbo1428.0Igbo1468.0Responses on the effects of herbsResponsesTraditional herbal practitionersEffective16PatientsVery effective3468.0Patients50100.0PatientEffective1938.0					40
51 and above24.0Total50100.0Ethnic groupTribesTraditional herbal practitionersYoruba4896.0Hausa12.0Igbo12.0Total50100.0PatientYoruba3264.064Hausa48.0100.0PatientYoruba3264.064Responses on the effects of herbsResponses50100Responses on the effects of herbsResponses50100PatientsVery effective1632.068.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.064PatientEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0PatientEffective1938.068.0					
Total50100.0Ethnic groupTribesTraditional herbal practitionersYoruba4896.0Hausa12.0Igbo12.0Total50100.0PatientYoruba3264.064Hausa48.0Igbo1428.0Igbo1428.0Solone50100Responses on the effects of herbsResponsesTraditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.068.068.0PatientsEffective1938.0					
Ethnic groupTribesTraditional herbal practitionersYoruba4896.0Hausa12.0Igbo12.0Total50100.0PatientYoruba3264.064Hausa48.0Igbo1428.05010050100Responses on the effects of herbsResponses50100Traditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.068.068.0PatientEffective1938.068.0	Total				
Traditional herbal practitionersYoruba4896.0Hausa12.0Igbo12.0Total50100.0PatientYoruba3264.064Hausa48.0Igbo1428.05010050100Responses on the effects of herbsResponses700Traditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.068.0PatientsEffective1938.0		Tribes	50	100.0	
Hausa12.0Igbo12.0Total50100.0PatientYoruba3264.064Hausa48.0Igbo1428.05010050100Responses on the effects of herbsResponses50100Traditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.050100.0PatientEffective1938.050			48	96.0	
Igbo12.0Total50100.0PatientYoruba3264.064Hausa48.0Igbo1428.05010050100Responses on the effects of herbsResponses700Traditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.0700PatientEffective1938.0					
Total50100.0PatientYoruba3264.064Hausa48.01428.0Igbo1428.050100Responses on the effects of herbsResponses50100Traditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.050100.0PatientEffective1938.050					
PatientYoruba3264.064Hausa48.0Igbo1428.050100Responses on the effects of herbsResponsesTraditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.068.0PatientEffective1938.0	Total	.9~0			
Hausa48.0Igbo1428.0Igbo1428.050100Responses on the effects of herbsResponsesTraditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.0PatientEffective1938.0		Yoruba			64
Igbo1428.050100Responses on the effects of herbsResponsesTraditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.0PatientEffective1938.0					
50100Responses on the effects of herbsResponsesTraditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.050100.0PatientEffective1938.0					
Responses on the effects of herbsResponsesTraditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.0PatientEffective1938.0		iybo			
Traditional herbal practitionersEffective1632.0PatientsVery effective3468.068.0Total50100.0PatientEffective1938.0	Responses on the effects of herbs	Responses	50	100	
Very effective 34 68.0 68.0 Total 50 100.0 Patient Effective 19 38.0			16	32.0	
Total50100.0PatientEffective1938.0					68.0
Patient Effective 19 38.0					00.0
		Effective			
					62.0
Total 50 100.0	Total				02.0

Table 1: Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents (Traditional herbal
practitioners and Patients) sampled in Abeokuta metropolis,
Ogun State Nigeria.

J. Nat. Sci. Engr. & Tech. 2013,12: 77-89

_

Table 2: Medicinal plants used for the control of ulcer in Abeokuta, Ogun State, Southwest, Nigeria.

Scientific names	Family names	Local names	Common names	Habit	Parts used	(%)Frequency
Aframonium melegueta	Zingiberaceae	Atare	Alligator pepper	Herb	Leaves	3(7.5)
Agerantum conyzoides	Asteraceae	Otili	Goat weed	Herb	leaves	2(5)
Allium sativum	Liliaceae	Ayu	Garlic	Herb	Bulb	4(10)
Aloe vera	Liliaceae	Kumari	Burnt plant	Herb	Whole plant	20(50)
Alstonia boonei	Apocynaceae	Ahun	Stool wood	Tree	Bark	7(17.5)
Anarcadium occidentals	Anarcadaceae	Kaju	Cashew	Tree	Leaves	5(12.5)
Anthocleista nobilis	Gentianaceae	Sapo	Cabbage	Tree	Root, Bark	4(10)
Aspilia Africana	Asteraceae	Yunyun	African marigold	Herb	Leaves	2(5)
Azadirachta indica	Meliaceae	Adongoyaro	Neem	Tree	leaves	19(47.5)
Boerhaavia diffusa	Nyctaginaceae	Teteponla	Hog weed	Flower	Leaves, root	3(7.5)
Brassica oleracea	Brassicaceae	Gbegi	Cabbage	Herb	Leaves	10(25)
Bryophyllum pinnatum	Crassulaceae	Abamoda	Restorection plant	Herb	leaves	2(5)
Caladium bicolor	Aracaceae	Eje- Jesu	Heart of Jesus	Herb	Leaves	2(5)
Carica papaya	Caricaceae	Ibepe	Pawpaw	Herb	Unripe fruit	10(25)
Cassia fistula	Ceasalpinaceae	Aridan tooro	Palm Indian	Tree	Root	2(5)
Cissamplelos mucronata	Menispermaceae	Jokoje	Ivy vine	Shrub	Leaves	5(12.5)
Cochliospermum tinctorium	Cochlospermaceae	Sewuru, Rapo		Shrub	Root	1(2.5)
Crinum glacum	Amaryllidaceae	Isumeri	Spider lily	Herb	Seed	3(7.5)
Curcuma longa	Zingiberaceae	Laali	Turmeric	Shrub	Leaves	6(15)
Cymbopogum citratus	Poaceae	Ewiti	Lemon	Grass	Leaves	9(22.5)
Elaies guineensis Entadrophragmamacrophylla	Arecaceae Maliaceae	Ope Arunje	African oil palm Mahogany	Tree Tree	Seed shaft leaves	4(10) 5(12.5)
Ficus exasperate	Moraceae	Ipin	Sand paper	Tree	leaves	4(10)
Glycyrrhiza glabra Heliotropium indicum	Fabaceae Boraginaceae	Atapaogberi	Licorice Heliotrope	Herbs Herb	Leaves Root	4(10) 2(5)
Irvingia grandifolia	Irvingiantiaceae	obuko Odundun	Bush mango	Tree	Leaves	6(15)
Macrosphyra lonistyla Margaritaria discoidea	Rubiaceae Phllanthaceae	Efun Awe arunkuna	African cascarilla Pheasant-berry	Chalk Tree	Chalk Leaves	1(2.5) 5(12.5)
Musa paradisiaca	Musaceae	Ogede	Plantain	Herbs	Fruits	14(35)
Occimum basillicum	Lamiaceae	Mokomoko	Bail	Herb	Leaves	23(57.5)
Oncoba spinosa	Flacourtiaceae	Panisa	Snuff- box tree	Shrub-	Bark	2(5)
Parquatina nigrascens	Asclepidiaceae	Ogbo	African	tree Climbe r	Leaves	3(7.5)
Persea Americana	Lauraceae	Pia	Avocado pear	Herb	Leaves	7(17.5)
Strophantus hidpidus	Apocynaceae	Sagere	Kombe seed	Tree	Root	4(10)
Syzygium aromaticum	Myrtaceae	Kannafuru	Clove	Tree	Fruit	2(5)
Terminalia macrophylla	Combretaceae	Idigun	Chase miich	Tree	Leaves	2(5)
Treculia Africana	Moraceae	lfon	African box wood	Tree	Root	5(12.5)
Uravia chamae	Annonaceae	Eruju	Finger root	Shrub	Root	5(12.5)
Zea mays	Poaceae	Agbado	Maize	or tree Shrubs	Cub	3(7.5)
Zingiber officinale	Zingeberaceae	Atale	Ginger	Under- ground	Rhizomes	3(7.5)

J. Nat. Sci. Engr. & Tech. 2013, 12: 76-88

Plant life form	Frequency	(%)Frequency	
Trees	14	28	
Shrubs	3	6	
Grasses	1	2	
Flowers	1	2	
Herbs	15	30	
Underground	1	2	
Stem	1	2	
Shrub or tree	2	4	
Climbers	1	2	
Chalk	1	2	
Plant part used		(% freq)	
Leaves	18	45	
Bark	9	22.5	
Fruit	2	5	
Seeds	5	12.5	
Root	2	5	
Rhizome	2	5	
Whole plant	2	5	

Table 3: Habit and part of plants used for ulcer herbal preparation.

Respondents, however, claimed that either plant material is very effective. When the herbal remedies contained two or more plants parts and recipe of seeds, fruit, stem barks were placed at the bottom of the cooking pots followed by the fragile part, mostly the leaves, on the top. It was also claimed that apart from single application of herbal preparations, the plants can still be combined in twos, threes, and fours (Table 4).

The solvents such as water, alcohol, palm wine, palm oil and fermented maize water were used for herbal preparation (Table 4).

Table 5 showed phytochemical content of *Anacardium occidentale, Musa paradisiaca, Azadirachta indica and Macrospyra longistyla.* Results revealed that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the quantity of phytochemical contents of the plant secondary metabolites such as tannins, saponins alkaloid, and flavonoids present in the leaves of *Musa paradisiaca, Azadirachta indica and Macrospyra longistyla* across the board except *Anacardium occidentale* (Table 5). This implied that the plants contained similar amount of phytochemical constituents.

Table 4: Single and combination of plants used for treating ulcer in Abeokuta metropolis.

Botanical Names	Part(s) used	Method of preparation	Source of information
Carica papaya	Unripe fruits	Infusion	РА
Musa paradisiaca	Fruit	Infusion	PA and THP
Aloe vera	Leaves	Decoction	PA
Occimum basillicum	Leaves	Decoction	PA and THP
Agerarum conyzoids	Leaves	Decoction	PA and THP
Macrospyra logistyla	Chalk	Infusion	PH
Astonia boonii	Leaves	Decoction	PH
Persea Americana	Leaves	Decoction	PH
Brassica oleracae	Leaves	Infusion	PH
Curcuma longe	Leaves	Decoction	PH
Zea mays	Fruits	Decoction	PH
Cissampelos mucronata	Leaves	Decoction	PH
Elaies guineensis	Ripe fruits	Decoction	PA and THP
Azadirachta indica Plants used in combination of two.	Leaves	Decoction	PA and THP
Boerhaavia diffusa, Bryophylum pinnatum	Leaves	Decoction	THP
Boerhaavia diffusa, Irvingia grandifolia.	Leaves	Decoction	PA and THP
Cassia fistula, Anthocleista nobilis	Root and barks	Decoction	THP
Oncobia spinosa Cochispermum tinctorium Plants used in combination of three.	Barks and roots	Decoction	PA and THP
Margaritaria discoidea, Zingiber officinale, Cochlospermu tintorium	Root bulbs leaves	Decoction	PA and THP
Crinum glacum Heliotropium indicum Syzygium aromaticum	Seeds Roots Fruit	Decoction	PA
Plants used in combination of four. Zingiber officinale Margaritaria discoidea, Crinum glacum, Oncobia spinosa	Bulbs Seeds Bark or root	Decoction	PA and THP
Macrophylla longistyla Heliotropium indicum, Uravia chamae, Cochlospermu tintorium	Chalks Roots Roots Root	Decoction	PA and THP
Anthocleista nobilis, Cassia fistula Strophantus hispidus Treculia africana	Barks Roots	Decoction	PA and THP

PA = Patients, THP= Traditional Herbal Practitioners.

Plants/parts	Phytochemicals (mg/g)			
	Tannins	Saponins	Alkaloids	Flavonoids
Anacardium occidentale	11.53±8.16a	3.16±0.01a	2.86±0.01a	1.68±0.01a
Musa para- sidiaca,	4.12±0.01c	$5.33 \pm 0.01 d$	3.86±0.01b	2.41±0.01a
Azadirachta indica	1.72±0.01c	$1.86 \pm .0.01d$	1.68±.0.01b	1.23±.0.01a
Macrospyra Iongistyla	3.38±0.02c	3.62±0.01d	3.14±0.01b	2.66±0.03a

 Table 5: Mean value of phytochemical contents of Anacardium occidentale, Musa parasidiaca, Azadirachta indica and Macrospyra longistyla leaves.

Means followed by the same letters on the same columns are not significantly different according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test at 5% probability.

DISCUSSION

The respondents (THP and patients) were characterized by males and females. The highest percentage of respondents was made up of male respondents. This suggests that males were more vulnerable to ulcer than females. 78% of the THP had formal education. This is an indication that unlike in the olden days, herbal practitioners are now educated (Jouad et al., 2001). This gave them the necessary skills to document their observations and new findings. Also, majority of patients were literates. This implied that despite the challenges facing herbal medication in the area of dosage and standardization, elites are also taking herbal products not only to treat their ulcer ailments but also to keep their body fit (Kadiri, 2008).

The observation that majority of the THPs were recorded at age 41-50 is an indication that unlike those days when herbal practice was very common among aged people, this study now revealed that young men and women are now practicing traditional medicine. The consumption of herbs according

to this study for treatment of ulcer is very high among respondents who were less than 30 years. This may suggest that herbal medication is gaining significant therapeutic effect even among younger generation as its usage responses by THP on ulcer treatment reflected effective actions. The study also revealed that ulcer is more rampant among Yorubas than Hausa and Igbo living in the study area.

The survey identified a total of 40 plants belonging to 30 families out of which Zingiberaceae, Apocynaceae, Moraceae, Asteraceae, Liliaceae, Milliacaeae, Arecaceae, and Poaceae were the most frequent families. The most frequently mentioned plants were Occimum basillicum (57.5%), M. paradisiaca (55%), Aloe vera (50%), Azadirachta indica (47.5%), Brassica oleracae (25%) and C. papaya (25%). Records from this study revealed that the largest population of plants was herbs, (30%), followed by trees (28%), Shrubs or trees (4%) while least plants were grasses, flowers, underground, climbers and chalk represented by 1% each. According to

J. Nat. Sci. Engr. & Tech. 2013, 12: 76-88

the respondents, combination of different plants and parts in the preparation of antiulcer herbal remedy is common among the respondents as it is believed that some plants catalyze the actions of others (Idowu *et al.*, 2010).

The use of either freshly collected herbal recipe or preserved (dry) plant parts seemed not to make any difference in its perceived efficacy to the respondents. This was confirmed in the study as respondents showed no preference of one over the other.

However, various studies have indicated that there were differences in the quantitative and qualitative secondary plant metabolites composition of fresh and dry plant materials (Marius and Kazhila, 2012). Consequently, dry plant materials might not be as potent as freshly collected herbs. Pharmacological laboratory investigations have also employed the drying of plants parts during the preparation of plant extracts. In the preparation of herbal medicines, the use of clay pot in herbal preparation has not been proven scientifically, but some respondents opined preference to the use of clay pots because it has been in use before the advent of modern technology and is more economical.

The usage of herbs, according to the respondents, varies from raw consumption to infusion, decoction and concoction with no side effects, problems or complaints in the usage. Preference was given to the arrangement of plants parts in cooking pots although no investigation has been carried out on this in relation to the plant. However, respondents claimed that plant parts are soaked better when hard parts of plant such as stems and barks are placed first before soft parts especially when boiling is to

be employed. In preparation of herb recipes for ulcer therapy, single plants can be used or in combination of more than one plant (Khan et al., 2012). The active principles of these plants were often extracted through infusion, decoction and tincture (Taylor, 2004). Lingaiah and Nagaraja, 2013). However, components of different herbal recipes in this study differ along lineage, class, cultural group, occupation status, and age group suggesting the pluralistic and diverse nature of traditional medicine (Singha, 1998). However, the interest of people in Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria encountered in the course of this study in giving at least herbal prescription for ulcer treatment showed prevalence of the disease.

Majority of these plants when prepared are very bitter in taste. It is believed that each active principle of components parts making up the recipe complement one another in the cure of symptoms of ulcer (Anant, and Java, 2013). Preference for solvent of herbal remedy is because of the belief that some solvents are more efficient than others and depending on the plant parts. The respondents affirmed that aqueous extract from fermented maize and water was more preferred to palm oil, palm wine and alcohol, usually prepared by boiling. From this study, it was also observed that the dose of the herbal remedy used is dependent on disappearance of symptoms of ulcer. Most respondents believe that herbal remedies can be consumed as much as possible. Several studies have shown that saponins, flavonoids and other plant metabolites possess anti-ulcer, hypotensive, anti-inflammatory and other pharmacological properties (Akah and Okafor, 1992; Aqib and Mohib. 2014; Pukraj, and Tabassumyaseen 2013). Also, Olajide et al (1999) and Asuzu and Chineme (1990), independently reported Morinda lucida leaves to

contain high concentrations of flavonoids, alkaloids, tannins and saponin.) used in the treatment diseases.

However, observation of appreciable quantity of tannins, tannins, saponins alkaloids, and flavonoids in the leaves of *Musa paradisiaca, Azadirachta indica and Macrospyra longistyla* may be responsible for acclaimed therapeutic effect of these plants. This study indicated that the ecosystem of Abeokuta communities is still richly blessed despite the effect of human influence with indigenous medicinal plants that can be exploited for immediate use and for the development of antiulcer drugs.

CONCLUSION

This study has attempted to highlight medicinal plants claimed to be used or associated with ulcer therapy in the indigenous Yoruba community as suggested by individuals in Abeokuta, Southwest, Nigeria. These mentioned plants may probably contain yet undiscovered anti- ulcer properties, which can serve as a reason for a multidisciplinary approach to develop potentially effective drugs while noting dangerous drugs and practices that should be ignored. Based on this study, it is strongly recommended that more work should be done on the listed plants to quantify their phytochemical constituents.

REFERENCES

Akah, P.A., **Okafor, C.L**. 1992. Blood sugar lowering effect of *Vernonia amygdalina* in an experimental rabbits model. *Phytotherapy Research* **6**: 171-173.

Akinlami, O. O., Obijole, O. A. Oloyede, H. O. 2014. Ethno botanical Survey of Anti-Ulcer Plants in South Western Nigeria. *International Journal of Pharma* *Sciences* 4, (1) : 431-433 **Anant, G. S** and **Jaya, P. H**. 2013. Traditional Phytotherapy Of Some Medicinal Plants Used By Tharu And Magar Communities of Western Nepal, Against Dermatological Disorders. *Scientific World*, 11(11): 81-89.

Aqib, S., Mohib, S. 2014. Phytochemistry, pharmacological and traditional uses of *Da*tura stramonium L. review. *Journal of Pharmacog*nosy and Phytochemistry 2 (5): 123-125

Asuzu , I.U., **Chineme, C.N**. (1990). Effect of *Morinda lucida* on Trypanosoma brucei brucei infection in mice *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 30(3): 307-312.

Awoyemi, O. K., Ewa, E. E., Abdulkarim, I. A., Aduloju, A. R., 2012. Ethnobotanical assessment of herbal plants in southwestern Nigeria, *Academic Research International* 2 (3)

Dominic, R and **Ramanujam, S.N.** 2012. Traditional knowledge and ethnobotanical uses of piscidal plant of Nagaland, North East India. *Indian Journal of Natural Product and Resources* 3(4): 582-588.

Durmuskahya, C. Öztürk, M. 2013. Ethnobotanical Survey of Medicinal Plants Used for the Treatment of Diabetes in Manisa, Turkey. *Sains Malaysiana* 42(10): 1431–1438

Idowu, O.A., Soniran, O.T., Ajana, O., Aworinde, D.O. (2009). Ethnobotanical survey of antimalarial plants used in Ogun State, Southwest Nigeria. *African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 4(2) 055-060.

Jouad., Rhiouani, H., EL-Hilaly, J. Eddouk, M. 2001. Ethnobotanical survey of medicinal plants used for the treatment of

J. Nat. Sci. Engr. & Tech. 2013, 12: 76-88

diabetes , cardiac and renal disease in north center of Morocco (Fez-Boulenmane). *Journal Ethnopharmacology* 77: 175-182

Jitin, R. 2013. Ethnobotanical Study Of Medicinal Shrubs Used By People In Lakhmanpura Region Of Bundelkhand, Uttar Pradesh, India, *International Journal of Science and Nature* 4(2) : 362-364

Kadiri, A.B. (2008). Evaluation of medicinal trade (Paraga) in Lagos state of Nigeria. *Ethnobotanical leaflets*. 12: 677-681.

Khan, M.A., Ajab, K.M., Mujtaba ,G and Hussain, M. 2012. Ethnobotanical Study About Medicinal Plants of Poonch Valley Azad Kashmir. *The Journal of Animal* & *Plant Sciences*, 22(2): 493-500

Lingaiah, M and Nagaraja, R. P. 2013. An Ethnobotanical Survey Of Medicinal Plants Used By Traditional Healers Of Adilabad District, Andhra Pradesh, India An International Quarterly Journal Of Biology & Life Sciences. An International Quarterly Journal of Biology & Life Sciences 1(1):17-23.

Mahbubur Rahman, A. H. M. 2013. An ethnobotanical investigation on Asteraceae family at Rajshahi, Bangladesh. *Academia Journal of Medicinal Plants* 1(5): 092-100.

Mathews, H., Lucier, G., Fisher, K. 1999. Medicinal plants in United State: Research needs. Environmental health prospect. Pg.107., 773-778

Marius, H and Kazhila, C. C. 2012. Ethnomedicinal study of plants used to manage HIV/AIDS-related disease conditions in the Ohangwena region, Namibia.

International Journal of Medicinal Plant Research 1 (1): 004-011

Olajide, O.A., Awe S.O., Makinde J.M. and **Morebise O**. 1999. Evaluation of antidiabetic property of *Morinda lucida* leaves in streptozotocin-diabetic rats. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology* 51(11): 1321-1324.

Pukraj, H.A. B, Tabassumyaseen 2013. Studies of the medicinal plants uses of Tehsil Katlang district Mardan KPK Pakistan. *Wudpecker Journal of Medicinal Plants* 2(4): 085 - 093

Schipprnann, U. Leaman, D.J, Cunningham, A.B. 2002. Impact of cultivation and gathering of medicinal plants on Biodiversity: FAO. Biodiversity and the Ecosystem Approach in Agriculture, Forest and Fisheries. Satellite event on the occasion of the Ninth Regular Session of the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture.

Sharma, P.P., Mujundar, A.M. 2003. Traditional knowledge on plants from Toranmal Plateau of Maharastra, *Indian J. Trad. Knowledge*, 2: 292- 296.

Sheldon, J.W., Balick, M.J., Laird, S.A. 1997. Medicinal plants: can utilization and conservation coexist? *Advances in Economic Botany. Econ. Bot.*, 12: 1-104.

Singha, S.C. 1998. Medicinal plant in Nigeria. Published by Nigeria National press Ltd. Apapa. Lagos, Nigeria.

Taylor, L. 2004. The healing power of rainforest herbs Square one publishers, Inc.

(Manuscript received: 20th September, 2013; accepted: 3rd April, 2014).

J. Nat. Sci. Engr. & Tech. 2013, 12: 76-88