

Editors: Jaime Almansa Sánchez and Elena Papagiannopoulou



www.arqueologíapublica.es

Online Journal in Public Archaeology

AP: Online Journal in Public Archaeology is edited by JAS Arqueología S.L.U.

INDEX

Editorial 1

Jaime Almansa Sánchez and Elena Papagiannopoulou

Mutual Education. Towards a model of educational co-creation around the archaeological heritage of Mexico

Jaime Delgado Rubio

Communicating Cultural Heritage Resources to the Public: Experiences from the Makonde of Mtwara Region, Tanzania

Festo Wachawaseme Gabriel

Reflecting on evaluation in public archaeology

Kate Ellenberger and Lorna-Jane Richardson

Points of You: Historical graffiti and pop culture: A public archaeology perspective

Alberto Polo Romero and Diana Morales Manzanares

Review

Antipatrimonio

Nekbet Corpas Cívicos

Review

Archaeogaming

Daniel García Raso

Review

Yacimiento pixel

Jaime Almansa-Sánchez

REVIEWS



Nekbet CORPAS CÍVICOS

El Antipatrimonio. Fetichismo y dominación en Maragatería

[by Pablo Alonso González]

CSIC, 2017 ISBN: 978-84-00-10235-7 326 pages

Cultural Heritage has died— or at least this book argues that it has. It is part of a quite recent trend towards ethnographically exploring the effects of cultural heritage designation (e.g. Bendix et al. 2012; Brumann and Berliner 2016). Yet it tries to set itself apart by marking the beginning of critical studies *against* cultural heritage rather than *for*.

Throughout the thirteen chapters of this book, the author aims to delve into the emergence of heritage and its effects. This task is carried out by focusing on Maragateria, a mostly rural region situated on the north-western part of the Iberian Peninsula. This region was particularly selected as the initiatives around the development and rise of cultural heritage seem to be still in their infancy. It is noteworthy that the different case studies encountered in Maragateria are not officially designated as cultural heritage, but rather "could be considered" (p. 12) as such. Additionally, the author exhibits an impressive knowledge on this area gathered for over five years of ethnographic work on the ground.

The first two chapters of this book are key to understanding the rich analytical framework of the book. The remaining chapters can be read almost independently as the overall book is affected by a lack of unifying structure. In addition to this, although the style adopted by the author (an ethnographic one) responds to a desire to give a situated description of his vast knowledge on the social relationships in Maragateria, it contributes to a feeling of constant repetition of several issues rather than advancement of the discourse.

One of the book's main ideas is the need for developing a critique of the category of cultural heritage. According to the author, until now critical heritage studies would have focused on the relationships between different agents and cultural heritage itself. This critical standpoint is what the author terms as the phenomenological critique. It "presupposes that non-official heritage is part of legitimate fights for recognition by subalterns [in this case, the original inhabitants of Maragatería] and that achieving such recognition is something positive" (p. 26). In other words, it accepts that heritage is something positive and the problem rests on who is controlling the process of heritagisation and heritage representation. In fact, for the author, this recognition entails that subalterns become incorporated into a fetishist and individualistic system of relationships— a typical system of capitalism. As such, the question is no longer who is represented by heritage? (phenomenological critique). But what are the foundations needed for cultural heritage to emerge? (category's critique).

For Alonso, both analytical approaches are required, hence the double title of the book: fetishism (category) and domination (phenomenological critique). Heritage emerges out of typical capitalist relationships and its emergence thus signals that a given social group/individuals disassociate a series of elements from their production and socialization contexts (p. 58). Particularly, this dissociation allows heritage to be appropriated and mobilised for the domination of different groups, representation fights and its commercialisation.

Looking for more participatory or empowering heritage management is no longer the way forward, according to the author. Since heritage is the result of fetishist relationships, the benefits resulting from managing it cannot be distributed in order to pursue social justice and maintain social relationships. In the

end, a fairer distribution of benefits just entails the continuous expansion of the same sort of fetishist relationships: "failure in questioning the fundamental categories of capitalism and merely proposing a different redistribution of benefits just promoted a system of fetishist relationships to which heritage belongs" (p.70) Consequently, heritage should not be improved but rather erased.

Chapter three deals with the essentialisation of the identity of maragatos (inhabitants of Maragateria). This identity is commercialised while maragatos become subalterns. This position results from the workings of what Alonso defines as the "heritage machine": a government device that reorganizes social relationships around heritage. It connects "material qualities and discourses, enabling the emergence of new meshworks that produce representations and subjectivities"(p.45). Pursuing more faithful representations of rural maragatos just strips maragatos of the products of their work and dismisses their lifestyles. Chapters four to six could be grouped together as they reflect on how the emergence of heritage allows disassociating the past from their wider socioeconomic contexts. Chapter five also shows this dissociation by focussing on several local celebrations and the local traditional organizations responsible for them. Finally, chapter six explores the fights around Mount Teleno, as some groups want it to be designated as a cultural heritage site while the army and other groups want it to be a natural heritage site which would keep the population out of it and maintain it as a military zone.

Chapters seven to twelve depict the different ways of socialization between communities and potential heritage elements particularly well. Yet, as already mentioned, all these issues are also addressed in the first group of chapters so these chapters simply reiterate and further exemplify the author's points without adding any new layers to the discussion. Chapter seven focuses on pseudoarchaeology and amateur archaeologists and the role of the latter in mediating between archaeologists and non-archaeologists. The Way of St. James is the focus of Chapter eight, the only example of officially designated cultural heritage in the book. Surrounding the Camino there are different groups understanding it quite differently: from a market logic to other groups pursuing a sense of community aside from these interests. Chapter nine also tackles

the issue of fetishism, as it describes a process of essentialisation of the rural life by former city dwellers while rural communities are dismissed as archaic. There is, in fact, a process of rural gentrification—an under-researched topic. Chapter eleven similarly reflects on the arrival by former city dwellers to rural life in order to talk about 'anti-heritage' types of relationships: people socialised in capitalist ways of relationships move to the countryside to feel part of a community. In particular, this chapter focuses on the Rainbow groups (part of the hippie movement) who try to escape from capitalist modes of relationship. Yet, the impossibility of this task is stated since these capitalist modes are included "within the psyche of the modern Western individual" (p. 258). Ultimately, this chapter suggests the impossibility to build communities through abstract ideas such as heritage.

Generally speaking, this book is an interesting reflection on the origins of cultural heritage and its effects as it tries to overcome the sound dichotomy created around cultural heritage studies: depicting all heritage as involving the 'good' (communities) and the 'bad' (institutions). Yet, it would have benefited from a clearer structure, thus helping the reader to grasp the impressive analytical framework in use. On a different note, to accept the idea that heritage, as an endeavour, must be stopped for the communities' own sake seem too categorical. In fact, as the author recognises: "the purpose of the analysis of category is not to 'protect heritage' or to 'expand the limits of what can be heritagised', but rather to problematise it in particular contexts and to highlight its relationship with fetishist sorts of relationships" (p. 290). One more time, "the role of the researcher must not be naïve: it is not about halting unstoppable heritagisation processes but to show what these processes entail and the actors controlling it" (Ibid). If, as the author himself recognises, heritagisation processes are unstoppable, is it enough for researchers to just spotlight these problems? Does heritage always fetishise relationships? Does giving abandoned historical buildings a second life (as cultural centres, offices, etc.) also entail dissociating the building from existing social relationships? Doing so may gloss over the past human relationships (phenomenological critique), but isn't it also creating new relationships? Controversy is served.

References

- Bendix, R. F.; Eggert, A. and Peselmann, A. 2012. *Heritage Regimes and the State.* Göttingen: Universitätsverlag Göttingen.
- Brumann, C. and Berliner, D. 2016. (ed) *World heritage on the ground. Ethnographic Perspectives.* New York: Berghahn Books.

BLOG REVIEWS WITHIN VOL 8

García Raso, D. Playing Prehistory with Far Cry Primal – 7 October

You can read them at:

http://arqueologiapublica.blogspot.com/ - Reviews

HOW TO CONTRIBUTE

AP: Online Journal in Public Archaeology welcomes original contributions that match the aims of the journal and have not been previously published anywhere else, or are not on the way to be published elsewhere. Being online and free can be understood as a sign of quality degradation, but this is not a barrier. It is just a way to adapt from the very first moment to the new panorama in research, where the Internet is the main vehicle for transmission, and more specifically in our field, where the prices of journals are unaffordable for any researcher that is not linked to a research institution. We try to give the widest publicity to the journal, to make it Public.

Having made this clear, the papers can be sent in different ways:

-Mail:

AP: Online Journal in Public Archaeology JAS Arqueología S.L. Plaza de Mondariz, 6 28029 – Madrid (Spain)

-Email:

jasarqueologia@gmail.com

-Filemail.com:

Filemail.com (www.filemail.com) is a free tool to send large files that exceed the upload limit of a normal email account (Gmail, for example, permits up to 25 Mb). It is a useful way of sending, for example, large images. In this case, please contact us via email first in case we are not able to get them immediately (the link expires in three days).

Of course, any other similar application can be also accepted, like Wetransfer or Dropbox.

STYLE

Length:

- Full articles We will only accept papers of less than 10.000 words (including notes and references) with a maximum of 10 figures (tables are counted as text).
- Work reports We will only accept papers of less than 5.000 words (including notes and references) with a maximum of 5 figures (tables are counted as text).
- Reviews We will only accept papers of less than 2.000 words (including notes and references) with 1 figure, that in case of book reviews will be the cover. In other events (conferences, film festivals...), the figure must clearly reflect the event.

Presentation:

To follow the indications of Public Archaeology (www.maney.co.uk/journals/pua), and aiming to standardize the procedures from our side, all material should follow the MHRA Style Guide, which can be freely downloaded from:

http://www.mhra.org.uk/Publications/Books/StyleGuide/index.html

Figures:

The quality of figures should be good enough to be clear in a PDF file. There will not be any weird rule for the submission of the files. Just submit the figures in any readable format (able to be edited in Adobe Photoshop ®). Every camera, software of scanner can make good quality images, so just submit originals. If any figure is subject to copyright it will be essential to attach a written permission from the holder of the rights. To avoid any inconvenience, we encourage the publication of self-owned images. In any case, the author will be responsible for any violation of copyright issues.

Notes and references:

It is preferable to avoid footnotes in the text, just quote or explain in brackets.

For references use Harvard style (Author 2010: 322) followed by a final bibliography. For example: 'according to Author (2010: 123) Public Archaeology can be...' or 'it has been pointed out (Author 2010: 13) that...' etc.

Multiple citations should be in alphabetical order and separated by a semi-colon, (Author et al., 1990; Creator and Author 2003; Producer 1982).

Where an author has several publications from the same year, distinguish them with 'lower-case' letters (Author 2010a, 2010b). Do not use ibid.

In the final bibliography follow the system below:

Thesis

Castillo Mena, A. 2003. *La Gestión del Patrimonio Arqueológico en la Comunidad de Madrid*. Unpublished PhD thesis, Universidad Complutense de Madrid.

Journal article

Matsuda, A. 2004. The concept of "the Public" and the aims of Public Archaeology. *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology* 15, 66-76.

Book

Demoule, J. P. 2007. L'archéologie préventive dans le monde. Apports de l'archéologie preventive a la connaisance du passé. Paris, La Décuverte.

Edited book

Durbin, G. (ed.) 1996. *Developing Museum Exhibitions for Livelong Learning*. London, GEM.

Section in book

McEwan, C., Silva, M. I. and Hudson, Ch. 2006. Using the past to forge the future: the genesis of the community site museum at Aguablanca, Ecuador. In H. Silverman (ed.), *Archaeological site museums in Latin America*. Gainesville, University of Florida Press, 187-216.

Internet reference

United Nations 1992, *Agenda 21*. Retrieved on 29 January 2010 from WWW [http://www.un.org/esa/dsd/agenda21/res_agenda21_00.shtml]

(As it is an online publication, all the quotes referring to an Internet address should be active links).

In the case of any other kind of reference not mentioned here, please contact the editor.

Once the article has been received:

The process for the acceptance of papers will be easy and fast. Once the article has reached the editor, the decision will be taken in less than 48 hours. Papers rejected from the editor will not be considered again unless they undertake major changes. Correspondence will not be continued for those papers. If the paper is pre-accepted by the editor, it will be peer-reviewed by two different experts in the common blind process. After that, the author will be given feedback and advice in order to go over the article, which will be corrected again to check if it meets the requirements of the reviewers. Once this process has finished, the article will be edited as it will appear on the journal and returned to the author for a final check (only spelling mistakes or other details, not changes on the text). The commitment of the journal is to be able to complete the whole process in less than two months.

Work reports and reviews will not need to pass the peerreview process, but will be commented by the editor.

We will be publishing one volume per year (first trimester) and although we are willing to receive papers the whole year, full articles for next-year's volume should be sent before October in order to complete the process with time.

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the editor at: jasarqueologia@gmail.com

LIST OF DONORS

We want to thank all the people that is helping to maintain this journal. Especially those that chose to use one of the procedures in the donations page. Every little help is welcome to continue with this project, and we expect to increase this list year by year with your help.

Thank you very much:

Vicky Papagiannopoulou
Giannis Papagiannopoulos
Alipio Sánchez
Mª Ángeles Sánchez
José Mª Almansa
Mayca Rojo
Gaëlle Cerruti
Carlos Tejerizo
Jacob Hilton
Patrice L. Jeppson
Gemma Cardona
Ioannis Poulios
Howard Williams
Klaudia Karpinska

AP: Online Journal in Public Archaeology

Editors:

Jaime Almansa Sánchez & Elena Papagiannopoulou

Email: almansasanchez@gmail.com

Assistant editors:

Amanda Erickson Harvey

Reviews editor: Alexandra Ion

Assistant production editor: Alejandra Galmés Alba

Edited by:

JAS Arqueología S.L.U.

Website: www.jasarqueologia.es Email: jasarqueologia@gmail.com

Address: Plaza de Mondariz, 6, 28029 - Madrid (Spain)

--

Cover Image: Cacaclismo (Jaime Delgado)

Copyleft 2018 JAS Arqueología S.L.U. (edition) & Authors (content)

ISSN: 2171-6315

AP Journal is a peer-reviewed journal devoted exclusively to Public Archaeology. It is freely distributed online on the Website:

www.arqueologiapublica.es

You can also follow us on:

Blogger:



http://arqueologiapublica.blogspot.com/

Twitter:



http://twitter.com/APjournal

Facebook:



http://www.facebook.com/APJournal