

**Basic Research in Computer Science** 

# **Bootstrapping the Primitive Recursive Functions by 47 Colors**

Søren Riis

**BRICS Report Series** 

**RS-94-25** 

ISSN 0909-0878

August 1994

Copyright © 1994, BRICS, Department of Computer Science University of Aarhus. All rights reserved.

> Reproduction of all or part of this work is permitted for educational or research use on condition that this copyright notice is included in any copy.

See back inner page for a list of recent publications in the BRICS Report Series. Copies may be obtained by contacting:

> BRICS Department of Computer Science University of Aarhus Ny Munkegade, building 540 DK - 8000 Aarhus C Denmark Telephone: +45 8942 3360 Telefax: +45 8942 3255 Internet: BRICS@daimi.aau.dk

## Bootstrapping the Primitive Recursive Functions by 47 Colours

Søren Riis \* BRICS $^{\dagger}$ 

June 1994

#### Abstract

I construct a concrete colouring of the 3 element subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ . It has the property that each homogeneous set  $\{s_0, s_1, s_2..., s_r\}, r \geq s_0 - 1$  has its elements spread so much apart that  $F_{\omega}(s_i) < s_{i+1}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., r - 1. It uses only 47 colours. This is more economical than the approximately 160000 colours used in [1].

#### **1** Introduction and preliminaries

In the famous paper [2] L.Harrington and J.Paris showed that a certain finitary version **PH** of Ramseys Theorem is true, but unprovable in the celebrated system of Peanos Arithmetic. This is an example of Gödels incompleteness theorem. However, unlike Gödels consistency statement **PH** has generally been accepted to be a natural statement from Arithmetic. In

<sup>\*</sup>This work was initiated at Oxford University England

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Basic Research in Computer Science, Centre of the Danish National Research Foundation.

[1] Ketonen and Solovay gave a careful analysis of the underlying growth-rate of **PH**. As a first step in this analysis it was shown that for each increasing primitive recursive function f there exists n and a colouring of the 3 element subsets of  $\{n, n + 1, n + 2, ..., f(n)\}$  such that there are no homogeneous sets  $\{s_0, s_1, s_2, ..., s_r\}$  with  $r \ge s_0 - 1$ . The real point is that the number of colours can always be chosen to be less than a number fixed in advance. Ketonen and Solovay defined various algebras and took a series of products, in order to obtain the required colouring. An examination of their proof shows that they used approximately 160000 colours. However they clearly did not try to be economical. Actually in the work of Ketonen and Solovay the important point is that the number is finite. In this paper I construct a concrete colouring which uses only 47 colours.

Recall that the first functions in the Wainer hierarchy [3] are defined by  $F_0(n) := n + 1$ ,  $F_k^1(n) := F_k(n)$ ,  $F_k^{m+1}(x) := F_k^m(F_k(n))$ ,  $F_{k+1}(n) := F_k^n(n)$ ,  $F_{\omega}(n) := F_n(n)$ . The function  $F_{\omega}$  is the first function in this hierarchy which growth faster than each primitive recursive function.

Let  $S^{[k]}$  denote the collection of k element subsets of S. We use the convention that the elements in displayed in sets  $S = \{s_0, s_1, ..., s_r\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  are listed after size (i.e.  $s_0 < s_1 < ..., s_r$ ). Let  $g : \mathbb{N}^{[k]} \to C$ . We say that  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is homogeneous (for g) if  $u \ge k+1$  and g takes a constant value on  $S^{[k]}$ . The elements in C are called *colours*. If  $g_1 : \mathbb{N}^{[k]} \to C_1, g_2 : \mathbb{N}^{[k]} \to C_2, ..., g_u : \mathbb{N}^{[k]} \to C_u$  we define the *product colouring*  $g := g_1 \times g_2 \times ... \times g_r$  as the product map  $g : \mathbb{N}^{[k]} \to C_1 \times C_2 \times ... \times C_u$ . Notice that S is homogeneous for g if and only if S is homogeneous for all the maps  $g_1, ..., g_u$ .

### 2 Definition of the colouring

Let j(x, y) be the smallest j such that  $y \leq F_j(x)$ . Consider the following 7 open propositions:

 $\psi_1(\{x_0, x_1\}) := x_1 \le F_{\omega}(x_0)$ 

$$\begin{split} \psi_2(\{x_0, x_1\}) &:= j(x_0, x_1) > x_0 \\ \psi_3(\{x_0, x_1\}) &:= j(x_0, x_1) \ge \lfloor \frac{x_0}{2} \rfloor \\ \psi_4(\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}) &:= j(x_0, x_1) \ne j(x_0, x_2) \\ \psi_5(\{x_0, x_1\}) &:= x_1 < F_{j-1}^{x_0-1}(x_0) \text{ where } j := j(x_0, x_1). \\ \psi_6(\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}) &:= j(x_0, x_1) > j(x_1, x_2) \\ \psi_7(\{x_0, x_1\}) &:= j(x_0, x_1) \ge 2. \end{split}$$

Now we define 7 auxiliary colourings  $h_1, h_2, ..., h_7$  as follows. The colouring  $h_i : \mathbb{N}^{[2]} \to \{0, 1\}; i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 7$  takes the value 1 exactly when  $\psi_i$  holds. The colouring  $h_j : \mathbb{N}^{[3]} \to \{0, 1\}; j = 4, 6$  takes the value 1 exactly when  $\psi_j$  holds.

**Lemma:** Suppose that  $S = \{s_0, s_1, ..., s_r\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  contains at least  $s_0$  elements,  $s_0 \geq 5$  and S is homogeneous for the colourings  $h_1, h_2, ..., h_7$ . Then  $F_{\omega}(s_i) < s_{i+1}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., r - 1.

#### **Proof**:

(1) If  $h_1 \equiv 0$  on  $S^{[2]}$  then  $F_{\omega}(s_i) < s_{i+1}$  for i = 0, 1, 2, ..., r - 1. This is what we want to show.

(2) So assume that  $h_1 \equiv 1$  on  $S^{[2]}$ . According to the definition  $F_{\omega}(x) := F_x(x)$ . So  $s_{i+1} \leq F_{\omega}(s_i) = F_{s_i}(s_i), i = 0, 1, 2, ..., r - 1$ .

(3) For i = 0 this gives  $s_1 \leq F_{s_0}(s_0)$ .

(4) According to the definition  $j(s_0, s_1) \leq s_0$ .

(5) This shows that  $h_2 \equiv 0$  on  $S^{[2]}$ .

In particular  $j(s_0, s_1), j(s_0, s_2), ..., j(s_0, s_r) \le s_0$ .

(6) Now whether  $h_3 \equiv 0$  or  $h_3 \equiv 1$  on  $S^{[2]}$  by (5) we know that

 $j(s_0, s_1), j(s_0, s_2), \dots, j(s_0, s_r)$  takes at most  $\lfloor \frac{s_0}{2} \rfloor + 1$  different values.

(7) Now  $h_4 \equiv 0$  on  $S^{[3]}$ , because otherwise  $j(s_0, s_1), j(s_0, s_2), \dots, j(s_0, s_r)$ would all take different values. This is impossible because  $r \ge s_0 - 1 > \lfloor \frac{s_0}{2} \rfloor + 1$ and  $s_0 \ge 5$ .

(8) But if  $h_4 \equiv 0$  on  $S^{[3]}$ , then  $j(s_0, s_1) = j(s_0, s_2) = \dots = j(s_0, s_r)$ . Let  $j_0$  denote this value.

The value  $j_0$  cannot be 0, because then according to the definition of (9) $j(s_0, s_r)$  we would have  $s_0 + 4 \le s_r \le F_0(s_0) = s_0 + 1$ .

(10) According to (9)  $j_0 > 0$ . By the definition of  $j_0$  we have  $F_{j_0-1}(s_0) < 0$  $s_i \leq F_{j_0}(s_0)$  when i = 0, 1, ..., r.

Now  $h_6$  cannot take the value 1 on  $S^{[3]}$ . To see this suppose that (11) $h_6 \equiv 1$  on  $S^{[3]}$ . Then  $s_0 \geq j(s_0, s_1) > j(s_1, s_2) > \dots > j(s_{r-1}, s_r)$  and especially  $j(s_0, s_1) > 2$ . Then by the definition of  $h_7$  this would have the consequence that  $j(s_{r-1}, s_r) > 2$ . But this is a contradiction because:  $j(s_0, s_1) \ge j(s_{r-1}, s_r) + r - 1$ , so  $j(s_0, s_1) \ge r + 1 > s_0 \ge j(s_0, s_1)$ .

(12) So  $h_6 \equiv 0$  on  $S^{[3]}$ . In particular  $j_0 = j(s_0, s_1) \leq j(s_1, s_2) \leq \dots \leq j(s_1, s_2)$  $j(s_{r-1}, s_r).$ 

According to (12)  $F_{j_0-1}(s_i) \leq F_{j(s_i,s_{i+1})}(s_i)$ . The definition of the (13)function j shows that  $F_{j(s_i,s_{i+1})-1}(s_i) < s_{i+1}$ .

Combining this shows that  $F_{j_0-1}(s_i) < s_{i+1}$ .

According to (13)  $s_r > F_{j_0-1}(s_{r-1}) > F_{j_0-1}(F_{j_0-1}(s_{r-2})) > \dots >$ (14) $F_{j_0-1}^{(r)}(s_0).$ 

(15) Now  $r \ge s_0 - 1$  so by (14)  $s_r > F_{j_0-1}^{(s_0-1)}(s_0)$  so  $h_5(\{s_0, s_r\}) = 0$ . (16) So  $h_5 \equiv 0$  on  $S^{[2]}$ , and then  $s_{i+1} > F_{j(s_i, s_{i+1})}^{(s_i-1)}(s_i), \ i = 0, 1, 2, ..., r - 1$ .

(17)Now  $s_{i-1} \geq s_0 + 1$  so according to (12)  $j(s_i, s_{i+1}) \geq j_0$ , and thus  $F_{j(s_i,s_{i+1})-1}^{(s_i-1)}(s_i) \ge F_{j_0-1}^{(s_0-1)}(s_i).$ 

This shows that  $s_r > F_{j_0-1}^{s_0-1}(s_{r-1}) > \dots > F_{j_0-1}^{(r \cdot (s_0-1))}(s_0).$ (18)

(19) Now  $r \cdot (s_0 - 1) > s_0 + 1$   $(s_0 \ge 5)$  so  $s_r > F_{j_0-1}^{(s_0+1)}(s_0) = F_{j_0}(s_0)$ . This shows that  $j(s_0, s_r) > j_0$  which violates (8)  $j(s_0, s_r) = j_0$ .

(20) The contradiction in (19) shows that the assumption in (2) is impos-sible. Thus  $h_1 \equiv 0$  and we are back to (1).

**Lemma:** There is a colouring  $U : \mathbb{N}^{[3]} \to \{1, 2, ..., 44\}$  using 44 different colours such that if S is homogeneous for h then S is simultaneously homogeneous for the maps  $h_1, h_2, ..., h_7$ 

**Proof:** Now  $1 + 5 \cdot 2 = 11$  so by [1] there exists a colouring  $U_1 : \mathbb{N}^{[3]} \to \mathbb{N}^{[3]}$  $\{1, 2, ..., 11\}$  such that if S is homogeneous for  $U_1$  then S is simultaneously homogeneous for  $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_5$  and  $h_7$ . Now let  $U : \mathbb{N}^{[3]} \to \{1, 2, ..., 11\} \times \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\}$  be the product of  $U_1, h_4$  and  $h_6$ . It uses 44 colours.  $\square$ **Theorem:** There is a colouring  $W : \mathbb{N}^{[3]} \to \{1, 2, ..., 47\}$  such that if S :=

 $\{s_0, ..., s_u\}$  is homogeneous for W then  $F_{\omega}(s_i) < s_{i+1}$ .

**Proof:** Define W as U except that  $W(\{s_0, s_1, s_2\})$  gets colour 45 if  $s_0 < 5$ and  $s_1 \ge 5$  or  $s_0, s_1, s_2 < 5$  and  $s_2 = 4$ , and colour 46 if  $s_0, s_1 < 5$  and  $s_2 \ge 5$ , and colour 47 if  $s_0, s_1, s_2 < 5$  and  $s_2 \ne 4$ . It is straightforward to show that any set  $S := \{s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3\}$  which is homogeneous for W must have  $s_0 \ge 5$ .

## 3 Final remarks and open questions

There is no reason to believe that 47 is a natural constant. Actually by a slight change in the problem I can show that 12 colours suffice. This suggests that the following question might be critical:

**Problem 1**: Is it possible to use only 12 colours?

One can also ask for the asymptotic answer. Here I think the critical question could be whether:

**Problem 2**: Is it possible to use only 3 colours?

To my knowledge the 47 colours used in this paper provides the best known lower bound to both of these questions.

#### References

- Ketonen, Solovay ; Rapidly growing Ramsey functions; Annals of Mathematics 113 (1981) p 267-314
- [2] Paris, Harrington; An incompleteness in PA; Handbook of Logic (1976) p 1134-1142
- [3] Wainer; A Classification of the ordinal recursive functions; Arcive math. logic 13 (1970) p 136-153

#### **Recent Publications in the BRICS Report Series**

- RS-94-25 Søren Riis. Bootstrapping the Primitive Recursive Functions by 47 Colors. August 1994, 5 pp.
- RS-94-24 Søren Riis. A Fractal which violates the Axiom of Determinacy. August 1994, 3 pp.
- RS-94-23 Søren Riis. *Finitisation in Bounded Arithmetic*. August 1994, 31 pp.
- RS-94-22 Torben Braüner. A General Adequacy Result for a Linear Functional Language. August 1994, 39 pp. Presented at MFPS '94.
- RS-94-21 Søren Riis. Count(q) does not imply Count(p). July 1994, 55 pp.
- RS-94-20 Peter D. Mosses and Martín Musicante. An Action Semantics for ML Concurrency Primitives. July 1994, 21 pp. To appear in Proc. FME '94 (Formal Methods Europe, Symposium on Industrial Benefit of Formal Methods), LNCS, 1994.
- RS-94-19 Jens Chr. Godskesen, Kim G. Larsen, and Arne Skou. *Automatic Verification of Real–Timed Systems Using* EP-SILON. June 1994, 8 pp. Appears in: Protocols, Specification, Testing and Verification PSTV '94.
- RS-94-18 Sten Agerholm. LCF Examples in HOL. June 1994, 16 pp. To appear in: Proceedings of the 7th International Workshop on Higher Order Logic Theorem Proving and its Applications, LNCS, 1994.
- RS-94-17 Allan Cheng. *Local Model Checking and Traces*. June 1994, 30 pp.
- RS-94-16 Lars Arge. External-Storage Data Structures for Plane-Sweep Algorithms. June 1994, 37 pp.
- RS-94-15 Mogens Nielsen and Glynn Winskel. *Petri Nets and Bisimulations*. May 1994, 36 pp.