# Circuit depth relative to a random oracle<sup>\*</sup>

Peter Bro Miltersen Aarhus University, Computer Science Department Ny Munkegade, DK 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark. bromille@daimi.aau.dk

#### August 1991

Keywords: Computational complexity, random oracles, circuit depth.

## Introduction

The study of separation of complexity classes with respect to random oracles was initiated by Bennett and Gill [1] and continued by many authors.

Wilson [5, 6] defined relativized circuit depth and constructed various oracles A for which  $P^A \neq NC^A$ ,  $NC_k^A \neq NC_{k+\epsilon}^A$ ,  $AC_k^A \neq AC_{k+\epsilon}^A$ ,  $AC_k^A \not\subseteq$  $NC_{k+1-\epsilon}^A$  and  $NC_k^A \not\subseteq AC_{k-\epsilon}^A$  for all positive rational k and  $\epsilon$ , thus separating those classes for which no trivial argument shows inclusion. In this note we show that as a consequence of a single lemma, these separations (or improvements of them) hold with respect to a random oracle A.

#### The results

Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  and let  $\log n$  denote  $\log_2 n$ . Recall the following definitions by Wilson [4, 5, 6].

<sup>\*</sup>This research was partially supported by the ESPRIT II Basic Research actions Program the EC under contract No. 3075 (project ALCOM).

**Definition 1** A bounded fan-in oracle circuit C is a circuit containing negation gates of indegree 1, and and or gates of indegree 2 as well as of unspecified oracle gates of various indegrees, giving a single boolean output. Given an oracle A, i.e. a subset of  $\Sigma^*$ ,  $C^A$  denotes the circuit, where each oracle gate of indegree m in C has been replaced by a gate computing  $\chi_A : \Sigma^m \to \Sigma$ , where  $\chi_A(x)$  is 1 if  $x \in A$  and 0 otherwise. The depth of an oracle gate with n inputs is  $\lceil \log n \rceil$ . The size of an oracle gate with n inputs is n - 1. The boolean gates have size and depth 1. The size of an oracle circuit is the sum of the sizes of its gates. The depth of a path in the circuit is the sum of the depths of the gates along the path. The depth of the circuit is the depth of its deepest path.

**Definition 2** An unbounded fan-in oracle circuit C is defined as in the bounded fan-in case, except that and and or gates of arbitrary indegree are allowed, and each oracle gate is only charged a depth of 1. The depth of an unbounded fan-in circuit is thus simply the length of its longest path.

**Definition 3**  $DEPTH_{i.o.}^{A}(d)$  is the class of functions f so that for infinitely many integers n a bounded fan-in oracle curcuit  $C_n$  with n inputs of depth at most d exists, so that  $C_n^A(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in \Sigma^n$ , where  $C_n^A(x)$  denotes the output of  $C_n^A$  when x is given as input.

Let k be a positive rational number.  $NC_k^A$  is the class of functions f for which a logspace-uniform family of polynomial size,  $O(\log^k n)$ -depth bounded fan-in curcuits  $C_n$  with n inputs exists, so that  $C_n^A(x) = f(x)$ .  $AC_k^A$  is the class of functions f for which a logspace-uniform family of polynomial size,  $O(\log^k n)$ -depth unbounded fan-in circuits  $C_n$  with n inputs exists, so that  $C_n^A(x) = f(x)$ .

Let A be an oracle. Let  $t_1^n, \ldots, t_n^n$  be the *n* lexicographically first strings of length  $\lceil \log n \rceil$ . Let  $f_n^A : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  be the function  $f_n^A(x) = \chi_A(xt_1^n)\chi_A(xt_2^n)\cdots\chi_A(xt_n^n)$ .

**Lemma 4** Let n and d be positive integers. Let C be a fixed oracle curcuit with n boolean inputs and n boolean outputs containing at most  $s = 2^{\frac{n}{2}-2 \log d-5}$  oracle gates of indegree exactly  $n + \lceil \log n \rceil$  so that no path in C contains more than d oracle gates of indegree exactly  $n + \lceil \log n \rceil$  (no restrictions is made on gates of other indegrees). Then, for a random oracle A, the probability that  $C^A$  computes  $(f_n^A)^{d+1}$ , i.e. the composition of  $f_n^A$  with itself d+1 times, is at most  $2^{-2\frac{n}{2}}$ .

**Proof** Let us call the oracle gates of indegree  $n + \lceil \log n \rceil$  for interesting. We partition the gates of C into d levels  $0, 1, \ldots, d-1$ , such that no path exists from the output of any interesting gate at level i to the input of any interesting gate at level j if  $j \leq i$ . The idea of the proof is to show that with high probability,  $(f_n^A)^{i+1}(x)$  is not computed before level i. Given an oracle A and a vector  $x \in \Sigma^n$ , let  $I_x^A(i)$  denote the set of strings y for which some string t of length  $\lceil \log n \rceil$  exists, so that yt is given as input to some interesting gate at level i, when  $C^A$  is given x as an input. For convenience, let  $I_x^A(d) = \{C^A(x)\}$ .

Consider the following procedure for finding an x so that  $C^A(x) \neq (f_n^A)^{d+1}(x)$ .

- 1.  $L := \emptyset$ .
- 2. if  $\Sigma^n \subseteq L$  then abort, we were not successful.
- 3. select any  $x \in \Sigma^n \setminus L$ .
- 4.  $x_0 := x$ .
- 5. for i := 0 to d do
- 6. compute  $I_x^A(i)$  by simulating the necessary parts of the circuit.
- 7.  $L := L \cup I_x^A(i) \cup \{x_i\}.$
- 8.  $x_{i+1} := f_n^A(x_i)$ .
- 9. if  $x_{i+1} \in L$  then go o 2.
- 10. od.
- 11. return x.

Let us first observe that the protocol indeed returns an x with the desired property in case it does not abort. This is so, because  $x_{d+1} = (f_n^A)^{d+1}(x)$ , and

the algorithm makes sure that  $x_{d+1} \notin L$  at a time when  $I_x^A(d) \subseteq L$  and by definition  $C^A(x) \in I_x^A(d)$ . Let us then estimate the probability of abortion. We will first give an upper bound on the probability of leaving the for-loop at line 9. For convenience, let us assume that the membership of a string in A is not determined until the algorithm asks for it. It is easy to see that the protocol makes sure that no bit of the value of  $f_n^A(x_i)$  has been determined previous to line 8. Hence, all  $2^n$  values are equally likely. Of these values, |L| causes the algorithm to leave the for-loop in the next line. Hence, each time line 9 is encountered, the probability of leaving the loop is exactly  $\frac{|L|}{2^n}$ . If we assume that m values of x has been tried so far (including the current value), an upper bound of this is  $\frac{m(s+d+1)}{2^n} \leq \frac{3dms}{2^n}$ . Thus, each time the for-loop is executed, an upper bound of the probability of leaving it prematurely is  $(d+1)\frac{3dms}{2^n} \leq \frac{6d^2ms}{2^n}$ . Since the algorithm will try different values of x at least until this upper bound is 1 and the above argument applies to all of them, we have that for any positive integer k:

$$Pr(\text{abortion}) \leq \prod_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{2^n}{6d^2ms} \rfloor} \frac{6d^2ms}{2^n} \leq (\frac{6d^2ks}{2^n})^k.$$

Putting  $k = \lfloor 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \rfloor$ , we get:

$$Pr(abortion) \le 2^{-2\frac{n}{2}}.$$

**Theorem 5** For  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $P^A \not\subseteq DEPTH^A_{i.o.}(\alpha n)$  for a random oracle A with probability 1.

**Proof** Let  $d_n = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$ . The family of functions  $g_n^A = (f_n^A)^{d_n+1}$  is clearly in  $P^A$ . Fix n and let C be a fixed bounded fan-in oracle circuit of depth  $d_n$ . It is easy to see that the size of C is at most  $2^{d_n}$ , so by the lemma, the probability that  $C^A$  computes  $g_n^A$  is at most  $2^{-2\frac{n}{2}}$ . There are at most  $2^{2^{d_n+o(d_n)}}$  bounded fan-in oracle circuits of depth  $d_n$ , so the probability that some such circuit computes  $g_n^A$  with A as oracle is at most  $2^{2^{\alpha n+o(n)}}2^{-2\frac{n}{2}}$  which is less than  $2^{-n}$  for sufficiently large n. Thus, for fixed N, the probability that for some n greater than N,  $g_n^A$  has A-circuits of depth at most  $\alpha n$ , is at most  $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = 2^{-N+1}$ . The probability that for all N, an n greater than N exists, so that  $g_n^A$  has circuits of depth at most  $\alpha n$ , is thus at most  $\inf_N 2^{-N+1} = 0.$ 

The theorem is an improvement of Wilson's result [5] that oracles A exists, so that  $P^A \neq NC^A$ . Since every function has unrelativized depth at most n + o(n), the result is optimal, up to a multiplicative constant of  $2 + \epsilon$ . Similar results about circuit *size* were obtained by Lutz and Schmidt [3] who showed that for small  $\alpha$  and a random oracle A,  $NP^A \not\subseteq SIZE^A_{i.o.}(2^{\alpha n})$  and by Kurtz, Mosey and Royer [2], who proved  $NP^A \not\subseteq co - NSIZE^A_{i.o.}(2^{\alpha n})$ .

**Theorem 6** For rational  $k \ge 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $AC_k^A \not\subseteq NC_{k+1-\epsilon}^A$  for random A with probability 1.

**Proof** Let  $d_n = \lfloor \log^k n \rfloor$  and  $g_n^A = (f_n^A)^{d_n+1}$ .  $g_n^A$  is in  $AC_k^A$ . It is sufficient to prove that with probability 1,  $g_n^A$  is not computed by a family of bounded fan-in circuits  $C_n$  of depth  $O(\log^{k+1-\epsilon}n)$ . Fix an n and a circuit  $C_n$  within this bound. Observe that  $C_n$  can not contain a path with more than  $O(\log^{k-\epsilon}n)$  oracle gates of indegree  $n + \lceil \log n \rceil$  and that  $C_n$  satisfies the size bound of the lemma. Thus, the probability that  $C_n^A$  computes  $g_n^A$  is at most  $2^{-2\frac{n}{2}}$ . Now proceed as in the previous proof.  $\Box$ 

It is easy to see from the proof that we actually get the stronger result that there are functions in  $AC_n^A$  which can not be computed in depth  $o(\log^{k+1} n)$  by bounded fan-in A-circuits.

**Theorem 7** For rational k > 0 and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $NC_k^A \not\subseteq AC_{k-\epsilon}^A$  for random A with probability 1.

**Proof** The proof is bred upon the idea behind the corresponding oracle construction by Wilson [6]. Let  $d_n = \lfloor \frac{\log^k n}{\log \log n} \rfloor$ ,  $m_n = \lceil \log^2 n \rceil$  and let  $g_n^A(x_1x_2\ldots x_n) = (f_{mn}^A)^{d_n+1}(x_1x_2\ldots x_{m_n})$ .  $g_n^A$  is in  $NC_k^A$ , since we are only charged depth  $O(\log \log n)$  for computing  $f_{m_n}^A$ . The probability that  $g_n^A$  is computed by a specific circuit of size  $O(n^l)$ , depth  $O(\log^{k-\epsilon} n)$ , even with unbounded fan-in, is, by the lemma, at most  $2^{-2\frac{m_n}{2}} \leq 2^{-n\frac{\log n}{2}}$ . Now proceed as in the previous proofs.

The proof actually gives us functions in  $NC_k^A$  which require superpolynomial size to be computed in depth  $o(\log^k n/\log \log n)$  with unbounded fan-in A-

5

circuits. This is optimal, since standard techniques provide a simulation of  $NC_k^A$  by polynomial size, depth  $O(\log^k n/\log \log n)$ , unbounded fan-in A-circuits.

**Corollary 8** For rational  $k \ge 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $NC_k^A \ne NC_{k+\epsilon}^A$  and  $AC_k^A \ne AC_{k+\epsilon}^A$  for random A with probability 1.

## References

- [1] C.H. Bennett and J. Gill: Relative to a random oracle A,  $P^A \neq NP^A \neq co NP^A$  with probability 1, SIAM J. Comput. **10** (1981) 96–113.
- [2] S. Kurtz, S. Mahaney and J. Royer, Average dependence and random oracles, Tech. Rept. SU-CIS-91-03, School of Computer and Information Science, Syracuse University, January 1991.
- [3] J.H. Lutz and W.J. Schmidt, Circuit size relative to pseudorandom oracles, in: Proc. 5th Structure in Complexity Theory Conference (IEEE Press, 1990) 268–286. Errata in: Proc. 6th Structure in Complexity Theory Conference (IEEE Press, 1991) 392.
- C.B. Wilson, Relativized circuit complexity, J. Comput. System Sci. 31 (1985) 169–181.
- [5] C.B. Wilson, Relativized NC, Math. Systems Theory 20 (1987) 13–29.
- C.B. Wilson, On the decomposability of NC and AC, SIAM J. Comput. 19 (1990) 384–396.