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Abstract: If $\mathcal{H}$ denotes a Hilbert space of analytic functions on a region $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{d}$, then the weak product is defined by

$$
\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}=\left\{h=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} g_{n}: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}<\infty\right\} .
$$

We prove that if $\mathcal{H}$ is a first order holomorphic Besov Hilbert space on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{d}$, then the multiplier algebras of $\mathcal{H}$ and of $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$ coincide.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $d$ be a positive integer and let $R=\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}$ denote the radial derivative operator. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the holomorphic Besov space $B_{s}$ is defined to be the space of holomorphic functions $f$ on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_{d}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{d}$ such that for some nonnegative integer $k>s$

$$
\|f\|_{k, s}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}\left|(I+R)^{k} f(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2(k-s)-1} d V(z)<\infty
$$

Here $d V$ denotes Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{B}_{d}$. It is well-known that for any $f \in \operatorname{Hol}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$ and any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the quantity $\|f\|_{k, s}$ is finite for some nonnegative integer $k>s$ if and only if it is finite for all nonnegative integers $k>s$, and that for each $k>s\|\cdot\|_{k, s}$ defines a norm on $B_{s}$, and that all these norms are equivalent to one another, see [2]. For $s<0$ one can take $k=0$ and these spaces are weighted Bergman spaces. In particular, $B_{-1 / 2}=L_{a}^{2}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$ is the unweighted Bergman space. For $s=0$ one obtains the Hardy space of $\mathbb{B}_{d}$ and one has that for each $k \geq 1\|f\|_{k, 0}^{2}$ is equivalent to $\int_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{d}}|f|^{2} d \sigma$, where $\sigma$ is the rotationally invariant probability measure on $\partial \mathbb{B}_{d}$. We also note that for $s=(d-1) / 2$ we have $B_{s}=H_{d}^{2}$, the Drury-Arveson space. If $d=1$ and $s=1 / 2$, then $B_{s}=D$, the classical Dirichlet space of the unit disc.

Let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \operatorname{Hol}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$ be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space such that $1 \in \mathcal{H}$. The weak product of $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$ and it is defined to be the collection of all functions $h \in \operatorname{Hol}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$ such that there are sequences $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1},\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}<\infty$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_{d}, h(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}(z) g_{i}(z)$.

[^0]We define a norm on $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$ by

$$
\|h\|_{*}=\inf \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}: h(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}(z) g_{i}(z) \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{B}_{d}\right\} .
$$

In what appears below we will frequently take $\mathcal{H}=B_{s}$, and will use the same notation for this weak product.
Weak products have their origin in the work of Coifman, Rochberg, and Weiss [5]. In the frame work of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ one may consider the weak product to be an analogue of the Hardy $H^{1}$-space. For example, one has $H^{2}\left(\partial \mathbb{B}_{d}\right) \odot H^{2}\left(\partial \mathbb{B}_{d}\right)=H^{1}\left(\partial \mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$ and $L_{a}^{2}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right) \odot L_{a}^{2}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right)=L_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$, see [5]. For the Dirichlet space $D$ the weak product $D \odot D$ has recently been considered in [1, 3, 6, 7, 9]. The space $H_{d}^{2} \odot H_{d}^{2}$ was used in [10]. For further motivation and general background on weak products we refer the reader to [1] and [9].

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{B}_{d}$ such that point evaluations are continuous and such that $1 \in \mathcal{B}$. We use $M(\mathcal{B})$ to denote the multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{B}$,

$$
M(\mathcal{B})=\{\varphi: \varphi f \in \mathcal{B} \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{B}\}
$$

The multiplier norm $\|\varphi\|_{M}$ is defined to be the norm of the associated multiplication operator $M_{\varphi}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. It is easy to check and is well-known that $M(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$, and that for $s \leq 0$ we have $M\left(B_{s}\right)=H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$. For $s>d / 2$ the space $B_{s}$ is an algebra [2], hence $B_{s}=M\left(B_{s}\right)$, but for $0<s \leq d / 2$ one has $M\left(B_{s}\right) \subsetneq B_{s} \cap H^{\infty}\left(\partial \mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$. For those cases $M\left(B_{S}\right)$ has been described by a certain Carleson measure condition, see [4, 8].

It is easy to see that $M(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq M(\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}) \subseteq H^{\infty}$ (see Proposition 3.1). Thus, if $s \leq 0$, then $M\left(B_{s}\right)=$ $M\left(B_{s} \odot B_{s}\right)=H^{\infty}$. Furthermore, if $s>d / 2$, then $B_{s}=B_{s} \odot B_{s}=M\left(B_{s}\right)$ since $B_{S}$ is an algebra. This raises the question whether $M\left(B_{S}\right)$ and $M\left(B_{S} \odot B_{S}\right)$ always agree. We prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. If $s \leq 1$ or $d \leq 2$, then $M\left(B_{S}\right)=M\left(B_{S} \odot B_{S}\right)$.

Note that when $d \leq 2$, then $B_{s}$ is an algebra for all $s>1$. Thus for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the nontrivial range of the Theorem is $0<s \leq 1$. If $d=1$ then the theorem applies to the classical Dirichlet space of the unit disc and for $d \leq 3$ it applies to the Drury-Arveson space.

## 2 Preliminaries

For $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we write $e^{i t} z=\left(e^{i t} z_{1}, \ldots, e^{i t} z_{d}\right)$ and we write $\langle z, w\rangle$ for the inner product in $\mathbb{C}^{d}$. Furthermore, if $h$ is a function on $\mathbb{B}_{d}$, then we define $T_{t} f$ by $\left(T_{t} f\right)(z)=f\left(e^{i t} z\right)$. We say that a space $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \operatorname{Hol}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$ is radially symmetric, if each $T_{t}$ acts isometrically on $\mathcal{H}$ and if for all $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, T_{t} \rightarrow T_{t_{0}}$ in the strong operator topology as $t \rightarrow t_{0}$, i.e. if $\left\|T_{t} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}=\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\left\|T_{t} f-T_{t_{0}} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. For example, for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the holomorphic Besov space $B_{S}$ is radially symmetric when equipped with any of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{k, s}$, $k>s$.

It is elementary to verify the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. If $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \operatorname{Hol}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$ is radially symmetric, then so is $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$.

Note that if $h$ and $\varphi$ are functions on $\mathbb{B}_{d}$, then for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\left(T_{t} \varphi\right) h=T_{t}\left(\varphi T_{-t} h\right)$, hence if a space is radially symmetric, then $T_{t}$ acts isometrically on the multiplier algebra. For $0<r<1$ we write $f_{r}(z)=f(r z)$.

Lemma 2.2. If $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \operatorname{Hol}\left(\mathbb{B}_{d}\right)$ is radially symmetric, and if $\varphi \in M(\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H})$, then for all $0<r<1$ we have $\left\|\varphi_{r}\right\|_{M(\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H})} \leq\|\varphi\|_{M(\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H})}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in M(\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H})$ and $h \in \mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$, then for $0<r<1$ we have

$$
\varphi_{r} h=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1-r^{2}}{\left|1-r e^{i t}\right|^{2}}\left(T_{t} \varphi\right) h \frac{d t}{2 \pi} .
$$

This implies

$$
\left\|\varphi_{r} h\right\|_{*} \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1-r^{2}}{\left|1-r e^{i t}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(T_{t} \varphi\right) h\right\|_{*} \frac{d t}{2 \pi} \leq\|\varphi\|_{M(\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H})}\|h\|_{*}
$$

Thus, $\left\|\varphi_{r}\right\|_{M(\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H})} \leq\|\varphi\|_{M(\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H})}$.

## 3 Multipliers

The following Proposition is elementary.
Proposition 3.1. We have $M(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq M(\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}) \subseteq H^{\infty}$ and if $\varphi \in M(\mathcal{H}),\|\varphi\|_{M(\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H})} \leq\|\varphi\|_{M(\mathcal{H})}$.
As explained in the Introduction, the following will establish Theorem 1.1.
Theorem 3.2. Let $0<s \leq 1$. Then $M\left(B_{s}\right)=M\left(B_{s} \odot B_{s}\right)$ and there is a $C_{s}>0$ such that

$$
\|\varphi\|_{M\left(B_{s} \odot B_{s}\right)} \leq\|\varphi\|_{M\left(B_{s}\right)} \leq C_{S}\|\varphi\|_{M\left(B_{s} \odot B_{s}\right)}
$$

for all $\varphi \in M\left(B_{S}\right)$.
Here for each $s$ we have the norm on $B_{s}$ to be $\|\cdot\|_{k, s}$, where $k$ is the smallest natural number $>s$.
Proof. We first do the case $0<s<1$. Then $k=1$, and $\|f\|_{B_{s}}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}|(I+R) f(z)|^{2} d V_{s}(z)$, where $d V_{s}(z)=$ $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{1-2 s} d V(z)$. For later reference we note that a short calculation shows that $\int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}|R f|^{2} d V_{s} \leq\|f\|_{B_{s}}^{2}$.

We write $\|R \varphi\|_{C a\left(B_{s}\right)}$ for the Carleson measure norm of $|R \varphi|^{2}$, i.e.

$$
\|R \varphi\|_{C a\left(B_{s}\right)}^{2}=\inf \left\{C>0: \int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}|f|^{2}|R \varphi|^{2} d V_{S} \leq C\|f\|_{B_{s}}^{2} \text { for all } f \in B_{S}\right\}
$$

Since $\|\varphi f\|_{B_{s}}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}|\varphi(z)(I+R) f(z)+f(z) R \varphi(z)|^{2} d V_{S}(z)$ it is clear that $\|\varphi\|_{M\left(B_{s}\right)}$ is equivalent to $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}+$ $\|R \varphi\|_{C a\left(B_{s}\right)}$. Thus, it suffices to show that there is a $c>0$ such that $\|R \varphi\|_{C a\left(B_{s}\right)} \leq c\|\varphi\|_{M\left(B_{s} \odot B_{s}\right)}$ for all $\varphi \in M\left(B_{s} \odot B_{s}\right)$.

First we note that if $b$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{B}_{d}}$ and $h=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i} g_{i} \in B_{s} \odot B_{s}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}|(R h) R b| d V_{s} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}\left|\left(R f_{i}\right) g_{i} R b\right| d V_{s}+\int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}\left|\left(R g_{i}\right) f_{i} R b\right| d V_{S} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{B_{s}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}\left|g_{i} R b\right|^{2} d V_{s}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{B_{s}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}\left|f_{i} R b\right|^{2} d V_{S}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{B_{s}}\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{B_{s}}\|R b\|_{C a\left(B_{s}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}|(R h) R b| d V_{s} \leq 2\|h\|_{*}\|R b\|_{C a\left(B_{s}\right)}
$$

where we have continued to write $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ for $\|\cdot\|_{B_{s} \odot B_{s}}$.
Let $\varphi \in M\left(B_{s} \odot B_{S}\right)$ and let $0<r<1$. Then for all $f \in B_{S}$ we have $f^{2}, \varphi_{r} f^{2} \in B_{s} \odot B_{S}$, hence

$$
\int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}|f|^{2}\left|R \varphi_{r}\right|^{2} d V_{S}=\int_{\mathbb{B}_{d}}\left|R\left(\varphi_{r} f^{2}\right)-\varphi_{r} R\left(f^{2}\right)\right|\left|R \varphi_{r}\right| d V_{S}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq 2\left(\left\|\varphi_{r} f^{2}\right\|_{*}+\|\varphi\|_{\infty}\left\|f^{2}\right\|_{*}\right)\left\|R \varphi_{r}\right\|_{C a\left(B_{s}\right)} \\
& \leq 2\left(\|\varphi\|_{M\left(B_{s} \odot B_{s}\right)}\left\|f^{2}\right\|_{*}+\|\varphi\|_{\infty}\left\|f^{2}\right\|_{*}\right)\left\|R \varphi_{r}\right\|_{C a\left(B_{s}\right)} \\
& \leq 4\|\varphi\|_{M\left(B_{s} \odot B_{s}\right)}\|f\|_{B_{s}}^{2}\left\|R \varphi_{r}\right\|_{C a\left(B_{s}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we take the sup of the left hand side of this expression over all $f$ with $\|f\|_{B_{s}}=1$ and we obtain $\left\|R \varphi_{r}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{C a ( B _ { s } )}}^{2} \leq 4\|\varphi\|_{M\left(B_{s} \odot B_{s}\right)}\left\|R \varphi_{r}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{C a ( B _ { s } )}}$ which implies that $\left\|R \varphi_{r}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{C a ( B _ { s } )}} \leq 4\|\varphi\|_{M\left(B_{s} \odot B_{s}\right)}$ holds for all $0<r<1$. Thus, for $0<s<1$ the result follows from Fatou's lemma as $r \rightarrow 1$.

If $s=1$, then $\|f\|_{2,1}^{2} \sim \int_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{d}}|(I+R) f(z)|^{2} d \sigma(z)$ and the argument proceeds as above.
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