MATERNAL CONSTRAINTS TOWARDS COMPLIANCE TO EXPANDED PROGRAM ON IMMUNIZATION

Beaven Andrew A. Atienza, Bella Rea S. Abing, Van Lendl T. Calibugar , Phoebe Mnemosyne Athena T. Galleposo

Mindanao State University – Iligan Institute of Technology College of Nursing, Andres Bonifacio Avenue, Tibanga, Iligan City, 9200 Philippines

Background: A goal of 95% immunization coverage is vital for the continuous control of vaccine preventable diseases. Unfortunately, Immunization coverage in certain areas in Iligan City has been unbelievably and alarmingly low increasing morbidity and mortality risk for susceptible individuals.

Objective: The reasons for incomplete and non-vaccination are not well understood and few data is available that could explain the situation. This study aimed to determine the maternal barriers that account for low immunization rate in Iligan City.

Methods: A descriptive, cross sectional survey was conducted to the 6 out of top 10 baranggays with the most unimmunized children. Two – Stage Random Sampling Method was utilize in selecting the 100 respondents. Questionnaires were distributed to the mothers who fail to immunize and/or completely immunize their children.

Results: Wrong notion and inadequate immunization information constitute the biggest barriers to EPI. The topmost reason for non-adherence to immunization is Childhood illness. Though not a contraindication, a staggering 100% of mothers pointed out that minor illness, such as mild upper-respiratory infection, loose stools, vomiting, colds, or even mild fever deter them from getting the child vaccinated. 92% and 86% of the respondents are unaware about completing 5 vaccines and that "mass vaccination" is available for children with incomplete immunization, respectively. 68% of the respondents misperceive vaccine as harmful. 55% of them claimed that hectic schedule prevent them from immunizing their children

Conclusion: Formulating strategies to break down the barriers to immunization compliance are not beyond our reach. Maternal education about the concept and value of immunization and improving health worker motivation can significantly contribute to enhance vaccination status. This study serves as an eye opener to the government to continue promoting Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI) for it could save and protect the precious lives of our future generations.

Keywords: Compliance, Maternal Constraints, , Expanded Program on Immunization,

INTRODUCTION:

Philippines' Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI) strategy to achieve Universal Child Immunization goal is sustaining routine Full Immunized Child (FIC) to at least 90% coverage in all provinces and cities (PHN 2007). Infants must be vaccinated against the seven vaccine preventable diseases before their first birthday in order to gain maximum protection (child health survey 2002).

Unfortunately, UNICEF reported that 9.7 million under 5 yrs. old and an estimated 4 million neonates (first 28 days) worldwide are either killed or disabled by vaccine-preventable disease (UNICEF 2009).

A research in Nigeria among children aged 12-23 months, reported that not completing vaccination was due to maternal knowledge and attitudes, while partial immunization was more link to problems with vaccination services (Babalola 2011). This coincides with the study in Bangladesh noting only 51% of the subject were fully immunized and pointed out employment status and maternal education as the reasons for low immunization compliance(Perry et al 1998). A study by Abbott and Osborn (1993) identified child illness and financial reasons for immunization delay. Low income is also associated with low immunization (Klevens and Luman, 2001; Bates and Wolinsky, 1998; Zimmerman, 1996).. Parents with lower income have bigger chances to experience constraints, such as transportation or access to health care services and keeping with the immunizations timing (Klevens 2001). Talani, et al(2000) revealed child illness, misinformation and unavailability of vacines as top reason for incomplete immunization .Similar barriers were cited by Nace et al (1991) whose study presented child illness and no insurance coverage as obstacles to vaccination. (Bruce1990, Streefland 1999) surmised that availability of drugs, manpower and equipment should be considered in order to provide quality vaccination .Improvement of quality immunization services is still needed in developing countries according to an African and Asian studies (Streefland 1999)

Timing of vaccination schedule is a critical indicator of immunization quality coverage (Akmatov 2012, Datar 2007). The purpose of the present vaccination schedul advocated by World Health Organization is to give effective protection at the youngest age possible (WHO 2002) The common assessment of vaccination coverage is the number of children who have received the required number of vaccine doses irregardless of age. (Luman et al., 2005). However, in order to give maximal protection against vaccine-preventable diseases, a child should receive all vaccinations at the right schedule (Glauber, 2003). Understanding local barriers that adversely affect immunization compliance is critical to devise efficient vaccination services that would lower drop out number and improve coverage. No study to determine the impediment for low immunization rate was conducted in Iligan City. This study was undertaken to find out the reason behind poor adherence to EPI so that our government can identify, eliminate or minimize these problems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

This study follows a Descriptive correlational research design with a total of 100 respondents selected through a two stage random sampling method. The selection criteria consist of mothers of non-immunize or partially vaccinated child, regardless of age, marital status, number of children, educational attainment, beliefs, and economic status. Data was obtained from the 6 out of top 10 Baranggays in Iligan City with the most unimmunized or partially vaccinated child. The interview questionnaires were developed in the Visayan dialect and English Language. This set of questionnaires was subjected to test for reliability using the Cronbach's alpha by testing this questionnaire to some mothers with unimmunized child who were not included in the research. With regards to the content validity, the weight of each statement was solved after they answered the questions. If the weight mean is greater than the undecided, then the statement is retained.

Attached with the instruments is an accompanying letter addressed to the respondents requesting them to answer the questionnaires honestly with the assurance of confidentiality to their answers and identity.

The final data was tallied and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Table 1 summarizes the main reasons to non-attendance of mothers to immunization in their respective health center

Reasons for incomplete or non-adherence of mothers to EPI	% of
	respondents
A. Disease related factors	
Childhood illness	100%
B. Health care provider and health system factors	
1. No health teaching given	65%
2. Unable to understand the health teachings	65%
3. Vaccine not available	58%
4. Fear of being immunize with wrong vaccine	32%
5. Closed health center during the schedule	19%
6. Absent health care worker	4%
7. Unaccommodating health care worker	3%

C. Social and Economic	: factors	
1. Lack of	f time.	55%
2. Health	Center is far from house	42%
3. Feel tired to g	o to the Health Center.	32%
4. Don't h	ave fare	9%
5. Late arrival		5 %
6. Lack of fami	ly or social support	3%
D. Psychological factors	3	
1. Wrong Perception	m	
a. The vac disease	ccine can cause harm or can bring s to my child.	68%
b. Vaccin can ma	es contain bacteria or viruses and ke my child sick.	53%
c. Vaccino	es are useless.	45%
d. The eld effectiv	erly says that immunization is not re	44%
e. A child	will die due to immunization.	40%
2. Unaware:		
a. That a cl immun	hild should have a complete ization of 5 vaccines?	92%
b. About "r weren't immun	nass vaccination" for children who t able to complete their ization on their 1 st birth day?	86%
c. Of the so barangg	chedule for immunization in their gay?	85%
a. That B(after b	CG and Hepa B vaccines are given birth or any time after birth?	78%
b. T vaccir	hat there are three (3) doses of DPT ne and is given every after 6 weeks?	76%
c. That M ninth	Measles vaccine is given on the month of your child?	58%
d. That the or before	child should be fully immunized on re his/her first birthday?	45%
d. That a injecti	a local reaction at the site if ion and a low grade fever is normal	15%
e. Of the ir child?	nportance of vaccination to your	7%
		I

Decrease adherence rates are attributable to four factors:

DISEASE RELATED FACTORS

Results showed that childhood illness is the greatest barrier influencing low childhood immunization rate. Though not a contraindication, 100% of mothers pointed out that minor illnesses, such as a mild upper-respiratory infection with or without low-grade fever cold, loose stools, vomiting or even mild fever deter them from getting the child vaccinated. False contraindications like mild fever was also reported as reason for non-vaccination in Nigeria (Onyiriuka et al 2005; Anah et al 2006; Kabir et al 2004; Adeiga et al 2006). Nace et al 1991 cited the top three barriers as ill child wasting time at the clinic, and no insurance coverage led to incomplete vaccination. In Congo, similar obstacles were noted by Talani, et al (2000) presenting child illness, misinformation and unavailability of vaccines as main reason for under immunization. Bond (1998) also noted minor illnesses in the family (fever, headache) was associated with the non- immunization. Some thought that vaccines may only aggravate their child's disease. This delay might lead to decline adherence to the next vaccination schedule. A study by Abbott and Osborn (1993) pointed out child illness and financial reasons for delay in immunization.

According to Schiefele, et al, (2011) deferring immunization for common, mild ailments in children resulted in missed chances for immunization. The health care provider should be reassured that minor illness is not a reason to delay vaccination schedule. Mothers prefer to miss appointments out of fear that vaccination can do more harm than good when their child is sick. (Szilagyi 1996) supported these with evidences citing misperceptions leading to missed opportunities in administering needed vaccines Among the most usual illness mistaken as contraindications are minor upper respiratory tract illnesses (including otitis media) with or without fever, diarrhea and being in the convalescent phase of an acute illness. (CDCP 2011)

HEALTH CARE PROVIDER AND HEALTH CARE SYSTEM FACTORS

Adherence is significantly affected by health care provider's attitudes and health care facilities.

No or vague health teaching

Health workers not giving explanation or not providing clear information about the vaccine is the strongest impediments for vaccination compliance.

Health workers often communicate poorly and little with mothers, so that many mothers complained of not being informed about return date what to do with the vaccines side effects (Pillsbury B. 1990). Several studies found out that parents of partially immunized child noted lack of promotion or follow-up of routine immunization as an obstacle for not having their children vaccinated (,Bhanot 2004, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare 2004). Far too many parents leave sessions without knowing important information about return visits, side effects, etc. Benin, a review about EPI found that one of the major barriers to vaccination was mother's lack of knowledge of return date for immunization (WHO 2008). Health workers in Somalia did not offer instruction about immunization side effects (La Fond 1990). This poor communication by health workers can lower adherence rate. Pertinent information about vaccines was not communicated well by healthcare providers in Niger (Fields R 1993). Mothers in Guinea complained that they were not told about the disease their kids were immunize against or the vaccine reactions. (Health Access International 1999; Wansi 2001). Studies reported that lack of information about schedules lead to low immunization compliance (Bond et al. 1998; Bukenya& Freeman 1991; Eng et al. 1991; Harmanci et al. 2003; Khanom & Salahuddin 1983). Few mothers in our study were satisfied about the health workers instruction to them about what to do with vaccine side effects. Effective communication of parents and health worker was pointed out in Armenia (WHO 2006). 97% of mothers in Dhaka have the knowledge when to return after health station visit (Perry 1996). 80% of parents in Uganda reported that health staff oriented them to return for next dose (Bukenya 1998).

Health care personnel

This study may show only 3 % of mothers citing unaccommodating personnel as barriers to immunization but the deeper problem here is the poor overall quality of mother -health worker relationship. 65% of mothers complained of vague explanation from personnel and were hesitant to clarify information, fearing they may be reprimanded or they may be rebuke by unfriendly staff. Various study in different places like W. Africa (Centre International de L'Enfance1990), Ethiopia (Ministry of Health, UNICEF/Ethiopia2001), Niger (Keith 1992), Zimbabwe (Razum 1993), Kenya (Abilla 1993), Nigeria (REACH 1992), Bangladesh (Khan 2005, Perry 1996) supported the fact that mothers were not treated right by Health staff. Mothers are embarrassed which deter them from visiting again the health facility for next vaccination (Bukenay 1998, Fields 1993, Perry 1996). Their reluctance of asking question can only compound their fears of having negative experiences such as being immunize with wrong vaccine. Various literature generally presented evidence that mothers' previous experiences with health care services cause them to either adhere or not adhere to vaccination.

Many families in Niger did not even try to have their kids immunize upon knowing about health workers rude treatment to others (Keith 1992).Wrong treatment of mothers by health providers was pointed out as the major factor of incomplete vaccination in Syria (Focus Group Research 1990).However only 13% in Uganda (Bukenya 1998) reported about being badly treated.

Health workers acting rudely to mothers can be caused by several factors. Health workers in the Philippines like in Kenya (Abilla 1993) and Somalia (La Fond 1990), think they were not supported by the health system (not on time payment, low salary, no incentive; supervision, training work were not enough). They displaced their bitterness to mothers. A study in Benin shows that the lower the health worker's resource, the higher is the maltreatment incidents (Social-Cultural Context of Immunization in Benin 1991). Mothers being irresponsible by forgetting immunization record, missing appointments and not maintaining child's health can be grounds for health staff to be rude to them in Gambia and Guinea (Fairhead 2005, Leach 2006, Bjerregaard 1991).

Health Services

Unavailability of vaccines is one of the most common barriers to immunization adherence in this study. This not only hinder mothers to comply with the present vaccination schedule but this may prevent mothers from coming back in the future as mothers may presume to be in the same predicament on the next appointment. In the Dominican Republic, 90% of mothers reported that the staff treated them right due to lack of vaccine and vaccinator (AlConde 2002). When vaccines are out of stock, mothers are told to visit next week causing delay. Closed health center and absence of health worker and can contribute to non-attendance because this has a discouraging effect especially to mothers who are busy and living far from the clinic

It was noted in Armenia that the primary causative factor for low or non immunization was lack of vaccine (UNICEF/Armenia, WHO/Armenia 2006). When parents travel far, miss work, spend more time waiting and then cannot have their kids immunize due to of lack of resources, chances of going back is slim. Vaccines should be present at health facility but repeated lack out of stock vaccine was also noted in other places (IPL 2011) A significant amount of studies supported the fact that health facilities was found to have inefficient cold chain or lack of vaccines (AlConde 2002, FGR 1990, Bukenya 1998). Vaccines are not available because of no funding, poor distribution systems, and other reasons (Leigh 2004, Ministry of Health and PAHO/World Health Organization. 2004, Ministry of Health Lusaka/Central Board of Health. 2004, Ministry of Health Socialist Republic of Viet Nam. 2004, Ministry of Health, Republic of Rwanda, Expanded Programme on Immunization. 2004, Rapid assessment of health systems barriers to immunization, August 2004). With shortage of immunization supplies they may miss being vaccinated on that day, which can consequently discourages future compliance. In Africa and Asia studies reported that the major reason of vaccination problem are insufficient supplies especially vaccines and inadequate vaccine information. (Streefland1999).

SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC FACTORS

Socio-economic constraints are enormous barriers to EPI adherence

Time constraints

Hectic schedule appears to be a significant factor in complying with the immunization adherence. Full-time housewives doing household chores and attending all their children needs all day often lack time to get all their kids immunize. With their burdensome schedule, they sometimes get tired in bringing in children to clinics, decreasing immunization rate. In Dhaka mothers a study revealed that mothers with multiple jobs was burn-out (Blanchet 1989) while another findings in Dhaka showed 31% of mothers considered their work responsibilities as a factor for not vaccinating their children (Uddin 2008)..In Kenyan district, mothers claimed that they were too busy to have their kids vaccinated (Gaturuku 1990).. A study in Somalia reported that mothers complained about the time needed to treat the vaccine side effects and the required time to travel to health center (LaFond1990).

Distance

The Mother's distance to health center is a considerable barrier affecting adherence to EPI. A 2003 research in Mozambique (Sheldon 2003) cited distance to health center as the principal factor of non-immunization. Mothers in six states in Nigeria cited distance as a constraint (Babalola 2005); 30% in Liberia(Bender 1988) and 43% in Siaya, Kenya (Fields 1992). Data showed 63% of our respondents live 500-2999 meters away from the health center. In Bangladesh a study found that women living less than 1km from healthcare site has greater chances of immunizing their children. (Rahman M, 2010). This coincides with the study in Senegal which presented 71% completely immunized children live less than 10km from health facility. Only 10% of children from far villages were completely vaccinated (Health Access International 1999). A health facility within 2 km of an urban location and the children's vaccination status was found to positively link in India. (Ghei K, 2010) In addition with distance problem, bad weather, muddy road conditions, heavy rainfall and flood discourages compliance to health services. Other families consider these obstacles as too hard to solve (Indian Market Research Bureau 1987). Some parents also think that time should be better spend earning money and providing food for the family than being spent to long distance travel and waiting for long hours to have their children immunized (Bhanot 2004).

Other factors will come into play if the mother is living far from health center. Our data showed only 3% of mothers are not allowed by family members to go to clinic. This is due to the belief that vaccines are unsafe and ineffective. Fortunately most mothers were supported by their family to go to health center but long distance transportation cost hinders the trip. The more distant the clinic the more costly is the transportation. 79% of our respondents are unemployed. Most employed mothers also have low income. A study by (Klevens and Luman, 2001) cited parents with lower household incomes are more prone to experience vaccine service and transportation constraints which makes keeping with the on immunizations schedule tough. Past studies showed that family income was related with immunization coverage levels, and low family income is also a risk factor for low vaccination (Zimmerman, 1996; Bates and Wolinsky, 1998). This is supported by the previous study of Renstein (1990) showing a consistent relationship between income and vaccination.

PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS

Psychological factors appear to be an enormous barrier to vaccination.Mothers' lack or inadequate knowledge about vaccines significantly impacted their practices and behaviors which prevent vaccination compliance. This is supported by the study of (Waisbord S,2005) which noted knowledge gaps as underlying factor for low adherence with immunization. Knowledge of mothers in Niger about vaccinations was link to childhood immunization rate. (Odusanya2008). Coreil et al.,1989 revealed similar findings presenting obstacles to child vaccination were poor perception and knowledge. After preliminary immunization, 92% stop bringing their child to clinic because they are unaware of the need to complete the 5 vaccines before their first birthday. Vaccination drop outs in different places was due to being uninformed about the needed number of vaccines and return schedule (Agarwal 2005 Devivanayagam 1992 Pillsbury 1990).Lack of awareness about "Mass immunization" to make up for the missed vaccines after the child's first birthday and the immunization schedule has consequently led to poor adherence (Manjunath 2003). Lack of information about mass campaign was the most frequently-pointed factor given by caretakers of vaccination deterrence in numerous studies in differnet countries such as, Mexico (Perez-Cuevas 1999) Egypt (Reichler 1998), El Salvador (Lin 1971), Ghana(Belcher 1978) and Pakistan (Reichler 1997). Busy schedule was among the factors reported for not being able to attend mass campaign. This reason was documented in the studies in Ghana and Pakistan.(Reichler 1997, Bandyopadhay 1996, Jajoo 1985, Belcher 1978).

Vaccine's efficacy is also an issue. 34% of mothers in Pakistan felt uncertain about immunization efficacy immunization efficacy (Consultants Consortium SoSec KEMC 2000). The effectiveness of vaccine was also doubted by most of the respondents in a large survey in Nigeria (Babalola 2005). In spite of being immunize the protection form vaccination was thought to be of limited effect by both health workers mothers in Somalia because it lasted only for a limited time (LaFond 1990). It is rumored in different places that children is still getting the disease like measles after being immunize (REACH 1992, Shafritz 1992).

Misperceptions about vaccine safety lowered mothers' confidence in vaccines and cause them to refuse to have their children vaccinated. If more mothers decide not to have to their children immunized morbidity and mortality would most likely increase. Some mothers thought that child's immune system could be weakened due to too many immunizations. This lay beliefs and vaccine myths are dangerous and has misled 68% of the respondents in this study. Myths that show vaccines as harmful, useless and ineffective had led to misperception which adversely affect vaccination adherence. A baby's immune system can accommodate immunization and can respond to approximately 100,000 organisms at once. There is greater harm for not immunizing kids. Decades of research worldwide prove vaccinations are effective and safe. (DOH, new York, cdc.gov). 15% of mother state that low grade fever and a local reaction at the injection site such as swelling and redness, was the cause for non-immunization. A lot of mothers in Somalia (LaFond 1990), 30% in Armenia (UNICEF/Armenia, WHO/Armenia 2006) and more than half in Liberia (Bender 1988) stated fears of side effects as reasons for non-vaccination. In cases where older sibling had side effects, parents choose not to vaccinate the younger children (Bhanot 2004).Common vaccine side effects like mild fever, or swelling at the injection site indicate that the vaccine is working. (cdc.gov).Health staff giving information about vaccines side effects would be of help according to some mothers (LaFond 1990).

The low educational level of mothers has a strong relation with low vaccine uptake (Markland 1976, Marks 1979). Educational status of 74 % of our respondents ranges from elementary to high school graduate. Only 16% of mothers graduated in college. (Markland 1976, Marks 1979) study showed that over two-thirds (70.4%) of mothers who failed to vaccinate their kids had either primary school education or no formal education while (Altinkaynak et al., 2004) study cited increase immunization to educated mothers.

Knowledge versus perception

There indeed are strong association between good vaccination rate and scientific knowledge as cited by some studies ((Babalola 2005, Ibnouf 2007). However, numerous research findings presented high vaccination status to families with very low understanding of immunization (Bukenya 1998, Leach 2006, Sheldon 2003). Rwandan mothers and other family members had only moderate level of understanding about schedule, vaccines, etc., but immunization status was very high (Habimana 1991). In spite of extremely low levels of scientific knowledge about vaccination in Uganda more than 90% of mothers believe in the importance of vaccination (Bukenya 1998). "29% of urban and 48% of rural mothers in Gambia can't rightly name any vaccine preventable disease, but national coverage was 90% (Leach 2008).

Knowledge about immunization is important but what really matters in improving immunization rate is the belief, appreciation and correct perception about basic concept of vaccination. (AlConde 2002, Bhanot 2004, Brown 1980, Indian Market Research Bureau 1987, Sheldon 2003).

CONCLUSION:

The greatest maternal constraints to adherence in EPI are misconception and lack of knowledge about vaccination. Simple steps can be started to break down the barriers which can significantly impact maternal compliance to immunization. Primary strategies must focus on maternal education. Since health providers was a potential source of information dissemination strengthening their communication and education skills was valuable step for enhancing health service delivery.

Seminars not only for the healthcare providers but to families and their significant others can be organized and conducted. Home visit must be done to families with immunizable children in order to disseminate information about vaccination and its importance. (Manjunath, 2003) noted that if mothers are aware of the value of immunization and perceive it as something that can provide and maintain optimum health for their children, they will find ways to do it. Health care workers and nurses need to be more fully prepared to have productive discussions with parents who resist or refuse immunization.

Mothers' and family's beliefs towards immunization must be given consideration. Some of them do not see the importance of learning about immunization because they are not aware of the possible risks their children might get from the delayed administration of vaccines. This matter could then be discussed during home visits or even at health center at times of consultation. Mother's feelings toward being reluctant to have their children immunized can be explored and the importance of having their children immunized at exact time and schedule should be explained. The complaints of mothers regarding anticipated side effects of vaccine or any adverse reactions should be properly addressed and mange. Mothers need to be educated about medical contraindications inorder to minimize deterrence of vaccination due to non-contraindicated mild illnesses. (Burgess et al., 1998; Prislin et al., 2002). Referral to specialist can also be suggested as necessary (Wood, 2003; Gold et al., 2003).

The issue of vaccination program quality must be addressed at different levels to improve the efficiency of this critical public health program. Alternative schedule for vaccination, wrong intervals, designed for dropouts and late starters can be devised to increase immunization rate. Success of EPI in Iligan City requires a concerted effort between the governmental and the various private health agencies that provide vaccination service. Their collaboration must be strengthened and more budget should be allocated to ensure complete vaccination supplies. Salary increase, giving rewards and providing incentives for health care provider can be necessary to enhance worker's motivation especially for maternal education. Combined efforts of government, health sector, health staff and families can aid in delivering vaccination to at least 90% of infants and can significantly help in decreasing child morbidity and mortality which is a Millenium Developmental Goal (United Nations 2015).

REFERENCES:

- Abilla, WD, KK Munguti. A National Qualitative Study of Factors which Promote and Hinder Immunization Activities in Kenya. KEPI and REACH, January 1993.
- Abbotts B, Osborn LM. Immunization status and reasons for immunization dealy among children using public Health Immunization Clinics AJDC. 1993;147:965-68
- Adeiga, A., Omulabu, S. A., Audu, R. A., Sanni, F., Lakahunde, G. P., Balogun, O., Olagbaju, O. (2006). Infant immunization coverage in difficult-to-reach area of Lagos metropolis. African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology, 4(3):227-231.
- Agarwal, S, A Bhanot, and G Goindi. Understanding and Addressing Childhood Immunization Coverage in Urban Slums. Indian Pediatrics 2005; 42/7: 653-663. (India)
- Akmatov MK, Mikolajczyk RT. Timeliness of childhood vaccinations in 31 low and middle-income countries. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2012;66:e14.
- 6. AlConde S.A. Reporte Final. Estudio de percepciones y expectativas a nivel poblacional sobre la

prestación de servicios de inmunización, con énfasis en la vacuna Pentavalente. For USAID's CHANGE Project. Santo Domingo, 2002.

- Altinkaynak S, Ertekin V, Guraksin A, Kilic A (2004). Effect of several sociodemographic factors on measles immunization in children of Eastern Turkey. Public Health,118: 565-569.
- Anah, M. U., Etuk, I. S., Udo, J. J. (2006). Opportunistic immunization with in-patient programme: eliminating a missed opportunity in Calabar, Nigeria. Annals of African Medicine, 5(4):188-191.
- Babalola S. Maternal reasons for non-immunisation and partial immunisation in Northern Nigeria. J Paediatr Child Health. 2011;47:276–281. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1754.2010.01956
- 10. Babalola S and A Adequyi. Factors Influencing Immunisation Uptake in Nigeria: Theory-Based Research in Six States. PATHS, July 2005.
- Bandyopadhyay S, Banerjee K, Datta KK, Atwood SJ, Langmire CM, Andrus JK. Evaluation of mass pulse immunization with oral polio vaccine in Delhi: is pre-registration of children necessary? Indian J Pediatr. 1996;63:133–7.
- Bates AS, Wolinsky FD (1998). Personal, financial, and structural barriers to immunization in socioeconomically disadvantaged urban children. Pediatrics, 101 (41): 591-596.
- Bender D, R Macauley. Immunization Drop-outs and Maternal Behavior: Evaluation of Reasons Given and Strategies for Maintaining Gains Made in the National Vaccination Campaign in Liberia. Presented at the 116th Annual Meeting of the American Public Health Association [APHA], Boston, Massachusetts, November 13-17, 1988.
- Bhanot A, S Agarwal, K Srivastava. Improving age appropriate immunization among urban poor infants. Possible Options and Approaches. USAID/ Environmental Health Project, November 2004. (India)
- Bjerregaard, P, SK Lwanga, DD Mutie. Factors affecting immunization coverage. Availability of services, parental education and social situation. 1991. (Kenya)
- Blanchet T. Perceptions of childhood diseases and attitudes towards immunization among slum dwellers, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Arlington, Virginia: John Snow [JSI], Resources for Child Health [REACH], June 1989 (USAID Contract No. DPE-5927-C-00-5068-00)

- Belcher DW, Nicholas DD, Ofosu-Amaah S, Wurapa FK. A mass immunization campaign in rural Ghana. Factors affecting participation. Public Health Rep. 1978;93:170–6.
- Bond L, Nolan T, Pattison P, Carlin J. Vaccine preventable diseases and immunisations: a qualitative study of mothers' perceptions of severity, susceptibility, benefits and barriers. Australian and New Zealand journal of public health. 1998;22:441–446. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-842X.1998.tb01411.
- Bond L., Nolan T., Pattison P., & Carlin J. 1998. Vaccine preventable diseases and immunizations: A qualitative study of mothers' perceptions of severity, susceptibility, benefits and barriers, Australian/New Zealand Journal of Public Health 22 (4): 441-6.
- Brown, J, P Djogdom, K Murphy, G Kesseng, D Heymann. Identifying the Reasons for Low Immunization Coverage: A Case Study of Yaounde, United Republic of Cameroon. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1980. EPI/GEN/80/4.
- Bruce J. Fundamental elements of the quality of care: a simple framework. Stud FamPlann. 1990;21:61–91
- 22. Bukenya GB. KAP Study of Immunisation Services in Uganda. Study Report. Health Management Consult Uganda: Kampala, 1998.
- 23. Bukenya, G .B. & Freeman, P. A. 1991. Possible reasons for non-completion of immunization in an urban settlement of Papua New Guinea, Papua New Guinea Medical Journal 34(1): 22-5.
- Burgess MA, McIntyre PB, Heath TC (1998). Rethinking contraindications to vaccination. Med. J. Aust., 168:476–477.
- 25. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (July 2011)
- Centre International de L'Enfance, Groupe de Travail, Sobo Doulasso, 10-11 septembre 1990. Acceptabilite des Vaccinations. Synthese por Daniel Levy-Bruhl and Nicole Guerin. (Benin, Burkina Faso, Togo)
- 27. Child Health Survey (2002) "Six Out of Ten Children 12 to 23 Months Are Fully Immunized". Final Results from the 2002 Maternal and Child Health Survey (National Statistics Office). 2003-06-02. Retrieved 2007-05-11.
- 28. Consultants Consortium SoSec KEMC. Third Party Evaluation of Expanded Programme on Immunization Punjab. UNICEF and Health Department Government of the Punjab, July 2000.

- 29. Coreil J, Augustin A, Holt E, Halsey NA (1989). Use of ethnographic research for instrument development in a case control study of immunization in Haiti. Int. J. Epidemiol., 18:33-37.
- Datar A, Mukherji A, Sood N. Health infrastructure and immunization coverage in rural India. Indian J Med Res. 2007;125:31–42
- 31. Devivanayagam N, K Nedunchelian, TP Ashok, N Mala. Reasons for partial/non immunization with oral polio vaccine/triple antigen among children under five years. Madras: Advanced Centre for Clinical Epidemiological Research and Training, Institute of Child Health and Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Madras Medical College, May 1992. (India)
- 32. (DOH, New York, cdc.gov).
- 33. Eng E., Naimoli J., Naimoli G., Parker K.A., & Lowenthal N. 1991. The acceptability of childhood immunization to Togolese mothers: A sociobehavioral perspective. Health Education Quarterly 18(1): 97-110.
- 34. Fairhead J, M Leach. Childhood Vaccination Public Engagement with Science and Delivery. Research Report. Brighton: Institute for Development Studies at the University of Sussex, 01-Jan-05. (Gambia)
- 35. Focus group research (FGR) and knowledge attitudes and practices (KAP) surveys Syria(1990).
- Fields R. Measles Initiative summary of assessment findings. Arlington, VA: REACH, HealthCom, and Quality Assurance projects, 1993 (Burkina Faso, Kenya, Niger).
- Fields R. Measles Initiative trip report June 8-30, 1992. Arlington, VA: REACH Project..
- Gaturuku PK. Immunization coverage of Elgeyi Marakwet District. KEPI Newsletter August 1990; 1-3.
- Ghei K, Agarwal S, Subramanyam MA, Subramani an SV. Association between child immunization and availability of health infrastructure in slums in India. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2010;164(3): 243–249.
- 40. Glauber JH (2003). The immunization delivery effectiveness assessment score: a better immunization measure? Pediatrics., 112: 39-45
- Gold MS, Noonan S, Osbourn M, Precepa S, Kempe AE (2003). Local reactions after the fourth dose of acellular pertussis vaccine in South Aust. Med. J. Aust., 179: 191-194.

- Habimana P, A Bararwandika. Connaissances, opinions et comportements des parents en matiere de vaccination [Knowledge, attitudes and behavior of parents concerning immunization]. FAMILLE, SANTE, DEVELOPPEMENT/ IMBONEZAMURYANGO 1991 Apr; (20): 8-13.
- 43. Harmanci H; Gurbuz Y; Torun SD; Tumerdem N; Erturk T. 2003. Reasons for non-vaccination during national
- 44. immunization days: a case study in Istanbul, Turkey, Public Health, 117(1): 54-61.
- Health Access International. Community demand for immunizations – A literature review of opportunities and obstacles in increasing community demand for immunizations. Cambridge, 20th November 1999. (Prepared for Children's Vaccine Initiative).
- 46. Health Access International. Community demand for immunizations – A literature review of opportunities and obstacles in increasing community demand for immunizations. Cambridge, 20th November 1999. (Prepared for Children's Vaccine Initiative)
- 47. Ibnouf AH, HW Van den Borne, JA Maarse. Factors influencing immunisation coverage among children under five years of age in Khartoum State, Sudan. SA Fam Pract 2007; 49(8):14.
- 48. Imunizasaun Proteje Labarik (IPL). Baseline assessment report. Dili, Timor-Leste; IPL; 2011. Available from:<u>http://www.mchip.net/sites/default/files/Imuni</u> zasaun%20Proteje%20Labarik_%20Baseline%20A ssessment%20Report.pdf
- Indian Market Research Bureau. Communization. Summary report on knowledge, attitudes and practices – Mothers. November 1987. Prepared for UNICEF.
- Jajoo UN, Chhabra S, Gupta OP, Jain AP. Annual cluster (pulse) immunization experience in villages near Sevagram, India. J Trop Med Hyg. 1985;88:277–80.
- 51. Kabir M, Iliyasu Z, Abubakar IS, Nwosuh JI. Immunization coverage among children below two years of age in Fanshakara, Kano, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Basic and Clinical Sciences, 1(1):10-13.
- 52. Keith N. KAP related to vaccinations Focus Group Research in the Tahoua and Maradi departments in Niger, 1992. (for the Measles Initiative)
- Khan A. Programmatic and non-programmatic determinants of low immunization coverage in Bangladesh. Presentation made at Forum 9, Mumbai, India, 12-16 September 2005.
- 54. Khanom, K. & Salahuddin, A. K. 1983. A study of an educational programme on immunization

behavior of parents, Bangladesh Medical Research Council Bulletin 9:18-24.

- Klevens RM, Luman ET (2001). U.S. children living in and near poverty: risk of vaccine-preventable diseases. Am. J. Prev. Med., 20 (4): 55-60.
- 56. LaFond AK. A study of immunization acceptability in Somalia. Save the Children (UK), April 1990.
- Leach, M, J Fairhead. Understandings of immunization: some West African perspectives. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. June 2008; 86 (6): 418.
- 58. Leach M. Making Vaccine Technologies Work for the Poor. IDS Policy Briefing May 2006; 31.
- Lin N, Hingson R, Allwood-Paredes J. ass immunization campaign in El Salvador, 1969. Evaluation of receptivity and recommendation for future campaigns. HSMHA Health Rep. 1971;86:1112–21
- Luman ET, Barker LE, Shaw KM, McCauley MM, Buehler JW, Pickering LK (2005). Timeliness of childhood vaccinations in the United States. JAMA., 293:1204-1211.
- Manjunath U, Pareek RP. (2003). Maternal knowledge and perceptions about the routine immunization programme--a study in a semiurban area in Rajasthan. Indian J Med Sci. Apr;57(4):158-63.
- 62. (Markland 1976, Marks 1979) study showed that over two-thirds (70.4%) of mothers who failed to vaccinate their
- Markland RE, Durand DE (1976). An investigation of sociopsychological factors affecting infant immunization. Am. J. Public Health., 66(2):168-170.
- 64. Marks JS, Halpin TJ, Irvin JJ, Johnson DA, Keller JR (1979) Risk factors associated with failure to receive vaccinations. Pediatrics 64(3): 304-309.
- 65. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, State Government of Bihar. Universal Immunization Programme (UIP) Review – Bihar, 2004
- 66. Ministry of Health and PAHO/World Health Organization. Rapid assessment of system wide barriers and good practices in the expanded programme on immunization: Guyana. July 2004
- 67. Ministry of Health Lusaka/Central Board of Health. Rapid assessment and planning of country efforts to address system wide barriers to immunization. Lusaka, June 2004.
- *68.* Ministry of Health, Republic of Rwanda, Expanded Programme on Immunization. Report on the rapid

©The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access by the GSTF.

evaluation and planning of Rwanda's efforts to eliminate the barriers to vaccination. September 2004.

- 69. Ministry of Health Socialist Republic of Viet Nam. Rapid assessment of country efforts to address system wide barriers to immunization. Hanoi, October 2004.
- 70. Ministry of Health, UNICEF/Ethiopia. National Immunization KABP Survey Report. July 2001.
- 71. Nace NB, Larson C, Lester T, Kosinski J. Perceived Barriers to Childhood immunization: A Physician and Parent Survey in a Southeastern Rural/Urban Community. Tenn Med 1999 Jul;92(7):265-8
- Odusanya OO, Alufohai EF, Meurice FP, Ahonkhai VI. Determinants of vaccination coverage in rural Nigeria. BMC Public Health. 2008;8:381. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-8-381.
- Onyiriuka, A. N. (2005). Vaccination default among children attending a static immunization clinic in Benin city, Nigeria. Journal of Medicine and Biomedical Research, 4(1):71-77.
- 74. Pérez-Cuevas R, Reyes H, Pego U, Tomé P, Ceja K, Flores S, et al. Immunization promotion activities: are they effective in encouraging mothers to immunize their children? Soc Sci Med. 1999;49:921–32
- 75. Perry H., Weierbach R, Hossain I, Islam R. Childhood immunization coverage in Zone 3 of Dhaka City: The challenge of reaching impoverished households in Bangladesh. Bull World Health Organ 1998; 76(6):565-73
- 76. Perry, HB, S El Arifeen, I Hossein, R Weirbach. The Quality of Urban EPI Services in Bangladesh: Findings from the Urban Initiatives' Needs Assessment Study in Zone 3 of Dhaka City. ICDDR,B, 1996. Working Paper No. 24.
- 77. Pillsbury B. Immunization: The Behavioral Issues. Monograph #3 of Behavioral Issues in Child Survival Programs, July 1990. Prepared for the Office of Health, USAID, by International Health and Development Associates.
- Prislin R, Sawyer MH, Nader PR, Goerlitz M, De Guire M, Ho S (2002). Provider-staff discrepancies in reported immunisation knowledge and practices. Prev. Med., 34: 554–561.
- Public Health Nursing in the Philippines. Manila, Philippines: National League of Philippine Government Nurses, Inc. 2007. p. 141.ISBN 978-971-91593-2-2.
- 80. Rahman M, Obaida-Nasrin S. Factors affecting acceptance of complete immunization coverage of

children under five years in rural Bangladesh.Salud Publica Mex. 2010;52(2): 134–140.

- Rapid assessment of health systems barriers to immunization – Outcomes from the pilot in Uganda, August 2004.
- Razum O. Mothers voice their opinion on immunization services. World Health Forum 1993; 14(3): 282-6.
- 83. REACH Nigeria. Important Barriers to Better Coverage (from qualitative research). 1992.
- 84. Reichler MR, Darwish A, Stroh G, Stevenson J, Al Nasr MA, Oun SA, et al. Cluster survey evaluation of coverage and risk factors for failure to be immunized during the 1995 National Immunization Days in Egypt. Int J Epidemiol. 1998;27:1083–9
- Reichler MR, Aslanian R, Lodhi ZH, Latif I, Khan MA, Chaudhry R, et al. Evaluation of oral poliovirus vaccine delivery during the 1994 national immunization days in Pakistan. J Infect Dis. 1997;175(Suppl 1):S205–9.
- Renstein (1990). Barriers to vaccinating preschool children. J. health. Care Poor. Underserved., (3): 315-329.
- 87. Scheifele D, (2011) Paediatr Child Health
- 88. Shafritz L. Burkina Faso trip report. Healthcom, for the Measles Initiative, 1992.
- Sheldon SJ, C Alons. A Study to Describe Barriers to Childhood Vaccination in Mozambique. Final Report. Maputo: Ministry of Health, Expanded Program on Immunization, Mozambique; The CHANGE Project, and Project HOPE, July 2003.
- 90. Social-Cultural Context of Immunization in Benin. Evaluation Newsletter January 1991; 12 (UNICEF).
- Streefland PH, Chowdhury AMR, Ramos-Jimenez P. Quality of vaccination services and social demand for vaccinations in Africa and Asia. Bull World Health Organ. 1999;77:722–30
- 92. Streefland PH, Chowdhury AMR, Ramos-Jimenez P. Quality of vaccination services and social demand for vaccinations in Africa and Asia. Bull World Health Organ. 1999;77:722–30.
- 93. Szilagyi PG, Rodewald LE. Missed opportunities for immunizations: a review of the evidence. J i998
- Talani P. NKounkou-Pika J, Yala F. Missed vaccination opportunities in Brazzaville. Bull SocPatholExot, 2000 April; 93(2); 121-2
- 95. Uddin MJ, CP Larson, E Oliveras, AI Khan, MA Quaiyum, NC Saha, IA Khan, Shamsuzzaman.

©The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access by the GSTF.

Effectiveness of Combined Strategies to Improve Low Coverage of Child Immunization in Urban Slums of Bangladesh. ICDDR,B, October 2008. Working Paper #169.

- 96. UNICEF. Annual Report 2009
- 97. UNICEF/Armenia, WHO/Armenia; and Ministry of Health. Immunization coverage survey. Republic of Armenia, July 2006.
- 98. United Nations: Millennium Development Goal 4: reduce child mortality. (accessed 5 January 2015)<u>http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/childhealt</u> <u>h.shtml</u>
- 99. Waisbord S, Larson H.Why invest in communication for immunization: evidence and lessons learned. Baltimore and New York: Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health/Center for Communication Programs, Health Communication Partnership and the United Nations Children's Fund; 2005. Availablefrom<u>http://www.jhuccp.org/hcp/topics/chi</u> <u>ldsurvival/CommunicationforImmunization.pdf</u>
- 100. Wansi E, D Metango, E Maganga, E Banda, T Msiska. Community IMCI Baseline Survey – Malawi. UNICEF, WHO, Government of Malawi, June 2001.
- 101.World Health Organization. State of the world's vaccines and immunizations. JAMA. 2002;288:2532
- 102. Wood N (2003). Immunisation adverse events clinics. New South Wales Public Health Bulletin., 14: 25–27.
- 103. World Health Organization. Comprehensive EPI review (program review and immunization coverage survey). Conducted by external partners: AMP, IMMUNIZATIONbasics/USAID, WHO and UNICEF, October 2008. (Benin)
- 104. World Health Organization, UNICEF, U.S. Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention, World Bank, Ministry of Health, State Hygiene and Anti-Epidemic Inspectorate, Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention. Immunization Programme Management Review, Armenia. October 2006.
- 105.Zimmerman RK, Ahwesh ER, Mieczkowski TA, Block B, Janosky JE, Barker DW (1996). Influence of family functioning and income on vaccination in inner-city health centers. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med., 150:1054-1061.