## Golden Gate University School of Law GGU Law Digital Commons

#### Publications

Faculty Scholarship

9-30-2013

# Finally, overtime coverage for all domestic workers in California!

Hina B. Shah Golden Gate University School of Law, hshah@ggu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/pubs Part of the <u>Labor and Employment Law Commons</u>

### **Recommended** Citation

Shah, Hina B., "Finally, overtime coverage for all domestic workers in California!" (2013). *Publications*. Paper 598. http://digitalcommons.law.gu.edu/pubs/598

This Blog Post is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Scholarship at GGU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of GGU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact jfischer@ggu.edu.

# **CELA VOICE** PROMOTING FAIRNESS AND EQUALITY IN THE WORKPLACE

CELA VOICE WRITERS





Blog

### 1 2 3 4 Next »

# Finally, overtime coverage for all domestic workers in California!





## By Hina Shah

After nearly 75 years of exclusion from federal and state labor protections, domestic workers have finally scored two important victories in their fight for equal treatment. Late last week, Governor Brown signed AB 241, extending California overtime protections to domestic workers who spend a significant amount of time caring for children, elderly and people with disabilities. One week earlier the federal Department of Labor finalized new rules that significantly extend federal minimum wage and overtime protections to domestic workers who care for the elderly and people with disabilities. Together, these actions extend overtime coverage to all domestic workers in California.

These historic changes are a direct result of domestic workers organizing on the local, state, and national level. Over the past eight years, the California Domestic Workers Coalition has built a grassroots, worker-led, statewide movement in California that includes allies from labor, faith groups and employers. Similar efforts by domestic workers in New York and Hawaii have also resulted in legislative victories.

The struggle for equal treatment of domestic workers dates back to the beginning of the regulated workplace. Domestic workers organized a massive letter writing campaign in the 1920s and 1930s. Highlighting slave-like working conditions, they petitioned President

## Subscribe to CELA VOICE

Enter your email address to subscribe to this blog and receive notifications of new posts by email.

Email Address

Subscribe

## Recent Posts

- Finally, overtime coverage for all domestic workers in California!
- > It's none of their business, or is it?
- > Is franchising the new frontier for wage theft?
- Getting a job should not require giving up an important constitutional right
- Swipe paycard, have wages swiped

## **CELA VOICE Writers**

› Afshin Mozaffari	> Anne Richardson
> CELA VOICE	<ul> <li>Charlotte Fishman,</li> <li>Co-Chair</li> </ul>
> Christian Schreiber	> Curt Surls
> Daniel Velton	> Elizabeth Kristen
> Hina Shah	→ Jean Hyams, Co- ─ Chair
› Joan Herrington	› Kevin Kish
<ul> <li>Mariko Yoshihara, Director</li> </ul>	› Michael Marsh
	→ Monique Olivier
› Nicolas Orihuela	> Nicole Heeder
> Sarah Schlehr	> Scott R. Ames
> Sharon Vinick	> V. James DeSimone

› Wendy Musell

#### CELA VOICE - PROMOTING FAIRNESS AND EQUALITY IN THE WORKPLACE

Franklin and Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt, as well as Secretary of Labor Frances Perkins, to cover them under the Fair Labor Standards Act, to no avail. Thirty-six years later, when Congress amended the FLSA to include most domestic workers in minimum wage protections and overtime pay, it exempted live-in domestic workers from overtime and excluded casual babysitters and companions for the elderly or people with disabilities entirely.

In California, a similar letter writing campaign was instituted to get the Wage Board to regulate employment in the home as early as the 1940s. However, when California finally adopted a Wage Order for Household Occupations in 1976, it exempted domestic workers (called "personal attendants") who spent a significant amount of time caring for children, elderly and people with disabilities. Personal attendants finally gained minimum wage protection in 2001 and have only now gained the right to overtime.

These gains, while significant, are not secure. Because the federal regulations do not take effect until 2015, there is fear that they may be reversed with a change in administration. The California statute is set to expire in 2016, unless the legislature acts to extend it.

One reason for these time limitations is the fear that home care will become unaffordable for many modest to low-income recipients. Available evidence is to the contrary.

Currently, fifteen states provide minimum wage and overtime protection to home care workers and twenty-one states provide minimum wage. According to the Paraprofessional Healthcare Institute, institutionalization rates are not higher in states that provide home care workers with minimum wage and overtime. Furthermore, there is significant cost to high turnover rates (estimated at between 44 and 65%) that is a direct result of low wages and poor working conditions.

While neither AB 241 nor the federal rules are a panacea, domestic workers in California have much to celebrate this month. Today's home-care industry is staffed by trained professionals. These workers are their families' breadwinners. The removal of these historical exemptions at both the federal and state level is an important first step in valuing their labor as real work, and recognizing the dignity of those who care for our loved ones.

Age Discrimination	Background Checks
Breastfeeding at Work Discrimination	
Domestic Workers	Employment Litigation
Equal Pay	
Family Responsibilities Discrimination	
Farmworkers Fede	eral Courts FLSA
Franchising and Employment Law	
Gender Discrimination Harassment	
Home Health Aides Labor History	
Legislation Living Wage	
Mandatory Arbitration	
Occupational Safety	Overtime Paycards
Personal Attendants	
Pregnancy Accommodation Privacy	
Race Discrimination	Retaliation
Sexual Harassment	Sexual Orientation
Supreme Court Wage Theft	
Worker Misclassification	
Workplace Policies	Workplace Violence

Tags

9/30/13