Golden Gate University School of Law **GGU Law Digital Commons**

California Agencies

California Documents

2012

The California Land Conservation (Williamson) Act 2012 Status Report

California Department of Conservation

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caldocs agencies



Part of the Land Use Law Commons

Recommended Citation

California Department of Conservation, "The California Land Conservation (Williamson) Act 2012 Status Report" (2012). California Agencies. Paper 395.

http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caldocs_agencies/395

This Committee Report is brought to you for free and open access by the California Documents at GGU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in California Agencies by an authorized administrator of GGU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact jfischer@ggu.edu.

The California Land Conservation Act 2012 Status Report

The Williamson Act



October 2013



Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor

John Laird, Secretary, Natural Resources Agency

Mark Nechodom, Director, Department of Conservation

California Department of Conservation Division of Land Resource Protection (DLRP)



Our Mission:

The Department of Conservation balances today's needs with tomorrow's challenges and fosters intelligent, sustainable, and efficient use of California's energy, land, and mineral resources. DLRP's goal is to lead the change in the value proposition for the conservation of agricultural and open space land in California.

The California Land Conservation Act

The Program:

The California Land Conservation Act, known commonly as the Williamson Act, has helped preserve agricultural and open space lands since 1965. Local governments and landowners enter into voluntary contracts that restrict enrolled lands to agricultural uses for periods of 10 to 20 years. The program creates resource planning and economic benefits for the contracting participants, and the citizens of California.

For further information, please contact:

California Department of Conservation Division of Land Resource Protection Land Conservation Act Program 801 K Street, MS 18-01 Sacramento, CA 95814-3528 (916) 324-0850 FAX (916) 327-3430 TDD (916) 324-2555

email: dlrp@conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/lca www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/lca

Cover Graphics

Front: Broccoli and hillside grazing located on the Hibbits Ranch, Santa Barbara County. Photo by Ken Murray.

Back: Sunset and the rising moon, San Benito County.

Photo by Mike Kisko.

© 2013, California Department of Conservation

All rights reserved. Fair use of this report for a non-commercial, educational, research, or scientific purpose is not a violation of copyright. However, no part of the contents of this report may be reproduced, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, for any purpose without the express written permission of the Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection.

The California Land Conservation Act 2012 Status Report

The Williamson Act

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION DIVISION OF LAND RESOURCE PROTECTION WILLIAMSON ACT PROGRAM

OCTOBER 2013

Acknowledgements

Land Conservation Act Program Staff

Molly Penberth, Manager Michael Krug, Staff Counsel Heather Anderson Meredith Meraz Jacquelyn Ramsey

With the assistance of Kerri Kisko and Alexandra Borack

Division of Land Resource Protection

John Lowrie, Acting Assistant Director David Thesell, Deputy Chief, Financial Assistance Monica Cea, Administrative Liaison



DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

Managing California's Working Lands

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

801 K STREET • MS 24-01 • SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

PHONE 916 / 322-1080 • FAX 916 / 445-0732 • TDD 916 / 324-2555 • WEB SITE conservation.ca.gov

October 2013

Dear Land Conservation Partner:

On behalf of the Department of Conservation (Department), I am pleased to present the California Land Conservation Act 2012 Status Report. The focus of this report is Land Conservation Act (Williamson Act) enrollment in 2010 and 2011, along with comparisons to the past decade. The vast majority of enrollment data were gathered from applications received for payment under the Open Space Subvention Act.

The Land Conservation Act has been the State's premier agricultural land protection program since its enactment in 1965, preserving agricultural and open-space lands through property tax incentives and voluntary restrictive-use contracts. Private landowners voluntarily restrict their land to agricultural and compatible open-space uses under minimum 10-year rolling term contracts with local governments. In return, restricted parcels are assessed for property tax purposes at a rate consistent with their agricultural or open space use(s), rather than potential market value. At the Department, we consider this financial arrangement to be an investment, collectively made through state and local fiscal mechanisms, on behalf of the entire state, in maintaining the viability of agricultural lands in California.

The 2012 report reflects the larger factors affecting land use in California and the serious challenges facing government as a whole. Recession-induced revenue constraints limited the ability of the State to provide subventions to local governments. Supporters of the Act worked together to provide options that limit the impacts of the loss of these funds, through decreased contract periods. And new legislation (SB 618, Wolk), enacted in 2011, provides an option to rescind Land Conservation Act contracts on land that has chemical, physical, or water-related limitations, replacing them with Solar-Use Easements.

On behalf of the Department of Conservation, I sincerely thank the local governments that work with us to support the program and to provide the data that underlie the production of this report.

Sincerely,

Mark Nechodom

Director







Table of Contents

Land Conse	ervation Act - Glossary of Terms	
	•	
Executive S	Summary	1
1	The California Land Conservation Act	9
1		
	Land Conservation Act Contracts	
	Farmland Security Zones	
	The Open Space Subvention Act	
	New Challenges and Opportunities	
	The Land Conservation Act Status Report and Statistical Notes	6
•	0040 and 0044 hard 0 an armost an Ast Free House	_
2	2010 and 2011 Land Conservation Act Enrollment	
	Statewide Enrollment	
	Net Increases and Decreases in Enrollment	
	New Enrollments	
	Farmland Security Zones – Transfers and Total Acreage	9
	Nonrenewal Initiations	10
	Nonrenewal Expirations	11
	Total Acreage in Nonrenewal	
	Contract Cancellations	
	Public Acquisitions	
	City Annexations	
	Net Adjustments	
	Not / Najudumonto	
3	Longer-Term Trends in the Land Conservation Act	17
	A Decade of Land Conservation Act Contract Termination Trends	17
4	Statewide Land Conservation Act Administration, Support, and Legislation	21
	Reported Eligible Acreage and Subvention Application Totals	
	Compliance Audits	
	Recent Legislation Affecting the Land Conservation Act	24
APPENDIX	A 2010 and 2011 Reported Statistics by County	25
A DDENIDIY	B. Onen Space Subvention Act Inflation-Adjusted Expenditures	50

FIGURES	Figure 1	John Williamson, Author of the California Land Conservation Act
	Figure 2	Counties Participating in the Land Conservation Act 4
	Figure 3	Regions for Land Conservation Act Report Analysis 6
	Figure 4	Termination Trend Comparison, 2000-201117
	Figure 5	Statewide Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage: 2000-2011
	Figure 6	Statewide Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage: 2000-2011, Prime v. Nonprime
	Figure 7	Nonrenewal Initiations v. Nonrenewal Expirations: 2000-2011
	Figure 8	Public Acquisitions: 2000-2011
	Figure 9	Net Adjustments to Land Conservation Act Acreage
	-	Reported by Counties19
	Figure 10	Cancellations: 2000-2011
	Figure B-1	Annual Open Space Subvention Act Expenditures 1971-2010
	Figure B-2	Cumulative Open Space Subvention Act Expenditures 1971-2010
TABLES	Table 1	Agricultural Land Conservation Programs Statewide Reported Acreage
	Table 2	Counties Participating in Land Conservation Act Alternative Funding Option
	Table 3	Land Conservation Act Statewide and County Reported Enrollment 2008-2011
	Table 4	Counties with the Largest Enrollment Increase (Net) 8
	Table 5	Counties with the Largest Enrollment Decrease (Net) 8
	Table 6	Counties with the Greatest Amount of New Enrollments 9
	Table 7	Regional Ranking by the Amount of New Enrollments 9
	Table 8	Farmland Security Zone Acreage and Percentage of Total Enrollment by County in 201110
	Table 9	Counties with the Greatest Amount of Nonrenewal Initiations
	Table 10	Regional Ranking by the Amount of Nonrenewal Initiations
	Table 11	Counties with the Greatest Amount of Nonrenewal Expirations
	Table 12	Regional Ranking by the Amount of Nonrenewal Expirations
	Table 13	Counties with the Largest Percentage of Enrollment Under Nonrenewal
	Table 14	Counties with Cancellations
	Table 15	Counties with the Greatest Amount of Public Acquisitions
	Table 16	Regional Ranking by the Amount of Public Acquisitions
	Table 17	Counties with Annexations of Contracted Land to Cities
	Table 18	Counties with the Greatest Amount of Adjustments (Net) 15
	Table 19	Regional Ranking by the Amount of Adjustments (Net) 15
	Table 20	Cumulative Acres Terminated by Category: 2000-2011 20
	Table 21	Counties with the Largest Subvention Application Amounts
	Table 22	2010 Open Space Subvention Claims by Region
	Table 23	2011 Open Space Subvention Claims by Region
	Table 24	Recaptured Subvention Payments from Audits23

Appendix A: Land Conservation Act Data Tables

Table A-1	Total Reported Enrollment 2010	26
Table A-2	Total Reported Enrollment 2011	
Table A-3	Farmland Security Zone Transfers 2010	28
Table A-4	Farmland Security Zone Transfers 2011	
Table A-5	Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage, Land Conservation	
	2010 & 2011	
Table A-6	Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage, Farmland	
	Security Zone 2010	31
Table A-7	Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage, Farmland	
	Security Zone 2010	32
Table A-8	New Enrollments 2010	33
Table A-9	New Enrollments 2011	34
Table A-10	Nonrenewal Initiations	35
Table A-11	Nonrenewal Expirations	36
Table A-12	Nonrenewal Withdrawn Acreage, Land	
	Conservation Act	37
Table A-13	Cancellations 2010	38
Table A-14	Cancellations 2011	39
Table A-15	Public Acquisitions 2010	40
Table A-16	Public Acquisitions 2011	
Table A-17	City Annexations 2010	42
Table A-18	City Annexations 2011	43
Table A-19	Net Adjustments 2010	44
Table A-20	Net Adjustments 2011	45
Table A-21	Contracted Land Not Receiving Tax Relief	
	Benefits 2010	46
Table A-22	Contracted Land Not Receiving Tax Relief	
	Benefits 2011	47
Table A-23	Acres Eligible for Open Space Subvention Payment	
	2010	48
Table A-24	Acres Eligible for Open Space Subvention Payment	
	2011	49
Table A-25	Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims 2010	50
Table A-26	Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims 2011	
Appendix B: Open Space Sub	vention Act Inflation-Adjusted Expenditures	
Table B-1	Open Space Subvention Act Inflation-Adjusted	
	Expenditures 1971-2010	53



Land Conservation Act ~ Glossary of Terms						
Term	Definition	Code Citation or Reference				
These glossary definitions are	generalizations of formal legal terms. They do not represent legal interpretations or how statute should be ap please refer to the code citations or references.	plied to specific situations. For complete definitions				
Agricultural Commodity	An agricultural commodity includes plant and animal products produced in the state for commercial purposes. Plant products used for producing biofuels are also considered to be agricultural commodities.	Government Code § 51201(a)				
Agricultural Preserve	An <i>agricultural preserve</i> is an area established in accordance with the Land Conervation Act by a city or county that is devoted to either agricultural, recreational, or open-space use, or some combination of those uses.	Government Code §§ 51201(d) and 51205				
Agricultural Use	Agricultural use means the use of land, including but not limited to greenhouses, for the purposes of producing an agricultural commodity for commercial purposes.	Government Code § 51201(b)				
Animal Unit	An animal unit is a measurement of forage needed to support livestock.	http://ag.arizona.edu/arec/pubs/rmg/1%20rangelandm anagement/1%20aum93.pdf.				
Cancellation	Cancellation is an expedited method to terminate a Land Conservation Act or Farmland Security Zone contract. It requires a board of supervisors or city council approval based on rigorous findings, and payment of a cancellation fee of 12.5 percent or 25 percent of assessed property value, depending on the type of contract. Contract cancellation provisions are a means to address situations where ending the contract will either facilitate an alternative land use that is consistent with the purposes of the Act or facilitate a public interest that substantially outweighs the objectives of the Act.	Government Code § 51280 et seq.				
Compatible Use	A <i>compatible use</i> is any use determined by a county or city that participates in the Land Conservation Act to be compatible with agricultural, recreational, or open-space uses and satisfy the principles of compatibility in §§51238, 51238.1, 51238.2 or 51238.3. Participating jurisdictions adopt rules that define which uses are allowable, prohibited, and permitted under specific circumstances.	Government Code § 51201(e), and §§ 51238, 51238.1, 51238.2, and 51238.3				
Development	Development means the construction of buildings or other uses of the property that are unrelated to agricultural or open-space uses, or that substantially impair agricultural or open-space uses of the property. Development does not include land uses that are considered compatible with agricultural or open-space uses, or the acquisition of land or an interest in land.	Government Code § 51201 (p)				
Easement Exchange	An easement exchange is a process whereby a city or county enters into an agreement with a landowner to rescind a Land Conservation Act contract in order to simultaneously place other land within that city or the county where the contract is rescinded under a permanent agricultural conservation easement.	Government Code § 51256				
Farmland Security Zone (FSZ)	Farmland Security Zones (FSZ) are areas where contracts are of longer duration than regular Land Conservation Act contracts, initially at least 20 year terms. There is a greater tax benefit to enroll in an FSZ contract due to its longer duration. The land must meet specified qualitative thresholds in order to be eligible for FSZ enrollment.	Government Code §§51296, 51296.1, and 51296.8				
Important Farmland Series Maps	Important Farmland Series Maps document soil quality and current land use status and are compiled on a biennial basis by the California Department of Conservation. The maps are used in the planning process to determine the impacts of proposed development projects on farmland resources in California. Land must be designated in specified Important Farmland Map categories to be eligible for enrollment in Farmland Security Zone contracts.	Government Code § 65570				
Land Capability Classification	The Land capability classification is a system of grouping soils primarily on the basis of their capability to produce common cultivated crops and pasture.	http://soils.usda.gov/technical/handbook/contents/par t622.html				
Land Conservation Act Contract	A Land Conservation Act contract, also known as a Williamson Act contract, is a contract between a landowner and a city or county wherein land devoted to agricultural use is restricted to agricultural, open-space, and/or recreational uses. Local governments and landowners may voluntarily enter into these contracts whereby the landowner foregoes the possibility of development during the term of the contract, in return for lower property taxes. The local government foregoes a portion of its property taxes in return for the planning advantages and values implicit in retaining land in agriculture or open space. They are self-renewing contracts that last for a period of ten years unless they are not renewed.	Government Code § 51200 et seq., and §51240 et seq.				
Managed Wetland Area	A managed wetland area is an area which may be diked off from the ocean or other water body and that is occasionally flooded. For purposes of the Land Conservation Act, the land must be used and maintained as a waterfowl hunting preserve, game refuge, or for agricultural purposes for at least three years prior to being placed within an agricultural preserve.	Government Code § 51201 (I)				
Non-Prime Agricultural Land	Non-Prime agricultural land is land enrolled under a California Land Conservation Act contract but not defined as "prime agricultural land" under Government Code Section 51201 (c) or as land that is not classified as "agricultural land" under Public Resources Code Section 21060.1 (a). Non-prime agricultural land is also defined as open space uses of statewide significance under Government Code Section 16143(b), and may be identified as such in other documents.	Government Code §51238.1, 16143, and Public Resources Code §21060.1				
Non-Renewal	Land Conservation Act contracts automatically renew each year unless <i>non-renewal</i> of the contract is requested by either the landowner or the participating city or county. Non-renewal involves a written notice to the other party to the contract in advance of the contract's annual renewal date. Specific timelines apply for providing a notice of non-renewal. Once non-renewal is filed, the existing contract remains in effect for the balance of the period remaining on the contract, and valuation for property tax purposes gradually increase to fair market value. Non-renewal is the preferred and orderly method for terminating a Land Conservation Act contract.	Government Code §§ 51245 and 51246				

Land Conservation Act ~ Glossary of Terms						
Term	Definition	Code Citation or Reference				
These glossary definitions are generalizations of formal legal terms. They do not represent legal interpretations or how statute should be applied to specific situations. For complete definitions please refer to the code citations or references.						
Open Space Subvention Act	The Open Space Subvention Act was enacted in 1972 to provide partial replacement of local property tax revenues foregone as a result of participation in the Land Conservation Act. The subventions to local governments are calculated based of the number of acres, quality (soil type and agricultural productivity), and for Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) contracts the proximity of the FSZ enrolled land to the city.	Government Code § 16141 et seq.				
Open space uses of statewide significance	Open space uses of statewide significance is land that (a) could be developed as prime agricultural land, or (b) is open-space land as defined in Section 65560 which constitutes a resource whose preservation is of more than local importance for ecological, economic, educational, or other purposes. The Secretary of the Resources Agency shall be the final judge of whether the land is in fact devoted to open-space use of statewide significance.	Government Code §16143				
Open space use	Open space use is the use or maintenance of land in a manner that preserves its natural characteristics, beauty, or openness for the benefit and enjoyment of the public, to provide habitat for wildlife, or for the solar evaporation of seawater in the course of salt production for commercial purposes. The land must be within a scenic highway corridor, wildlife habitat area, saltpond, managed wetland area, submerged area, or enrolled in the United States Department of Agriculture Conservation Reserve Program or Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program.	Government Code § 51201 (0)				
Prime Agricultural Land	Prime agricultural land is land that meets specified soil quality, forage production, or income criteria under Government Code Section 51201. Under the Land Conservation Act, the Prime agricultural land designation impacts what uses are considered compatible, eligible parcel sizes, and other factors, including the amount of open space subventions payable to the participating city or county.	Government Code § 51201 (c)				
Prime Farmland	Prime Farmland is land designated on the Important Farmland series maps as having the best combination of physical and chemical features able to sustain long-term agricultural production. The designation is based on soil data from the USDA and current land use status. In California, land must be irrigated or have been used for irrigated agricultural production within a specified historic timeframe to qualify for Prime Farmland.	Government Code § 65570				
Public Acquisition	A public acquisition is the purchase of property by a public agencysuch as a county, city, school district, other special district, or state and federal agencyfor the purpose of a public improvement. A "public improvement" means any interest in facilities or real property, including easements, rights-of-way, and fee title ownership. Agencies considering the purchase of property that is located in an agricultural preserve, or enrolled in a Land Conservation Act or Farmland Security Zone contract, are required to follow specific site selection and notification processes.	Government Code §§ 51290.5 and 51291				
Recreational Use	As it pertains to the Land Conservation Act, recreational use is the use of land in its agricultural or natural state by the public for any of the following: walking, hiking, picnicking, camping, swimming, boating, fishing, hunting, or other outdoor games or sports. Any fees charged for the recreational use of the land shall be reasonable so as to not limit its access to the general public.	Government Code § 51201 (n)				
Scenic Highway Corridor	A scenic highway corridor is an area adjacent to and in view of the right-of-way of state or county scenic highways. The scenic highway must meet specified planning requirements to be eligible for consideration as this type of corridor.	Government Code § 51201 (i)				
Storie Index	Storie Index is a classification system to rate soils based on the land's potential productive capacity.	http://anrcatalog.ucdavis.edu/pdf/8335.pdf.				
Submerged Area	A <i>submerged area</i> is any land determined by the participating city or county to be submerged or subject to tidal action and found by the jurisdiction's board or council to be of great value to the state as open space.	Government Code § 51201 (m)				
Subvention Funds	Subvention Funds are funds paid by the state's General Fund to participating cities and counties as partial replacement of the property tax revenues foregone as a result of participation in the Land Conservation Act. Subvention amounts are calculated based of the number of acres and other criteria, subject to certification by the Department of Conservation.	Government Code § 16141				
Wildlife Habitat Area	A wildlife habitat area is a land or water area designated by a board or council, after consulting with the Department of Fish and Wildlife, as an area of importance for the protection or enhancement of the wildlife resources of the state.	Government Code § 51201 (j)				



Executive Summary

Fiscal Challenges Impact Participant Reporting

The 2012 Land Conservation Act Status Report represents data submitted to the Department of Conservation (Department) regarding program participation during 2010 and 2011, as part of the annual Open Space Subvention payment application process. As of the 2011 snapshot, approximately 15.0 million acres were reported as being enrolled statewide. This is an 8.5 percent drop from the 16.4 million acres accounted for in the 2010 Status Report. The decrease is in large measure associated with 10 counties not reporting enrollment to the State, as opposed to a decrease in Land Conservation Act participation. Nonreporting by counties is likely due to the decreases to, and eventual loss of, Open Space Subvention payments.

2011 Enrollment Snapshot

Of California's 58 counties, 52 have executed contracts under the Land Conservation Act Program. Private landowners voluntarily restrict their land to agricultural and compatible open-space uses under minimum 10-year rolling term contracts with local governments. In return, restricted parcels are assessed for property tax purposes at a rate consistent with their actual use, rather than potential market value. For more information about the Program, please refer to Chapter 1.

For the 2010 and 2011 reporting period, 43 counties and 2 city governments submitted information to the Department. The pattern of nonreporting expanded from 7 to 10 counties between 2010 and 2011, likely reflecting the loss of subvention funds paid to local government from the State General Fund. A map depicting participating counties is located on page 4.

Counties reported approximately 15.0 million acres enrolled in Land Conservation Act contracts statewide (Table 1, next page). This represents approximately 50 percent of California's farmland total of about 30 million acres, or about 31 percent of the State's privately owned land. The Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) program, based on 20-year contracts, has been adopted by 25 counties, although not all of these counties have executed contracts. Twenty-one counties reported a total of 875,604 acres of land under FSZ contract, which constituted approximately 6 percent of the statewide Land Conservation Act enrollment. Using prior enrollment data to adjust for the lower reporting rate in 2011, it is estimated that 16.3 million acres are currently enrolled in the Land Conservation Act statewide.

Local governments claimed a total of \$71.71 million in Open Space Subvention Act payments for the partial replacement of property tax revenue losses associated with contract enrollment during 2010 and 2011. However, pursuant to Government Code section 16148, subvention payments were effectively eliminated beginning in Fiscal Year 2010-11. Open Space Subvention payments have totaled more than \$863 million between 1971 and 2010. Adjusted for inflation, the State's investment in subventions to counties totals nearly \$1.5 billion.

Despite the fiscal challenges, contracts between landowners and local governments continue. Legislation to lessen the impact of subvention payment losses to local governments was passed in 2010¹, offering a decrease of the term of the rolling contracts to 9 years for regular LCA contracts or 18 years for Farmland Security Zone contracts. To date, 12 counties have opted for this compromise. One county, Imperial, chose to exit the Program, simultaneously nonrenewing contracts covering 117,246 acres in a ten-year wind down. In contrast, 29 counties accepted new contracts during the reporting period.

Page 1

¹ SB 863 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 722, Statutes of 2010) and AB 1265 (Nielsen, Chapter 90, Statutes of 2011).

In total, 630,246 acres of contracted land were reported to be at some stage of the nonrenewal process. The cumulative nonrenewal acreage constituted 4.2 percent of statewide Land Conservation Act enrollment.

The other major trend affecting enrolled land during this reporting period is the interest in solar power generation, incentivized by the State's requirement that 33 percent of investor-owned electric utility retail sales be derived from renewable sources by 2020. Legislation signed in 2011² provides an option to rescind Land Conservation Act contracts on land that has been compromised due to chemical, physical, or water-related limitations-replacing them with Solar-Use Easements. Regulations to implement this legislation are currently under review as required under the California Administrative Procedure Act.³

Land Conservation Act Statewide Enrollment Summary

- Contracts occur in 52 counties.
- Enrollment statistics are submitted annually as part of the Open Space Subvention application process.
- Top participating counties: Kern, Fresno, and Tulare (1.7, 1.5 and 1.1 million acres, respectively).
- Longer term enrollments: Farmland Security Zones (FSZ). 18 counties participate in the 20-year FSZ contract option. FSZ's cover more than 875,600 acres or 6 percent of statewide LCA total enrollment.

Table 1
Agricultural Land Conservation Programs
Statewide Reported Acres

Statewide Reported Acres						
Category	2010	2011^				
Land Conservation Act						
Prime	5,301,075	4,726,715				
Non-Prime	10,142,994	9,358,572				
Farmland Security Zones						
Prime	653,928	792,934				
Non-Prime	216,276	82,670				
Other Restrictions*	55,335	55,938				
Total	16,369,608	15,016,829				

^Ten counties, including the two high participation counties of Stanislaus and Mendocino, did not submit data in 2011.

Assuming 2010 data for these counties, the statewide total would be 16.3 million acres. See Chapter 2 for more information.

*Other Restrictions include agricultural conservation and open space easements reported by participating jurisdictions.

² SB 618 (Wolk, Chapter 596, Statutes of 2011)

³ http://www.oal.ca.gov/Regular Rulemaking Process.htm



Chapter 1: The California Land Conservation Act

A partnership between landowners, local governments, and the State since 1965

The California Land Conservation Act has been the State's premier agricultural land protection program since its enactment in 1965. More than 16 million of the State's 30 million acres of farm and ranch land have participated in the Program, which is authorized under California Government Code beginning with Section 51200. Of California's 58 counties, 52 have executed Land Conservation Act contracts with landowners.⁴

Following World War II, California experienced tremendous population and economic growth. This growth, in tandem with the State's property tax system, led to increased pressures to convert agricultural land to urban use. Rapidly escalating property taxes often presented a prohibitive burden for farmers who wanted to maintain their agricultural operations. In response, the California Legislature passed the Land Conservation Act in 1965 to preserve agricultural and open space lands by discouraging "premature and unnecessary conversion to urban uses." The legislation was authored by Assemblyman John Williamson of Yolo County (Figure 1); and the law is

commonly referred to as the Williamson Act. The Land Conservation Act was then, and remains today, a policy that protects agricultural land through an interrelated set of property tax, land use, and conservation measures.

The Land Conservation Act is a California State statute administered by local governments. Local governments are not mandated to participate, and those that do have some latitude to tailor the program to suit local goals and objectives. A three-way relationship between private landowners, local governments, and the State is central to the success of the Program. Local governments and landowners voluntarily enter into a contract in which each accepts certain costs in return for other benefits. The landowner foregoes the possibility of development, or converting his or her property into nonagricultural or non-open space use during the term of the contract, in return for lower property taxes. The local government foregoes a portion of its property taxes in return for the planning advantages and values implicit in retaining land in agriculture or open space.

The State is also a key player in the program. Between fiscal years 1971 and 2009, the State paid an average of \$22.7 million per year to offset the differential tax rates (\$38.6 million on an inflation adjusted basis). The State continues to support local governments and landowners in the form of technical and implementation assistance, interpretation of the Act, issue and policy research, contract enforcement, and preparation of the Land Conservation Act Status Report. 6




Figure 1
John Williamson, Author of the California Land
Conservation Act

⁴ Alpine County has adopted the program, but has yet to execute a contract. Los Angeles County's open space enforceable restrictions on Catalina Island include no executed contracts.

⁵ Government Code Section 51220 (c).

⁶ The biennial status report is required under Government Code Section 51207.

Land Conservation Act contracts have an initial term of ten years, with renewal occurring automatically each year (local governments can establish initial contract terms for longer periods of time). The contracts run with the land and are binding on all successors in interest of the landowner. Only land located within an agricultural preserve is eligible to participate. An agricultural preserve defines the boundary of an area within which a city or county will enter into contracts with landowners. The boundary is designated by resolution of the board of supervisors or city council having jurisdiction. Preserves are regulated by rules and restrictions designated in the resolution to ensure that the land within the preserve is maintained for agricultural or open space use. The rules of each agricultural preserve specify the uses allowed. Generally, any commercial agricultural use will be permitted within an agricultural preserve. In addition, local governments may identify compatible uses that are allowed with a use permit. (Landowners interested in enrolling land in a contract should contact their local planning department for application forms and instructions).

Land Conservation Act Contracts Government Code § 51200 et seq. and 51240 et seq.

The Land Conservation Act consists of two primary enrollment categories—Prime and Non-Prime.

Prime Agricultural Land is defined as meeting one or more of the following criteria set forth under California Government Code Section 51201:

- Land which qualifies for rating as class I or class II in the Natural Resources Conservation Service land capability classification system.⁷
- Land which qualifies for rating 80 to 100 in the Storie Index Rating system.⁸
- 3. Land which supports livestock used for the production of food and fiber and which has an annual carrying capacity equivalent to at least one animal unit⁹ per acre as defined by the United States Department of Agriculture.



Figure 2
Counties Participating in the Land Conservation Act

- 4. Land planted with fruit or nut-bearing trees, vines, bushes or crops which have a nonbearing period of less than five years and which will normally return during the commercial bearing period on an annual basis from the production of unprocessed agricultural plant production not less than \$200 per acre.
- 5. Land which has returned from the production of unprocessed agricultural plant production with an annual gross value of not less than \$200 per acre for three of the previous five years.

⁷ Land capability classification is a system of grouping soils primarily on the basis of their capability to produce common cultivated crops and pasture, http://soils.usda.gov/technical/handbook/contents/part622.html.

⁸ Storie Index is a classification system to rate soils based on the land's potential productive capacity, http://anrcatalog.ucdavis.edu/pdf/8335.pdf.

⁹ An animal unit is a measurement of forage needed to support livestock, http://ag.arizona.edu/arec/pubs/rmg/1%20rangelandmanagement/1%20aum93.pdf.

Non-Prime Land is enrolled under a California Land Conservation Act contract that does not meet any of the criteria for classification as Prime Agricultural Land. It is considered to be devoted to open space use of statewide significance under the California Open Space Subvention Act (California Government Code Section 16143), and may be identified as such in other documents.

Most Non-Prime Land is in agricultural uses such as grazing or non-irrigated crops. However, Non-Prime Land may also include other open space uses that are compatible with agriculture and consistent with local general plans.

Farmland Security Zones

Government Code §§ 51296 et seq.

In 1998, the Farmland Security zone (FSZ) provisions of the Land Conservation Act were added. An FSZ contract offers landowners greater property tax reduction in return for an initial contract term of 20 years, with renewal occurring automatically each year. Land restricted by an FSZ contract is valued for property assessment purposes at 65 percent of its Land Conservation Act valuation, or 65 percent of its Proposition 13 valuation, whichever is lower. As enacted in 1998, the FSZ provisions allowed for the creation of a FSZ contract only through the rescission of an existing Land Conservation Act contract. That requirement was changed on January 1, 2000, thus allowing non-contracted land to go directly into an FSZ contract. Cities and special districts that provide non-agricultural services are generally prohibited from annexing land enrolled under an FSZ contract. Similarly, school districts are prohibited from taking FSZ lands for school facilities.

The Open Space Subvention Act

Government Code § 16141 et seq.

The Open Space Subvention Act was enacted in 1971 to provide partial replacement of local property tax revenues foregone as a result of participation in the Program. The first Open Space Subvention payments were made in Fiscal Year (FY) 1972. From inception until FY 2010, over \$863 million was distributed by the State to counties and cities in support of the Program, averaging \$55 per acre over the lifetime of the subventions, or \$1.42 per acre per year. Adjusted for inflation, the value of the State's investment in subventions to participating jurisdictions totals \$1.5 billion (Appendix B). In recent years, revenue constraints have limited the ability of the State to provide subventions to local governments to backfill for the foregone property tax revenue associated with contracted land.

New Challenges and Opportunities

The recent economic recession has had severe impacts on both State and local government budgets. As the State's General Fund suffered, the State's ability to provide subventions to local governments was threatened during multiple fiscal years. Payments were reduced, and pursuant to Government Code section 16148, subvention payments were effectively eliminated beginning in FY 2010. Local governments' goal of supporting agricultural and open space land conservation without subventions was discussed widely during this transition;

the loss of tax revenue caused some jurisdictions to consider whether to continue offering the Program in the future. Enrollments were frozen in a number of counties. In 2011, Imperial County decided that all Land Conservation Act contracts would be placed in nonrenewal status.

Compromise legislation that allows local governments to re-capture a portion of the foregone property tax revenue by decreasing the duration of Land Conservation Act and FSZ contracts by one- and two-years, respectively, was passed in conjunction with the FY 2010 budget. This alternative funding option is available until January 1, 2016. Counties currently participating in this alternative are listed in Table 2.

Counties Participating in Land
Conservation Act Alternative Funding
Option*

Butte Merced
Fresno Shasta
Kings Stanislaus
Lassen Sutter
Madera Tulare
Mendocino Yolo

*As of November 2012

Table 2

The other trend affecting contracted land this reporting period is the interest in solar power generation. Investorowned electric utilities in California are required to have 33 percent of their retail sales derived from renewable sources by 2020.¹⁰ This Renewable Portfolio Standard can be met with a number of energy technologies; agricultural land is of interest to photovoltaic solar developers due to its level terrain, existing land disturbance, decreased likelihood of hosting species of concern, and proximity to transmission lines or substations.

The goals of maintaining a vibrant agricultural economy and resource base while meeting the State's Renewable Portfolio Standard are of concern to many participating jurisdictions and decision makers. Many stakeholders see the opportunity to locate solar facilities on land that has been compromised due to chemical, physical, or water-related limitations. As a result, legislation was passed in 2011 that would allow for such properties to have their Land Conservation Act contracts rescinded, to be replaced by Solar-Use Easements. The Department will use data provided by applicants to determine whether the land meets the criteria as established in Government Code Section 51191. Regulations to implement this legislation are in development as of the date of this report.

The Land Conservation Act Status Report and Statistical Notes

This biennial Land Conservation Act Status Report is a compilation of enrollment statistics for the Program, with the focus of this report being

enrollment as of January 1, 2010, and January 1, 2011. Nearly all of the enrollment data were gathered from applications for payment under the Open Space Subvention Act.¹¹ The applications are submitted annually to the Department by participating counties and cities. Enrollment data from prior years are included to provide context in certain discussions.

Appendix A contains the data tables used to generate charts and graphics featured in this report. Figure 3 depicts participating counties and how data are summarized by region. Due to rounding, minor discrepancies may occur between Appendix A tables and Chapter 2 regional summaries.

A small amount of non-Land Conservation Act, enforceably restricted land, is included in this report. Except for Appendix A, this "Other Enforceable Restriction" is mingled with the Land Conservation Act totals and accounts for less than 1 percent of the total reported acreage.



Figure 3
Regions for Land Conservation Act Report Analysis

¹⁰ Public Resources Code, starting with Section 25740.

¹¹ Submission of enrollment information is required under Government Code Sections 16144 and 16154.



Chapter 2: 2010 and 2011 Land Conservation Act Enrollment

Summaries and trends in enrollment by county, region, and statewide

Due to State revenue issues and the elimination of subvention payments to local governments during this reporting period, a number of counties that normally would have provided enrollment data did not submit information. Table 3 depicts statewide enrollment for each year between 2008 and 2011, as well as enrollment statistics for the ten counties that did not report data in 2011. Most of the counties continued to have stable enrollment patterns during these years. The 2011 gaps are particularly prevalent in the North Coast and Mountain Region, with five of the ten nonparticipating counties.

Due to the relative stability of enrollment in these counties prior to 2011, it can be inferred that the 15.0 million acre statewide total enrollment summary derived from 2011 county submissions is approximately 1.3 million acres less than actual enrollment. This places a conservative estimate of Program enrollment at 16.3 million acres.

Additionally, the underreporting likely influences this chapter's summaries and rankings relative to actual occurrences. No corrections or adjustments have been made to the data in this chapter or in the appendices. The data have been reported and analyzed as they have been reported by local governments.

Table 3

Land Conservation Act Statewide and County Reported Enrollment 2008-2011

Location	2008	2009	2010	2011	Explanatory Notes
Statewide Total Reported Acres	16,583,467	14,996,564	16,369,124	15,014,073	ND = No Data Submitted
Comparison to 2008 Baseline	100.0%	90.4%	98.7%	90.5%	
Lake	50,079	49,658	ND	ND	
Mendocino	498,495	ND	486,665	ND	
Modoc	127,170	ND	127,629	ND	
Ne va da	6,722	6,722	ND	ND	
					As of 2010 status report, 225 acres remained
Orange	8,044	692	ND	ND	eligible for subvention.
Plumas	82,996	82,996	ND	ND	
Riverside	59,307	ND	ND	ND	
					Subject of 2007 audit; County has not refiled for
San Mateo	ND	ND	ND	ND	subventions and is revamping program in 2012.
Stanislaus	690,067	ND	690,110	ND	
Trinity	22,035	ND	ND	ND	
Acres Reported	1,544,915	140,068	1,304,404	0	

Statewide Enrollment

The approximately 15.0 million acres reported in Land Conservation Act contracts in 2011 (Table 1) consists of approximately 62 percent Non-Prime land, 32 percent Prime land, and 6 percent Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) land. The FSZ program, based on 20-year contracts, has been adopted by 25 counties, although not all of these counties have executed contracts. Twenty-one counties reported a total of 875,604 acres of land under FSZ contract.

Three counties had enrollments exceeding one million acres in 2011: Kern, Fresno, and Tulare; at 1.7, 1.5, and 1.1 million acres, respectively. Counties with the lowest enrollment included San Bernardino (just over 4,500 acres), and Orange (225 acres eligible for subventions as of the 2010 Status Report). Appendix tables A-1 and A-2 outline enrollment statistics for all counties for the two-year reporting period.

Net Increases and Decreases in Enrollment

Butte, Glenn, Humboldt, and Monterey counties were among the leading counties in new enrollments for both reporting years (Table 4). In total 35,579 acres entered the program statewide in 2010 and 30,432 acres in 2011 (Tables A-8 and A-9).

Santa Barbara and Yolo counties had the largest enrollment decreases in 2010 and 2011, respectively (Table 5). The large drop in Yolo County enrollment was the result of a technical adjustment (see page 15). Five counties (San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Barbara, Tulare, and Yolo) were present on the list of top counties for enrollment decreases in both years.

Statewide, the amount of land enrolled in the Land Conservation Act Program has been declining since 2005.

Table 4

Counties* with the Largest Enrollment Increase (Net)

	Counties with the targest thrountent increase (Net)							
	2010					2011		
Ran	king	County	Acres	Ran	king	County	Acres	
2009	2010	County	Acres	2010	010 2011 County		Acres	
1	1	Lassen	9,142	2	1	Monterey	15,806	
4	2	Monterey	4,226	6	2	Glenn	2,755	
10	3	Butte	2,828	14	3	Calaveras	2,325	
n/a	4	San Luis Obispo	1,877	n/a	4	Alameda	1,742	
6	5	Siskiyou	1,807	7	5	Humboldt	982	
7	6	Glenn	1,367	n/a	6	Placer	512	
8	7	Humboldt	1,216	16	7	Napa	369	
n/a	8	Fresno	621	n/a	8	Santa Cruz	308	
16	9	Solano	545	3	9	Butte	196	
11	10	Mariposa	512	18	10	Madera	178	

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

Table 5
Counties* with the Largest Enrollment Decrease (Net)

2010					2011		
Ran	king	County	Acres	Ran	king	County	Acres
2009	2010	County	Acres	2010	2011	County	Acres
n/a	1	Santa Barbara	-3,178	5	1	Yolo	-61,809
n/a	2	Kern	-2,399	7	2	San Benito	-2,410
21	3	Kings	-1,619	4	3	San Joaquin	-1,983
13	4	San Joaquin	-1,485	n/a	4	Ventura	1,184
n/a	5	Yolo	-1,426	11	5	Contra Costa	-1,165
23	6	Alameda	-1,339	1	6	Santa Barbara	-1,068
20	7	San Benito	-721	9	7	Tulare	-911
11	8	Orange	-692	12	8	Santa Clara	-673
n/a	9	Tulare	-670	n/a	9	Colusa	-388
n/a	10	Tehama	-366	n/a	10	San Luis Obispo	-323

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

New Enrollments

New enrollments¹² are filed with the anticipation of maintaining the contracted land in agriculture for at least ten years. As such, new enrollments may be seen as an indicator of stability in the agricultural economy in a particular location. Changing economic circumstances. such as the recession and its impact on the potential development value of property, may make new enrollments less attractive than in periods of rapidly rising property values.

Table 6
Counties* with the Greatest Amount of New Enrollments

Counties" with the Greatest Amount of New Enrollments								
		2010				2011		
Ran	king	County	Acros	Ran	Ranking		Acros	
2009	2010	County	Acres	2010	2011	County	Acres	
14	1	Lassen	9,935	2	1	Monterey	16,017	
5	2	Monterey	4,615	19	2	Calaveras	3,000	
10	3	Butte	2,739	8	3	Glenn	2,755	
4	4	Kern	2,400	4	4	Kern	2,113	
8	5	San Luis Obispo	2,369	n/a	5	Alameda	1,621	
2	6	Siskiyou	1,901	5	6	San Luis Obispo	1,080	
24	7	Humboldt	1,401	7	7	Humboldt	1,040	
7	8	Glenn	1,367	n/a	8	Amador	711	
15	9	Tehama	1,264	11	9	Santa Barbara	478	
n/a	10	Stanislaus	1,128	9	10	Tehama	445	

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

Additionally, some counties froze enrollment, at least temporarily, in response to the loss of Open Space Subvention payments from the State.

While new enrollments for the past decade averaged 88,762 acres annually, they have decreased in recent years. Particularly between 2010 and 2011, when new enrollments decreased from 35,579 acres to 30,432 acres, a decrease of 14.5 percent (Tables A-8 and A-9). Coastal and mountain counties have been among the most

Table 7
Regional Ranking by the Amount of New Enrollments*

2010		2011		
Region	Acres	Region	Acres	
North Coast & Mountain	13,335	Bay & Central Coast	19,068	
Bay & Central Coast	7,931	Foothill & Sierra	4,065	
Sacramento Valley	6,271	Sacramento Valley	3,473	
San Joaquin Valley	5,854	San Joaquin Valley	2,267	
South Coast & Desert	1,400	North Coast & Mountain	1,040	
Foothill & Sierra	787	South Coast & Desert	518	
Total	35,579	Total	30,432	

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

active in new enrollments, as seen in Tables 6 and 7.

Since 1991, the greatest amount of new enrollment acreage occurred in 2001 (497,503 acres), and the least occurred in 2011 (30,432 acres).

Farmland Security Zones - Transfers and Total Acreage

A Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) transfer¹³ is the rescission of an existing Land Conservation Act contract with the concurrent creation of an FSZ contract on the same land. FSZ transfers do not result in a net change to the amount of contracted acreage within a county. These transfers signify a 20-year agricultural commitment. This

¹² New enrollments include new Land Conservation Act contracts as well as new Farmland Security Zone contracts on land not previously under a Land Conservation Act contract, and other lands that would qualify for Open Space Subvention payments.

¹³ As enacted in 1998, the FSZ provisions allowed for the creation of a FSZ contract only through the rescission of an existing contract. That requirement was changed on January 1, 2000 thereafter allowing non-contracted land to go directly into an FSZ contract. Since January 2000, new FSZ contracts are either reported under new enrollments or as a transfer from a Land Conservation Act contract.

commitment is made possible only upon a deliberate action by the county in adopting the FSZ program and subsequently, by the landowner in petitioning for such a transfer.

During the 2010 and 2011 reporting period, Monterey County dominated the FSZ transfers with 6,278 and 2,371 acres, respectively; comprising more than 96 percent of the transferred acres. Glenn and Ventura counties were the only other locations with FSZ transfers during this period (Tables A-3 and A-4).

In both years, the amounts of FSZ transfers were well below the annual average of 26,201 acres for the past decade. Since 1991, FSZ transfers ranged from a high of 209,480 acres in 1999, to a low of 1,007 acres in 2006.

As of January 1, 2011, 21 counties reported some percentage of their Land Conservation Act land under FSZ contract (Table 8 and Table A-2). The proportion of contracted land devoted to FSZ enrollment among individual counties ranged from a high of 42.49 percent (Kings County) to 0.02 percent (Santa Barbara County), averaging 8.15 percent. Regionally, the Bay Area and Central Coast, the Sacramento Valley,

Table 8
Farmland Security Zone Acreage
and Percentage of Total Enrollment By County in 2011

and Fercentage	and Percentage of Total Emoliment by County in 2011							
County	FSZ Acres	Enrollment	Percent of					
County	132 Acres	Total	Total					
Kings	287,810	677,320	42					
Glenn	90,438	424,243	21					
Colusa	59,388	320,060	19					
Marin	17,507	103,382	17					
Sierra	5,730	40,548	14					
Madera	62,742	539,140	12					
San Joaquin	59,998	530,985	11					
Kern	158,927	1,699,132	9					
Monterey	54,749	789,616	7					
Lassen	19,503	334,534	6					
Placer	1,696	43,519	4					
Ventura	3,083	127,917	2					
Fresno	29,281	1,494,558	2					
Tehama	11,528	800,868	1					
Tulare	11,152	1,098,168	1					
Santa Cruz	123	16,083	1					
El Dorado	185	34,206	1					
Humboldt	697	203,143	0					
San Luis Obispo	773	792,577	0					
Yolo	159	355,658	0					
Santa Barbara	133	545,501	0					

and the San Joaquin Valley have greater than 2 percent of their total amount of enrolled land under FSZ contract (2.4 percent, 3.8 percent and 5.5 percent, respectively).

Since 1999, the FSZ program has steadily increased the total enrolled acreage from 210,990 acres to 875,604 acres in 2011.

Table 9

Nonrenewal Initiations Government Code § 51245

The nonrenewal of a Land Conservation Act contract may be initiated by either the landowner or the local government. This is the preferred method for ending the contract in an orderly fashion, as the contract winds down during the

Counties* with the Greatest Amount of Nonrenewal Initiations

	2010			2011			
Ran	king	County	Acres	Ranking		County	A = = = =
2009	2010	County	Acres	2010	2011	County	Acres
5	1	Kern	8,134	n/a	1	Imperial	117,246
n/a	2	Monterey	1,649	11	2	Santa Clara	36,977
7	3	Tulare	1,598	1	3	Kern	4,205
2	4	Sacramento	1,403	3	4	Tulare	3,845
6	5	Fresno	1,144	n/a	5	Mariposa	2,945
23	6	Lassen	1,018	2	6	Monterey	1,386
n/a	7	Tuolumne	982	4	7	Sacramento	863
8	8	Madera	855	21	8	San Joaquin	474
n/a	9	Stanislaus	755	22	9	San Luis Obispo	388
n/a	10	Humboldt	368	n/a	10	Merced	362

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

remaining years of the term. Nonrenewal often occurs with the anticipation of converting farmland to other uses.

The impact of the economy on nonrenewal trends was evident in statewide statistics over the past decade, during which nonrenewal initiations increased each year from 2001 (16,148 acres) to 2007 (157,805 acres). Over the next three years, as the recession slowed the demand for urban expansion, nonrenewal initiation acreages fell sharply, to 19,967 acres in 2010.

Table 10

Regional Ranking by the Amount of Nonrenewal Initiations*

2010		2011		
Region	Acres	Region	Acres	
San Joaquin Valley	12,590	South Coast & Desert	117,434	
Bay & Central Coast	2,642	Bay & Central Coast	39,101	
Foothill & Sierra	1,695	San Joaquin Valley	8,966	
Sacramento Valley	1,655	Foothill & Sierra	3,584	
North Coast & Mountain	1,385	Sacramento Valley	1,258	
South Coast & Desert	0	North Coast & Mountain	263	
Total	19,967	Total	170,605	

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

In 2011, other factors led to a sharp

increase in nonrenewal initiations. The elimination of State Open Space Subvention payments led Imperial County to initiate nonrenewal on all 117,246 acres remaining under contract (Tables 9 and 10). Imperial County had entered the Land Conservation Act Program in 2000. While in Santa Clara County, nearly 37,000 acres entered nonrenewal in 2011, as the County sought to remove parcels that no longer met enrollment criteria from contract. These two factors drove the statewide nonrenewal initiation acreage to its highest level since the inception of the Land Conservation Act, at 170,605 acres. The annual average for nonrenewal initiations was 74,305 acres for the past decade.

Nonrenewal Expirations Government Code § 51246

During the years when a property is in the nonrenewal process, its property tax assessment gradually increases, returning to full market value at the end of the contract's term. Upon conclusion of the contract, also known as nonrenewal expiration, land use restrictions are removed from the property.

Table 11 Counties* with the Greatest Amount of Nonrenewal Expirations

	2010				2011			
Ran	king			Ranking		<u>.</u>		
2009	2010	County	Acres	2010	2011	County	Acres	
10	1	Santa Barbara	5,145	3	1	San Benito	2,418	
5	2	San Joaquin	2,211	2	2	San Joaquin	2,110	
14	3	San Benito	1,816	10	3	Kern	1,969	
8	4	Kings	1,619	1	4	Santa Barbara	1,627	
n/a	5	Santa Cruz	1,340	27	5	Ventura	1,203	
4	6	Placer	1,314	25	6	Contra Costa	1,043	
2	7	San Luis Obispo	846	8	7	Tulare	911	
13	8	Tulare	779	9	8	Tehama	744	
15	9	Tehama	747	22	9	Santa Clara	699	
7	10	Kern	686	n/a	10	Amador	685	

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

From 2010 to 2011, nonrenewal expirations decreased by approximately 14 percent, to 15,617 acres, which is below the annual average of 20,571 acres of the past decade (Table 11). A complete list of 2010 and 2011 Nonrenewal Expirations is located in Appendix A, Table A-11.

¹⁴ Imperial County Board of Supervisors Official Proceedings, February 23, 2010; reaffirmed October12, 2010.
See also articles at Imperial Valley Press Online, http://articles.ivpressonline.com/keyword/williamson-act.

The San Joaquin Valley region experienced the largest amount of nonrenewal expirations in both reporting years (Table 12).

Since 1991, the greatest amount of nonrenewal expirations occurred in 1999 (118,391 acres), and the least in 2006 (11,934 acres).

Total Acreage in Nonrenewal

During any calendar year, there is a

Table 12
Regional Ranking by the Amount of Nonrenewal Expirations*

	2011		
Acres	Region	Acres	
6,314	San Joaquin Valley	5,212	
5,172	Bay & Central Coast	4,929	
4,666	South Coast & Desert	2,830	
1,550	Foothill & Sierra	1,265	
1,488	Sacramento Valley	1,238	
184	North Coast & Mountain	144	
19,375	Total	15,617	
	6,314 5,172 4,666 1,550 1,488 184	Acres Region 6,314 San Joaquin Valley 5,172 Bay & Central Coast 4,666 South Coast & Desert 1,550 Foothill & Sierra 1,488 Sacramento Valley 184 North Coast & Mountain	

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

cumulative total of land that is somewhere within the phased nonrenewal process. In 2010, there were 502,859 acres of 10-year contracts and 17,663 acres of FSZ land under nonrenewal (Tables A-5 and A-6). The San Joaquin Valley held the most land in this status, led by 92,564 acres in Kern County. Stanislaus, San Joaquin, and Madera counties each had in excess of 35,000 acres in cumulative nonrenewal during 2010.

During 2011, cumulative nonrenewals totaled 612,583 acres in 10-year contracts and 18,843 acres of FSZ land (Tables A-5 and A-7). Imperial County's decision to exit the Land Conservation Act Program accounted for 19 percent of land currently in nonrenewal statewide. Kern County had the second highest acreage in nonrenewal (94,801 acres),

followed by Santa Clara County (51,589

acres).

On a percentage basis, San Bernardino and Imperial counties led in the amount of land under nonrenewal in 2010 and 2011, respectively (Table 13). Placer County also had 20 percent or more of its total enrolled land in nonrenewal during both years.

 $Table\ 13$ Counties* with the Largest Percentage of Enrollment Under Nonrenewal

	countries with the Langest Creentage of Linconnected video item chemical								
	2010				2011				
Ran	king	County	%	Ran	king	County	%		
2009	2010	County	70	2010	2011	County	70		
2	1	San Bernardino	25	4	1	Imperial	100		
3	2	Placer	21	2	2	San Bernardino	25		
4	3	Imperial	16	3	3	Placer	20		
n/a	4	Tuolumne	14	13	4	Santa Clara	17		
5	5	Mariposa	10	5	5	Tuolumne	13		
6	6	Santa Barbara	8	6	6	Mariposa	12		
8	7	San Joaquin	7	7	7	Santa Barbara	7		
n/a	8	Stanislaus	6	8	8	San Joaquin	7		
9	9	Madera	6	11	9	Sacramento	6		
n/a	10	Sacramento	6	10	10	Madera	6		

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

Contract Cancellations

Government Code § 51280 et seq.

A cancellation is the immediate termination of a contract by a landowner, which requires payment of a cancellation fee and board of supervisors or city council approval based on rigorous findings.

State law limits the use of cancellation to narrow conditions. Due to the specific findings required for approval of a contract cancellation, only a small fraction of yearly contract terminations occur as a result of cancellation. Over the past decade, cancellations averaged 817 acres per year.

In 2010, cancellations totaled 64 acres, all located in the San Joaquin Valley; while in 2011 Calaveras County was

responsible for all but one acre of the 676 acres reported as cancelled (Table 14). More than 99 percent of the land cancelled in Calaveras County was classified as Non-Prime.

Table 14 Counties* with Cancellations

	2010					2011			
Ran	king	Country		Ran	king	Country	Acros		
2009	2010	County	Acres	2010	2011	County	Acres		
n/a	1	Fresno	61	n/a	1	Calaveras	675		
n/a	2	Stanislaus	3	n/a	2	Kern	1		

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

Since 1991, the greatest amount of cancellations occurred in 1995 (5,694 acres) and the least in 2009 (7 acres).

Public Acquisitions

Government Code § 51290 et seq.

Public agencies may acquire Land Conservation Act contracted land for a wide range of public improvements. Common reasons for governmental acquisitions of contracted land include: transportation improvements, schools, wildlife habitat, water resource infrastructure, and public open space. Before acquiring contracted lands, a public agency must make findings that no other non-contracted land reasonably feasible for the purpose is available, and that the lower cost of contracted land is not a primary factor in its decision. A public acquisition will result in the immediate termination of a Land

Table 15

Counties* with the Greatest Amount of Public Acquisitions

	2010				2011			
Ran	king	Country	A ava a	Ran	king	Country	A 242 2	
2009	2010	County	Acres	2010	2011	County	Acres	
2	1	Kern	4,102	1	1	Kern	378	
8	2	Alameda	1,155	4	2	Santa Clara	260	
n/a	3	Tehama	879	5	3	Monterey	183	
n/a	4	Santa Clara	500	6	4	Contra Costa	121	
n/a	5	Monterey	389	11	5	Sacramento	121	
5	6	Contra Costa	372	9	6	San Diego	84	
1	7	Fresno	258	10	7	San Benito	2	
n/a	8	Stanislaus	131	16	8	Ventura	2	
n/a	9	San Diego	128	12	9	Placer	1	
n/a	10	San Benito	43	-	-	-	-	

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

Conservation Act contract if the public agency meets the appropriate notification requirements as outlined in Government Code § 51290 et seq. and acquires the land via eminent domain.

From 2009 to 2010, public acquisitions increased by approximately 36 percent, from 5,156 to 8,048 acres. Kern County led in this category during 2010 (Table 15), with nearly 1,900 acres acquired by the Semitropic Water Storage District and more than 2,100 acres acquired by the North River Sanitation District. Alameda County ranked second in public acquisitions during 2010, with more than 1,150 acres purchased by the East Bay Regional Park District. Third-ranked Tehama County was primarily affected by a federal government purchase of more than 640 acres.

In 2011, the number of publicly acquired acres decreased by approximately 86 percent to 1,152 acres – well below the annual average of 26,664 acres for the past decade. Kern County had the highest amount of publicly acquired acreage in 2010 and 2011 (Table 15). Regionally, the San Joaquin Valley, Bay Area, and Central Coast

¹⁵ Eminent Domain Law, Code of Civil Procedure §1230.10, et seq.

had the highest amount of publicly acquired acreage in 2010 and 2011, respectively (Table 16). Complete lists of 2010 and 2011 Public Acquisitions are located in Tables A-15 and A-16.

Since 1991, the greatest amount of publicly acquired acres occurred in 2005 (70,334) and the least in 2011 (1,152).

City Annexations

Government Code § 51243.5

Table 16
Regional Ranking by the Amount of Public Acquisitions*

	<u> </u>			
2010		2011		
Region	Acres	Region	Acres	
San Joaquin Valley	4,513	Bay & Central Coast	566	
Bay & Central Coast	2,461	San Joaquin Valley	378	
Sacramento Valley	915	Sacramento Valley	121	
South Coast & Desert	132	South Coast & Desert	86	
Foothill & Sierra	27	Foothill & Sierra	1	
North Coast & Mountain	0	North Coast & Mountain	0	
Total	8,048	Total	1,152	

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

A Land Conservation Act contract may be immediately terminated when the land is annexed to a city. For this termination to occur, the Local Agency Formation Commission for the area must determine that the city protested placement of the original Land Conservation Act contract in a valid manner.

Certain contracts executed prior to 1991 may be terminated through city annexation only if the city filed a valid protest upon county notification at the time of contract formation. At present, the total amount of contracted acreage covered by protested contracts statewide is unknown.

From 2009 to 2010, the amount of contracted land annexed by cities increased by approximately 34 percent from 539 to 818 acres (Table 17). In 2011, no contracted land was annexed by cities. The decrease in annexations may be associated

with the recession, as annexations averaged 1,438 acres per year for the past decade.

Since 1991, the greatest amount of annexed acreage occurred in 2000 (9,961 acres) and

Table 17
Counties* with Annexations of Contracted Land to Cities

	2010				2011			
Ran	king	6		Ranking		Country		
2009	2010	County	Acres	2010	2011	County	Acres	
n/a	1	Stanislaus	675	-	-	-	-	
3	2	San Joaquin	125	-	-	-	-	
n/a	3	Madera	19	-	-	-	-	

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

the least in 2011 (zero acres).

Net Adjustments

Local governments have the difficult task of monitoring all of the changes that affect the approximately 15.0 million reported acres contracted under the Land Conservation Act. Adjustments are the reconciliation of errors in records or previous reports, re-mappings or re-surveys, lot line adjustments, or parcel divisions. These adjustments are partly a result of the elimination of local government program administration errors, and those related to enrollment data input and data analysis.

As seen in Tables 18 and 19, adjustments can represent an increase or a decrease in enrolled acreage. From 2009 to 2010, net adjustments made by reporting counties decreased by approximately 91 percent from a net gain of 12,487 acres to a net gain of 1,077 acres.

¹⁶ Adjusting for the ten counties that did not supply data in 2011, statewide total enrollment is estimated to be 16.3 million acres. See Chapter 1, page 7.

From 2010 to 2011, the amount of net adjustments increased by approximately 98 percent resulting in a statewide net decrease of 61,696 acres. The 2011 figure represented approximately 77 percent of the total contract terminations statewide.¹⁷

In 2011, Yolo County ranked first in the amount of adjustments. This large decrease in contracted acres is attributed to discrepancies between the Assessor's database and actual contracted acreage, resulting in an over reporting of contracted acreage for several years. Net adjustments for all counties are outlined in Tables A-19 and A-20.

Since 1994, the largest number of adjusted acres occurred in 2011 (61,696 acre decrease) and the smallest number occurred in 2007 (441 acre decrease).

Table 18

Counties* with the Greatest Amount of Adjustments (Net)

	2010			2011			
Ran	king	County	Country		king	County	Acres
2009	2010	County	Acres	2010	2011	County	Acres
5	1	Fresno	1,166	2	1	Yolo	-61,859
n/a	2	Yolo	-1,071	6	2	San Luis Obispo	-917
4	3	Placer	1,047	3	3	Placer	775
10	4	Lassen	-793	13	4	Amador	-339
8	5	Merced	-714	16	5	Napa	329
2	6	San Luis Obispo	500	14	6	San Joaquin	127
n/a	7	Stanislaus	479	22	7	Alameda	120
n/a	8	Mendocino	-445	23	8	Mariposa	-96
6	9	Sonoma	384	n/a	9	Monterey	85
26	10	Butte	338	12	10	Santa Barbara	81

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

Table 19
Regional Ranking by the Amount of Adjustments (Net)*

- Regional Ranking	b by the An	iount of Aujustinents (Net	,	
2010		2011		
Region	Acres	Region	Acres	
North Coast & Mountain	-1,332	Sacramento Valley	-61,868	
Sacramento Valley	-594	Bay & Central Coast	-433	
South Coast & Desert	174	North Coast & Mountain	-37	
Foothill & Sierra	860	South Coast & Desert	140	
San Joaquin Valley	905	San Joaquin Valley	185	
Bay & Central Coast	1,063	Foothill & Sierra	317	
Total	1,077	Total	-61,696	

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

¹⁷ Decreases in the total number of contracted acreage have been included with terminations for the purposes of evaluating the overall loss in contract acreage. A "Net Adjustment" is not a true method of contract termination. It represents corrections and statistical adjustments by counties in reporting annual data to the Department of Conservation. These adjustments can be positive or negative.

California Department of Conservation	
	This page left intentionally blank.
D . 16	



Chapter 3: Longer-Term Trends in the Land Conservation Act

How the most recent years compare with data from the past decade

Longer-term trends in statewide Land Conservation Act enrollment are based on large amounts of data. For ease of understanding, this report relies on trend charts and brief interpretations. The nonreporting status of various counties (see Table 3, Chapter 1) has an unknown impact on how well these charts reflect actual statewide summaries in the most recent reporting years.

A Decade of Land Conservation Act Contract Termination Trends

Statutorily, there are five ways to terminate a Land Conservation Act contract: nonrenewal, cancellation, public acquisition, city annexation, and easement exchange. For reporting purposes, acres may also be removed on paper via "Net Adjustments." Contract terminations that have occurred over the past decade are depicted in Figure 4. They included, in order of magnitude: non-renewal; public acquisition; net adjustment; city annexations; easement exchanges; and cancellations. These termination methods are discussed in more detail below.




Figure 4

<u>Nonrenewal</u>: The nonrenewal process is the most common (and recommended) mechanism for the termination of Land Conservation Act contracted land. Since 2000, more contracted acreage has been terminated through nonrenewal expiration than all other methods of termination combined. From 2000 to 2011, nonrenewal expirations have averaged 33,900 acres per year statewide.

The cumulative acreage of land in the ten year nonrenewal process was relatively low in the early part of the past decade, but increased significantly beginning in 2006 (Figure 5). Approximately 630,000 acres were in nonrenewal (including FSZ nonrenewals) in 2011, about 4.2 percent of total reported enrollment. This includes the entire enrollment of Imperial County. Despite the impact of Imperial County's acreage, land in nonrenewal remains lower than the peak year of 1993, when nearly 700,000 acres were being phased out of the program.

Figure 6 depicts the acreage of cumulative nonrenewals enrolled as Prime land versus that of Non-Prime land. Non-renewals initiations (Figure 7) steadily grew to a peak in 2007, when the economy was rapidly growing, which in turn caused peak in the cumulative non-renewals the same year. While nonrenewal of Prime land has historically been

Figure 5
Statewide Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage: 2000-2011





Figure 6
Statewide Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage 2000-2011
Prime v. Nonprime




lower than that of Non-Prime land, in recent years the gap has disappeared. By 2011, the amount of land in nonrenewal was relatively equal between the two enrollment classes.

Finally, in Figure 7, the amount of land being initiated in the nonrenewal process is depicted along with that exiting the ten year nonrenewal process. The peaks in nonrenewal initiation in mid-decade and in 2011 represent two distinct land use and fiscal factors. Nonrenewals steadily grew to a peak in 2007, when the economy was rapidly growing, but plummeted as the recession took hold. The spike in 2011 is comprised primarily of the countywide nonrenewal by


Figure 7


Nonrenewal Initiations v. Nonrenewal Expirations: 2000-2011



Imperial County, which was filed in response to the elimination of Open Space Subvention payments.

Public Acquisition: Public acquisitions have been the second leading source of contract termination acreage over the past decade. When public entities acquire land via eminent domain, or in lieu of eminent domain, Land Conservation Act contracts are terminated. However, if acquired through a willing seller agreement or donation, the contract continues to be in place. Public acquisition resulting in contract






termination was particularly common between 2002 and 2006 (Figure 8). This may have a relationship with projects funded out of various water, parks, school facilities, and transportation infrastructure bonds that were passed by California voters during that period.¹⁸ In recent years these acquisitions have declined, reaching their lowest level in 2011 (1,152 acres). From 2000 to 2011, public acquisitions have averaged 29,380 acres per year statewide.

<u>Net Adjustments</u>: A "Net Adjustment" does not reflect actual contract termination. It represents corrections and statistical adjustments by counties in reporting annual data to the Department of Conservation. These adjustments can be

positive or negative. The fluctuation in Net Adjustments decreased in the latter part of the past decade, but an adjustment of nearly 62,000 acres in Yolo County resulted in a large negative net adjustment statewide (Figure 9). From 2000 to 2011, county reported adjustments led to an average shift of 13,389 acres per year in enrollment totals statewide.




¹⁸ A complete list of general obligation bonds is contained in this report from the State Treasurer's Office: http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/publications/2011dar.pdf.

<u>City Annexations</u>: The actual amount of contracted land terminated through annexation is overstated since this analysis assumes that affected contracts are terminated upon annexation.¹⁹ Annexation acreage has fluctuated over the past decade. From 2000 to 2011, city annexations averaged 2,309 acres per year statewide.

Easement Exchanges: This method of contract termination became available in 1998. The process to complete an exchange is detailed and exhaustive; thus as of 2011, a total of only six Land Conservation Act easement exchanges have taken place. During that time, Land Conservation Act contracts were rescinded on 835 acres in exchange for the placement of permanent agricultural conservation easements on 1,747 acres. The past decade had all but one of these transactions, comprising 802 acres of Land Conservation Act enrolled land being exchanged for 1,657 acres of permanent conservation easement land. Counties with successful easement exchanges included Alameda, Contra Costa, Riverside, San Joaquin, Santa Barbara, and Sonoma. A number of other projects have been proposed for Easement Exchange but have not proceeded to completion.

Cancellations:

Early cancellation of Land
Conservation Act contracts is the least common method of exiting the program. The cancellation process requires detailed findings by local governments to justify an early termination of the contract. Over the past decade,



cancellation acreage totaled 9,424 acres, fluctuating with economic factors including the recession. Cancellations were highest in 2004 (2,933 acres) and lowest in 2009 and 2010 (7 and 64 acres, respectively, Figure 10).

Land Conservation Act Termination Trends Summary

Between 2000 and 2011, the five methods of Land Conservation Act contract termination totaled 878,986 acres, with contract nonrenewals and acquisitions by public agencies being the primary vehicles used to exit the program (Table 20).

Table 20
Cumulative Acres Terminated by Category: 2000-2011

		Percent of
Method of Termination	Acres	Total
Nonrenewal Expirations	372,903	42.42
Public Acquisitions	323,176	36.77
City Annexations	25,401	2.89
Easement Exchanges	802	0.09
Cancellations	9,424	1.07
Net Adjustments	147,280	16.76
	878,986	100.00

¹⁹ Termination upon annexation can only occur when a city is able to make the specified findings and determinations listed in Government Code §51243.5. If all applicable findings and determinations are made the city may opt not to succeed to the contract (i.e., the city can elected not to enforce the contract) and file a certificate of contract termination.



Chapter 4: Statewide Land Conservation Act Administration, Support, and Legislation

Maintaining conservation values while addressing fiscal limitations

The 2012 Land Conservation Act Status Report documents some of the largest challenges yet faced by the Program, at both county and State levels. Decreased tax revenues linked to the recession decreased the capacity of the State to support counties in their administration of the Program, most notably through the elimination of Open Space Subventions. Other land use concerns began to take greater precedence, and legislation was enacted to address each of these subjects during the course of the reporting period.

Reported Eligible Acreage and Subvention Application Totals

The Open Space Subvention Act provides for the partial replacement of local property tax revenues foregone as a result of participation in the Land Conservation Act and other enforceable restriction programs.

Since the first Open Space Subvention payments in FY 1972, the State has distributed over \$863 million (\$1.5 billion in inflation adjusted dollars, see Appendix B) to counties and cities in support of the Land Conservation Act's goals. In 2011, 13,581,194 acres were reported as eligible, equating to \$34,391,771 in claimed subventions. In 2010, 15,330,421 acres were reported as eligible, while \$37,319,384 was claimed in subventions

(Tables A-23 and A-24). Actual subvention payments, which had been increasing since 1996, declined each year from 2004 to 2011.

The top ten counties have remained fairly stable over the years in terms of subvention claims. The eight San Joaquin Valley counties comprised eight of the top positions in 2010, rounded out with Yolo and San Luis Obispo counties. In 2011 seven San Joaquin Valley counties comprised the top positions (Table 21), while Stanislaus County did not report.

 $\label{eq:Table 21} Table~21$ Counties* with the Largest Subvention Application Amounts

		2010		2011					
Ran	king	County	Dollars	Ran	king	County	Dollars		
2009	2010	County	Dollars	2010	2011	County	Domais		
1	1	Fresno	5,322,505	1	1	Fresno	5,322,505		
2	2	Kern	4,651,520	2	2	Kern	4,645,715		
3	3	Tulare	3,437,149	3	3	Tulare	3,430,347		
4	4	Kings	2,595,128	4	4	Kings	2,647,750		
5	5	San Joaquin	1,880,145	5	5	San Joaquin	1,884,317		
6	6	Merced	1,473,345	6	6	Merced	1,473,131		
7	7	Madera	1,394,289	7	7	Madera	1,384,406		
n/a	8	Stanislaus	1,354,180	10	8	San Luis Obispo	1,128,599		
8	9	Yolo	1,140,246	11	9	Glenn	1,068,383		
9	10	San Luis Obispo	1,099,135	12	10	Monterey	1,043,459		

^{*}Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

During this reporting period (2010 and 2011) the San Joaquin Valley accounted for approximately 43 percent of the total statewide Land Conservation Act enrollment and approximately 60 percent of total subvention payment claims (Table 22 & Table 23).

Table 22
2010 Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims By Region* (\$)

2010 Open Space Subtention Net Layment Claims by Neglon (4)										
	Land Conse	nyation Act		Farmland Se	curity Zone		Agricultural Conservation Easement			
	Land Consei	vation Act	Url	oan	Non-U	Jrban			Other Eligible	Total
Region	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Open Space	Total
Bay & Central Coast	1,058,851	2,615,379	233,667	30,205	57,503	22,400	5,449	69	2,613	4,026,136
Foothill & Sierra	152,723	590,475	410	14,005	25	5,805	0	360	129	763,932
North Coast & Mountain	953,610	1,533,995	4,364	272	58,712	7,877	0	0	0	2,558,830
Sacramento Valley	3,622,397	1,638,197	263,567	26,718	577,715	9,179	10,692	2,932	0	6,151,396
San Joaquin Valley	15,979,499	2,899,958	753,303	5,742	2,442,596	26,332	1,639	0	686	22,109,754
South Coast & Desert	1,085,783	556,836	12,794	5,758	2,807	238	889	5,358	40,031	1,710,494
Totals	22,852,863	9,834,840	1,268,105	82,700	3,139,357	71,832	18,668	8,718	43,459	37,320,542

^{*}As a result of Government Code section 16148, the above OSSA payments were effectively eliminated.

Table 25

2011 Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims By Region* (\$)

	Land Conser	nyation Act		Farmland Se	curity Zone		Agricultural Conservation Easement			
	Land Consei	vation Act	Urk	oan	Non-l	Jrban			Other Eligible	Total
Region	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Open Space	Total
Bay & Central Coast	1,067,698	2,603,091	283,814	30,205	57,503	22,400	5,449	69	2,613	4,072,842
Foothill & Sierra	170,283	587,982	410	14,005	25	5,805	0	360	129	779,000
North Coast & Mountain	696,946	972,111	4,364	272	58,712	7,877	0	0	0	1,740,282
Sacramento Valley	3,310,728	1,634,951	263,606	30,720	577,715	9,179	10,692	2,932	0	5,840,522
San Joaquin Valley	15,002,427	2,555,463	753,287	5,742	2,442,596	26,332	1,639	0	686	20,788,171
South Coast & Desert	555,869	546,430	13,575	5,758	2,807	238	889	5,358	40,031	1,170,954
Totals	20,803,951	8,900,027	1,319,057	86,702	3,139,357	71,832	18,668	8,718	43,459	34,391,771

^{*}As a result of Government Code section 16148, the above OSSA payments were effectively eliminated.

While prime agricultural land constitutes about one-third of the statewide enrollment, it accounted for roughly 74 percent of total subvention claims in 2011. Other enforceably restricted lands, including Open Space Easement lands that qualify for subvention payments, accounted for 0.1 percent of total subvention claims in 2011.

Not all Land Conservation Act contracted lands are eligible for subvention payments. For example, local governments generally cannot claim subventions on contracted land that is under nonrenewal or valued lower for property tax purposes under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 110.1 (Proposition 13).

In both 2010 and 2011, approximately 3 percent of the reported statewide enrollment was not eligible for subvention payments.

Compliance Audits

In 1988, audits of jurisdictions participating in the Land Conservation Act and Open Space Subvention Act program were initiated. Audits were designed to evaluate two primary issue areas:

- Payments of subvention claims for ineligible land. This has been the most frequent cause of subvention overpayments, either because the land was in the contract nonrenewal process, or it was valued lower under Proposition 13 than for valuation under Land Conservation Act contracts.
- Lack of payment of cancellation fees. These fees are paid by landowners who have cancelled contracts
 with local governments, which in turn must transmit the funds to the State Controller's Office within the
 statutorily required timeframe.

The initial audit of several counties was conducted on behalf of the Department by the state Department of General Services (DGS). It resulted in the recapture of approximately \$550,000. In FY 1996, the Department began an annual compliance audit program through contracts with the Department of Finance. This program resulted in a return to the General Fund of more than \$2 million from the recapture of subvention overpayments and unpaid contract cancellation fees. More recent audits were conducted by the Department in-house (Table 23, next page), but these have been suspended since FY 2009 due to a lack of funding. Another major benefit of the audit process has been to ensure that local jurisdictions are using the appropriate procedures to administer the Program as required by statute. Counties have significant latitude in the uniform rules they adopt regarding the Program, but ultimately must meet statutory requirements to ensure responsible fiscal and land use decision making. A number of the audits listed in Table 24, as well as spot reviews undertaken in other fiscal years, have helped to correct procedures relating to lot line adjustments, incompatible uses, acreage reporting, and Land Conservation Act contract language.

Table 24
Recaptured Subvention Payments From Audits

Recaptured Subvention rayments from Addits	
	Recaptured
Counties Audited	Subvention
San Luis Obispo, Riverside, Monterey, Tehama	958,497
Colusa, San Diego, Yolo	50,406
Contra Costa, Glenn, San Benito, Santa Barbara,	5,000
Marin, Mendocino, Placer, San Bernardino, Santa Clara (2)	57,980
Sacramento, Ventura, Solano, Kern, Mariposa, Siskiyou	11,125
none	0
Butte, Humbolt, Sonoma	289,773
Subsequent review of: Marin, Mendocino, Placer,	
San Bernardino, Santa Clara (2)	407,885
Sonoma	29,457
Alameda	94,395
Calaveras	135,689
San Mateo (3)	0
none	0
none	0
	2,040,207
	Counties Audited San Luis Obispo, Riverside, Monterey, Tehama Colusa, San Diego, Yolo Contra Costa, Glenn, San Benito, Santa Barbara, Marin, Mendocino, Placer, San Bernardino, Santa Clara (2) Sacramento, Ventura, Solano, Kern, Mariposa, Siskiyou none Butte, Humbolt, Sonoma Subsequent review of: Marin, Mendocino, Placer, San Bernardino, Santa Clara (2) Sonoma Alameda Calaveras San Mateo (3) none

⁽¹⁾ \$911,298 of the total was for cancellation fees collected by Riverside County that were not forwarded to the State Controller's Office.

Recent Legislation Affecting the Land Conservation Act

New legislation during the reporting period focused on decreases in Open Space Subventions as a result of revenue issues affecting the State's General Fund; and on locating solar power facilities on land that is marginally productive or that has become contaminated by natural or other causes.

Effective January 1, 2011

Senate Bill 863 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 722, Statutes of 2010): SB 863 was a
budget trailer bill that replaced Assembly Bill 2530 (Nielsen, Chapter 391, Statutes of 2010). The goal of
SB 863 was to provide counties with an incentive to continue their participation in the Land Conservation
Act by allowing them to recapture a portion of their foregone property tax revenues due to their

⁽²⁾ The 2004 review was a continuation of the audit initiated in 2001.

⁽³⁾ Audit led to county review of uniform rules; no recovered funds.

- participation in the Program. The recaptured funding would be derived by decreasing the duration of Land Conservation Act and FSZ contracts by one- and two-years, respectively. Additionally, SB 863 provided a one-time, \$10 million subvention to counties that participated in the Program.
- Senate Bill 80 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 11, Statutes of 2011): While SB 863
 appropriated \$10 million from the General Fund to make subvention payments to counties participating in
 the Land Conservation Act in FY 2010, SB 80 reduced this appropriation to zero.
- Assembly Bill 1265 (Nielsen, Chapter 90, Statutes of 2011): AB 1265 reinstated the relevant Land Conservation Act, Revenue & Tax Code, and Open Space Subvention Act provisions found in SB 863. Similar to SB 863, AB 1265 allowed eligible counties to recapture a portion of the property tax benefits provided to their owners of Land Conservation Act lands. However, AB 1265 did not reinstate the \$10 million subvention to participating counties.

Effective January 1, 2012

• Senate Bill 618 (Wolk, Chapter 596, Statutes of 2011): SB 618 authorized the parties to a Land Conservation Act contract (city or county and landowners), after approval by the Department of Conservation, and in consultation with the Department of Food and Agriculture, to mutually agree to rescind the contract in order to simultaneously enter into a solar-use easement. The solar-use easement would require that the land be used for solar photovoltaic facilities for a term of no less than 20 years, except as specified. One of the key circumstances is the siting of solar photovoltaic facilities on agricultural lands that are marginally productive or that have become contaminated by natural or other causes.

Appendix A 2010 and 2011 Reported Statistics by County

Total Reported Enrollment (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions Counties Alameda Amador Butte		iservation ct*		armland Se	curity 7on	Participating Land Conservation Farmland Security Zone* Agricultural Other													
Juris dictions Counties Alameda Amador	Ad	#		Conservation Farmland Security Zone*															
Counties Alameda Amador			110	ban		·Urban	Conservation		Other	TOTAL									
Alameda Amador	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	Nonprime		Nonprime		Nonprime		Nonprime	Restriction	TOTAL									
Alameda Amador		Nonprinc ;	Titilic :	Nonprinc	Time	Nonprinic	Time :	Nonprine :	Restriction										
Amador	2,526	131,167	- 1	-	_	_	-	-	_	133,693									
I II	5,213	87,878	-	-	-	-	-	360	-	93,451									
Date	113,686	106,293	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	219,979									
Calaveras	448	141,245	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	141,693									
Colusa	66,952	193,720	15,989	737	41,015	2,035	-	-	-	320,448									
Contra Costa	9,538	36,336	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45,874									
El Dorado	2,334	31,795	-	-	5	180	-	-	-	34,315									
Fresno	982,032	483,245	-	-	25,799	3,482	-	-	-	1,494,558									
Glenn	63,618	267,432	14,112	500	73,600	2,226	-	-	-	421,488									
Humboldt	5,088	196,808	-	-	236	31	-	-	-	202,162									
Imperial	133,928	4,632		-	-	-	-	-	-	138,561									
Kern	628,186	912,223	25,176	133,751	-	-	-	-	-	1,699,336									
Kings	279,062	110,671	28,851	227	248,090	10,642	-	-	-	677,543									
Lake	15.062	200.068	546	- 34	- 11,239	- 7,684	-	-	-	224 524									
Lassen Los Angeles	15,063	299,968	540	- 34	11,239	7,084		-	40,031	334,534 40,031									
Madera	201,160	- 274,732	13,936	362	46,334	- 2,111	- 328		40,031	538,963									
Marin	1,646	84,229		-	290	17,217	-	_ [_	103,382									
Mariposa	185,501	21,676	_	_	-		_	_	_	207,177									
Mendocino	35,019	451,646	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	486,665									
Merced	258,883	209,080	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	467,963									
Modoc	17,764	109,865	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	127,629									
Mono	13,310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,310									
Monterey	51,999	669,137	28,551	2,767	12,728	5,484	524	6	2,613	773,809									
Napa	18,814	51,781	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70,595									
Ne va da	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Placer	15,576	25,734	51	-	-	1,645	-	-	-	43,007									
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Riverside			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Sacramento	87,722	93,369	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	181,091									
San Benito	51,759	530,783	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	582,542									
San Bernardino	2,170	2,371	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,542									
San Diego	4,821	56,906	- 15,215	- 79	24.156	- 10,550	-	-	-	61,727									
San Joaquin San Luis Obispo	323,478 90,630	149,489 701,496	576	79	34,156 55	10,550	-	-	-	532,968 792,900									
San Mateo	90,030	701,490	- 370	79		- 04	_	_ [-	792,900									
Santa Barbara	74,819	466,081	_	_	133	_	177	5,359	_	546,569									
Santa Clara	10,132	296,105	_	_	-	_		-	_	306,237									
Santa Cruz	2,724	12,865	82	32	_	10	_	63	_	15,776									
Shasta	23,702	163,482	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	187,184									
Sierra	1,918	32,901	-	1,751	-	3,980	-	_	-	40,549									
Siskiyou	96,056	325,506	-	, -	-	-	-	-	-	421,562									
Solano	120,053	145,582	-	-	-	-	1,939	2,888	-	270,461									
Sonoma	43,331	228,742	- 1	-	_	-	- ,	-	- ,	272,072									
Stanislaus	293,495	396,459	-	-	-	-	156	-	-	690,110									
Sutter	51,408	13,165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64,573									
Tehama	53,616	736,028	2,687	2,607	1,190	5,044	-	-	-	801,172									
Trinity		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Tulare	573,296	513,946	11,102	50	-	-	-	-	686	1,099,079									
Tuolumne		120,467		-	-	-	-	-	-	120,467									
Ventura	47,465	78,693	1,599	676	428	238	-		-	129,101									
Yolo	240,988	176,114	158	1	-	-	200	7	-	417,467									
Cities Camarillo	1		Т						"										
Hayward		- 812	_ [_		_		_ [-	- 812									
Menlo Park	_ [012	_ [-		_	[_ [- 012									
Newark	_ [<u> </u>	_	-		_		_ [_	_									
Palo Alto	148	338	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	486									
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Totals)																	
Counties	5,300,928	10,141,845	158,630	143,652	495,298	72,624	3,323	8,682	43,330	16,368,312									
C:1:	148	1,150	- [-	-	-	-	-	-	1,297									
Cities	5,301,075	10,142,995	158,630	143,652	495,298	72,624	3,323	8,682	43,330	16,369,609									

^{*}Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Total Reported Enrollment (Acres)

Participality Control Participality Control Participality Partici	l otal Reported Enrollment (Acres) 2011													
Aurisdictions	Participating Local				Farmland Se	curity Zone		Agricultural (Conservation	Other				
All All All All All All All All All Al		Land Conse	rvation Act*	Uı				-			TOTAL			
Butter 113,808 19,00,367		Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime					
Butter 113,808 19,00,367														
Bette	Alameda			-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Columbers				-	-	-	-	-	360	-				
Courtar Colus				-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Contra Costa S. A66 30,243 31,866 3.0 4.0 34,206 Fresno 982,012 483,245 3.1,260 3.2,270,024 41,12 500 73,600 2.226 -				-		-	- 2.025	-	-					
El Dorado Fresno 982,032 483,245 Glenn 698,032 179,024 Glenn 63,781 270,024 Glenn 63,781 270,024 Humbolutt 5,300 179,147 Imperial 133,928 Humbolutt 5,300 179,147 Imperial 62,8649 911,564 25,176 Imperial 62,8649 110,071 28,851 227 248,509 10,042 Imperial 62,8649 110,071 28,861 11,071 28,86				15,989		40,628	2,035	-	-					
Fresno						- 5	- 180							
Glenn 6,3781 270,022 14,112 500 73,600 2,226 424,243				_				_	_	_				
Humboldt				14.112	500			_	_	_				
Imperial 133-028					-			-	-	-				
Kings 278,839 110,671 28,851 227 248,090 10,642			4,632	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	138,561			
Lake Lassen 16,646 298,567 546 34 11,239 7,684 334,534 Los Angeles Maria Maria 1,646 84,229 13,936 362 46,335 2,110 328 40,031 40,033 46,033 40,03	Kern	628,640	911,564	25,176	-	133,751	-	-	-	-	1,699,132			
Lassen	Kings	278,839	110,671	28,851	227	248,090	10,642	-	-	-	677,320			
Los Angeles 1,		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Madera 201,457 274,613 13,936 362 46,335 2,110 328 -		16,464	298,567	546	34	11,239	7,684	-	-	-				
Marin 1,646		204 457	- 274 640	12.026	-	46 225			-	40,031				
Merded			· '	-				328	-	-				
Mendocino		1,040		_		290	1/,21/			-				
Merced 259,199 208,768	·	_	207,321	_	_	_	_	_		_	207,321			
Monterey		259.199	208.768			-	-	_		-	467.967			
Monterey 50,889 680,834 34,820 3,665 11,156 5,109 524 6 2,613 789,616 70,965		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Nevads		13,310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129	13,439			
Nevada Orange	Monterey	50,889	680,834	34,820	3,665	11,156	5,109	524	6	2,613	789,616			
Orange Plumas 1.5,943 2.5,879 5.1 - - 1.645 - - - 43,519 Riverside Sacramento San Benito 87,527 93,263 -	Napa	18,802	52,163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70,965			
Placer 15,943 25,879 51		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Riverside		15,943	25,879	51	-	-	1,645	-	-	-	43,519			
Sacramento S7,527 93,263		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
San Benito		27 527	- 03 263		-		-	-			180 700			
San Bernardino 2,170 2,371 -					-	_	_	-	_					
San Diego 4,856 56,865 - - - - - - 530,985 San Lus Obispo San Mateo 90,431 700,372 576 79 555 64 - - - 792,577 San Mateo - - - - - - - 792,577 Santa Barbara Santa Clara 9,731 295,546 - - - - 2 305,563 Santa Clruz 2,725 12,865 82 32 - 10 307 63 - 16,083 Shata Cruz 2,725 12,865 82 32 -				_	_	_	_	_	_	_				
San Joaquin 322,528 148,460 15,213 79 34,608 10,098 530,985 San Luis Obispo 90,431 701,372 576 79 55 64 792,577 Santa Barbara 75,167 464,665 -				-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
San Mateo -				15,213	79	34,608	10,098	-	-	-	530,985			
Santa Barbara 75,167 464,665 - - 133 - 178 5,358 - 545,501 Santa Clara 9,731 295,546 - - - - 286 - - 305,563 Santa Cruz 2,725 12,865 82 32 - 10 307 63 - 16,083 Shasta 23,702 163,477 - - - - - - 187,179 Sierra 1,918 32,900 - 1,751 - 3,980 - - - 40,548 Siskiyou 96,130 325,314 - - - - - 427,0170 Sonoma 43,525 228,626 -	San Luis Obispo	90,431	701,372	576	79	55	64	-	-	-	792,577			
Santa Clara 9,731 295,546 - - - - 2,86 - - 305,563 Santa Cruz 2,725 12,865 82 32 - 10 307 63 - 16,083 Shasta 23,702 163,477 - - - - - - 40,548 Siskiyou 96,130 325,314 - - - - - - - 40,548 Siskiyou 96,130 325,314 - - - - - - - - - - 40,548 Solano 119,936 145,371 -	San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Santa Cruz 2,725 12,865 82 32 - 10 307 63 - 16,083 Shasta 23,702 163,477 - - - - - - - 1,751 - 3,980 - - - 40,548 Siskiyou 96,130 325,314 - - - - - - 421,444 Solano 119,936 145,371 - - - - - - - 270,170 Sonoma 43,525 228,626 - - - - - - - - 272,151 Stanislaus -				-	-	133	-		5,358	-				
Shasta 23,702 163,477 - - - - - - - 187,179					-	-	-		-	-				
Sierra 1,918 32,900 - 1,751 - 3,980 - - - 40,548 Siskiyou 96,130 325,314 - - - - - - - 421,444 Solano 119,936 145,371 - - - - 1,939 2,924 - 270,170 Sonoma 43,525 228,626 - - - - - - 272,151 Stanislaus -				82	32	-	10	307	63	-				
Siskiyou 96,130 325,314 - - - - - 1,939 2,924 - 421,444 Solano 119,936 145,371 - - - - 1,939 2,924 - 270,170 Sonoma 43,525 228,626 - - - - - - 272,151 Stanislaus -				-	- 1 751	-	2.000	-	-	-				
Solano 119,936 145,371 - - - - 1,939 2,924 - 270,170 Sonoma 43,525 228,626 - - - - - - 272,151 Stanislaus - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>1,/51</td> <td>-</td> <td>3,980</td> <td>- </td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>				-	1,/51	-	3,980	-	-	-				
Sonoma 43,525 228,626 - - - - - - 272,151 Stanislaus - <				_				1 939	- 2 924	-				
Stanislaus -				_	_	_	_	-	-					
Sutter Tehama 51,408 13,165 - - - - - - - 64,573 Tehama 53,439 735,902 2,692 2,602 1,315 4,918 - - - 800,868 Trinity -		-	-		-		-	-	-					
Tehama 53,439 735,902 2,692 2,602 1,315 4,918 - - - 800,868 Trinity - </td <td></td> <td>51,408</td> <td>13,165</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>- </td> <td>- </td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>64,573</td>		51,408	13,165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64,573			
Tulare 572,435 513,896 11,102 50 - - - - 686 1,098,168 Tuolumne 120,351 - - - - - - - 120,351 Ventura 47,337 77,497 1,697 720 428 238 - - - 127,917 Yolo 198,642 156,651 158 1 - - 200 7 - 355,658 Cities - <				2,692	2,602	1,315	4,918	-	-	-	800,868			
Tuolumne Ventura 120,351 - - - - - - - 120,351 Ventura 47,337 77,497 1,697 720 428 238 - - - 127,917 Yolo 198,642 156,651 158 1 - - 200 7 - 355,658 Cities Camarillo -<	, i	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Ventura Yolo 47,337 77,497 1,697 720 428 238 - - - 127,917 Yolo 198,642 156,651 158 1 - - 200 7 - 355,658 Cities Camarillo Hayward -		572,435		11,102	50		-	-			1,098,168			
Yolo 198,642 156,651 158 1 - - 200 7 - 355,658 Cities Camarillo -				-	-			-	-		120,351			
Cities Camarillo Hayward -								- 200						
Camarillo -		198,642	156,651	158	1	-	-	200	/	-	355,658			
Hayward - 812 - - - - - - 812 Menlo Park Newark -<		_		_	_	_	_	1		_ 1	_			
Menlo Park Newark -			812	_	_			<u> </u>		-				
Newark Palo Alto -		_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-			
Palo Alto 148 338 - - - - - - - 486 Perris -		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_			
Perris Redlands -		148	338		-						486			
Totals Counties 4,726,567 9,357,422 164,999 10,838 627,935 71,832 3,761 8,718 43,459 15,015,531 Cities 148 1,150 - - - - - - 1,298 Grand Totals 4,726,715 9,358,572 164,999 10,838 627,935 71,832 3,761 8,718 43,459 15,016,828		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Counties 4,726,567 9,357,422 164,999 10,838 627,935 71,832 3,761 8,718 43,459 15,015,531 Cities 148 1,150 - - - - - - - - 1,298 Grand Totals 4,726,715 9,358,572 164,999 10,838 627,935 71,832 3,761 8,718 43,459 15,016,828	Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-			
Cities 148 1,150 - - - - - - - 1,298 Grand Totals 4,726,715 9,358,572 164,999 10,838 627,935 71,832 3,761 8,718 43,459 15,016,828				·										
Grand Totals 4,726,715 9,358,572 164,999 10,838 627,935 71,832 3,761 8,718 43,459 15,016,828				164,999	10,838	627,935	71,832	3,761	8,718	43,459	15,015,531			
				-	40.000	-	71.005		- 0.746	-				
						627,935	/1,832	3,761	8,718	43,459	15,016,828			

 $[\]hbox{*Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.}$

Farmland Security Zone Transfers (Acres)

Farmland Security Zone Transfers (Acres) 2010 Participating Local Land Conservation Farmland Security Zone* Agricultural Other													
Participating Local	Land C	onconvotion		Farmland So	curity 7or		٨σ	ricultural	Other				
Jurisdictions		Act*	1	Jrban		n-Urban		servation	Enforceable	TOTAL			
Julisuictions	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	IOIAL			
Counties	TTIIIIC	Nonprinc	TTITLE	Nonprinc	rillie	Nonprine	Tillie	Nonprine	Restriction				
Alameda	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	- 1	_	_			
Amador	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_			
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_			
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-			
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-			
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Glenn	212	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	212			
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Marin	-	-	-	_	_	-	-		-	-			
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-			
Mendocino Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Merced Modoc	-	_	_	_	_	-	-		-	_			
Mono	-	_	_	-			_		_	_			
Monterey	5,937	341	_	_	_	_	_		-	6,278			
Napa	-	-	_	_		_		_	_	-			
Nevada	-	_						_					
Orange	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-			
Placer	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			
Plumas	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			
Riverside	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_			
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Sierra	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-			
Siskiyou Solano	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-			
Solano Sonoma	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	_			
Stanislaus		_	_		-	_	_	-	-	_			
Sutter	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	₋	_	_			
Tehama	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	.	-	_			
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_			
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Yolo	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-			
Cities													
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Totals													
Counties	6,149	341	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,490			
Cities Grand Totals		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Grand Totals	6,149	341	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,490			

^{*}Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Farmland Security Zone Transfers (Acres)

		·	armlan	d Security	Zone 1	2011	Acres)			
Participating Local	Land C	onservation		Farmland Sec	curity 7or		Др	ricultural	Other	
Jurisdictions		Act*	ī	Jrban		n-Urban		servation	Enforceable	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Counties										
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	- '	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	_ !	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	- '	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	- !	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	- '	-	-	-	·	-	-
Kings Lake	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Lassen	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Madera	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	_
Marin	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	_ '	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	_ '	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	1,988	383	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,371
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	- !	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	- !	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		-	_
San Bernardino	_		_	_ '		_	_		_	_
San Diego	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
San Joaquin	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	- '	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	- '	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity Tulare	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	98	43	-	_	_		_		-	141
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities						•				
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
• –	2,086	427	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,512
Counties										
Counties Cities Grand Totals	2,086	- 427	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,512

^{*}Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Cumulati	ve Nonren	ewal Acre	age (Land	Conservati	on Act)	
		2010			2011	
Participating Local Jurisdictions	Land Conse	rvation Act		Land Conse	rvation Act	
Jurisalctions	Prime	Nonprime	TOTAL	Prime	Nonprime	TOTAL
Counties						
Alameda	8	753	760	8	981	989
Amador	20	3,047	3,067	20	2,261	2,281
Butte	1,470	11,058	12,528	1,606	11,048	12,654
Calaveras	5	6,914	6,919	5	7,212	7,217
Colusa	2,677	32	2,709	2,677	32	2,709
Contra Costa	425	1,784	2,209	353	813	1,166
El Dorado Fresno	67 19,521	1,224 3,951	1,291 23,472	67 19,521	1,116 3,951	1,182 23,472
Glenn	1,242	1,460	23,472	1,242	1,460	23,472
Humboldt	17	3,100	3,117	14	3,230	3,244
Imperial	20,465	1,529	21,994	127,740	11,500	139,240
Kern	48,252	30,535	78,787	49,548	31,476	81,024
Kings	8,363	153	8,516	8,097	153	8,250
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	8	1,749	1,756	38	1,893	1,931
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	15,362	18,420	33,782	15,362	18,420	33,782
Marin	39	365	404	39	365	404
Mariposa	-	21,676	21,676	-	24,621	24,621
Mendocino		867	867		-	
Merced	5,987	215	6,202	6,281	230	6,510
Modoc	-	264	264	-	-	-
Mono	2 600	7 052	- 10,544	2 596	- 0.190	- 11,775
Monterey Napa	2,690 668	7,853 353	1,021	2,586 603	9,189 369	972
Nevada		-	1,021		-	-
Orange	_	_	-	_	_	_
Placer	4,142	4,909	9,051	4,212	4,558	8,770
Plumas	´-	-	-	, -	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	1,106	9,920	11,026	1,807	9,897	11,704
San Benito	1,008	5,497	6,505	976	3,112	4,088
San Bernardino	256	878	1,134	256	878	1,134
San Diego	151	694	845	180	558	738
San Joaquin	31,327	7,340	38,668	29,694	7,340	37,035
San Luis Obispo	3,715	13,399	17,114	3,438	13,311	16,749
San Mateo Santa Barbara	2 627	- 20 400	- 41 125	2 267	- 27 222	- 20 500
Santa Barbara Santa Clara	2,627 1,436	38,498 14,136	41,125 15,572	2,267 1,198	37,233 50,390	39,500 51,589
Santa Cruz	2	14,130	2	2	50,590	2
Shasta		76	76	-	69	69
Sierra	-	-	-	_	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	335	2,100	2,435
Solano	1,215	1,957	3,173	1,141	1,854	2,995
Sonoma	417	4,009	4,426	420	3,929	4,349
Stanislaus	18,767	25,310	44,077	-	-	-
Sutter	152		152	152		152
Tehama	6,694	22,770	29,465	6,469	21,826	28,295
Trinity	-	-		-	-	-
Tulare	6,331	1,518	7,849	6,209	4,574	10,784
Tuolumne		16,523	16,523	-	15,607	15,607
Ventura	574 6 527	2,070	2,644	460 6 71 7	1,168	1,628
Yolo Cities	6,537	2,999	9,536	6,717	3,038	9,755
Camarillo		1	_	_		_
Hayward	_	_	_	_	_	_
Menlo Park	_	_	-	-	-	-
Newark	_	_	-	-	-	_
Palo Alto		70	70	-	70	70
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals						
Counties	213,735	289,054	502,789	301,730	310,783	612,513
Cities	-	70	70	-	70	70
Grand Totals	213,735	289,123	502,859	301,730	310,853	612,583

Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage (Farmland Security Zone)

				val Acreage	2010	,			
Participating Local			Fa	rmland Security		cts			
Jurisdictions		First 10				Last 10			TOTAL
Julisulctions	Urb			Urban		ban	Non-L		TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	
Counties									
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	474	160	-	-	-	-	6
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado Fresno	- 1	-	-	_	-	· I	-	-	_
Glenn	_ []	_	16	_ [_	[
Humboldt	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	
Imperial	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	
Kern	13,776	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	13,7
Kings	156	_	467	_	_	_	-	_	
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	
Lassen	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Madera	1,393	49	707	11	-	-	-	-	2,1
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Monterey	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Placer	51	-	-	23	-	-	-	-	
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	- I	-	-	
San Diego		-	-	-	-	-	-		
San Joaquin San Luis Obispo		-		-		-		-	
San Mateo	_ []	_	-	_ [_	[
Santa Barbara		_	_	_	_	_ [_	_	
Santa Clara	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Santa Cruz	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Shasta	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	
Sierra	_	-	-	_	-		_	-	
Siskiyou	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	
Solano	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tehama	-	-	321	15	-	-	-	-	3
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cities									
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Totals	45 440	40 I	4 00=	200			-		<i></i>
Counties Cities	15,419	49	1,987	208	-	-	-	-	17,6
		-	-	1	-	1	-	-	

	Cum	ulative No	onrenewa	al Acreage	2011	nd Securit	y Zone)		
			Farm	land Securit		tracts		1	
Participating		First 10		50000	7 = 0	Last 10)-years		
Local Jurisdictions	Urb		Non-l	Jrban	Url	oa n		Jrban	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Pri me	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Pri me	Nonprime	
0.				Counties					
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	474	160	-	-	-	-	634
Contra Costa El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	_		_	_	_	_	_	_ [-
Glenn	_	_	16	_	_	_	_	_	16
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	- 1	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	13,776	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	13,777
Kings	156	-	404	-	-	-	63	-	623
La ke	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Madera	1,393	49	707	11	-	-	-	-	2,160
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-
Merced	_	-					-	-	
Modoc		_ [_	_	_	[_	[_
Mono	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Monterey	42	-	-	20	-	_	-	-	62
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Ne va da	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	51	-	-	23	-	-	-	-	74
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego San Joaquin	- 53		- 771	- 177	_	_	-	-	1,001
San Luis Obispo	-	_	-	-			_	-	
San Mateo	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Santa Barbara	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	_
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter Tehama	-	-	- 321	- 15	-	-	-	-	- 226
Trinity	-	-	-	12	-	_	-	[336
Tulare					_		_		_
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	158	1			-		-		159
Cities									
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
Counties	15,630	50	2,695	405	_	_	63	_ 1	18,843
Cities	- 15,030	-		403	-	_	-	_	-
Grand Totals	15,630	50	2,695	405	-	-	63	-	18,843
	_5,000		_,000				- 55		_5,5.5

New Enrollments (Acres)

				New Enro	ilment					
						2010				ı
Participating Local Jurisdictions	Land Con	servation Act		Farmland Se			1	ricultural	Other	TOTAL
Jurisdictions	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Jrban Nonprime	Prime	n-Urban Nonprime	Prime	servation Nonprime	Enforceable Restriction	IOTAL
Counties	Tillic	Nonprinte	TTIIIIC	Nonprime	Fillite	Nonprine	Filline	Nonprine	Restriction	l
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	2,739	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,739
Calaveras	5	229	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	44	38	-		-	-	-	-	-	82
El Dorado Fresno	5	17	-	l -	-	-	-	-	-	22
Glenn	632	384	_	_	351	_	_	_	_	1,367
Humboldt	20	1,381	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,401
Imperial	219	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	228
Kern	1,640	760	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,400
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lassen	-	9,935	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,935
Los Angeles Madera	- 78	222	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 299
Marin	/ ⁸	- 222		[_	[-	299
Mariposa	_	527	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	527
Mendocino	95	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	98
Merced	969	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	998
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	
Monterey	-	144	4,128	329	-	-	14	-	-	4,615
Napa Nevada	375	95 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	470
Orange				[
Placer	-	5	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	5
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	834	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	834
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	- 577	- 452	-		-	-	-	-	-	- 1,029
San Joaquin San Luis Obispo	931	1,438	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,369
San Mateo	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	
Santa Barbara	45	1,059	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,104
Santa Clara		243	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	243
Santa Cruz	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou Solano	1,849	53	-	· ·	-	-	-	-	-	1,901
Solano	67 12	- 96	-		-	-	_	-	-	67 108
Stanislaus	849	123	-	-	-	-	156	-	-	1,128
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Tehama	643	621	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,264
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	26	2	25	15	-	-	-	-	-	68 -
Yolo Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals Counties	11,841	18,720	4,153	344	351	_	170	_	-	35,579
Cities		- 10,720	-,133	- 344	- 331	-	-	-	-	- 33,379
Grand Totals	11,841	18,720	4,153	344	351	-	170	-	-	35,579
	/	-,: =0	,==3							,

New Enrollments (Acres)

				New Enro	llment					
Participating Local				Farmland Se	curity 70	2011	Δσ	ricultural	Other	
Jurisdictions	Land Cor	servation Act	ı	Jrban		n-Urban		servation	Enforceable	TOTAL
Va. 15415115115	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Counties									Restriction	
Alameda	-	1,621	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,621
Amador	387	324	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	711
Butte	20	160	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	180
Calaveras	8	2,993	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	3,000
Colusa		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	163	2,592	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,755
Humboldt	259	351	-	-	268	163	-	-	-	1,040
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	2,113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,113
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	154
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	240	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	240
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	235	12,938	2,577	267	-	-	-	-	-	16,017
Napa	72	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	212
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	114
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
San Luis Obispo	278	802	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,080
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	308	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	478
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	37	-	42
Sonoma	42	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	139
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	28	417	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44.
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	10	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Yolo	48	3		-	-	-	-	-	-	5
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto		-		-	-	-	-	-		-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	4,125	22,995	2,577	267	268	163	-	37	-	30,433
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Grand Totals	4,125	22,995	2,577	267	268	163	-	37	-	30,432
		,								

Nonrenewal Initiations (Acres)

	1				IVOI	renewal In	ittiation	s (Acres)						
Participating Local				2010	ouritu 7a						2011	ouritu 7o	no	
Jurisdictions	Land Con	servation Act	1	Farmland Se Irban		ne n-Urban	TOTAL	Land Cons	servation Act	1	Farmland Se Jrban		n-Urban	TOTAL
Julisaletions	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	101712	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	101712
Counties														
Alameda	6	127	-	-	-	-	133	-	228	-	-	-	-	228
Amador	14	318	-	-	-	-	332	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-		145	-	-	-	145	23	-	-	-	-	-	23
Calaveras	5	138	-	-	-	-	143	-	298	-	-	-	-	298
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa El Dorado	-	40	-	-	-	-	- 40	-	-	-	-		-	-
Fresno	754	390	_	_	_	_	1,144	_	_	_	_	l -	_	_
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-
Humboldt	-	368	-	-	-	-	368	10	70	-	-	-	-	80
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	107,276	9,971	-	-	-	-	117,246
Kern	4,364	3,771	-	-	-	-	8,134	2,626	1,579	-	-	-	-	4,205
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	-	-	-	-	-	80
Lake	-	- 1.010	-	-	-	-	1 010	-	- 145	-	-	-	-	- 175
Lassen Los Angeles	-	1,018	-	-	-	-	1,018	30	145		-	-	-	175
Madera	479	376	_	_		-	855	-		-	_			-
Marin	-	123	-	-	-	-	123	-	_	-	_	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,945	-	-	-	-	2,945
Mendocino	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	347	15	-	-	-	-	362
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	1 6 4 0	-	-	-	-	- 1,649	-	1 266	-	-	-	- 20	1 206
Monterey Napa	- 124	1,649 12	_	-	_	-	1,649	97	1,366 25	-	_		20	1,386 122
Nevada	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	175	-	-	-	23	198	70	26	-	-	-	-	95
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	572	831	-	-	-	-	1,403	840	23	-	-	-	-	863
San Benito San Bernardino	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino San Diego	-			-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-
San Joaquin	103			-		-	103	467	7	-			[474
San Luis Obispo	-	76	-	-	-	-	76	65	323	-	-	-	-	388
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	1	339	-	-	-	-	340	163	36,814	-	-	-	-	36,977
Santa Cruz	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta Sierra	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-		-	8
Siskiyou	_			_		-	-	_		-				-
Solano	-	107	_	-	_	-	107	-	132	-				132
Sonoma	22	160	-	-	-	-	182	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	409	346	-	-	-	-	755	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	20
Trinity	- 1 04E		-	-	-	-	1 500	- 720	3 400	-	-	·	-	2 045
Tulare Tuolumne	1,045	554 982	-	-	-	-	1,598 982	739	3,106 246	-	-	-	-	3,845 246
Ventura	-	- 982	_	-			J04 -	41	246 147	-	-	-		188
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	180	39	-	-	-	-	219
Cities														
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	[-			-	-		-	-	[_	-
Totals				1							<u> </u>		ı l	
Counties	7,900	11,899	145	-	-	23	19,966	113,054	57,531	-	-	-	20	170,605
Cities	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	7,900	11,899	145	-	-	23	19,966	113,054	57,531	-	-	-	20	170,605

Nonrenewal Expirations (Acres)

	1			2010	Nonre	enewal Exp	pirations	Acres)		2011			
Participating Local				2010 Farmland Se	curity 70	ne					2011 Farmland Se	curity 70	ne	
Jurisdictions	Land Con	servation Act	l	Jrban		n-Urban	TOTAL	Land Cor	servation Act	l	Jrban		n-Urban	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime		Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	
Counties		467	1	T		ı	1.57				ı		<u> </u>	ı
Alameda Amador	-	167	-		-		167	-	- 685	-				- 685
Butte	-	249	-	_	_	-	249	-	-	_	_	-	_	-
Calaveras	5	124	-	-	-	-	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	31	-	-	-	-	31	72	971	-	-	-	-	1,043
El Dorado Fresno	- 159	- 66	-		-	-	225	-	109	-		-	-	109
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	184	-	-	-	-	184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern Kings	569 669	116 950	-	-	-	-	686 1,619	1,331 222	638	-	-	-	-	1,969 222
Lake	- 009	-	_			-	1,019	-	-	-		-	-	- 222
Lassen	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	219	-	-	-	-	-	219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	_	-	_				-	-	-	-				_
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	- 39	- 306	-	-	-	-	345	102 162	10 9	-	-	-	-	112 171
Napa Nevada	- 39	-	-	-	-	-	- 345	- 162	- 9	-	-	-	-	- 1/1
Orange	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Placer	127	1,187	-	-	-	-	1,314	-	376	-	-	-	-	376
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	144	-	-	-	-	-	144	139	- 46		-	-	-	- 185
Sacramento San Benito	144 343	- 1,473	_		-	-	1,816	33	2,385	-		_	-	2,418
San Bernardino	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
San Diego	11	7	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	2,132	79	-	-	-	-	2,211	2,107	4	-	-	-	-	2,110
San Luis Obispo San Mateo	227	618	-	-	-	-	846	170	316	-	-	-	-	486
Santa Barbara	453	4,692	_				5,145	374	1,253	-				1,627
Santa Clara	58	-	-	-	-	-	58	400	299	-	-	-	-	699
Santa Cruz	-	1,340	-	-	-	-	1,340	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	5
Sierra Siskiyou	-	-	-		_	-	-	- 56	- 83	-		-	-	- 139
Solano	33	- 21	-	:	-	-	- 54	75	234	-	-	-		309
Sonoma	38	26	-		-	-	63	-	-	-	<u> </u>		-	-
Stanislaus	539	37	-	-	-	-	576	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 744
Tehama Trinity	44	703	-	-	-	-	747	194	550	-	-	-	-	744
Tulare	- 757	22	_	-	-	-	779	861	- 50	-	-	_	-	911
Tuolumne	1	45	-	-	-	-	45	-	95	-	-	-	-	95
Ventura	1	9	-	-	-	-	10	155	1,048	-	-	-	-	1,203
Yolo	218	137	-	<u> </u>	-	-	355	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities Camarillo			_	<u> </u>		_	I -	_		_	l .	_	_	I -
Hayward	[-		:	-	-	-	-		-	:	-		
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris Redlands	-	-	-	-	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals		-						-						
Counties	6,785	12,590	-	-	-	-	19,375	6,452	9,165	-	-	-	-	15,617
Cities	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-
Grand Totals	6,785	12,590	-	-	-	-	19,375	6,452	9,165	-	-	-	-	15,617

Nonre	newals Wi	thdrawn A	creage (La	nd Conser	vation Act)	
Participating Local		2010			2011	
	Land Conse	rvation Act	TOTAL	Land Conse	rvation Act	TOTAL
Jurisdictions	Prime	Nonprime	TOTAL	Prime	Nonprime	TOTAL
Counties						
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	_	_	_	_	_	-
Kings	_	_	_	124	_	124
Lake	_	_	_	-	_	
Lassen	_	_	_	_	_	_
Los Angeles	_	_	_	_	_	_
Madera	_	_	_	_	_	_
Marin	_	_	_	_	_ [_
Mariposa	_	_	_	_	_ [_
Mendocino	_	_	_	_	_	_
Merced	_	_			_	
Modoc	_	_	_	_	_	_
Mono		_			_	
Monterey	_	_	_	_	_	_
Napa	-	-	-	_	-	-
Nevada	-				-	
	-	-	-	_	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	11	174	185
San Joaquin	651	-	651	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	175	-	175	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	86	105	190	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	93	93	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	10	10
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	28	417	445
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-		-	-	
Tuolumne	-	503	503	-	1,068	1,068
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	
Cities						
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals	l				I	
Counties	911	700	1,612	163	1,669	1,832
Cities			-,012		-	-,552
Grand Totals	911	700	1,612	163	1,669	1,832
J. 2.14 10tal3	7+1	,00	1,012	103	1,000	1,002

Cancellations (Acres)

				Cancella	ations					
Participating Local	Land C	onservation		Farmland Sec	curity 7or	2010	Λα	ricultural	Other	
Jurisdictions		Act*		Jrban		n-Urban		servation	Enforceable	TOTAL
Julisaictions	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Counties						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			restriction	
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	19	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	_			_					-	_
Kings	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Lake	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Placer	-	-		-		_	_	-	-	_
Plumas	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Riverside	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra Siskiyou	-			_			l -			_
Solano	-			-			_		_	-
Sonoma	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Stanislaus	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
Sutter	-	- [-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities				1		1				
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-		-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Palo Alto Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-
Totals	-		_		-			_		
Counties	19	45	-	_	-		l -	-	_	64
Counties	-	45	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Grand Totals	19	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64
2.2		.5					•			3-1

^{*}Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Cancellations (Acres)

				Cancella	, c.o.,	2011				
Participating Local	Land C	onservation		Farmland Sec	curity Zor		Ag	ricultural	Other	
Jurisdictions		Act*	ī	Jrban		n-Urban		servation	Enforceable	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Counties				•						
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	5	670	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	675
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	1									1
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	_	-	-		-	-	-
Nevada	-		_							
Orange		_	-	_		_		_	_	_
Placer	_			_						
Plumas	_	_	_	_			_	_		
Riverside	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Sacramento	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
San Benito	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
San Bernardino	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
San Diego	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
San Joaquin	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity 	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities	,	<u> </u>			_				1	
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Totals		-	-	_		_	-	-	-	_
Counties	6	670	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	676
Cities		-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Citics										
Grand Totals	6	670	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	676

^{*}Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Public Acquisitions (Acres)

1	I			Public Acq	uisitio					
Participating Local	Land Co	onservation		Farmland Se			Ag	ricultural	Other	
Jurisdictions		Act*		Jrban T		n-Urban		servation	Enforceable	TOTAL
Counties	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Alameda	_	1,155	_	l -	_	_	_	_	_	1,155
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa El Dorado	-	372 11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	372 11
Fresno	186	72	_		_	-	_	-	-	258
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	1,193	2,910	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,102
Kings Lake	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa Mendocino	-	_	-	_	-	-		-	-	-
Merced	-	1	-	-	-		-	_	-	1
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	389	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	389
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada Orange	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Placer	2	14	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	16
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	33	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36
San Benito San Bernardino	-	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
San Diego	- 8	- 120	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	128
San Joaquin	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
San Luis Obispo	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara Santa Cruz	-	500	-	_	1 -	_		-	-	500
Shasta		-		-	-	-	-	_	-	_
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma Stanislaus	122	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	131
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Tehama	-	879	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	879
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
Tuolumne Ventura	- 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 4
Yolo	- 4	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	- 4
Cities						·				
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Perris		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	1,573	6,475	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,048
Cities	- 1,573	- 6,475	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 8,048
Grand Totals										

 $[\]ensuremath{^{*}}$ Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Public Acquisitions (Acres)

			<u> </u>	Public Acqu	isition					
Participating Local	Land C	onservation		Farmland Se	curity Zor	2011 ne*	Ag	ricultural	Other	
Jurisdictions		Act*	ι	Jrban		n-Urban		servation	Enforceable	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Counties	1				Г					
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador Butte	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_
Calaveras	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Colusa	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Contra Costa	-	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	121
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial Kern	- 357	- 21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 378
Kings	- 337		_		_	_	_	_	_	-
Lake	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	_	-		-	_		_	-		-
Mono	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	2	181	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Placer	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Plumas Riverside	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	48	73	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	121
San Benito	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	5	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	84
San Joaquin		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	_	260	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	260
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Sonoma		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter Tehama	_] [l :	l [-			_
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Tulare	-	-		-	-		-	-		-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Yolo	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities		 		ı	Ι	I	I			
Camarillo Hayward	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	_
Menlo Park	_] [_	l -	_	_	_	_	_	_
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Palo Alto	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals					<u> </u>		,		-	
Counties	414	738	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,152
Cities Grand Totals	414	738	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1,152
Granu rotals	414	/38							-	1,154

 $[\]mbox{*}\mbox{Includes}$ both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

City Annexations (Acres)

				City Anne	exation					7.1
Participating Local		nservation		armland Se				icultural	Other	
Jurisdictions	*********************	Act* Nonprime	U Prime	rban Nonprime		n-Urban Nonprime		ervation Nonprime	Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
Counties	Prime	моприше	Pillie	поприше	Prime	Nonprine	Pilille	поприше	Restriction	9
Alameda	-	_ 1	-	-	-	-	-		- "	_ 1
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-
Humboldt	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_
Imperial	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Los Angeles Madera	- 19		-		_		-	_		- 19
Marin	-		_		_	_	_	_	_	-
Mariposa	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa Nevada	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-
Orange	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
San Diego San Joaquin	- 125	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	125
San Luis Obispo	-	_	-	-	-		_	-	_	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou Solano	_		_		_		_	_		_
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	665	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	675
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tuolumne Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities		Li.								
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Counties	809	10	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	818
Counties	- 809	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	818
Grand Totals	809	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	818
*Includes both co				al contracts				1		

^{*}Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

City Annexations (Acres)

						2011				
Participating Local		onservation		Farmland Sec				ricultural	Other	
Jurisdictions		Act*		Jrban 		n-Urban		servation	Enforceable	TOTAI
Counties	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Alameda	-	<u> </u>	_	l -	_	_	_		-	
Amador	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	
Butte	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Calaveras	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	
Colusa	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	
Contra Costa	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Marin	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	- I	-	
Mariposa	-	I -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mendocino Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Merced Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mono		I -		-		_	 	-	-	
Monterey	-	I -	_			_	-	-	-	
Monterey Napa	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	
Nevada		-	-		-		-			
Orange	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	
Placer	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Plumas	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	
Riverside	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Siskiyou	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Solano	-	I -	-		-	-	-	- I	-	
Sonoma Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stanisiaus Sutter	-	I -	_		-	_	_		-	
Sutter Tehama		I -	l [_	<u> </u>	-	-	
Trinity	_	I -	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	
Tulare	_			_	_	_	_	_	-	
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-		
Ventura	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	
Cities	-		-					I		
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Totals										
Counties	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Grand Totals										

^{*}Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Net Adjustments (Acres)

Participating Local Local Conversation Act					Net Adju	sunen	2010				
Marie Mar	Participating Local	Land Co	onservation		Farmland Se	curity 7c		Δσ	ricultural	Other	
Countes			1					1			TOTAL
Alameda											
Amador (6) (166)	Counties										
Rutte 258 81 -				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(17)
Calaveras Colusa				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(172)
Colusa Contractosta 1				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Contra Costa 1				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8)
El Dorado Fresso 915 403			-		-		-	-			
Fresho Glenn			- (11)		-		_		-	-	3
Sienn Humboldt -				_	_		_		_	_	
Humboldt				_	_		_	_	_	_	-
Imperial			(1)	_	-		-	-	-	-	(1)
Kings		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- ` `
Lake Lassen 473	Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen 473	Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles - - - - - - - - -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera 142 (119)			(1,266)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(793)
Marin			- (4.40)		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mariposa (5) (9) (14) (485) (410)			(119)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
Mendocino (35)			- (0)	_	-	_	_		-	·	- (1.4)
Merced 1,715 (2,428) -										[
Mondoc					-			-			(714)
Monterey		-	-	_	-		-	_	-	_	-
Napa		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	Napa	(19)	(89)	-		-	-	-	-	-	(108)
Placer 235 761 51	Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside			761	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,047
Sacramento			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bentido (60) 364 304 San Bernardino					-			-			
San Bernardino			, ,	-	-		-	-	-	-	
San Diagoin - <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>304</td></th<>				-	-		-	-	-	-	304
San Joaquin 563 (671) (2)				_	_	_	_		_	-	-
San Luis Obispo San Mateo Santa Barbara Santa Barbara Santa Clara Santa Clara Santa Cruz Sinsta Sisria Sisria Sisria Sisria Sisria Sonoma Sonoma Sonoma Sinter Sunter Tehama Sutter Tululare Santa Sisria Sis				(2)	_	28	(84)	_		_	(166)
San Mateo -				, ,	_			-	_	-	500
Santa Clara Santa Cruz -			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara Santa Cruz -	Santa Barbara	307	(95)	-	-	-	-	(0)	(0)	-	211
Shasta	Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Sierra (1)	Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou 351 (445) - - - - - - - 9/2 Solano (68) 110 - - - - - - - - - 42 Sonoma 416 (32) - <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano		, ,		-	-	-	(0)	-	-	-	3
Sonoma 416 (32) - - - - - - - - 384				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(94)
Stanislaus 2,503 (2,024) -				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
Sutter Tehama 1,523 (1,527) (123) 123 (2 Trinity								_			
Tehama 1,523 (1,527) (123) 123 (4 Trinity (4 Trinity		2,503	(2,024)				-	-	-	-	479
Trinity		1 523	- (1 527)				_	[_ [(4)
Tulare 58 59 117 Tuolumne			(1,327)		-		_	_		_	- (4)
Tuolumne Ventura (1) (58) 25 (3) (37) (37) (2,844) 1,774 (1,07) (37) (37) (2,844) 1,774		58	59		_		_	_		_	117
Ventura (1) (58) 25 (3) - - - - - (37) Cities Camarillo - <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td>		-			-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Yolo (2,844) 1,774 - - - - - (1,071) Cities Camarillo -<		(1)	(58)	25	(3)	-	-	-	-	-	(37)
Cities Camarillo - </td <td>Yolo</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(1,071)</td>	Yolo			-		-		<u> </u>			(1,071)
Hayward - </td <td></td>											
Menio Park -		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Newark Palo Alto -			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris Redlands -		-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Redlands -<						-		-			
Totals Counties Cities 7,180 (5,966) (48) 119 (124) (85) (0) (0) - 1,077 Cities -			-	_	-	_	_			·	-
Counties 7,180 (5,966) (48) 119 (124) (85) (0) (0) - 1,077 Cities - - - - - - - - - - Grand Totals 7,180 (5,966) (48) 119 (124) (85) (0) (0) - 1,077		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-
Cities - <td></td> <td>7,180</td> <td>(5,966)</td> <td>(48)</td> <td>119</td> <td>(124)</td> <td>(85)</td> <td>(0)</td> <td>(0)</td> <td>-</td> <td>1,077</td>		7,180	(5,966)	(48)	119	(124)	(85)	(0)	(0)	-	1,077
	Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			(5,966)	(48)	119	(124)	(85)	(0)	(0)	-	1,077

Net Adjustments (Acres)

				Net Adjus	cincinc					
Participating Local				Farmland Se	curity 7on	2011	Λα	ricultural	Other	
Jurisdictions	Land Conse	ervation Act*		Jrban		-Urban	_	servation	Enforceable	TOTAL
Janisaictions	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Counties								•		
Alameda	(39)	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	120
Amador	(91)	(249)	-	-		-	-	-	-	(339
Butte	101	(86)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	- (47)	- (11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- (58
Humboldt Imperial	(47)	(11)			-				-	(38
Kern	31	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	31
Kings	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Lake	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Lassen	1,401	(1,401)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	142	(119)	-	-	1	(1)	-	-	-	23
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	- '	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	- 	(96)	-	-	- 	-	-	-	-	(96
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Merced	317	(312)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	748	(667)	1,704	248	(1,573)	(375)	-	-	-	85
Napa	77	251	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	329
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	367	408	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	775
Plumas Riverside	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	(8)	13			-				-	5
San Benito	(5)	15	_	_		_	_	_	_	10
San Bernardino	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
San Diego	40	38	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	78
San Joaquin	1,156	(1,026)	(2)	-	452	(453)	-	-	-	127
San Luis Obispo	(307)	(610)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(917
San Mateo	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	415	(334)	-	-		-	1	(1)	-	81
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1
Siskiyou	131	(109)	-	-	-	-	-	- ,	-	22
Solano	(42)	18	-	-	-	-	-	(0)	-	(24
Sonoma	153	(213)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(60
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Sutter Tehama	- (11)	- 6	- 5	- (5)	- 125	- (125)	-	-	-	
Trinity	(11)	-	_ 5	(5) -	- 125	(172)	-	-		(5
Tulare	_	-	-	-	_		-	-	-	_
Tuolumne	-	(22)	-	-	-	-	-		-	(22
Ventura	116	(135)	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	(18
Yolo	(42,394)	(19,465)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(61,859
Cities	, ,== -/	, =,:=3,								, ,,,,,,,
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Newark	- 	-	-	-	- 	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	(37,748)	(23,948)	1,707	243	(995)	(954)	1	(1)	-	(61,696
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	(37,748)	(23,948)	1,707	243	(995)	(954)	1	(1)	1	(61,696

^(#) is for negative adjustments
*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Contracted Land not Receiving Tax Relief Benefits (Acres)*

		Contracted	Lanu			2010				
Participating Local	Land Cons	servation Act		Farmland S				ricultural	Other	
Jurisdictions	Lana cons			Urban		n-Urban	Cor	servation	Enforceable	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Counties										
Alameda	120	22,736	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,855
Amador	185	504	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	689
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	2,432	1,119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,551
El Dorado	158	131	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	289
Fresno	20,364	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20,364
Glenn Humboldt	_	-	_		_	_		-	_	_
Imperial		_						_		
Kern	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Kings	67,971	12,740	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	80,711
Lake	-		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Lassen	1,544	4,520	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,064
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Madera	27,141	3,978	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31,119
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	41,415	34,092	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75,506
Napa	7,873	10,317	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18,190
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	2 501	720	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4 211
Placer Plumas	3,581	730	-		_	-	_	-	-	4,311
Riverside	_	-	_		_	_		-	_	_
Sacramento					_		 	_		
San Benito	3,679	3,999	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	7,678
San Bernardino	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	3,153	10,677	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,830
San Luis Obispo	4,347	7,375	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,722
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	21,975	8,179	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,153
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	1,211	1,307	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,517
Shasta		53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
Sierra	97	1,064	-	l -	-	-	-	-	-	1,161
Siskiyou	12	467	-	· -	-	-	-	-	-	479
Solano	3,283	22,803	-	· -	-	-	-	-	-	26,085
Sonoma Stanislaus	- 52,189	- 9,742	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 61,931
Sutter	J2,103 _	3,742	_	l -			1 -	[- 01,931
Tehama	14,935	6,102	_	l -		_	l -		- -	21,037
Trinity			-	l -	_	_		_	_	
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	16,523	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,523
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	· -
Yolo	38,228	16,259	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54,487
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 -	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-		_	-		-		
Totals	215 001	105 445		T			ı	1		E11 200
Counties Cities	315,891 -	195,415 -	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	511,306 -
Grand Totals	315,891	195,415	-	-	-	-	 	-	<u> </u>	511,306
J. aa. 10 tal3		100,710	1						I	311,300

^{*}Land assessed at a lower value for property taxes under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 110.1 (Proposition 13 provisions) than under Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 423, 423.3, or 423.5 (Williamson Act valuation provisions).

Contracted Land not Receiving Tax Relief Benefits (Acres)*

Dowticiontine !!				F- 1 1-	- -	2011			C::1	
Participating Local Jurisdictions	Land Cons	ervation Act		Farmland South		one on-Urban	1	ricultural	Other	TOTAL
Jurisaictions	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	servation Nonprime	Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
Counties	Time	Nonprinc	TTIIIIC	Nonprinc	Filline	Nonprine	Filline	Nonprine	Restriction	
Alameda	170	20,263	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20,433
Amador	-	, -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	2,432	799	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,231
El Dorado	208	212	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	420
Fresno	20,364	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	20,36
Glenn Humboldt		-	_	-	[_		-	-	_
Imperial	-				-		-			_
Kern	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	57,148	14,136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	71,28
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	1,800	4,503	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,30
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	29,227	4,797	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34,02
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino		-	-	-	- -	-	-	-	-	-
Merced Modoc		-	_	_	_	-		-	-	-
Mono	[_	_	l -	I -		[_	_
Monterey	41,661	35,403	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	77,06
Napa	9,240	9,003	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	18,24
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	665	3,280	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,94
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
San Benito	3,798	1,937	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,73
San Bernardino San Diego	-	-	-	-	l -	-	-	-	-	_
San Joaquin	3,153	10,677	_	_	_	_		_	_	13,83
San Luis Obispo	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_
Santa Barbara	21,382	8,262	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29,64
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	1,109	360	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,47
Shasta		131	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
Sierra	232	2,124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,35
Siskiyou	21	467	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48
Solano	4,244	22,752	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26,99
Sonoma Stanislaus		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sutter		_	_	-	-	_	[-		_
Tehama	13,751	5,355	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	19,10
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-		-	-			_		
Tuolumne	-	15,607	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,60
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	57,234	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61,23
Cities	, ·			1		1				
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	_	l -	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park Newark	-	-	_	-	-	_		-	_	-
Palo Alto	_		l -	-	I [[-		_
Perris	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-
Redlands	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_
Totals				•	•		•			
Counties	267,839	164,070	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	431,90
		,	I		I		I	_	1	,
Cities		-		-	-					

^{*}Land assessed at a lower value for property taxes under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 110.1 (Proposition 13 provisions) than under Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 423, 423.3, or 423.5 (Williamson Act valuation provisions).

Acres Eligible for Open Space Subvention Payment

Participating Local Land Corner Participating Local Land Corner Participating Local Participating			ACIE	Liigibie	for Open S	•	010	ayınen			
Display Prime Nonprime P	Participating Local	Land Cond	servation Act				-	Agr	icultural	Other	
Alamedo	Jurisdictions										TOTAL
Allameda Allameda 2,006 108,431 -	Counties	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Amador 5,008 84,327 -		2.406	108 //31	_	_	_	_	_		_ 1	110 837
Butte Calavers 4.42 134,331 134,				_	_	_	_	-	360	_	
Column 64275 193,689 15,989 737 1,055 2,035 - - 131,773 1,057				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Contra Costa C.681 33,433 -	Calaveras	442	134,331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
El Dorado				15,989	737	41,015	2,035	-	-	-	
Fresno General Colors			,	-	-	-	-		-		
Glenn G.2,375 265,972 14,112 500 73,000 2,266 - - - 418,786 mperial 113,463 3,103 - - - 256 31 - - - - 116,5579 Kmg 20,727 97,778 28,851 227 248,090 10,642 - - - - 1,625,579 Kmg 20,727 97,778 28,851 227 248,090 10,642 - - - - - 1,625,579 Kmg 20,727 97,778 28,851 227 248,090 10,642 - - - - - - - 1,625,579 Kmg 20,727 97,778 28,851 227 248,090 10,642 - -				_	_			-	-	-	
Humboldt 5,072				14.112	500			-	_	_	
New	Humboldt			-				-	-	-	
Rings 202,727 97,778 28,851 227 248,090 10,642 -	Imperial		3,103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	116,567
Lake Lassen 13.512 293.699 546 34 11,229 7,694 326,714 Los Angeles Madera 158,618 253,334 13,819 362 46,334 2,111 328 40,031 40,031 Madrin 1,607 83,864 20 17,217 40,031 40,031 Marin 1,607 83,864 20 17,217 102,979 Marjoos 185,501 185,501 Mendocino 35,019 450,779					-			-	-	-	
Lassen 13,512 293,699 546 34 11,229 7,694 - - 0,031 4		202,727	97,778	28,851	227	248,090	10,642	-	-	-	588,315
Los Angeles		- 13 512	- 293 699	- 546	- 3/1	11 229	- 7 694	-			- 326 71 <i>1</i>
Madera		- 13,312	-	-		-	-	-	-	40.031	
Marin 1,607 83,864 - - 290 17,217 - - - 102,979 Mendocino 35,019 450,779 - - - - - - - - 485,788 Merced 252,896 208,866 - - - - - - - 485,788 Modoc 17,764 109,601 - - - - - - 127,365 Modoc 17,764 109,601 - - - - -		158,618	252,334	13,819		46,334	2,111		-	-	,
Mendocino 35,019		1,607		-	-	290	17,217	-	-	-	
Merced 252,896 208,866 				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mondoc				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Montrey 7,894 627,192 28,551 2,767 12,728 5,484 524 6 2,613 687,759 10,273 41,111 -					_			_	-	-	
Monterey 7,894 627,192 28,551 2,767 12,728 5,484 524 6 2,613 687,759 10,273 41,111 -			-	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	
Nevada			627,192	28,551	2,767	12,728	5,484	524	6	2,613	
Orange	Napa	10,273	41,111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51,385
Placer 7,853 20,095 51 1,645 29,645		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas P	-	-	- 20.005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside		7,853	20,095	- 51	_	_	1,645	-			29,645
Sacramento		-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino		86,616	83,449	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	170,065
San Diego 4,670 56,212 - - - - - - - - 60,882 San Juagoul 288,998 131,472 15,215 79 34,156 10,550 - - 480,470 San Mateo - - - - - - - - 764,064 Santa Barbara 50,217 419,404 -				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
San Joaquin 288,998 131,472 15,215 79 34,156 10,550 - - 480,470 San Luis Obispo 82,568 680,722 576 79 55 64 - - - 764,064 San Mateo 50,217 419,404 - - 133 - 177 5,359 - 475,291 Santa Clara 8,696 281,969 - - - - - - 290,665 Santa Cruz 1,511 11,558 82 32 - - - - - - - 13,256 Shasta 23,702 163,353 - 1,571 - 3,980 - - - 187,056 Siskiyou 95,653 322,855 -				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
San Luis Obispo	_			- 15 215	- 70	- 3/1156	- 10 550	-	-	-	
San Mateo .						_			-	-	
Santa Clara 8,696 281,969 - - - - - - - 290,665 Santa Cruz 1,511 11,558 82 32 - 10 - 63 - 13,256 Shasta 23,702 163,353 - - - - - - - 187,054 Siskiyou 9,5653 322,855 - - - - - - - 418,508 Solano 115,555 120,822 - - - - - - - - 418,508 Sonoma 42,914 224,732 - <td>· ·</td> <td>-</td>	· ·	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz 1,511 11,558 82 32 - 10 - 63 - 13,256 Shasta 23,702 163,353 - - - - - - 18,211 31,837 - 1,751 - 3,980 - - 39,388 39,388 39,388 39,388 39,388 39,388 39,388 39,388 39,388 6 418,508 418,508 50,300 15,555 120,822 - - - - 1,939 2,888 - 241,203 50,000 42,914 224,732 - <	Santa Barbara		419,404	-	-	133	-	177	5,359	-	
Shasta Shasta Sierra Shasta Sierra S					-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sierra Siskiyou 1,821 31,837 - 1,751 - 3,980 - - - 39,388 418,508 Siskiyou 95,653 322,855 - - - - - - - - 418,508 Al48,508 Sonoma 42,914 224,732 - - - - - - - 267,646 Stanislaus 203,616 336,098 - - - - - - - - 267,646 Stanislaus 203,616 336,098 - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>32</td><td></td><td>10</td><td>-</td><td>63</td><td>-</td><td></td></td<>					32		10	-	63	-	
Siskiyou 95,653 322,855 - - - - - - - 418,508 Solano 115,555 120,822 - - - - 1,939 2,888 - 241,203 Sonoma 42,914 224,732 - - - - - - - 267,646 Stanislaus 203,616 336,098 - - - - - - - - 539,714 Sutter 51,256 13,165 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>- 1 751</td> <td>_</td> <td>3 980</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				_	- 1 751	_	3 980	-			
Solano Sonoma 115,555 120,822 24,732 - - - 1,939 2,888 - 241,203 267,646 Stanislaus 203,616 336,098 - - - - - - - 539,714 Sutter 51,256 13,165 - - - - - - 64,420 Tehama 36,007 709,010 2,687 2,607 1,133 4,799 - - - 756,243 Trinity -				-		_	-	-	-	-	
Sonoma 42,914 224,732 267,646 Stanislaus 203,616 336,098 539,714 Sutter 51,256 13,165 64,420 Tehama 36,007 709,010 2,687 2,607 1,133 4,799 756,243 Trinity - - - - - - -				-	-	-	-	1,939	2,888	-	
Sutter Tehama 51,256 13,165 - - - - - - 64,420 Tehama 36,007 709,010 2,687 2,607 1,133 4,799 - - - 756,243 Trinity -				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	267,646
Tehama 36,007 709,010 2,687 2,607 1,133 4,799 - - - 756,243 Trinity - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>					-		-	-	-	-	
Trinity - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>								-	-	-	
Tulare 566,964 512,428 11,102 50 - - - - 686 1,091,230 Tuolumne - 103,944 - - - - - - - 103,944 Ventura 46,891 76,624 1,599 676 428 238 - - - 126,457 Yolo 196,223 156,855 158 1 - 200 7 - 353,444 Cities Cities - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2,007</td><td>2,007</td><td>- 1,133</td><td></td><td>_</td><td>- </td><td>- </td><td>730,243</td></td<>				2,007	2,007	- 1,133		_	-	-	730,243
Tuolumne Ventura Ventura 46,891 76,624 1,599 676 428 238 - - - 103,944 Ventura Yolo 196,223 156,855 158 1 - - 200 7 - 126,457 Cities Camarillo - - - - - - - - - - - 353,444 Cities - <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>11,102</td><td>50</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>-</td><td>- </td><td></td><td>1,091,230</td></t<>				11,102	50	_	_	-	-		1,091,230
Yolo 196,223 156,855 158 1 - - 200 7 - 353,444 Cities Camarillo -		-		-	-	-	-	-	-		
Cities Camarillo Hayward -						428	238	-	-	-	
Camarillo -		196,223	156,855	158	1	-	-	200	7	-	353,444
Hayward - 812 - - - - - - 812 Menlo Park -		_	1	_			_		_ [
Menio Park Newark -			- 812	_	-		-	-	-	-	- 812
Newark Palo Alto -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris Redlands -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands -<		148	268		-	-	-	-	-		
Totals Counties 4,570,468 9,833,760 158,513 9,901 628,982 72,390 3,168 8,682 43,330 15,329,193 Cities 148 1,080 - - - - - - - - 1,228		-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-
Counties 4,570,468 9,833,760 158,513 9,901 628,982 72,390 3,168 8,682 43,330 15,329,193 Cities 148 1,080 - - - - - - - - 1,228		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities 148 1,080 1,228		4,570.468	9.833.760	158.513	9.901	628,982	72,390	3.168	8.682	43.330	15,329,193
				158,513	9,901	628,982	72,390	3,168	8,682	43,330	

Acres Eligible for Open Space Subvention Payment

		ACT	es Eligible	e ioi Open		<u>ibvention l</u> 2011	rayme	<u>.</u>		
Participating Local	Land Cons	ervation Act		Farmland Se			Agı	ricultural	Other	
Jurisdictions				rban		-Urban		servation	Enforceable	TOTAL
Counties	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Alameda	2,309	111,703	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	114,012
Amador	5,490	85,007	-	-	-	-	-	360	-	90,857
Butte	112,201	95,319	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	207,521
Calaveras	445 64,275	136,356	- 1E 090	- 737	- 40,628	- 2.025	-	-	-	136,801 317,352
Colusa Contra Costa	6,681	193,689 33,631	15,989 -	-	40,028	2,035	-	-	-	40,312
El Dorado	2,060	30,359	-	-	5	180	-	-	-	32,604
Fresno	942,147	479,294	-	-	25,799	3,482	-	-	-	1,450,722
Glenn	62,539	268,564	14,112	500	73,600	2,226	-	-	-	421,541
Humboldt Imperial	5,287 6,188	193,917 (6,868)	-	-	504	193	-	-	-	199,900 (680)
Kern	579,092	880,088	25,176	_	- 133,751	_	-	_	-	1,618,108
Kings	213,594	96,382	28,851	227	248	10,642	-	-	-	349,944
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	14,626	292,171	546	34	11,239	7,684	-	-		326,300
Los Angeles Madera	- 156,828	- 251,396	- 13,819	- 362	- 46,335	- 2,110	- 328	-	40,031	40,031 471,177
Marin	1,607	83,864	-	-	290	17,217	-		-	102,979
Mariposa	-	182,700	-	-	-		-	-	-	182,700
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	252,919	208,538	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	461,457
Modoc Mono	- 13,310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 129	- 13,439
Monterey	6,643	636,242	34,820	- 3,665	- 11,156	5,109	- 524	- 6	2,613	700,777
Napa	8,960	42,790	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51,750
Nevada	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer Plumas	11,066	18,041	51	-	-	1,645	-	-	-	30,804
Riverside	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	85,720	83,366	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	169,086
San Benito	46,947	523,362	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	570,309
San Bernardino	1,914	1,493	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,407
San Diego San Joaquin	4,676 289,680	56,307 130,443	- 15,213	- 79	- 34,608	- 10,098	-	-	-	60,983 480,121
San Luis Obispo	86,993	688,062	576	79	55	64	-	-	-	775,828
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	51,518	419,169	-	-	133	-	178	5,358	-	476,356
Santa Clara	8,533	245,155	-	-	-	-	286	-	-	253,975
Santa Cruz Shasta	1,614 23,702	12,505 163.277.15	82	32	-	10	279	63	-	14,584 23,702
Sierra	1,686	30,775	-	1,751	-	3,980	-	-	-	38,192
Siskiyou	95,775	322,746	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	418,521
Solano		120,764	-	-	-	-	1,939	2,924	-	240,178
Sonoma Stanislaus	43,105	224,697	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	267,803
Stanislaus Sutter	- 51,256	- 13,165	-	-	-	-	_	_ [-	- 64,420
Tehama	36,912	710,472	2,692	2,602	1,315	4,918	_	_	-	758,912
Trinity	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	,
Tulare	566,325	509,322	11,102	50	-	-	-	-	686	1,087,484
Tuolumne	46.07	104,744	- 4 60-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104,744
Ventura Yolo	46,877 134,691	76,329 149,613	1,697 158	720 1	428	238	- 200	7	-	126,289 284,669
Cities	134,031	170,013	130	1		-	200	·	-	207,003
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	812	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	812
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark Palo Alto	- 148	- 268		-	_	-	_	_ [-	- 416
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	4,160,742	8,735,669	164,882	10,838	380,093	71,832	3,734	8,718	43,459	13,579,967
Cities Grand Totals	4,160,890	1,080 8,736,750	- 164,882	10,838	380,093	71,832	3,734	- 8,718	43,459	1,228 13,581,194
Granu rotals	4,100,030	0,730,730	104,002	10,038	200,023	11,032	3,734	0,/10	43,439	13,301,134

Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims (\$)

	Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims (\$)									
Participating Local			Farmland Security Zone			Agricultural		Other		
Jurisdictions	Land Conse	rvation Act	Url		Non-l	Jrban		ion Easement	Enforceable	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Restriction	
Counties										
Alameda	12,032	108,431	-	-	=	=	-	-	-	120,463
Amador	25,040	84,327	-	-	-	-	-	360	-	109,727
Butte	561,082 2,213	95,235 134,331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	656,317 136,544
Calaveras Colusa	321,375	193,689	127,911	5,893	205,077	2,035	-	_ [-	855,979
Contra Costa	33,405	33,433	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	66,838
El Dorado	10,550	30,440	-	-	25	180	-	-	-	41,195
Fresno	4,710,735	479,294	-	-	128,993	3,482	-	-	-	5,322,505
Glenn	311,877	265,972	112,898	4,003	367,998	2,226	-	-	-	1,064,974
Humboldt	25,358	193,708	-	-	1,178	31	-	-	-	220,273
Imperial Kern	567,317	3,103	-	-		=	=	-	-	570,420 4,651,520
Kings	2,899,666 1,013,636	881,688 97,778	201,411 230,805	1,817	668,755 1,240,451	10,642	-	_ [-	2,595,128
Lake	-	-	230,803		-	-	_	_	-	2,333,120
Lassen	67,560	293,699	4,364	272	56,145	7,694	-	-	=	429,734
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40,031	40,031
Madera	793,089	252,334	110,553	2,893	231,671	2,111	1,639	-	-	1,394,289
Marin	8,037	83,864	-	-	1,450	17,217	-	-	-	110,568
Mariposa	-	185,501	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	185,501
Mendocino	175,096	450,779	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	625,875
Merced Modoc	1,264,479 88,820	208,866 109,601	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,473,345 198,420
Mono	66,548	109,001	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	66,548
Monterey	39,469	627,192	228,409	22,134	63,642	5,484	2,622	6	2,613	991,571
Napa	51,367	41,111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	92,478
Nevada	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	39,267	20,095	410	-	-	1,645	-	-	-	61,418
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside Sacramento	433,080	83,449	-	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	516,529
San Benito	235,360	521,287	-	_	_	_	-	_ [-	756,647
San Bernardino	9,573	1,493	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	11,067
San Diego	23,350	56,212	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	79,562
San Joaquin	1,444,990	131,472	121,721	632	170,780	10,550	-	-	-	1,880,145
San Luis Obispo	412,840	680,722	4,605	628	275	64	-	-	-	1,099,135
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	=	=	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	251,087	419,404	-	-	666	-	885	5,359	-	677,401
Santa Clara	43,480 7,555	281,969 11,558	- 653	- 258	-	10	-	63	-	325,449 20,097
Santa Cruz Shasta	118,509	163,353	-	-		- 10	_	- 03	-	281,861
Sierra	9,105	31,837	_	14,005	-	3,980	-	_	-	58,927
Siskiyou	478,267	322,855	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	801,122
Solano	577,773	120,822	-	-	-	-	9,694	2,888	-	711,176
Sonoma	214,568	224,732	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	439,301
Stanislaus	1,018,082	336,098	-	-	=	=	-	- [-	1,354,180
Sutter	256,280	13,165	-	-	-	- 4 700	=	-	-	269,444
Tehama	180,037	709,010	21,493	20,858	5,663	4,799	-	-	-	941,860
Trinity Tulare	- 2,834,822	- 512,428	- 88,813	400	_	-	-	<u> </u>	686	- 3,437,149
Tuolumne		103,944		-	=	-	-	-	-	103,944
Ventura	234,456	76,624	12,794	5,411	2,140	238	-	-	-	331,664
Yolo	981,113	156,855	1,265	8	-	-	998	7		1,140,246
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	812	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	812
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark Palo Alto	- 738	- 268	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,006
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		- 1,000
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Totals										
Counties	22,852,343	9,833,760	1,268,103	79,211	3,144,909	72,390	15,838	8,682	43,330	37,318,566
Cities	738	1,080	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,818
Grand Totals	22,853,081	9,834,840	1,268,103	79,211	3,144,909	72,390	15,838	8,682	43,330	37,320,384

Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims (\$)


	2011									
Participating Local	Land Conse	rvation Act	11.1	Farmland Sec			1 -	icultural	Other	TOTAL
Jurisdictions	Prime	Nonprime	Urba Prime	n Nonprime	Non-l Prime	Nonprime	Conservat Prime	ion Easement Nonprime	Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
Counties				rromprime	THITE	ТОПРИНІС	Time	топрине	Restriction	
Alameda	11,547	111,703	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	123,250
Amador	27,449	85,007	-	-	-	-	-	360	-	112,816
Butte	561,006	95,319	=	-	-	-	-	-	=	656,326
Calaveras	2,226	136,356	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	138,582
Colusa Contra Costa	321,375	193,689	127,911	5,893	203,139	2,035	-	-	=	854,041 67,036
El Dorado	33,405 10,298	33,631 30,359	-	-	25	180	_	_	-	40,862
Fresno	4,710,735	479,294	-	-	128,993	3,482	_	-	-	5,322,505
Glenn	312,694	268,564	112,898	4,003	367,998	2,226	-	-	-	1,068,383
Humboldt	26,433	193,917	=	-	2,518	193	-	-	=	223,061
Imperial	30,939	(6,868)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24,071
Kern	2,895,462	880,088	201,411	-	668,755	-	-	-	-	4,645,715
Kings Lake	1,067,971	96,382	230,805	1,817	1,240,134	10,642	-	-	-	2,647,750
Lassen	73,129	292,171	4,364	272	56,195	7,684	_	-	-	433,815
Los Angeles		-		-	-	-	-	-	40,031	40,031
Madera	784,140	251,396	110,553	2,893	231,676	2,110	1,639	-	-,	1,384,406
Marin	8,037	83,864	=	-	1,450	17,217	-	-	-	110,568
Mariposa	-	182,700	-	-	=	-	-	-	-	182,700
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	=	-	-	-	-
Merced Modoc	1,264,593	208,538	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,473,131
Mono	66,548	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	129	66,677
Monterey	33,213	636,242	278,557	29,320	55,778	5,109	2,622	6	2,613	1,043,459
Napa	44,798	42,790	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	87,588
Nevada	-	-	=	-	-	=		-	=	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	55,332	18,041	410	-	-	1,645	-	-	-	75,428
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside Sacramento	428,600	83,366	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 511,966
San Benito	234,735	523,362	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	758,097
San Bernardino	9,573	1,493	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,067
San Diego	23,380	56,307	=	-	-	-	-	-	=	79,687
San Joaquin	1,448,401	130,443	121,705	632	173,038	10,098	-	-	-	1,884,317
San Luis Obispo	434,965	688,062	4,605	628	275	64	-	-	-	1,128,599
San Mateo Santa Barbara	- 257 501	410.160	-	-	-	-			-	-
Santa Clara	257,591 42,665	419,169 245,155	-	-	666	-	889 1,431	5,358	-	683,673 289,251
Santa Cruz	8,068	12,505	653	258	-	10	1,396	63	-	22,951
Shasta	118,509	163,277	-	-	=	-	-	-	-	281,786
Sierra	8,430	30,775	-	14,005	-	3,980	-	-	-	57,191
Siskiyou	478,875	322,746	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	801,621
Solano	572,757	120,764	-	-	-	-	9,694	2,924	-	706,139
Sonoma Stanislaus	215,527	224,697	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	440,224
Stanislaus Sutter	256,280	13,165	-	-	-	-	-	- I	-	- 269,444
Tehama	184,562	710,472	21,533	20,817	6,577	4,918	_	-	-	948,880
Trinity	= ,	-	-	-	-,	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	2,831,126	509,322	88,813	400	-	-	-	-	686	3,430,347
Tuolumne	-	104,744	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104,744
Ventura	234,386	76,329	13,575	5,758	2,140	238	-		-	332,425
Yolo Cities	673,455	149,613	1,265	8	-	-	998	7	-	825,345
Cities	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_
Hayward	=	812	-	_	- -	-	-	-	-	812
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	=	-
Palo Alto	738	268	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,006
Perris	-	-	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	=	-	-
Totals	20 002 242	0 000 047	1 210 057	06 702	2 120 257	71 022	10.600	0 740	42.450	24 200 052
Counties Cities	20,803,213 738	8,898,947 1,080	1,319,057	86,702	3,139,357	71,832	18,668	8,718	43,459	34,389,952 1,818
Grand Totals	20,803,951	8,900,027	1,319,057	86,702	3,139,357	71,832	18,668	8,718	43,459	34,391,770
a.ia i otais	_0,000,001	0,000,027	2,313,037	50,752	5,255,551	, 1,002		5,715	73,733	0.,001,770


Appendix B Open Space Subvention Act Inflation-Adjusted Expenditures 1971-2010

TABLE B-1

Open Space Subvention Act Inflation-Adjusted Expenditures 1971-2010

		Opc.ii s	space Subvention Act	ililiation-Aujus	ted Expenditures 197	1 2010
California	Annual Open Space	Consumer	Annual Inflation-	Cumulative Open	Cumulative Inflation-	
Fiscal Year	Subvention	Price Index	Adjusted Open Space	Space Subvention	Adjusted Open Space	Notes
Tiscai icai	Expenditures	T TTCC TITACX	Subvention Expenditures	Expenditures	Subvention Expenditures	
	(\$ millions)	(1)	(\$ millions)	(\$ millions)	(\$ millions)	
1971	0.00	5.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1972	8.80	5.22	45.95	8.80	45.95	
1973	9.68	4.91	47.55	18.49	93.50	
1974	10.54	4.42	46.58	29.03	140.08	
1975	11.37	4.05	46.06	40.40	186.14	
1976	12.17	3.83	46.60	52.56	232.74	
1977	12.60	3.60	45.38	65.17	278.11	
1978	12.91	3.34	43.10	78.07	321.22	
1979	13.21	3.00	39.64	91.29	360.86	
1980	13.24	2.65	35.08	104.53	395.94	
1981	13.72	2.40	32.93	118.25	428.87	
1982	13.47	2.26	30.45	131.72	459.33	
1983	13.53	2.19	29.62	145.25	488.95	
1984	13.97	2.10	29.34	159.22	518.29	
1985	13.82	2.03	28.06	173.05	546.35	
1986	14.90	1.99	29.65	187.94	576.00	
1987	14.05	1.92	26.97	201.99	602.97	
1988	14.47	1.84	26.62	216.46	629.59	
						In FY 1989, \$5 million in additional subvention funds were appropriated to participating jurisdictions to support agricultural land conservation in light of other demands on these jurisdictions' general purpose
1989	19.42	1.76	34.17	235.88	663.76	revenues.
1990	13.56	1.67	22.65	249.44	686.42	
1991	14.14	1.60	22.63	263.58	709.05	
1992	13.85	1.55	21.47	277.44	730.52	
1993	35.06	1.51	52.94	312.50	783.46	In 1993, subvention payments for prime agricultural land were increased from \$1 per acre to \$5 per acre. Subvention payments for non-prime agricultural land were increased from 40 cents per acre to \$1 per acre; \$21 Min additional subvention funds were appropriated to fund these changes.
1994	34.70	1.47	51.00	347.19	834.46	- The state of the
1995	33.80	1.43	48.34	381.00	882.80	
1996	34.84	1.39	48.43	415.84	931.23	
1997	34.94	1.36	47.51	450.77	978.74	
1998	35.30	1.34	47.30	486.07	1,026.05	
1999	36.58	1.31	47.92	522.66	1,073.97	
2000	36.59	1.27	46.47	559.24	1,120.44	
2001	38.67	1.23	47.57	597.92	1,168.00	
2002	39.00	1.21	47.19	636.91	1,215.19	
2003	39.23	1.19	46.69	676.15	1,261.88	
2004	39.21	1.15	45.09	715.36	1,306.97	
2005	38.68	1.12	43.32	754.03	1,350.29	
2006	38.07	1.08	41.12	792.11	1,391.41	
2007	37.65	1.05	39.53	829.76	1,430.94	
2008	33.85	1.01	34.19	863.60 863.60	1,465.12	Subvention payments were reduced to \$1,000 in 2009. No subvention payments were appropriated in subsequent years.
					·	appropriated in Subsequent years.
2010	0.00	1.00		863.60	1,465.12	
Totals	863.60		1,465.12	863.60	1,465.12	
Averages	22.73	2.09			38.56	Averages are over 38 payment years.





The Department of Conservation makes	S NO WARRANTIES AS TO THE PARTICULAR PURPOSE.	SUITABILITY OF THIS PRODUCT FOR A	NY

