

LSHTM Research Online

Hong, Geunhye; Di Sario, Gianna; Liaw, Janie; Davies, Cadi; Grabowska, Anna; Wren, brendan; Gundogdu, ozan; Elmi, abdi; Dorrell, nick; Investigating the interaction between Campylobacter jejuni and intestinal epithelial cells resulting in activation of the unfolded protein response. In: CHRO2019. https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/id/eprint/4654684

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/id/eprint/4654684/

DOI:

Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/

Investigating the interaction between Campylobacter jejuni and intestinal epithelial cells resulting in activation of the unfolded protein response



Geunhye Hong¹, Gianna Di Sario², Janie Liaw¹, Cadi Davies¹, Anna Grabowska¹, Brendan W Wren¹, Ozan Gundogdu¹, Abdi Elmi¹, Nick Dorrell¹

¹ Department of Infection Biology, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom; ² Faculty of Life Sciences, School of Pharmacy, University College London, London, United Kingdom.

Background

Campylobacter jejuni is a leading cause of foodborne bacterial gastroenteritis worldwide [1]. C. jejuni adheres to and invades intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) then can reside within campylobacter containing vacuoles (CCVs). C. jejuni can survive within CCVs and deviate from the canonical endocytic pathway preventing fusion with lysosomes [2, 3]. However the exact process at cellular level leading to diarrhoeal disease is poorly understood. Studies have linked intestinal inflammation to the unfolded protein response (UPR) [4, 5]. The UPR is a conserved pathway to relieve ER stress and restore homeostasis in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) [6]. There are three ER-membrane bound sensors which detect unfolded proteins in the ER, i) protein kinase R-like ER kinase (PERK), ii) inositol-requiring enzyme 1α Nature Reviews | Microbiology (IRE1α), and iii) activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6). Figure 1. The UPR pathways under ER stress [6]. Downstream signalling of each sensor induces transcription of target genes involved in recovery of ER-homeostasis [7]. Recent data has shown that *C. jejuni* activates the UPR through the IRE1α pathway [8]. We have investigated *C. jejuni* activation of the UPR through the PERK, IRE1 α , and ATF6 pathways.



Thapsigargin-mediated UPR does not affect adhesion and invasion of *C. jejuni* in T84 IECs.



Results

C. jejuni up-regulates genes involved in PERK, IRE1α, and ATF6 pathways in T84 intestinal epithelial cells.

- C. jejuni 11168H, 81-176 and 488 wild-type strains induce PERK and IRE1a pathways in T84 IECs.
- *C. jejuni* 81-176 wild-type strain induces ATF6 pathway in T84 IECs after 6-hour infection.
- bip was down-regulated after 6-hour infection with C. jejuni 11168H. 81-176 and 488 wild-type strains.



- Untreated T84 cells
- Thapsigargin-treated T84 cells

Figure 4. T84 IECs were pre-treated with 2 µM of thapsigargin for 6 hours and infected with *C. jejuni* 11168H, 81-176 and 488 wild-type strains for 3 hours (MOI 200:1). Then T84 cells were washed with PBS three times and lysed and the numbers of interacting bacteria were assessed (A) or were incubated with gentamicin (150 µg/ml) for 2 hours to kill extracellular bacteria and then lysed, and the numbers of intracellular bacteria were assessed (B).

Thapsigargin-mediated UPR reduces intracellular survival of *C. jejuni* in T84 IECs.



Figure 5. T84 IECs were pre-treated with 2 µM of thapsigargin for 6 hours and infected with *C. jejuni* 11168H, 81-176 and 488 wild-type strains for 3 hours (MOI 200:1), then incubated with gentamicin (150 µg/ml) for 2 hours to kill extracellular bacteria, followed by further incubation with gentamicin (10 µg/ml) for 18 hours. The cells were lysed and the numbers of intracellular bacteria were assessed. (** = p < 0.01; *** = p < 0.001).

C. jejuni down-regulates the expression of nox1 in T84 IECs.

Figure 2. T84 IECs were infected with *C. jejuni* 11168H, 81-176, and 488 wild-type strains for 6 and 24 hours (MOI 200:1). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed to investigate the transcriptional level of *chop*, spliced *xbp1*, *atf6* and *bip*. *gapdh* was used as an internal control. T84 IECs were treated with 2 μ M thapsigargin as a positive control. (** = p < 0.01; *** = p < 0.001; **** = p < 0.0001).

> 11168H 81-176 488 Uninfected Τg 116 bp · chop xbp1(u) 152 bp xbp1(s) 126 bp -235 bp atf6



Figure 6. (A) Structure of Nox1 complex [9]. (B) qPCR showing expression of nox1. T84 IECs were infected with C. jejuni 11168H, 81-176, and 488 wild-type strains for 6 and 24 hours. gapdh was used as an internal control. (* = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01; *** = p < 0.001). (C) RT-PCR showing expression of nox1 in T84 IECs infected with C. jejuni wild-type strains for 24 hours. gapdh was used as an internal control. T84 IECs were treated with 2 µM thapsigargin (Tg) as a positive control.

C. jejuni induces interleukin 8 in T84 IECs.



Figure 7. IL-8 ELISA using cell culture supernatants of T84 IECs infected with *C. jejuni* 11168H, 81-176, and 488 wild-type strains for 6 and 24 hours. Negative control is uninfected T84 cells and positive control is thapsigargin-treated cells. (* = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01; *** =



Figure 3. RT-PCR showing expression of *chop*, spliced *xbp1*, unspliced *xbp1*, *atf6* and *bip* in T84 IECs infected with *C. jejuni* wildtype strains for 24 hours. gapdh was used as an internal control. T84 IECs were treated with 2 µM thapsigargin (Tg) as a positive control.

References

1. Silva J, Leite D, Fernandes M, Mena C, Gibbs PA, Teixeira P. 2011. *Campylobacter* spp. as a Foodborne Pathogen: A Review. Frontiers in Microbiology 2:1-12. 2. Konkel ME, Haves SF, Joens LA, Cieplak Jr W. 1992. Characteristics of the internalization and intracellular survival of Campylobacter jejuni in human epithelial cell cultures. Microbial Pathogenesis 13:357-370.

3. Watson RO, Galán JE. 2008. Campylobacter jejuni Survives within Epithelial Cells by Avoiding Delivery to Lysosomes. PLoS Pathogens 4:e14.

4. Ma X, Dai Z, Sun K, Zhang Y, Chen J, Yang Y, Tso P, Wu G, Wu Z. 2017. Intestinal epithelial cell endoplasmic reticulum stress and inflammatory bowel disease pathogenesis: An update review. Frontiers in Immunology 8:1-11.

5. Joep G, Arthur K, Randal JK, Richard SB. 2016. The unfolded protein response in immunity and inflammation. Nature Reviews Immunology 16:469-484.

Conclusions

C. jejuni-induced UPR through the PERK, IRE1 α and ATF6 pathways in T84 IECs was demonstrated using transcriptional methods. Also, the UPR significantly reduced *C. jejuni* intracellular survival in T84 IECs suggesting the UPR is activated as a host defence mechanism against *C. jejuni*. Down-regulation of *nox1* by *C. jejuni* and thapsigargin suggests the relationship between reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation and the UPR. In addition, C. jejuni and thapsigargin induced IL-8 in T84 IECs proposing the correlation of the UPR and inflammation. This study opens the way for improved understanding of the interaction between *C. jejuni* and IECs via UPR activation leading to intestinal inflammation and diarrhoeal disease.

6. Jean C, Renée MT. 2014. Bacteria, the endoplasmic reticulum and the unfolded protein response: friends or foes? Nature Reviews Microbiology 13:73-82. 7. Hetz C, Papa FR. 2018. The Unfolded Protein Response and Cell Fate Control. Molecular Cell 69:169-181.

8. di Sario G, Gundogdu O, Hussein M, Wren WB, Canonico B, Papa S. 2017. Investigating Campylobacter jejuni interactions with endoplasmic reticulum in intestinal epithelial cells resulting in induction of the unfolded protein response. Proceedings of 19th International Workshop on Campylobacter, Helicobacter and Related Organisms, Nantes, France

9. Brandes RP, Weissmann N, Schroder K. 2014. Nox family NADPH oxidases: Molecular mechanisms of activation. Free Radical Bilogy & Medicine 76: 208-226.