

# CIAT Research Online - Accepted Manuscript

### How important are supermarkets for the diets of the urban poor in Africa?

The International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) believes that open access contributes to its mission of reducing hunger and poverty, and improving human nutrition in the tropics through research aimed at increasing the eco-efficiency of agriculture.

CIAT is committed to creating and sharing knowledge and information openly and globally. We do this through collaborative research as well as through the open sharing of our data, tools, and publications.

#### Citation:

Wanyama, Rosina; Gödecke, Theda; Chege, Christine G. Kiria & Qaim, Matin (2019). How important are supermarkets for the diets of the urban poor in Africa?. Food Security, 1-15 p.

#### Publisher's DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-019-00974-3

# Access through CIAT Research Online:

https://hdl.handle.net/10568/103625

#### Terms:

© **2019**. CIAT has provided you with this accepted manuscript in line with CIAT's open access policy and in accordance with the Publisher's policy on self-archiving.



This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License</u>. You may re-use or share this manuscript as long as you acknowledge the authors by citing the version of the record listed above. You may not use this manuscript for commercial purposes.

For more information, please contact CIAT Library at CIAT-Library@cgiar.org.

2	Rosina Wanyama <sup>a,*</sup> , Theda Gödecke <sup>a</sup> , Christine G.K. Chege <sup>b</sup> , Matin Qaim <sup>a</sup>
3 4	<sup>a</sup> University of Goettingen, Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Development, 37073 Goettingen, Germany.
5	<sup>b</sup> International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), Regional Office for Africa, Nairobi, Kenya.
6 7 8	*Corresponding author. Email: <u>rosina.wanyama@agr.uni-goettingen.de</u> . Tel.: +49-152-136-16655.
9	
10	Abstract
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Many developing countries are undergoing a profound transformation of food systems and retail environments. Especially in urban areas, a rapid growth of supermarkets is observed, which can affect consumer food choices and diets. Supermarkets may improve access to diverse foods at affordable prices, but may also encourage a switch from unprocessed to highly-processed and energy-dense foods that contribute to obesity. However, the use of supermarkets is positively correlated with income. That supermarkets already play an important role for the diets of many urban consumers does not necessarily mean that this is also true for the poorest population segments that are of particular interest for development policy. This article analyzes the diets and
19	food purchase patterns of poor urban consumers in Kenya and Uganda. Representative data were
20	collected from households in the slums of Nairobi and Kampala. The data show that the majority
21	of these households are undernourished. They buy most food items in unprocessed form from
22	various traditional retail outlets, including mom-and-pop shops, local markets, and kiosks.
23	Relatively few sample households buy any of their food in supermarkets. Supermarkets account
24	tor only 3% and 0.4% of all food expenditures of slum dwellers in Nairobi and Kampala,

- respectively. Reasons for the low supermarket use of these poor households are discussed. The findings suggest that a focus on the modern retail sector alone will not suffice to ensure food and nutrition security for all.
- 28

29 Keywords: Supermarkets, traditional retail, diets, urban poor, Africa

30 31

# 32 Acknowledgments

This research was financially supported by the German Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ) through the project "Making Value Chains Work for Food and Nutrition Security of Vulnerable Populations in East Africa" (grant number C-030-16), led by the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT). The authors thank the Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization (KALRO) and the National Agricultural Research Organization (NARO) in Uganda for their cooperation and support during the field work.

39

40

#### 42 **1. Introduction**

Many developing countries are undergoing a profound transformation of food systems and 43 dietary patterns. Evidence suggests that consumers in these countries are shifting towards the 44 consumption of more energy-dense, processed foods and sedentary lifestyles (Worku et al. 2017; 45 Rischke et al. 2015; Pingali 2015; Pingali 2007; Popkin et al. 2012). This transformation is 46 influenced by various supply and demand side factors, including income growth, urbanization, 47 technological advances, and modernization of the retail sector (Worku et al. 2017; Popkin 2017; 48 Qaim 2017; Hawkes et al. 2009; Pingali 2007). The modernization of the retail sector is particularly 49 characterized by the rapid spread of supermarkets (Reardon and Hopkins 2006). While 50 supermarkets have had significant market shares in developed countries for several decades, they 51 also gained importance in many parts of Latin America and Asia since the early-1990s (Reardon 52 et al. 2012). In sub-Saharan Africa, the "supermarket revolution" started more recently. 53 Nevertheless, supermarkets already account for more than 10% of total food retailing in countries 54 like Kenya, and for more than 20% when only looking at some of the large cities in Africa (Khonje 55 and Qaim 2019; Planet Retail 2017; Chege et al. 2015; Rischke et al. 2015). The modernization of 56 the African retail sector will likely continue in the coming years and decades. 57

There is a growing body of literature on the link between the growth of supermarkets in 58 59 developing countries and consumer diets and nutrition (Demmler et al. 2018; Demmler et al. 2017; Kimenju et al. 2015; Rischke et al. 2015; Umberger et al. 2015; Asfaw 2008; Hawkes 2008; Tessier 60 61 et al. 2008). While a few studies mention that the growth of supermarkets may have positive nutrition effects through improving consumer access to diverse foods at affordable prices (Rischke 62 63 et al. 2015; Tessier et al. 2008), others stress that supermarket use may contribute to unhealthy diets because of consumption shifts towards processed foods with high sugar and fat contents 64 (Popkin 2017; Asfaw 2008; Hawkes 2008). Indeed, recent studies showed that supermarket use 65 contributes to overweight and obesity among urban consumers in developing countries (Demmler 66 et al. 2018; Demmler et al. 2017; Kimenju et al. 2015, Umberger et al. 2015). 67

These findings are interesting and important from a food policy perspective. However, the fact that supermarkets play an important and further growing role for consumers in developing countries is possibly not the full story when it comes to understanding urban food consumption patterns and their association with changing retail environments. The use of supermarkets in developing countries is known to be positively correlated with household income (Khonje and

Qaim 2019; Demmler et al. 2018). Hence, what is true for middle- and upper-income consumers is 73 74 not necessarily true for low-income consumers. From a development policy perspective, a particular focus should be on the poorest population segments, as these are most affected by 75 76 undernutrition, micronutrient malnutrition, and poor health (FAO 2018). In urban areas, many of 77 the poor live in informal settlements, also known as slums. These slums are typically characterized 78 by abject poverty, food insecurity, overcrowding, and limited access to health and sanitation 79 (APHRC 2014; Kimani-Murage et al. 2015; UN-HABITAT 2010). According to UN-HABITAT (2010), over 60% of the urban population in sub-Saharan Africa lives in slums. The dietary and 80 food purchase patterns of slum dwellers are not well understood (Bloem and de Pee 2017). This is 81 largely owing to the fact that households in rapidly growing informal settlements are systematically 82 underrepresented in national surveys. 83

The objective of this article is to analyze the dietary patterns of slum dwellers in Africa and – 84 in doing so – also better understand the role of supermarkets and traditional retail outlets for the 85 food purchases of these households. The study complements the emerging evidence on the food 86 system transformation in Africa with a particular focus on some of the most vulnerable population 87 segments. The results may help to draw some conclusions on possible entry points for improving 88 89 food and nutrition security in urban areas. The research builds on data collected in some of the poorest neighborhoods of Nairobi and Kampala, the Capital Cities of Kenya and Uganda. Nairobi 90 and Kampala were chosen not only because they are among the largest cities in East Africa, but 91 92 also because they differ in terms of average living standards and retail environments. Thus, the data 93 provide a more representative picture than when focusing on cities in only one country.

94

#### 95 2. Materials and methods

### 96 2.1. Household survey

97 Data for this research were collected through an interview-based household survey in Nairobi 98 and Kampala implemented between November 2016 and February 2017. Recent statistics estimate 99 that in both countries, Kenya and Uganda, more than 50% of the urban population reside in slums 100 (World Bank 2017). To select households for inclusion in the surveys, a multi-stage sampling 101 strategy was used. We started with a list of all constituencies in Nairobi County and all divisions 102 in Kampala District. Based on official data (KNBS 2015; Ministry of Lands, Housing and Urban

103 Development 2014; UBOS 2014), these constituencies and divisions were ordered by average 104 income, poverty levels, and other indicators of living standards. Out of those 105 constituencies/divisions with the highest poverty levels or lowest standard of living, two in each 106 city were purposively selected. In Nairobi, Mathare and Kibra (formerly Kibera) constituencies 107 were selected. In Uganda, Kawempe and Nakawa divisions were selected.

In these constituencies and divisions, the poorest wards and villages were sampled based on 108 information from local administrative offices.<sup>1</sup> In Kenya, three wards in Kibra (Laini Saba, Lindi, 109 and Makina) and one village in Mathare (Mradi) were selected. In Uganda, two villages in 110 Kawempe (Bwaise I and Bwaise III) and two villages in Nakawa (Kinawataka and Banda) were 111 selected. In these wards and villages, households were sampled randomly, using the random walk 112 113 method. Given that census data for these slum areas do not exist and that most of the houses have temporary structures and no permanent address, the random walk method was the most suitable 114 115 approach to get a random sample of the current population. Only households with at least one child aged 6-59 months were considered, as childhood undernutrition is particularly serious in terms of 116 irreversible negative health consequences (Development Initiatives 2018). In total, 600 households 117 were interviewed; 300 in Nairobi and 300 in Kampala. Further details of the sampling distribution 118 by ward/village are shown in Table A1 in the Online Appendix. 119

The interviews were carried out in local languages using a structured questionnaire programmed into tablet computers. The questionnaire was carefully pretested prior to the actual survey.<sup>2</sup> It contained modules on general socioeconomic characteristics as well as food consumption and food purchase behavior. The interviews were conducted with the household head and/or the spouse. For the food-related parts, the person responsible for food purchases and food preparation in the household was interviewed.

Food consumption details were elicited through a 7-day recall at the household level. While household-level data do not account for intra-household food distribution, the 7-day recall format is a common approach to analyze dietary patterns and issues of food security (Zezza et al. 2017; de Haen et al. 2011). We collected data on the consumption of 112 different food items. When the consumption of mixed dishes was reported, the different ingredients of these dishes were recorded

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term "village" does not imply that these are rural areas. Also in urban areas, this term is used locally to demarcate administrative boundaries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Questionnaire pretests were carried out with 20 households, which were not included in the actual survey.

separately. For each of the food items consumed, we also recorded the different food sources, 131 including purchases, gifts and transfers, and own production for those who did urban farming or 132 carried food from their rural homes. For all purchased food items consumed during the 7-day recall 133 134 period, we also recorded the type of retail outlet from which the item was obtained. In different 135 sections of the questionnaire, we asked households about their preferred packaging sizes for 136 frequently purchased food items. As will be discussed in more detail below, packaging sizes tend 137 to differ between the different types of retail outlets, which may explain why poor consumers prefer certain outlets over others. 138

139

#### 140 2.2. Statistical methods

141 In this article, descriptive statistical methods are used to analyze dietary and food purchase patterns of sample households, including levels of calorie consumption, rates of undernourishment, 142 the role of different types of foods, and the role of different retail formats. While some of the results 143 are also shown for the pooled sample, most of the analyses are carried out separately for the 144 145 subsamples from Nairobi and Kampala. Moreover, to show differences by income level, both 146 subsamples are subdivided into terciles using household per capita expenditures as a proxy of income and living standard. The key variables used and their measurement are explained in the 147 following subsections. 148

149

#### 150 2.3. Household expenditures

151 Household expenditures, our proxy of income and living standard, are computed as the sum of the value of all food and non-food goods and services consumed by the household over the recall 152 153 period. The value of food consumption was derived from the 7-day food consumption recall, where 154 quantities and prices of all food items were recorded. For foods from own production or gifts and 155 transfers, values were imputed by using the average market price of each item observed in the ward/village or the next larger geographic unit. Data on non-food expenditures were collected 156 through 30-day and 12-month recall periods, depending on the good/service and the typical 157 frequency of purchase. All expenditures and consumption values were converted to monthly 158 159 equivalents and expressed in international dollar (purchasing power parity, PPP) per capita, taking into account local consumer price indices (KNBS 2016; UBOS 2017). These monthly per capita 160

161 expenditures are also used to generate expenditure terciles for the subsamples in Nairobi and162 Kampala.

#### 163 **2.4. Dietary indicators**

Based on the 7-day food consumption recall data, various indicators are calculated to analyze 164 household access to food, dietary diversity, and rates of undernourishment. A simple indicator of 165 household access to food and dietary diversity is the household dietary diversity score (HDDS) 166 (Kennedy et al. 2010; Swindale and Bilinsky 2006). HDDS is a count of the number of food groups 167 consumed by the household within the recall period. We use a common food group classification 168 as described by Kennedy et al. (2010). The 12 food groups considered in this classification are: 169 cereals; white roots and tubers, and plantains; vegetables; fruits; meat; eggs; fish and other sea 170 food; legumes, nuts, and seeds; milk and milk products; oils and fats; sweets and sugars; and spices, 171 condiments, and beverages. The larger the HDDS, the higher is the level of food security and 172 dietary diversity. There is no generally agreed cutoff point for the HDDS below which a household 173 is considered food-insecure (Kennedy et al. 2010). When comparing HDDS across different 174 settings, the length of the recall period needs to be considered, as the number of food groups 175 176 consumed during a 7-day recall period is systematically larger than the number of food groups consumed during a 24-hour recall period (Fongar et al. 2019). 177

178 In addition to the HDDS, we calculate the amount of calories consumed as a common method 179 of assessing food security and rates of undernourishment (Zezza et al. 2017; de Haen et al. 2011). For the calculations, the reported food quantities consumed by households during the 7-day recall 180 period were corrected for nonedible portions and converted to calories using food composition 181 tables for Kenya (Sehmi 1993) and Uganda (Hotz et al. 2012). The quantity of calories thus 182 obtained for each household was divided by 7 to result in average calorie consumption per day. 183 Total consumption per day at the household level was adjusted using male adult equivalents (AE) 184 to enable comparison across households of different sizes and composition. We report absolute 185 values of calorie consumption, as well as rates of undernourishment, classifying a household as 186 undernourished when the calorie consumption is below 2400 kcal per AE and day (FAO et al. 187 2001). 188

#### 189 2.5. Modern and traditional retail formats

190 We classify all food retail outlets used by sample households into different categories, as shown

in Table 1. The characteristics shown in Table 1 build on observations during visits of the various

- retail outlets in the study regions, backed up by informal discussions with shop assistants or shop
- 193 owners and available literature sources.
- 194

Source	Characteristics	Main food items
Supermarket (Modern retail)	Self-service; Large variety of foods and brands; Highly processed foods; Refrigerated and frozen food; Limited offer of fresh foods; Non-food products; No credit possibility.	Bread, pasta, cereals, instant noodles, snacks, fats, oils, dairy products, sugar, fruits and vegetables.
Local market (Traditional retail)	Operate within fixed hours of the day; Clustered at specific points; Operate daily but the number of retailers might increase on specific days of the week (market days).	Fruits, vegetables, cereals, roots and tubers, spices.
Roadside vendors (Traditional retail)	Operate along busy roads/streets; No permanent location; Limited variety of food and non-food items; Individual ownership; Credit possibility.	Fruits, vegetables, cereals, roots and tubers.
Kiosks (Traditional retail)	Over the counter-service; Very limited variety of brands; Fresh fruits and vegetables; Unprocessed staples; Small packaging; Individual ownership; Credit possibility	Maize, other staple foods, fruits, vegetables, meat, milk.
Mom-and-pop shops (Traditional retail)	Fixed locations; Over the counter-service; Moderate variety of foods and brands; Some refrigerated foods; Small packaging; Processed staples; Individual/family ownership; Credit possibility.	Rice, wheat flour, edible oils, spices and condiments, sugars, milk.
Hawkers (Traditional retail)	No fixed locations; Move around residential areas; Single or a limited food variety of both food and non-food items; Possibility of door-step delivery; Credit possibility.	Vegetables, fruits, dry fish, fresh milk.

#### **Table 1.** Characterization of food retail outlets

196 *Source*: Adapted from Demmler et al. (2018).

The only modern retail outlet of relevance in the study regions are supermarkets,<sup>3</sup> which are characterized by their self-service format and the large variety of foods on offer. Supermarkets can be of different size, even though in the poor neighborhoods they tend to be rather small. Food items typically sold in supermarkets include cereals at various processing stages, legumes, vegetable oils, packaged milk and dairy products, packaged meat and meat products, spices, various types of snacks and beverages, and to some limited extent also fruits and vegetables.

In contrast to modern retail outlets, there are different categories of traditional retailers of relevance to sample households. Traditional food retailers include local markets (wet markets), mom-and-pop shops (small traditional shops), roadside vendors, kiosks, and hawkers. None of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Other types of modern retailers, such as hypermarkets or convenience stores, exist in Nairobi and Kampala but are not used by sample households and not located in the studied neighborhoods.

these traditional retailers have self-service options. Mom-and-pop shops are similar to small
supermarkets in terms of the types of foods sold. However, mom-and-pop shops typically have no
fresh fruits and vegetables, a smaller range of processed foods (fewer brands, less diversity), and
smaller packaging sizes than supermarkets. Sometimes, mom-and-pop shops sell sugar, flour, and
other commodities also in loose form depending on customer needs. Mom-and-pop shops are
mostly operated personally by the shop owner and his/her family members (Kumar et al. 2008).
Most of these shops offer goods on credit to personally-known customers.

Local markets (wet markets) are mainly operated during specified times in designated locations. Although most markets are open on a daily basis, the number of stalls typically increases on particular days of the week (Minten et al. 2010). The main food items sold in traditional local markets include fresh fruits and vegetables, cereals, legumes, roots, tubers, and plantains. Most of the food items sold are sourced from the surrounding rural areas and peri-urban farms.

218 Unlike local markets, kiosks are temporary structures located close to residential areas with a 219 very limited variety of food items. Common food items sold in kiosks include cereals, fruits and vegetables, roots and tubers, and small units of processed and packaged foods and beverages. Most 220 kiosks also sell cooked foods, such as boiled and roasted green maize and beans. Roadside vendors 221 222 have no fixed locations and operate mainly along busy roads/streets. They also sell certain cooked 223 foods, along with fresh fruits and vegetables. Finally, hawkers move around residential areas by foot, bicycle, or motorcycle, selling food items at people's doorstep. Hawkers tend to have a very 224 225 limited variety of food items, or sometimes only one type of food (e.g., fruits, milk, fish).

#### 226 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 227 **3.1.** Socioeconomic characteristics

Table 2 shows general socioeconomic characteristics of the sample households in Nairobi and Kampala. In Nairobi, most of the households are male-headed, while in Kampala about half of the households are female-headed. In both cities, the majority of the households are poor, meaning that they have less than 1.90 \$ (PPP) a day on a per capita basis. The sample poverty rate is 56% and 90% in Nairobi and Kampala, respectively. Low living standards are also reflected in poor housing and sanitation conditions (Table A2 in the Online Appendix). Typically, in the study neighborhoods houses for families with four and more members only have one single room.

#### 236 **Table 2.** Socioeconomic characteristics

Variables	Pooled sample (N=600)		Nairobi (N=300)		Kampala (N=300)	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Male headed household (dummy)	0.67	0.02	0.85***	0.02	0.49	0.03
Age of household head (years)	35.72	0.44	35.84	0.50	35.60	0.72
Education of household head (years)	8.66	0.14	9.63***	0.15	7.70	0.23
Household size	4.96	0.09	5.09	0.11	4.84	0.13
Proportion of poor (dummy) <sup>a</sup>	0.73	0.02	0.56***	0.03	0.90	0.02
Total dependency ratio	137.69	4.02	111.03***	3.68	164.35	6.81

Notes: <sup>a</sup> Poor households are those with a per capita income below the international poverty line of 1.90 \$ in purchasing
 power parity terms; SE, standard error; \*\*\* Mean difference between Nairobi and Kampala significant at the 1% level.
 *Source*: Authors' household survey.

#### 240

Table 3 shows total household expenditures and household food expenditures by expenditure tercile. As expected, in both cities the food expenditures increase from the lowest to the highest tercile in absolute terms, whereas the food expenditure shares decline with rising incomes. As can be seen, even the highest-tercile households still spend more than half of their total expenditures on food. This is consistent with research from other countries showing that poor and moderately poor households spend a large part of their total budget on food (Bloem and de Pee 2017; Banerjee and Duflo 2007).

248

249

250 **Table 3.** Household expenditures by expenditure tercile

Expenditure	Monthly expendit	/ per capita ures (PPP\$)	Monthly food per capita expenditures (PPP\$)		Der capitaMonthly food per capita expenditures (PPP\$)Share of food e		d expenditures
tercile	Nairobi (N=300)	Kampala (N=300)	Nairobi (N=300)	Kampala (N=300)	Nairobi (N=300)	Kampala (N=300)	
Lowest tercile <sup>a</sup>	54.80	48.83	32.88	28.59	0.60	0.61	
	(2.40)	(2.18)	(1.70)	(1.22)	(0.01)	(0.01)	
Middle tercile <sup>a</sup>	81.07	80.32	44.91	44.54	0.56	0.56	
	(2.45)	(3.18)	(1.45)	(1.94)	(0.01)	(0.01)	
Highest tercile <sup>a</sup>	112.40	120.15	59.36	64.12	0.53	0.53	
-	(3.61)	(5.23)	(2.37)	(3.72)	(0.01)	(0.01)	
Average	85.83	79.33	47.13	43.86	0.56	0.57	
-	(2.17)	(2.64)	(1.27)	(1.58)	(0.01)	(0.55)	
Pooled	82.5	8 (1.71)	45.49	(1.02)	0.56	(0.01)	

251 *Note:* Mean values are shown with standard errors in parentheses. <sup>a</sup> Each tercile includes one-third of the total number

252 of observations. PPP, purchasing power parity.

253 *Source*: Authors' household survey.

#### 255 **3.2.** Prevalence of undernourishment

Table 4 shows the different food security and dietary indicators for sample households by 256 expenditure tercile. The HDDS suggests that dietary diversity is somewhat higher in Nairobi than 257 258 in Kampala, and increases with people's overall living standard. As mentioned, there is no agreed 259 upon cutoff for the HDDS below which households are considered food-insecure. Recent research that had used 7-day recall data from rural households in Kenya and Uganda found mean values for 260 HDDS of 9-10 food groups (Fongar et al. 2019; Sibhatu and Qaim 2018). In other words, mean 261 dietary diversity in the urban slum households sampled here is similar to dietary diversity found in 262 rural areas of Africa. Especially in the poorest expenditure tercile in Kampala, dietary diversity is 263 particularly low. 264

Average calorie consumption per AE is also shown in Table 4. In Nairobi, 31% of the sample 265 266 households are undernourished, whereas in Kampala the prevalence of undernourishment is 59%. These rates are higher than the FAO country-level rates of undernourishment, which are estimated 267 268 at 24% and 41% for Kenya and Uganda, respectively (FAO 2018). We focus on the poorest urban population segments, so higher than average rates of undernourishment are to be expected. In our 269 270 sample, even many of the households in the highest expenditure tercile are still affected by calorie deficiency and low dietary quality. 271

272

Expenditure	Househo diversity sc	ld dietary ore (HDDS)	Calorie co (kcal/d	nsumption ay/AE)	Prevalence of undernourishment (%		
tercile	Nairobi (N=300)	Kampala (N=300)	Nairobi (N=300)	Kampala (N=300)	Kenya (N=300)	Kampala (N=300	
Lowest tercile <sup>a</sup>	9.46	7.49	2344	2063	52.56	73.77	
	(0.17)	(0.15)	(92)	(96)			
Middle tercile <sup>a</sup>	10.43	8.99	3078	2567	25.23	57.30	
	(0.12)	(1.47)	(102)	(107)			
Highest tercile <sup>a</sup>	10.91	10.36	3187	2844	22.52	41.57	
-	(0.11)	(0.12)	(93)	(127)			
Average	10.36	8.79	2928	2444	31.33	59.33	
	(0.08)	(0.11)	(60)	(66)			

#### 273

274 Notes: Mean values are shown with standard errors in parentheses. <sup>a</sup> Each tercile includes one-third of the total number of observations. AE, adult equivalents. The mean differences between Nairobi and Kampala for all food security and 275

2686 (45)

45.33

276 dietary indicators are statistically significant at the 1% level.

9.57 (0.08)

277 Source: Authors' household survey.

Pooled (N=600)

#### 279 **3.3. Role of different food groups**

280 To better understand the composition of diets in sample households, we analyze the contribution of the 12 different food groups to total household calorie consumption. Results of this 281 282 analysis are shown in Table 5 (the contribution of the food groups to total household food expenditures is shown in Table A3 in the Online Appendix). Cereals are the most important food 283 group in both cities, accounting for 58% and 47% of total calorie consumption in Nairobi and 284 Kampala, respectively. In Kenya, maize is the main staple food. In addition, rice and wheat are 285 286 also widely consumed among urban households. In Kampala, maize, rice, and wheat are consumed, but other important staple foods are cooking bananas (*matooke*), cassava, sweetpotatoes, and beans. 287 This larger variety of staple foods is also the reason for the lower calorie contribution of cereals in 288 Kampala than in Nairobi. Analogously, the calorie contributions of root, tubers, and plantains and 289 of legumes, nuts, and seeds are higher in Kampala. 290

Interestingly, in Kampala the share of calories from cereals decreases for sample households in the middle and upper expenditure terciles, whereas the share of calories from roots, tubers, and plantains increases. This suggests that households substitute away from cereals towards other staple foods when they are getting richer, at least among these relatively poor urban population segments. Nevertheless, the share of staple foods in total calorie consumption remains high across all expenditure terciles.

Food anound		Nairobi	i (N=300)			Kampala (N=300)			
Food groups	Total	Lowest	Middle	Highest	Total	Lowest	Middle	Highest	
Cereals	57.91	58.43	57.80	57.66	46.73	51.97	44.17	42.10	
White roots, tubers, plantains	2.87	2.33	2.71	3.41	11.12	8.55	11.77	13.97	
Vegetables	3.87	4.07	3.71	3.89	1.18	1.23	1.07	1.23	
Fruits	2.65	2.12	2.65	3.02	1.09	0.54	1.10	1.84	
Meat	1.38	0.77	1.04	2.16	1.28	0.35	0.96	2.86	
Eggs	0.64	0.72	0.58	0.63	0.20	0.09	0.23	0.32	
Fish, other seafood	2.14	1.84	2.32	2.16	3.70	4.95	2.90	2.78	
Legumes, nuts, seeds	4.20	4.03	4.23	4.29	16.95	17.95	18.76	13.76	
Milk, milk products	3.14	2.75	3.37	3.19	1.98	0.73	2.38	3.30	
Oils, fats	12.89	14.31	13.57	11.22	6.73	6.50	7.13	6.64	
Sweets, sugars	8.21	8.59	7.94	8.20	8.89	7.04	9.26	11.04	
Spices, condiments, beverages	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.17	0.17	0.11	0.26	0.15	

**Table 5.** Calorie contribution of different food groups by expenditure tercile (%)

298 *Source*: Authors' household survey.

In Nairobi, oils and fats are the second food group after cereals to contribute significantly to total calorie consumption. Strikingly, in Nairobi the share of oils and fats in household diets is larger in the lowest tercile than in the middle and upper terciles. This is somewhat unusual when comparing international trends in developing countries (Kearney 2010), and may be attributable to the availability of inexpensive vegetable oils in the market.

305 For sample households in both cities, the consumption of more nutritious foods, such as vegetables, fruits, meat, eggs, fish, and milk, is relatively low. As one would expect, the calorie 306 307 contribution of some of these more nutritious foods increases from the lowest to the highest expenditures tercile, which is especially true for meat, milk, and milk products, and to a somewhat 308 lesser extent for fruits. However, for other nutritious foods the calorie contribution changes only 309 very slowly. For instance, the calorie contribution of vegetables and legumes hardly increases with 310 rising income, or it even decreases, as observed for legumes and fish in Kampala. While these 311 patterns are broadly in line with the nutrition transition observed elsewhere (FAO 2017; Popkin et 312 al. 2012), the results suggest that rising incomes alone will likely not to lead to healthy and balanced 313 diets any time soon. Several healthy food groups, especially vegetables, legumes, and fish, are 314 under-consumed (Willet et al. 2019). 315

316

# 317

#### **3.4.** Role of different food processing levels

It is a common phenomenon that households switch from the purchase of unprocessed foods to 318 more processed foods with rising levels of income (Worku et al. 2017; Kearney 2010). As 319 320 mentioned, this shift seems to be supported by the transformation and modernization of the retail 321 sector, and the growth of supermarkets in particular (Demmler et al. 2018; Popkin 2017). Before we analyze the role of supermarkets, we first examine to what extent the relatively poor sample 322 323 households in Nairobi and Kampala already consume processed foods. This is shown for the 12 food groups in Figure 1. We use the classification suggested by FAO (2015) and differentiate 324 325 between unprocessed, medium processed, and highly processed foods (see Table A4 in the Online Appendix for examples of food products with different levels of processing). 326

The results in Figure 1 show that most of the foods are consumed (purchased) in unprocessed form. However, since food consumption in Figure 1 is shown in terms of absolute quantities, and the quantities consumed differ remarkably by food group, a closer look is required to detect that the purchase of processed products actually already plays an important role for some of the food

331 groups.<sup>4</sup> For instance, most sample households in both cities purchase cereals either as flour 332 (medium processed) or in the form of bread and pasta (highly processed). In Kampala, roots, tubers, 333 and plantains are partly purchased in processed form (e.g., flour, boiled, fried). And in both cities, 334 food groups such as oils and fats, sweets, and condiments and beverages are purchased entirely in 335 processed form, even though absolute consumption levels of these food groups are relatively low.

Overall, the consumption of processed foods is somewhat higher in Nairobi than in Kampala, and in both cities it increases from the lowest to the highest expenditure tercile. It should be stressed that the consumption of processed foods does not necessarily mean that households buy these items in supermarkets, because traditional retailers also sell processed food items, as explained above. To what extent sample households use supermarkets is analyzed in the following.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> When the share of processed foods is expressed in value terms rather than absolute quantities, it is larger than what is shown in Figure 1. Especially highly processed foods are not necessarily heavy in weight, but more expensive than unprocessed foods. A recent study showed that even poor households in urban Africa sometimes spend around 30% of their total food expenditures on highly processed food items (GLOPAN 2016).



#### **Figure 1.** Consumption of different food groups by processing level

343 *Notes:* L, M, and H stand for lowest, middle, and highest tercile, respectively. The terciles are disaggregated based on expenditure
 344 per capita per month. See Table A4 in the Online Appendix for examples of food products with different levels of processing.
 345 *Source:* Authors' household survey.

346

#### 347 **3.5.** Role of supermarkets

Figure 2 shows the number of sample households using the different retail outlets in Nairobi 348 and Kampala. Using a retail outlet is defined here as having consumed at least one food item during 349 the 7-day recall period that was purchased in the particular type of retail outlet (it does not 350 necessarily mean that the purchase itself must have occurred during the 7-day recall period). 351 Strikingly, only 63 of all the 300 households sampled in Nairobi (21%) use supermarkets at all. In 352 Kampala, the proportion of supermarket users is even much lower at 4% of the sample households. 353 This clearly shows that supermarkets are not yet much influencing the diets of the majority of these 354 poor population segments. 355

While we have no comparable data on the proportion of supermarket users in richer neighborhoods of Nairobi and Kampala, recent studies with representative data from smaller cities in Kenya showed that more than 50% of all households already use supermarkets on a regular basis (Demmler et al. 2018; Kimenju et al. 2015). In other words, slum dwellers are not yet really part

of the supermarket revolution that is observed in many other places of Africa. For instance, in the lowest expenditure tercile in Kampala, no single household consumed any item purchased in a supermarket. The use of supermarkets increases with household living standard, which is consistent with observations elsewhere (Khonje and Qaim 2019; Rischke et al. 2015; Figuié and Moustier 2009; Hawkes 2008). But even in the highest expenditure terciles of our sample, the proportion of supermarket users remains quite low: 40% in Nairobi and 9% in Kampala (Figure 2).

In both cities, mom-and-pop shops are the most widely used retail outlet for households in all expenditure terciles. In Nairobi, kiosks and roadside vendors are used by the majority of households in all expenditure terciles. In Kampala, roadside vendors are also important sources of food, whereas kiosks play a less important role. More than 80% of the sample households in Kampala use local markets (wet markets), which is true in all three expenditure terciles.



371



**Figure 2.** Use of different retail outlets by expenditure tercile

- 374 *Source*: Authors' household survey.
- 375

376 The results in Figure 2 show users of the different retail outlets, irrespective of how much food was actually purchased in each of the outlets. Additional insights can be gained when looking at 377 the share of the total household food budget spent in each type of retail outlet. This information is 378 provided in Table 6 and underlines that supermarkets do not yet play an important role for the diets 379 of the urban poor. In Nairobi, only 3% of the total food budget is spent in supermarkets. The share 380 of the budget spent in supermarkets increases with rising total household expenditures, but even in 381 the highest tercile the supermarket expenditure share is only 6.7%. In Kampala, the share of the 382 budget spent in supermarkets is negligible, with only 0.4% across all expenditure terciles. In both 383 cities, households purchase most of their food in mom-and-pop shops, which account for 51% and 384 385 62% of total food expenditures in Nairobi and Kampala, respectively.

386	Table 6. Share of total food budget spent in different retail outlets (%)

		Nairobi	(N=300)		Kampala (N=300)					
Retail outlet		Expendit	ure tercile			Expenditure tercile				
	Total	Highest	Middle	Lowest	Total	Highest	Middle	Lowest		
Supermarket	3.0	6.7	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.0		
Local market	7.5	9.0	7.4	5.5	21.6	31.3	20.8	15.1		
Roadside vendors	7.6	7.7	7.0	8.3	10.2	8.1	11.0	11.2		
Kiosks	30.5	29.33	31.2	31.1	4.6	6.3	4.4	3.5		
Mom-and-pop	51.3	47.3	53.4	54.3	62.3	52.3	62.3	69.7		
Hawkers	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.9	1.6	0.7	0.5		

387 *Source*: Authors' household survey.

388

# **389 3.6.** Possible reasons for the low use of supermarkets

Why are households in the slums of Nairobi and Kampala using supermarkets to such a limited extent? One possible reason could be that supermarkets do not exist in these neighborhoods, so that the distance might be too far to purchase in supermarkets on a regular basis. However, this argument does not apply in our case. In fact, supermarkets do exist in the neighborhoods included in our survey. Table 7 shows that the average distance to the closest supermarket is around 1200 meters for households in Nairobi and only about 700 meters for households in Kampala.<sup>5</sup> The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This is the mean distance from households to the closest supermarket irrespective of whether any food items were actually purchased there.

distance to mom-and-pop shops and kiosks is still closer than to supermarkets, but the distance to local markets is longer, and in spite of this longer distance many more households buy in local markets than in supermarkets. Hence, unavailability of supermarkets or long distances cannot be the main reasons for the low use of supermarkets among sample households.

Another possible reason could be price differences between supermarkets and traditional outlets. Fresh fruits and vegetables are often more expensive in supermarkets than in local markets and other traditional retail outlets (Schipmann and Qaim 2011; Gómez and Ricketts 2013). This is also true in our settings. In addition, the supermarkets in or near the slum areas only have very small fruit and vegetable sections, quite different from large supermarkets and hypermarkets in richer neighborhoods.

406

407 <b>Table 7.</b> Mean distance to nearest food retail outlet	407	Table 7. Mean	distance to nearest	food retail	outlet
---	-----	---------------	---------------------	-------------	--------

Retail outlet	Pooled (N=600)		Nairo (N=30	obi 00)	Kam (N=	Kampala (N=300)		
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE		
Supermarket (meters)	953.07	31.40	1209.27	45.20	696.87	38.31		
Local markets (meters)	1504.84	55.75	2117.77	88.23	891.92	46.41		
Mom-and-pop shop (meters)	112.68	5.52	136.42	8.25	88.93	7.10		
Kiosk (meters)	98.08	4.95	113.68	7.03	82.48	6.86		

408 *Notes:* The means are distances to the closest food retail outlet irrespective of whether any foods were actually

409 purchased in a particular outlet. SE, standard error.

410 *Source*: Authors' household survey.

Price differences between supermarkets and traditional outlets are less clear-cut for other food groups. Some of the processed and packaged foods may be more expensive in supermarkets, because supermarkets often sell more branded products than traditional retailers (Minten et al. 2010). However, processed food items may also be cheaper in supermarkets, due to more efficient logistics and positive economies-of-scale. Rischke et al. (2015) showed for Kenya that the average price of processed foods expressed per calorie is lower in supermarkets than in traditional retail outlets.

Low prices per calorie should be particularly attractive for poor households. However, an obstacle to buy more foods in supermarkets for poor households seems to be the packaging size. On average, packaging sizes in supermarkets are larger than those in traditional outlets. This was mentioned by sample households and confirmed through visits in various retail outlets in the study

<sup>411</sup> 

regions. Moreover, packaging sizes in supermarkets are fixed, whereas traditional retailers are 423 much more flexible. For instance, mom-and-pop shops and kiosks often buy food items in larger 424 units from wholesalers, and then repack into smaller units based on consumer preferences. Our 425 426 survey data reveal that the majority of the slum households prefer to buy foods in relatively small 427 units with less than one kilogram of weight. Traditional retailers also sell many food items in loose 428 form (e.g., flour, sugar, meat, dairy products), which is not the case in supermarkets. And finally, 429 some of the traditional retailers offer food items on credit to regular customers, which is especially 430 important for poor households with irregular incomes.

To better understand the role of supermarkets for different types of foods, we used the survey 431 data to compute what share of total household expenditures on particular food groups was spent in 432 supermarkets in comparison to other types of retail outlets. Results are shown in Figure 3. As 433 expected, the role of supermarkets differs remarkably by food group. Among the sample 434 households in Nairobi, around 20% of the cereals are actually purchased in supermarkets. For 435 cereals and cereal flour the typical packaging sizes of supermarkets seem to be less of an obstacle 436 than for other food groups. Also for milk products and meat, supermarkets have an average market 437 share of over 20% for the slum households in Nairobi. While poor households consume these 438 animal source products only occasionally, some of them have a preference for packaged products, 439 which tend to be more hygienic and have a longer shelf life than fresh products offered by 440 traditional retailers. In Kampala, meat is not purchased in supermarkets, and also for other animal 441 442 source foods traditional retailers play a more important role than supermarkets.

443 Strikingly, supermarkets play no role for fruit and vegetable purchases of sample households 444 in Nairobi and Kampala. This is consistent with studies in other developing countries, which did 445 not specifically focus on poor population segments but also showed that most of the fruits and 446 vegetables are purchased in traditional retail outlets (Gómez and Ricketts 2013; Reardon et al. 447 2010; Tschirley et al. 2010; Neven et al. 2006). This is probably related to higher prices for fresh 448 fruits and vegetables in supermarkets, as mentioned above.

- 449
- 450
- 451





White roots and tubers, and plantains







455

453



RSV

KKS

M&P

M&P





SM

LMK



456

457 **Figure 3.** Market shares of different retailers by food group

458 *Notes*: Calculations are based on total household food expenditures and stated purchase sources for the different food

Market share (%)

459 items consumed. SM, supermarket; LMK, local markets; RSV, roadside vendors; KKS, kiosks; M&P, mom-and-pop

460 shops; HWK, hawkers.

461 *Source*: Authors' household survey. 462

463

#### 464 **4.** Conclusion

Previous research has shown that supermarkets and other modern retail outlets increasingly 465 influence the diets of urban consumers in Africa. We have analyzed the diets and food purchase 466 467 patterns of households in the poorest neighborhoods of Nairobi and Kampala, in slum areas, and 468 found that supermarkets do not yet play an important role for most of these households. Only a relatively small proportion of sample households use supermarkets at all: 21% in Nairobi and 4% 469 in Kampala. The average food budget shares spent in supermarkets are even smaller: 3% in Nairobi 470 471 and only 0.4% in Kampala. In both cities, poor consumers buy most of their foods in traditional 472 retail outlets, especially mom-and-pop shops, local markets, and kiosks. The main reason for the low use of supermarkets is not that supermarkets are not available in the poor neighborhoods 473 474 surveyed. In fact, supermarkets are available in slum areas and even offer some of the food products 475 at lower prices than traditional retailers. But most foods sold in supermarkets come in larger 476 packaging sizes, whereas poor households prefer buying smaller quantities of food whenever cash 477 resources are available. Supermarkets also offer no credits, which some of the traditional retailers do. The low use of supermarkets should not be misunderstood as if the urban poor would not 478 consume any processed and packaged food items. While unprocessed foods make up the largest 479 share of these people's regular purchases, some of the cereals and other food groups are also 480 481 purchased in processed form. But processed and highly processed foods are also sold by traditional retailers. 482

483 Disaggregation of the data by expenditure tercile showed that richer households consume more processed foods and also more foods from supermarkets. Hence, the role of supermarkets will 484 485 likely increase when poor households are gradually getting richer. But even in the highest expenditure tercile of our sample, the food budget shares spent in supermarkets remain well below 486 487 10%, suggesting that the supermarket growth in poor urban neighborhoods may be slower than 488 often assumed. It should also be mentioned that households that are getting richer will usually move 489 away to richer neighborhoods, whereas other poor households will take their place in the slum 490 areas. In Nairobi and Kampala, more than 50% of the population is estimated to live in slums

491 (World Bank 2017). These population segments are systematically underrepresented in national492 surveys.

We also analyzed household diets in terms of calorie consumption and dietary diversity, finding 493 494 high rates of undernourishment. In Nairobi, 31% of the sample households suffer from calorie deficiencies, whereas in Kampala the rate is 59%. Hence, improving these people's access to food 495 496 and dietary quality should be of high priority from a development policy perspective. Our results 497 help to better understand some of the possible entry points for suitable food and nutrition policies. 498 A focus on modern retail outlets alone will not suffice. The efficiency of traditional food supply chains will also have to be improved. Better road, market, and storage infrastructure, as well as 499 better functioning institutions, will help to reduce costs along the supply chains and thus also lower 500 market prices for the end-consumer. Mom-and-pop shops, which are ubiquitous in slum areas and 501 the most important source of food for the urban poor, do hardly sell any fresh products. Finding 502 ways to encourage these shops to also sell more fresh and healthy foodstuffs might be a potential 503 504 avenue to improve dietary quality.

We do not claim that the data collected in the poor neighborhoods of Nairobi and Kampala are fully representative of all the urban poor in Africa. Our sample size is also relatively small. Nevertheless, we feel that the situations analyzed here are quite typical at least for slum areas in East Africa, so that some of the broader findings will likely also hold beyond these concrete settings. Follow-up research with larger samples of slum households from different parts of Africa will be useful to analyze further details.

511

#### 512 Compliance with ethical standards

513 **Conflict of Interest:** The Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

514

#### 515 **References**

APHRC. (2014). Population and health dynamics in Nairobi's informal settlements: Report of the
 Nairobi Cross-sectional Slums Survey (NCSS) 2012. Nairobi: African Population and Health
 Research Center. <u>http://aphrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/NCSS2-FINAL-Report.pdf.</u>
 Accessed 15 November 2017.

- Asfaw, A. (2008). Does supermarket purchase affect the dietary practices of households? Some
  empirical evidence from Guatemala. *Development Policy Review*, 26(2), 227-243.
- Banerjee, A. V., & Duflo, E. (2007). The economic lives of the poor. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 21(1), 141-167.
- Bloem, S., & de Pee, S. (2017). Developing approaches to achieve adequate nutrition among urban
  populations requires an understanding of urban development. *Global Food Security*, *12*, 8088.
- 527 Chege, C. G., Andersson, C. I., & Qaim, M. (2015). Impacts of supermarkets on farm household
  528 nutrition in Kenya. *World Development*, 72, 394-407.
- de Haen, H., Klasen, S., & Qaim, M. (2011). What do we really know? Metrics for food insecurity
  and undernutrition. *Food Policy*, *36*(6), 760-769.
- 531 Demmler, K. M., Ecker, O., & Qaim, M. (2018). Supermarket shopping and nutritional outcomes:
  532 A panel data analysis for urban Kenya, *World Development*, *102*, 292-303.
- 533 Demmler, K.M., Klasen, S., Nzuma, J.M., & Qaim, M. (2017). Supermarket purchase contributes
  534 to nutrition-related non-communicable diseases in urban Kenya. *PLOS ONE*, 12, e0185148.
- 535 Development Initiatives (2018). *Global Nutrition Report 2018*. Bristol, UK: Development
  536 Initiatives.
- FAO, WHO & UNU. (2001). Human energy requirements: Report of a joint expert consultation.
  Rome: Food and nutrition technical report, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
  Nations.
- FAO. (2015). Guidelines on the collection of information on food processing through food *consumption surveys.* Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- FAO. (2017). *The future of food and agriculture: Trends and challenges*. Rome: Food and
  Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- FAO. (2018). *The state of food security and nutrition in the World*. Rome: Food and Agriculture
  Organization of the United Nations.
- Figuié, M., & Moustier, P. (2009). Market appeal in an emerging economy: Supermarkets and poor
  consumers in Vietnam. *Food Policy*, *34*(2), 210-217.
- Fongar, A., Gödecke, T., Aseta, A., & Qaim, M. (2019). How well do different dietary and nutrition
  assessment tools match? Insights from rural Kenya. *Public Health Nutrition*, 22(3), 391-403.
- 550 GLOPAN. (2016). Food systems and diets: Facing the challenges of the 21st century. London:
- 551 Global Panel on Agriculture and Food Systems for Nutrition.

- Gómez, M. I., & Ricketts, K. D. (2013). Food value chain transformations in developing countries:
  Selected hypotheses on nutritional implications. *Food Policy*, *42*, 139-150.
- Hawkes, C. (2008). Dietary implications of supermarket development: a global
  perspective. *Development Policy Review*, 26(6), 657-692.
- Hawkes, C., Chopra, M., & Friel, S. (2009). Globalization, trade, and the nutrition transition.
  In *Globalization and Health: Pathways, Evidence and Policy*, (pp.235-262).
- Hotz, C., Abdelrahman, L., Sison, C., Moursi, M., & Loechl, C. (2012). A food composition table *or Central and Eastern Uganda*. Washington, DC: IFPRI and CIAT.
- Kearney, J. (2010). Food consumption trends and drivers. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, *365*(1554), 2793-2807.
- Kennedy, G., Ballard, T., & Dop, M.C. (2010). Guidelines for measuring household and individual
   dietary diversity. Food and Agricultural Organization, Rome
- Kimani-Murage, E. W., Muthuri, S. K., Oti, S. O., Mutua, M. K., van de Vijver, S., & Kyobutungi,
  C. (2015). Evidence of a double burden of malnutrition in urban poor settings in Nairobi,
  Kenya. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(6), e0129943.
- Kimenju, S. C., Rischke, R., Klasen, S., & Qaim, M. (2015). Do supermarkets contribute to the
  obesity pandemic in developing countries? *Public Health Nutrition*, *18*(17), 3224-3233
- 569 KNBS, 2015. Spatial dimensions of well-being in Kenya. Where are the Poor? From Counties to
  570 Wards. Nairobi: Kenya National Bureau of Statistics.
- 571 KNBS. (2016). Consumer price indices and inflation rates, December 2016. Nairobi: Kenya
- 572 National Bureau of Statistics. <u>https://www.knbs.or.ke/consumer-price-indice/#198-cpi-and-</u>
   573 <u>inflation-rates-2016</u>. Accessed 5 October 2017.
- Khonje, M.G., & Qaim, M. (2019). Modernization of African food retailing and (un)healthy food
  consumption. *Sustainability 11*(16), 4306.
- Kumar, V., Patwari, Y., & Ayush, H. N. (2008). Organised food retailing: A blessing or a
  curse?. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 67-75
- 578 Ministry of Lands, Housing and Urban Development. (2014). Slum settlements in Kampala.
  579 Request made by John Nsubuga August 15, 2014. <u>http://askyourgov.ug/request</u>
  580 /<u>slum\_settlements\_in\_kampala?unfold=1#incoming-35</u>. Accessed 2 January 2016.
- 581 Minten, B., Reardon, T., & Sutradhar, R. (2010). Food prices and modern retail: The case of
  582 Delhi. *World Development*, 38(12), 1775-1787.

- Neven, D., Reardon, T., Chege, J., & Wang, H. (2006). Supermarkets and consumers in Africa: the
  case of Nairobi, Kenya. *Journal of International Food & Agribusiness Marketing*, 18(1-2),
  103-123.
- 586 Pingali, P. (2007). Westernization of Asian diets and the transformation of food systems:
  587 Implications for research and policy. *Food Policy*, *32*(3), 281-298.
- Pingali, P. (2015). Agricultural policy and nutrition outcomes–getting beyond the preoccupation
  with staple grains. *Food Security*, 7(3), 583-591.
- 590 Planet Retail. (2017). Country report Kenya. <u>http://www.planetretail.net/Markets/Country/91.</u>
  591 Accessed 18 December 2018.
- Popkin, B. M., Adair, L. S., & Ng, S. W. (2012). Global nutrition transition and the pandemic of
  obesity in developing countries. *Nutrition Reviews*, 70(1), 3-21.
- Popkin, B.M. (2017). Relationship between shifts in food system dynamics and acceleration of the
  global nutrition transition. *Nutrition Reviews*, 75(2), 73-82.
- Qaim, M. (2017). Globalisation of agrifood systems and sustainable nutrition. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 76(1), 12–21.
- Reardon, T., & Hopkins, R. (2006). The supermarket revolution in developing countries: Policies
  to address emerging tensions among supermarkets, suppliers and traditional
  retailers. *European Journal of Development Research*, 18(4), 522-545.
- Reardon, T., Henson, S., & Gulati, A. (2010). Links between supermarkets and food prices, diet
  diversity and food safety in developing countries. In: Hawkes, C., Blouin C., Henson, S.,
- Drager, N., Dubé, L. (Eds.), Trade, food, diet and health: Perspectives and Policy Options.
  Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken, US, pp. 111-130.
- Reardon, T., Timmer, C. P., & Minten, B. (2012). Supermarket revolution in Asia and emerging
  development strategies to include small farmers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(31), 12332-12337.
- Rischke, R., Kimenju, S. C., Klasen, S., & Qaim, M. (2015). Supermarkets and food consumption
  patterns: The case of small towns in Kenya. *Food Policy*, *52*, 9-21.
- Schipmann, C., & Qaim, M. (2011). Modern food retailers and traditional markets in developing
  countries: Comparing quality, prices, and competition strategies in Thailand. Applied
  Economic Perspectives and Policy, *33*(3), 345-362.
- Sehmi, J. K. (1993). National food composition tables and the planning of satisfactory diets in
  Kenya. Nairobi: Kenya Government Press.

- Sibhatu, K. T., & Qaim, M. (2018). Farm production diversity and dietary quality: Linkages and
  measurement issues. *Food Security*, *10*(1), 47-59.
- 617 Swindale, A., & Bilinsky, P. (2006). Household dietary diversity score (HDDS) for measurement
  618 of household food access indicator guide. Version 2. Washington, DC: FANTA.
  619 https://www.fantaproject.org/sites/default/files/resources/HDDS\_v2\_Sep06\_0.pdf.
- 620 Accessed 15 September 2017.
- Tessier, S., Traissac, P., Maire, B., Bricas, N., Eymard-Duvernay, S., El Ati, J., & Delpeuch, F.
  (2008). Regular users of supermarkets in Greater Tunis have a slightly improved diet
  quality. *Journal of Nutrition*, *138*(4), 768-774.
- Tschirley, D. L., Ayieko, M. W., Hichaambwa, M., Goeb, J., & Loescher, W. (2010). Modernizing
  Africa's fresh produce supply chains without rapid supermarket takeover: towards a
  definition of research and investment priorities. In: MSU International Development
  Working Paper No. 106: Michigan State University.
- UBOS (2017). Uganda consumer price index, February 2017. Uganda Bureau of Statistics,
   <u>http://www.ubos.org/onlinefiles/uploads/ubos/cpi/cpifeb2017/CPI%20Publication%20for%</u>
   <u>20February%202017.pdf.</u> Accessed 5 October 2017.
- UBOS. (2014). National population and housing census 2014, Sub-county Report. Volume I
  Central Region. Kampala: Uganda Bureau of Statistics.
- Umberger, W. J., He, X., Minot, N., & Toiba, H. (2015). Examining the relationship between the
  use of supermarkets and over-nutrition in Indonesia. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 97(2), 510–525
- 636 UN-HABITAT. (2010). State of the World's Cities 2010/2011: Bridging the urban divide,
  637 Earthscan, London.
- Willett, W., Rockström, J., Loken, B., Springmann, M., Lang, T. et al. (2019). Food in the
  Anthropocene: The EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food
  systems. *Lancet*, *393*, P447-492.
- Worku, I. H., Dereje, M., Minten, B., & Hirvonen, K. (2017). Diet transformation in Africa: The
  case of Ethiopia. *Agricultural Economics*, 48(S1), 73-86.
- World Bank. (2017). International comparison program database. World Data Bank (2017),
   <a href="https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/PA.NUS.PRVT.PP">https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/PA.NUS.PRVT.PP</a>. Accessed 5 October 2017.

- 645 Zezza, A., Carletto, C., Fiedler, J. L., Gennari, P., & Jolliffe, D. (2017). Food counts. Measuring
- 646 food consumption and expenditures in household consumption and expenditure surveys
- 647 (HCES). Introduction to the special issue. *Food Policy*, 72, 1-6.
- 648