

SCHOOL DESEGREGATION AND RACIAL ATTITUDES:  
1964-1979\*

George E. Dickinson  
Department of Sociology  
Morehead State University  
Morehead, Kentucky 40351

Submitted to Sociology of Education  
January 19, 1981

\*Appreciation is expressed to the Morehead State University Research Committee for financial support of this project.

SCHOOL DESEGREGATION AND RACIAL ATTITUDES:  
1964-1979

ABSTRACT

Data on desegregation attitudes of adolescents were gathered in 1964 and 1979 (367 and 459 respectively) in a Northeast Texas community composed of one-third blacks and two-thirds whites. Desegregation occurred in the school system in 1970. It was hypothesized that more favorable interracial attitudes would evolve after desegregation. While black students' opinion on attending school with another race did not change over time (less than 10 percent objected to desegregation in both 1964 and 1979), whites' opinions changed significantly as over 75 percent objected to desegregation in 1964 and less than 15 percent objected in 1979. It is suggested that contact between the races has reduced the negative stereotypes of blacks held by whites.

SCHOOL DESEGREGATION AND RACIAL ATTITUDES:  
1964-1979

Studies on the effects of desegregation in public schools reveal mixed results. Schofield and Sagar (1977) state that although numerous studies suggest that school desegregation can have a positive impact on intergroup attitudes and behavior, a greater number reveal no effect or even a negative effect (See Carithers, 1970; St. John, 1975; Cohen, 1975; Gottlieb and tenHouten, 1965; Amor, 1972; Green and Gerard, 1974; Horowitz, 1952; Silverman and Shaw, 1973; and Williams, Best and Boswell, 1975). Webster (1961) found that desegregation reduced prejudice for blacks toward whites while Sheehan (1980) reports a "modest improvement" in students' attitudes after desegregation in the Dallas school system.

Pettigrew (1967, 1973) suggests that most of the research on the effects of school desegregation has been in schools which do not meet Allport's criteria (1954) for promoting positive interracial attitudes and behavior: equal status within the contact situation, shared goals, cooperative dependence in reaching these goals and the support of authorities, law and customs. There is support for Allport's contention that mere desegregation (simply mixing of students and not as set forth by Allport) does not necessarily result in more positive intergroup attitudes (Amir, 1969).

Simpson and Yinger (1965: 505) state that prejudice is sometimes explained as a result of the lack of contact with members of a minority group and sometimes as a result of the presence of such contact. Unpleasant contacts probably increase the strength of prejudice while certain kinds of contacts are effective in reducing the strength of a tradition of prejudice.

There is good evidence that what might be called "stereotype breaking contacts" reduce prejudice. In a study almost three decades ago, Deutsch and Collins (1951) found that in integrated housing projects in which blacks and whites were scattered indiscriminately, agreeable relations between whites and blacks were much more common than in two segregated projects. Another survey by the United States War Department (1947) concluded that two months after desegregating soldiers in U.S. Army platoons in Europe in 1945 over 75 percent of white officers and noncoms expressed more favorable feelings toward blacks.

With inconclusive evidence regarding the results of desegregation in public schools, further research is needed in this area. The present study is a follow-up of an earlier investigation in 1964 of a high school system in a Northeast Texas community with a population of 5000 and a racial composition of two-thirds white and one-third black. The school system was desegregated in 1970.

Although desegregation occurred in this school system nearly a decade ago, racial segregation remains throughout the community in churches, residential areas, government, and jobs. While mixing of the races tends to be largely limited to school-related activities, Dickinson (1975) reported in a 1974 follow-up of the 1964 study that changes for black adolescents' behavior over time are evident and in the direction of whites' behavior. The changes reveal more homogeneous patterns for black and white adolescents after desegregation. It was suggested that through social participation the perspectives shared in a group are internalized.

With black and white adolescents being placed in the same social milieu of the desegregated school and therefore being in situations like sitting in the same classroom, eating in the same cafeteria, having lockers next

to each other, playing on the same athletic teams, participating in the same musical groups, serving on school committees together, and being members of the same voluntary organizations, it is assumed that interaction between racial groups will have increased over previous levels when segregation occurred. With more contact between the races in school since desegregation in 1970, it is hypothesized that more favorable interracial attitudes will evolve.

### Methodology

A questionnaire was administered during the school day by the author in all English classes of the tenth, eleventh, and twelfth grades in the segregated schools in 1964 and the desegregated schools in 1979. Fifty-six questions were asked in 1964 and 30 in 1979 (only a portion of the questions relate to the subject of this report). The number of respondents in 1964 was 367 (260 whites and 107 blacks) with a total of 459 adolescents (296 whites and 163 blacks) responding in 1979. The respondents in both studies constituted over 85 percent of the student enrollment. Less than one percent of the students present on the days of questionnaire administering refused to complete the survey. The other students were absent on these days. No effort was made to follow up the absentees. The Chi-square test of significance was used in data analysis.

### Findings

In the 1964 questionnaire the students were asked "Would you object to attending school with a person of a different race?" and in 1979 they were asked "Do you object to attending school with a person of a different race?" They could answer either "Yes" or "No" and then were instructed to explain their answer.

A statistically significant difference over time was found for white males and females' opinion on attending school with another race as is shown in Table 1. In 1964, prior to desegregation, over 75 percent of whites objected to attending school with a person of a different race. However, in 1979, nine years after desegregation, less than 15 percent of whites objected. No statistically significant difference was found over time for black males and females' opinion on attending school with another race as they remained fairly consistent in their attitudes over the fifteen year period. Less than 10 percent of blacks in both 1964 and 1979 objected to attending school with a person of a different race. Thus, as interactions increased, more favorable feelings by whites toward attending school with blacks have emerged.

Table 1 Here

The results of the open-ended question asking the students to explain their answers is shown in Table 2. The most frequently cited reasons by whites for objecting to attending school with the opposite race in 1964 were: "God separated us and meant for us to stay separated," "each has good schools-- should go to them," "it will not work -- too much difference," and "violently opposed." Reasons most cited by blacks for not objecting to school attendance with one of a different race in 1964 were: "all are equal," "if they desire to learn," and "could become better friends." Whites in 1964 cited "all are equal," and "if they stay in their place" as major reasons for not objecting to desegregation.

Table 2 Here

The reasons stated in 1979 by whites for being opposed to desegregation were: "I'm very prejudiced and simply do not like the opposite race," "too

much difference produces a strain," and "conflict results." Both whites and blacks responding in 1979 and not opposed to attending school with one from a different race most often cited the following explanations: "everyone is equal no matter the color," "should have equal right to an education," "good friends in opposite race," "it is good to get to know different kinds of people," "doesn't matter," "God created us all," and "should cooperate with everyone."

### Conclusions

These findings run counter to most of the results of desegregation studies cited above. White attitudes toward attending school with blacks have definitely improved after desegregation. Nine years after desegregation, over 85 percent of these white and black high school students do not object to attending school with another race. In explaining their feelings, they stress equality of the races, equal opportunity, becoming better friends, cooperation, why not?, and the importance of heterogeneous relationships. The few who are opposed admit being prejudiced and feel the differences are too great resulting in stress and conflict. In 1964 the "deemed by God explanation" supported segregation while in 1979 it tended to support desegregation.

Something in this fifteen year period has caused an about-face in the attitude of whites toward blacks in this school system. Stereotype breaking contacts must be operating here.

In explaining how school desegregation might better work, Miller (1980: 334) presents a cognitive sophistication interpretation as suggested by Glock (1975). This view emphasizes the role of cognitive complexity, sophistication, and cynicism in curtailing the development of prejudice and builds upon stereotypes which emphasize their substantial basis in truth. In addition to

representing an explanation of group difference by way of completion of self-fulfilling prophecies, prejudice more fundamentally rests on the perception that true group differences do exist. Miller says that cognitive sophistication promotes immunity to prejudice by enabling one to deal more effectively with the truth component of stereotypes. It allows one to discriminate between relative versus absolute differences, to reduce their overgeneralization, and by increasing one's understanding of how differences arise, to resist prejudicial responses to them.

Maybe Miller's explanation applies in this school system after nine years of contact between the races. The stereotypes of blacks expressed by whites in 1964 of being too different and socially unfit subsided in 1979 and were replaced with more favorable descriptions of blacks. Stephan and Rosenfield (1978:678) suggest if desegregation has positive effects, it is probable that "more than a year or two" is required for them to evolve. More than a "year or two" has passed -- more than "mere desegregation" seems to be occurring.



TABLE 1. RESPONSES TO THE QUESTION: "DO YOU OBJECT TO ATTENDING SCHOOL WITH A PERSON OF A DIFFERENT RACE?" (IN PERCENTAGES) BY YEAR, RACE, AND SEX.\*

Object to Attending with another race	<u>White Males</u>		<u>White Females</u>	
	1964	1979	1964	1979
Yes	77	16	76	11
No	23	84	24	89
N	(138)	(136)	(109)	(155)
	$X^2 = 101.18$ df = 1 p < .001		$X^2 = 115.52$ df = 1 p < .001	

  

Object to Attending with another race	<u>Black Males</u>		<u>Black Females</u>	
	1964	1979	1964	1979
Yes	6	12	7	2
No	94	88	93	98
N	(50)	(65)	(55)	(97)
	$X^2 = 1.293$ df = 1 p = ns		$X^2 = 2.513$ df = 1 p = ns	

\*The question in 1964 prior to desegregation asked "Would you object to attending school with a person of a different race?"

TABLE 2. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF EXPLANATIONS AS TO WHY STUDENTS OBJECT/DO NOT OBJECT TO ATTENDING SCHOOL WITH A DIFFERENT RACE BY TIME AND RACE.

Reasons for Objecting to A Desegregated School	1964		1979	
	Whites	Blacks	Whites	Blacks
Prejudiced <sup>1</sup>	107	--	16	--
Produces Conflict	--	--	19	--
God's Will	35	--	--	--
Intermarriage	8	--	--	--

  

Reasons for Not Objecting to A Desegregated School	1964		1979	
	Whites	Blacks	Whites	Blacks
Equality <sup>2</sup>	15	44	95	55
Conditional Yes <sup>3</sup>	20	15	24	4
Cooperation <sup>4</sup>	6	13	23	15
Why Not? <sup>5</sup>	3	--	29	15
Educational Experience <sup>6</sup>	--	--	13	8

<sup>1</sup> Responses like: I'm prejudiced, we're too different, socially unfit, strongly opposed, and good separate schools-use them.

<sup>2</sup> Responses like: all are equal, equal rights to education, God made us the same, and skin color is no judge.

<sup>3</sup> Responses like: if all cooperate, if they don't act superior, if they don't cause trouble, if they stay to themselves, if they stay in their place, and if they desire to learn.

<sup>4</sup> Responses like: should get along with all, good friends in opposite race, could become better friends, and get along fine with opposite race.

<sup>5</sup> Responses like: doesn't matter to me, no choice, I'm not prejudiced, have been doing it all my life, and have to learn to live with them sometime.

<sup>6</sup> Responses like: good to know other races and helps adjust to society.

## REFERENCES

- Allport, G.W.  
1954 The Nature of Prejudice. Cambridge, Mass.: Addison-Wesley.
- Amir, Y.  
1969 "Contact hypothesis in ethnic relations." Psychological Bulletin 71: 319-342.
- Amor, D. J.  
1972 "The evidence on busing." The Public Interest 28: 90-126.
- Carithers, M.  
1970 "School desegregation and racial cleavage, 1954-1970: A review of the literature." Journal of Social Issues 26(4): 25-47.
- Cohen, E.  
1975 "The effects of desegregation on race relations." Law and Contemporary Problems 39: 271-299.
- Deutsch, M. and M. E. Collins.  
1951 Interracial Housing: A Psychological Evaluation of a Social Environment. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Dickinson, G. E.  
1975 "Dating Behavior of black and white adolescents before and after desegregation." Journal of Marriage and the Family 37: 602-608.
- Glock, C., B. Wuthnow, J. Pilliavin and M. Spencer.  
1975 Adolescent Prejudice. New York: Harper and Row.
- Gottlieb, D. and W. D. tenHouten.  
1965 "Racial composition and the social systems of three high schools." Journal of Marriage and the Family 27: 204-212.
- Green, J. A. and H. B. Gerard.  
1974 "School desegregation and ethnic attitudes." In H. Franklin and J. Sherwood (eds.), Integrating the Organization. New York: Free Press.
- Horowitz, E. L.  
1952 "Development of attitudes toward Negroes." In T. M. Newcomb and E. L. Hartley (eds.), Readings in Social Psychology. New York: Holt.
- Miller, N.  
1980 "Making school desegregation work." In W. G. Stephan and J. R. Feagin (eds.), School Desegregation: Past, Present, and Future. New York: Plenum Press.

- Pettigrew, T. F.  
 1967 "Social evolution theory: convergences and applications." In D. Levine (ed.), Nebraska Symposium on Motivating, Vol. 1. Lincoln, Nebraska: University of Nebraska Press.
- 1973 "Busing: a review of the evidence." Public Interest 10: 88-118.
- Schofield, J. W. and H. A. Sagar.  
 1977 "Peer interaction patterns in an integrated middle school." Sociometry 40:130-138.
- Sheehan, D.  
 1980 "A Study of attitude change in desegregated intermediate schools." Sociology of education (January): 51-59.
- Silverman, I. and M. E. Shaw.  
 1973 "The effects of sudden mass school desegregation on interracial interaction and attitudes in one southern city." Journal of Social Issues 29: 133-142.
- Simpson, G. E. and J. M. Yinger.  
 1965 Racial and Cultural Minorities, 3rd Edition. New York: Harper and Row.
- St. John, N.H.  
 1975 School Desegregation Outcomes for Children. New York: Wiley.
- Stephan, W. G. and D. Rosenfield.  
 1978 "Effects of desegregation on race relations and self-esteem." Journal of Educational Psychology 70: 670-679.
- United States War Department, Information and Education Division.  
 1947 "Opinions about Negro infantry platoons in white companies of seven divisions." In T. M. Newcomb and E. L. Hartley (eds.), Readings in Social Psychology. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- Webster, S. W.  
 1961 "The influence of interracial contact on social acceptance in a newly integrated school." Journal of Educational Psychology, 52: 292-296.
- Williams, J. E., D. L. Best, and D. A. Boswell.  
 1975 "The measurement of children's racial attitudes in the early school years." Child Development 42: 494-500.