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Problem
One of the challenging problems of SDA education in North America is the doss ble trend of declining enrol?ment of boar:ing academies, which have been the mainstay of the SDA secondary scnool system. It was the purdose of this study to determine if a relationship existed between the declining enrollment end parental atiitudes concerning the boarding academies.

Method
A five-page !ikert-type scale survey was used to aather information from randomly selected darents of high-school-age students in the Mid-America Union. Seven sub-hypotheses were used to test the
ma:or hypothesis which stated there would be a significant relationship between declining boarding school enrollment and parental attitudes toward the boarding school. The seven sub-hypotheses chosen covered areas of specific attitudes: financial costs, church attendance, socio-economic levels, length of church membership, dormitory concedt, and the school's fulfillment of its mission.

A chi. square analysis was run on the 210 surveys, applying .10 as the level for significance.

## Results and Conclusions

There was a significant relationship between the decline of enrollment of the boarding schools and the attitudes of parents. There was a significant difference between parents who did not send their young people to SDA schools and parents who did send them in the areas of: quality of academics, cost of attendance, parental church attendance and length of membership, work program, faculty dedication, witnessing training, and the dormitory concept.

There was no significant difference found between the two groups in the areas of: socio-economic levels, effectiveness of teachers, and parental perception of school's uniqueness concerning teaching Christian beliefs and values.

The study revealed that the cost of the boarding school and the dormitory situation were two negative variables that may have contributed to non-attendance. Recommendations were made in the areas of: (1) the promotion of Christian education, (2) academic achievement, (3) the cost of boarding school attendance, and (4) the dormitory situation.
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INTRODUCTION

The Seventh-day Adventist Church traditionally has placed emphasis upon reliaious education. Evidence of the church's stress on education can be found in the objectives of the Department of Education as stated in the 1979 World Report (General Conference, 1979):
!. To prepare all children and youth of the Seventh-day Adventist Church for eternal citizenship in the heavenly kingdom -- "To save from sin."
II. To prepare all students for the oropagation of the gospel and to supply the denomination with the personnel trained for leadership in the various categories of denominational employment -- "To train for service."
III. To unify the educational endeavor of the church through approdriate administrátive policies.
iv. To improve and maintain the quality of the curriculum in the Seventh-day Adventist school system. (p.11)

These four objectives describe the attitude and concern of the church for the religious education of its youth. The world Report of 1979 further stated operational objectives which depict the extent of attitudes and concerns of the church towards religious education. Some of these objectives included: (I) To identify at each level of organization the number of children and youth in the church who constitute the potential enrollment in the educational system of the church. (2) To encourage all potential to enroll.. .,
: $=$ :o reverse the downward trend in Seventh-day idventist enrollment as a percentage of total world church membershid . . ., (4) To involve the entire church membership in the supoort and operation of Seventhday Adventist education . . ." (Adventist Education around the World, (979, D. 11).

## Statement of Problem

As the membership of the church continues to grow in North America (see Adpendix A), and with the stated objectives of the cnurch towards educating its youna, it wouid be natural to assume that the church school system in North America would also arow and flourish. inis growth could also be expected at each academic level. The records, however, show that the growth of the educational system ci the church is not parallel to its membership growth (table 1). The total elementary enrollment and the number of elementary schools are experiencing a fairly consistent gain. The ten colleges and two universities of the church are also experiencing a steady growth (Genera) Coiference Department of Education, 1978, 1980, tables 1, 2):
table 1
SDA EJUCATIONAL GROWTH

| vear | ```Elementary Enrollment Schools``` |  | Secondarv Enrollment | Ccllege Enroliment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1068 | 48,489 | 923 |  |  |
| 1969 | 49,735 | 903 |  |  |
| 1970 | 51,735 | 913 | 20,653 | 14,140 |
| 1971 | 52,216 | 922 | 21,779 | 15,050 |
| -972 | 51,603 | 944 | 2C,753 | 15,341 |
| 1973 | 51,798 | 983 | 22,253 | 15,920 |
| 1974 | 52,3:4 | 1003 | 23.047 | 16,6¢3 |
| : 375 | 52,214 | 1030 | 23,032 | 17,749 |
| 1976 | 53,853 | 1084 | 22,534 | 13,760 |
| -9\% | こう, 352 | 1145 | 22,594 | 13,933 |
| -978 | 53,830 | 1156 | 22,6.J6 | 19,003 |
| -979 | 56,124 | 1190 | 21,57! | 19,5.4 |
| $\underline{1930}$ | 58,075 | 1194 | 20,55? | 19,599 |

However, the secondary Dortion of the church education system has not experienced steady growth. In 1975 it reached a peak and has since then fluctuated up and down. From 1976, however, there has been a dramatic increase in the number of schools and enrollment of the self-supporting secondary schools (table 2). These self-supporting secondary schools serve the Seventh-day Adveritist membersnip but are not officially operated by the church, nor do they necessarily follow the curriculum or oderational orocedures recommended by the church.

These reports lead to several important questions. Why are the elementary schools and colleges of the church steadily increasing in enrollment, while the academy and junior academy enrollments are unsteady and appear to be decreasing? Also, why is there in increase in enrollment of the self-supporting secondary schocls?

Another interesting aspect of the secondary enrollment is the drop in the number of students residing in the boarding academies. In
IAHII 2


|  | 196 | 1970 | 1971 | 1472 | 19/3 | $19 / 4$ | 1975 | 1976 | 1971 | 19/8 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| senior sudemy enrollment | 11.911 | 11.453 | 16.843 | 16.491 | 17,274 | 17.992 | 18,267 | 1H 458 | 14.052 | 18,375 | 18.218 | 1,371 |
| .lunior aladrav |  | 3,336 | 3.292 | 3,169 | 4,583 | 4,620 | 4,390 | 3,640 | 4,047 | 3,724 | 3.353 | 3.176 |
| fotals | 16.910 | 21,272 | 20,145 | 20, 360 | 21,857 | 21,612 | 22.657 | $22,03 \mathrm{H}$ | 22.099 | 22,099 | 21.671 | 20.557 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { self-supportimu } \\ & \text { schools } \end{aligned}$ |  | 401 | 1/4 | 191 | 396 | 435 | 175 | 436 | 493 | bul | 604 | 942 |
| (,RANO) Thtals | 16.910 | $21.6 \%$ | 20.524 | 20,143 | 22,251 | 23,041 | 23.1032 | 22,53 | 22.594 | 22,606 | $22.1 / 5$ | 21.499 |
| Dormitary students | 9.264 | H. 3104 | 8,345 | H,001 | 8,236 | 8,014 | 7,885 | 8740 | 8,058 | 8,463 | 1,823 | 1,583 |
| Mon-dormitary students | 1,646 | 9,6,32 | B,50n | 8.990 | 9,038 | 9,978 | 10,382 | 9,118 | 9,994 | 9,912 | 13.748 | 12,9/4 |
| Percentage of senior acadenites | 54.8 | 46.1 | 44. | 47 | 45.8 | 44.5 | 43.2 | 17.4 | 44.6 | 46.06 | 42.94 | 43.65 |
| Percentage of secondary acadenies | - 44.5 | 38, H | 41.4 | 34.3 | 37.7 | 31 | 34.8 | 39.6 | 36.5 | 38.3 | 35.21 | 35.21 |



1967 there were 16,910 students in senior academies; 9264 of these students were residing in the dormitories or 54.78 percent of the total senior secondary enrollment. In 1977 there were 18,052 senior academy students and 8058 in the dormitories or 44.64 percent of the total enrollment. The figures and percentages comparing the dormitory students to the total secondary enrollment are found in tables 2 and 3.

TABLE 3
SECONDARY ENROLLMENT VERSUS DORMITORY ENROLLMENT

| Category | 1967 | 1977 | 1978 | 1980 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cotal secondary | 20,813 | 22,594 | 22,606 | 20,557 |
| In dormitory | 9,264 | 8,058 | 3,463 | 7,583 |
| Percentage | 44.5 | 35.66 | 37.44 | 36.88 |

Even though there were more students enrolled in SDA secondary schools in 1977 than in 1967, there were fewer students in the dormitories.

In 1979 the Education Department of the General Conference of Severith-day Adventists gathered statistics to analyze the student loss per year in the secondary schools. The Board of Regents has used a figure of 14 percent as the level of acceptable loss. Figures that exceed the 14 percent are cause for alarm and further investigation. The statistics shown in Appendix A show that three of the ten unions were above the 14 percent figure and that 39 percent of the senior academies were above the ontimum percentage (North American Anaual Education Report. 1979, tables 11-20).

The statistics have been restructured to compare the boarding
academy Dercentace with the oay academy (Appendix A). The restrucEured ficures show that the day academy loss percentage is 8.29 percent with the Canacian Union being the highest with 11.30 percent. However, the boarding-academy loss is 16.07 sercent, the Southern Union the nighest at 21.64 percerit, and the Canadian Union the lowest at 10.27 percent. Sixty percent of the unions have percentages adove 14 percent. Not only are the academies declining in enrollment, they also heve a high loss percentage. And 60.96 percent of those dropping from the boarding academies are not re-enrolling in another SDA school but transferring to pubiic education. Of those dropping out of day schools, 66.51 percent enroli in public education.

## 3urpose of Studv

Loss figures in secondary schools are cause for concern for Adventist educators and parents. For not only are a significant number of students. 3.29 percent of day students and 16.87 percent of boardinf students, leavinq the secondary schools, bu. 60 percent of these students are cnanqing from Adventist education to public education. Adventist secondary education in Morth America is showing signs of weakness in two major areas: loss of enrollment and inabi'ity $=0$ maintain a satisfactory percentage of students who have enrolled. Jntil these areas of difficulty are more specifically identified, reasons are found for their existence, and remedial steps are taken to correct the underlying causes of the two stated problems, the secondary level of education within the church may be headed for even greater losses. If the secondary level continues to suffer, it may have a bearing on the church's collegiate enrollment.

It should also be noted that the area of areatest loss is the boarding school witn 16.97 percent. It is, therefore, appropriate that the Doarding school be isolated for study to help identify reasons for its high losses and lower enrollments.

It was the Durpose of this study to determine if a relationshio existed between the declining enrollment of the boarding academies of North America and parental attitudes concerning the boarding schools. The study analyzed parental attitudes in view of the fact that, traditionally, parents have greatly influenced the choice of type and location of scnool for their children.

If the attitudes of Adventist parents of secondary stidents are Dositive toward the boarding secondary school, there will be a greater attempt on the part of parents to enroll their youth in boarding schools. Conversely, if the attitudes are negative, there will be a lesser attempt on the part of parents to enroll their students. This does not mean that attitude is the only factor, but rather that attitude is one of the major factors.

## Smportance and Value of Study

The Seventi-day Adventist Church piaces much emphasis on education, as has been previously shown. The educational system of the churcn which begins with the elementary level adds a secondary level, a college level, and a graduate ievel to compiete the system. As statistics rave shown, the elementary and college levels have continually grown in the past twelve years, while the secondary level has Dlateaued and beaun to decline. (See table 1). This nas raised some very important questions: Does the secondary-school enrollment
indicate a trend that migrt eventually include the elfentary and co'?ece levels? !s the decline in enrollment in the secondary boarding schools the result of neqative parental attitudes toward Adventis: ecucation or just toward the boarding school? If there are negative attitudes, can they be specifically identified and can changes be made to imerove the imaqe of the boarding schools?

Without a specific study concerning the variables involved in the decline of secondary boarding schools, it may be impossible to correctly identify and remedy problem areas. Also, the deciline of enrollment has caused seme school administrators to talk seriously of -iosing. One school, Oak Park Academy in Iowa, did close its doors in :280. if the causes for the demise of this school cannot be clarified, other closings may soon follow.

Another imoortant aspect considered in the study was how Jarents perceive the dormitory concept. If parents believe strongl. in the ohiloscany of Christian education but do not believe in sending their high-school-age chi?dren into dormitories, then the churcn must restud; its secondary educationa! adproach. This question has serious consequences, as a non-Doarding-schoo! approach would require either more day schools, some type of home-study approach, or an unknown solution.

Without a study of enrollment trends in secondar: zoarding schools, the oroblem causing the declining enrollments may not be properly identified and a downward spiral could become an established occurrence.

```
Hypcthesis
    The hypothesis to be tested in this study was that there was
a relationship between the declining enrollment of the secondary
boarding schools and the attitude of parents toward the secondary
boarding schools.
    The sub-hypotheses that were to be studied to prove the hypo-
thesis were:
Sub-hyoothesis : - There is a significant difference in
                                    attitude toward the secondary boarding
                                    schonl of parents of students attending
                                    SDA schools and parents of students not
                                    attending SDA schools.
Sub-hyoothesis 2 - ihere is a significant relationship
                            hetween non-attendance = nd secondary
                            ooarding-school expenses.
Sub-hypothesis 3 - There is a significant relationship
                                    between secondary boarding attendance
                                    and parental church attendance.
Sub-hypothesis 4 - There is a significant relationship
                                    between parental socio-economic levels
                                    and secondary boarding attendance.
Sub-nypothesis 5 - There is a significant relationship
                                    between secondary boarding attendance
                                    arc Darental length of church membershid.
Sub-hypo:hesis 6 - ihere is a significant relationshis
    between the secondary boarding attendance
    and the parental perception of the school's
    fulfillment of its uniqueness.
Sub-hypothesis 7 - There is a significant difference in the
    parental percedtion of the dormitory
    concept beiween parents of attending
    students and parents of non-attending
    students.
```


## Delimitations

This stuc. was delimited to that Jeriod of :ime in wich the data were gathered. It was further delimited geographically to the territory within the boundaries of the Mid-America Union with its population being SDA parents of students of high-school age.

## Definitions

For the purposes of this study, the following definitions were made:
4. Eoarding Academy - a secondary schoc! offering grades nine thrcuqh twelve where the students may live in residence halls called dormitories.
B. Senior Academy - a seconary school offering grades nine through twelve.
C. Dormitory Concept - a view of secondary education in an SDA school, requiring the student to reside away from home and particularly in a school resident hall.


#### Abstract

Summary The Seventh-day Adventist Church traditionaliy has placed emphasis upon the education of its youth. Because of this emphasis, its school system has grown and become one of the largest Pro:estant educational systems in the world. In the last seven years, tre elementary, colleqe, and university levels have continued enrollment growth while the number of students in the boarding academies has declined.


Not only has the enrollment of the boarcing schools ueclined, but in the boarding acsuemies it has dropped from 45 percent to 35 Dercent. Moreover, of those students who do enroll in the boarding school, 17 dercent eventually ?eave the school. In comparison to the
26.8 jercent student loss in the Vorth American Division, the MidAmerica Union loss was 16.81 percent, which parallels the national picture. The purdose of $\operatorname{zh}$ is study was to determine if there was a relationshio between the declining boarding enrollment and parental attitudes toward the boarding school. The study was delimited to th: Mid-America Union and SDA parents of high-school-age youth within its territory.

## LITERATURE REVIEN

Christian education can trace its beginning in North America to the very first settlements. In fact, education in the newly settled land was Christian education. In 1638 the Lutheran churches of America $己$ l ready had a system of parochial education (Damm, : 963 !. However, as the country grew, the philosomny developed that every individual rad the right of education, so the state and local governments began to ostablish the public-school system. Christian education experienced many highs and lows in enrollment but the total number of church-sponsored schools continued to increase. The Seventh-day idventist Church opened its first official school in 1872 at Battle Creek and began its system of education in 1887.
Though Crristian education has continued to grow, it has been slow in monttoring its growth and studying reasons for growth or decline. in the 1930 s L. 3. Koos did a comparative study of orivate secondary education and Dublic education and fnund that althougn she ミEudents in the church-related schools had more ability, they were inferior in attainment (Koos, 1931). Robert Hill in 1957 did a similar study and found that when scholastic aptitude was controlled, the college freshmen from oublic schools were superior to parochialschool students.
It was not until the early 1960 s that Christian education

```
began to realize that to survive, it must base its oderating deci-
sions upen factuēl data. As the nation's e:onomy chanqed, attitudes
concerning moral values and attitudes towards church authority
changed, the enrollments of many parochial systems began to fluctuate.
    It was the intent of this review to ascertain the major studies
and trenas that ha:e taken place in Christian education in North
America in the last twenty years.
    The literature review for the study has been concentrated upon
the time from the 1960s to present and has been organized in the
Followinq manner:
4. Christian education - Reasons for growth and reasons barents send their children to church-related schools.
3. Seventh-day Adventist education - (1) Areas of recent studies, \({ }^{2}\) ) Parental attitudes, (3) Boarding-academy studies.
C. Catholic education - (1) The reasons for Catholic education, 12) A look at declining enrollments, (3) Parental attitude, (4) The Greely studies.
D. Other Darochial school systems - (1) Lutheran, :2) Eadotist.
```


## Christian Education

```
In 1972 David Kass did a study on the attitudes of parents in the Grand Rapids, Michigari, area. The purpose of the study was : ascertain what demands parents were placina upon the parochial schools in the Grand Rarios Christian School Association. Koss found 99 Dercent of the 2204 surveyed families were positive in their demand for a uniqueness in Christian education. He also stated that the most imfortant reason for the surveyed Darents sending their children to a parochial school was the subjects were taught from a Christian
```

viewpoint. The Jarents also expressed a strong willingness to support and snare the educational expense (Kass, 1972).

Margaret Gratiat examined reasons why parents chose nonputic church-related schools in the San Francisco area and found that there were two basic reasons: (l) Parents wanted their children to have religious training, and (2) They were dissatisfied with public education (Gratiat, 1978).

In 1980 George Ballweg did a study on the growth of students and schools in Darocnial education since 1966. He found there were no sionificant socio-econemic, cultural factors, nor any philosophical or theological reasons for attendance. According to Sallwer, the basic reason stated was tnat Darents wanted to exercise their rioht to educate their children in their family's value system. He concluded his study with this remark: "Parents are showing growing resentment toward those who attempt to teach values contrary to that of the family" (Ballweg, i980).

Seventh-day Adventist Education
Areas of Recent Studies
Some of the recent studies done on Seventh-day Adventist senior academies include Roger Bothwell's. He compared senior boarding students to non-boarding students in the area of moral development. He used the Defining Issues Test, by James Rest of the University of Minnesota, and found no significant differences existed between Seventh-day Adventist academy seniors and public-high-school seniors. When Bothwell selected varicus groups and paired them, such as Adventist, Catholic, and Mormon, he again found no significant difference in moral deveiopment (Bothwell, 1980).
josepn Ncole did a study in 1971 on senior academy stude:: $=5$ in the Nortinwest to determine what academy seniors believed concerning Seventh-day Adventist doctrines, and how their beliefs affected their attitudes toward the church educational system. The results showed that though the students knew the doctrines auite well, they practiced less than they believed. However, they wanted their own children to aこtend Adventist schools (Noble, 1971).

Rueben Hilde's study on curriculum in 1970 preceded Noble's study and stated that though students, teachers, ministers, and medicai personnel agreed there may be deficiencies, all believed that Aaventist youth should be educated in Adventist schools (Hilde, 1970).

Elizabeth Wilkens did a comparative study of critical thinkina skills of Seventh-day Adventist college freshmen who attended Adventist secondary boarding schools, Adventist day schools, and those who attended public high school. She found no significant difference among any of the three groups (Wilkens, ;979).

Jr. Larry Lewis did a study in 1974 to determine the re!igious effects of the Adventist school system and found Seventh-day Adventist adults who did attend Adventist schools did not necessarily differ from Adventi:: adulis who did not attend Adventist schools. However, the study did show that the likelihood of Adventist males dropping away from the Adventist church increased with non-Adventist education. He further found that Adventist youth were more likely to marry Adventist youth if they attended Adventist schools.

Parental Attitudes Studies
In 1969 a study was conducted by Howard Meさcalfe in the

Columhia Union Conference wion includes the states of Delaware, Maryland, Niew jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. The purpose of tne study was to determine the attitudes of parents towards Adventist elementary and secondary education. Metcalfe applied the Mann-Whitney $U$ Test and used grade ?evel, parental age, sex, religion, occupation, and oarental level of education as factors. He found that: (1) Mothers were more favorable towards Acrentist education thar fathers, (2) Yoce+ionally unskilled parents were more favorable than professional parents, (3; Parents with higne• levels of education were less favoratle zhan those with less eaucation. ial idjentist zarents were equally favorable when compared to non-Adventist parents, and :5) The age of the parents was not significant (Metcalfe, 1969).

To determine how long the Seventh-day Adventist membership would be willing to maintain its system of education was the purpose of Vernori jewett's study in Lincoln, Nebraska, in 1968. He found -hat parents were more favorahle than non-parents, and females more Favorable than males for sudcort of Adventist schocls. He also stated that most members were willing to sacrifice in order to su. oort the educational system. However, the longer one was a member of the churcn, the more favorable the member became.
in the Lake Union, which includes Michigan, Illinois, Indiana, and wisconsin, james stephen did researct to examine select factors which might influence enrollment and finances in elementary and secondary schools. Frar his study he projected that there would be no growth for the Lake Union if oresent trends continued. But in the ethnic, black schools, there would continue to be an increase. -:
mosi Frequent reason given for non-attendance was the remoteness and distance to get is an Adventist school. Concerning the academic program, only 3.5 percent of those responding felt it was not satisfacさory (Stephen, 1979).

## Adventist Soarding Schools

The major study found in this review on Adventist boarding schools was done by Virgil Bartlett in 1971 and is described in his doctoral dissertation entitled "A Study to Determine the Effect of Dormitory Experience on Students in Seventh-day Secondary Schools." His population encompassed the secondary schools of the Lake inion mich influded six boardina and two non-boarding schools. The students selected either resided for all four years of academy at home or in a dormitory. Among Bartlett's twelve hypotheses was number twelve, which stated: "There will be no significant differences in the membership of the Darents in the Seventh-day Adventist church between the students, according to sex, who have been graduated from an academy having lived in a dormitory and those who have graduated from $\ddagger n$ academy havinq lived at home" (Bartlett, 1971, 0. 98). The results were as follows:

|  | Oth Parents SDA | Only Mother | Only Father |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male - form | 23 (N) | 14 (N) | 2 (N) |
| Male - non-dorm | 24 | 3 | 0 |
| Female - dorm | $: 2$ | 18 | 0 |
| Female - non-dorm | m 24 | 2 | 0 |

"A significant difference at the . 05 level was found to exist between the membership of the parents of the male dormitory in the

SNA church when combared to the membership of the parents of nondormitory males" (Bart?ett, 1971, p. 99).

The most revealing aspect of Bartlett's study was that when the following variables were considered, there was no significant difference found: (1) Leadership in college academic achievement, (2) Leadershis in college-sponsored activities, (3) Leadership in church as well as nor-church organizations following araduation from college, (4) Continued membership in church, following college graduation (Bartlett, 1971, D. :03).

Hamocon Walker's study stated that the Adventist senior expressed hic $r$ traditional values than the dublic-high-school serior. The Adventist boarding-school seniors expressed higher traditional values than the Adventist day-school seniors (Walker, 1969). And further evidence of the boarding school's effect can be seen in Ingram DuPreez' research. He studied the moral and religious problems and attitudes of students in academies across North America and icund that the boarding-schcol students have fewer frobiems than the dayacademy students. He also stated that freshmen have more probiems, while seniors have the fewest (DuPreez, 1977).

## Catholic Education

Of the various parochial educational systems, the Catholics have done the most research. They have established NORC, National Opinion Research Center, in an effort to keep abreast with not only Catholic education but all facets of church life.

In 1958 Joseph fichter did a sociologicai study on Catholic educat• $n$ and published it in Parochial School--a Sociological Study. He stated that:

Parents sending their children to St. Luke's School do so because they want them to have Catholic training. Catholic Darents who send their children to nublic schools do so mainly c. .ause it is closer to the home. The former have a religious and educational reason, the latter a reason of comfort and convenience. (Fichter, 1958, p. 316)

Fichter also stated that if a student attended Catholic elementarv school, parents were more definite in sending their children on to Catholic high school.

Warren Caine in his study on attitudes and serceptions of parents and teachers in New Orleans, Denver, and Washington, D. C., found that there was a significant difference between New Orleans and the other two cities in how they positively perceived the unicueness of Catholic education (Caine, 1979).

Declining Enrol?ment
According to the Official Catholic Directory as auoted in "Catholic School in a Declining Church" in 1945, there were 10,912 Catholic schools with $2,590,660$ students. In 1965 there were 14,296 schools and $3,505,186$ students, but by 1975 there were 24 percent fewer schools and only 2,959,788 stucents. "If one speaks of a decline in Catholic school attendance, one must be careful to specify that most of this decline has taken place at the eiementary school level. At the secondary level enrollment also fell but has begun to inch back uD toward the 1965 high" (Greely, 1976, p. 9-10).

According to Brother Kyrin Powers in his i974 study, the
biggest factor in the declinina enrollment was risina tuition cost and the inability of the schocls to meet vocational and job-trainina needs. It must be observed, however, that Powers' study was done in Brooklyn where inter-city problems may have influenced the outcome of the study. Parents also stated that the teaching of religion or orayers had little affect upon attendance (Powers, 1974).

For the Midwest two studies are cited. Catholic education in Des Moines, Iowa, was studied and the findinas revealed that the declining errolment was linked to the parental perception of the Catholic scnools being academically inferior to the pubiic schcols. The darents also were serious'y concerned with the effectiveness of the program and quality of the staff. The study made the statement that it was quality and not cost that caused the decline. One interesting factor which appeared in the studv stated that if any schools were to close, it should be the secondary and not the s?ementary (Schiffagrens, 1969!.

The second Miawest studv cited was done in Sismarck, North Jakota. The majority of those polled positively agreed on the uniqueness and cnaracter of Catholic education. The respondents aiso stated tuition cost should be in line with pupil cost. They also aqreed, as fid the Des Moines survey, that if any scncols were to be dropped, it should be the secondary schools. The study also showed that older Catholic members were stronger subporters of Catholic education when compared to younger members (Leary, 1975).

Reasons why Catholic parents transfered their children out of Catholic school have also been studied. Brosnan conciuded that tuition costs and a lack of variety of courses were the major reasons

```
for trarsfers. However, most Darents agreed that the Catholic schools
were suderior instructicnally (Brosnan, 1971).
Pev. John Bakel found that in the St. Louis area parents who transfered students were less educated, of a lower income bracket, and participated less in church. He also stated that parents of transferees were confused upon the quals of Catholic education and chat suburban whites did not believe Catholic schools were unique (Bakel, 1974).
```


## The Greely Studies

```
In 1963 Andrew Greely and Rossi did a study for NORC and found that Catholic education was effective among German and Irish Catholics but ineffective among Polish and Itálian Eatholics. The study further affirmed that the church membership had a high investment financially and emotionally. The 1963 study dwelt primarily with "value-oriented education as opposed to an alternative educational enterorise operating under what might be termed 'ordinary' circumstances" (Greely, \(1976, \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{il})\). But since 1963 great changes have shaken the Catholic church and many have begun a strong quest : © eliminate the school system. So Greely did another study in 1974 whose conclusions may be summarized as follows (Greely, 1976):
․ Decline in attendance was due to high tuition cost in the cities, and decline in the suburbs was due to a lack of schools.
2. Catholic Darents chose Catholic schools primarily because they had better educational facilities and secondarily for religious training.
3. In 1963, 44 nercent of Catholic children attended ratholir crhonle. In lc74, only 20 norrent of children were enrolled.
```

4. Older parents were more likely to send chilaren ther. younger parents.
5. There is a linear relationship between education and the use of Catholic schools, that is; as respondent's education ooes up, the likelihood that they will use the Catholic schools for their children also increases." (f. 221-235)

Other Denominational Systems

Lutheran
john Darm in a study on the growth and decline of Lutheran Darochial schools reported that there was a rise in enrollment from :658-1830. Then a totai colladse came. It was attributed to immiarant and language jroblems, and the rise of the common school. The recent decline of the Lutheran Missouri Synod schools he attributed to the following:

The development of the Missouri Synod Parochial School System shows a direct correlation between a synod's insistence of the cultivation of its distinct identity and the conserva:ion of its confessional position and the expression of belief in and support of a darochial school system. (Jarm, 1963, o.38)

The history of the early movement gemonstrated that when the insistence uoon Durity of teaching and doctrine was not strong in a synodical organization, then parochial schools were not ansidered necessary (Damm, 1963). Johnstone research stated that Lutheran schools were nct very effective (Johristone, 1966). Brehhe study concluded that the student's beliefs were different from Lutheran Dubiic-school students, but that behavior anc attitudes were the same (Breinhe, :974).

Baptist
In recent years the Badtist schcois have had increased growth
in enrollrent and schools. Timcthy Evearitt did a study to determine why Jarenis serit their children to Baptist schools. Ninety-four percent agreed they sent their chidlren because of the teaching of the Sible and moral values. Eighty-one percent stated they were concerned $\ddagger$ bout the lack of discipline in public schools, and 54.6 percent stated they felt academic standards were inferior in the oublic schooi. In Evearitt's study, 47.7 percent of the families were midd: or upder class with incomes $5 \geq 0,000$ or above (Evearitt, 1979).

## Conclusions

Several observations have been made from the review of literature that could have a bearing on this study.
A. Several studies (Bakel, 1974; Caine, 1979; Jarm, 1963; Kass, 1972) indicate that a parochial school must convince its constituents of its uniqueness. It must prove it has a role to olay that other school systems can not.
3. When Darents are convinced that the schools are meeting their cbjectives, costs are not a negative factor for enrollment.
C. Fhere may be a correlation between the Catholic parents' attivuce that their first priority is a good education and the teaching of religion is secondary in importance, and the deciine of Catholic education.
D. There does not seem to be evidence that Seventh-day Adrentist boarding schools are inferior to SDA day schoois. Evidence suggests that students of boarding schcols are equai or superior when compared to students of day academies.
E. Costs do not appear to be a determining negative factor for SDA or cther oarochial schools.
F. A correlation seemed suggested between the SDA boarding academies declining enrollment and parental perception of a loss of uniqueness. As a result of this literature review, this observation has been converted into a moderator* variable -- Sub-hypothesis - 7. * Moderator variables are discussed on page 25.

METHODOLOGY
in shapter I evidence was oresented to sutstantiate the fact that the Seventh-day Adventist boarding academies have plateaued and have begun to decline in enrollment, while the elementary, college, and university enrollments are increasing. It was hypothesized that there was a relationshid between the deciining enrollment and the Darents' attitude toward the boarding academy. In this chapter the hypothesis is structured and the variables identified so that proper statistical analysis can be made. A description of the population is qiven and a detailed delineation of the procedure and type of statistical analysis to be made is proposed.

## Hypothesis

It was the curnose of this study to determine if a relationship existed between Seventh-day Adventist boarding school attendance and parental attitudes towara the boarding academv. it wes hypothesized that there was no siqnificant relationshid between the decline of enrollment of the secondary boardina schools and the at+itudes of Darents toward the secondary schools.

The sub-hypozheses studied were:

```
Sub-hypothesis 1 -- There is no significant difference in
    attitude toward the secondary boarding
    school between parents of students
    attending SDA high schools and parents
    of students nct attending SDA high
    schools.
Sub-hypothesis 2 -- There is no significant relationship
    between non-attendance and the cost
    of secondary boarding school.
Sub-hypothesis 3 -- There is no significant relationshio
    between secondary boarding non-attend-
    ance and parental church non-attendance.
Sub-hypothesis 4 -- There is no significarit relationship
    between parental socio-economic levels
    and secondary boarding non-attendance.
Sub-hyfothesis 5 -- There is no sianificant relationship
    between second:ry boarding attendance
    and parental lengtn of church memberstip.
Sub-hypothesis 6 -- There is no significant re?ationsnio
        between the secondary boarding attend-
        ance and the parental Derception of the
        school's fulfillment of its uniqueness
        or mission.
Sub-hyDothesis 7 -- There is no significent difference
        between Darents of non-attending student
        and attending student concerning the
        dormitory concept.
        Variables Identified
    in this ex dost facto study the attitude of the parents towards
        :he boarding school has been the independent variable, and the attend-
        ance or non-attendance at the boarding school has been the dependent
        variable. It was assumed thet as the attitude of the parents increases
        or Jecreases favoras?y toward the boarding school, that the attendance
        wo.ld rise or decline. The dependent variable represented tie conse-
        quence of a change within the parents being studied.
    The mocerator variables chosen were the academy costs, parental
```

churcn non-attencance, lenath of church membership, and the school's ne:ceived fuifillment of uniqueness. The control variables chosen were darental age, parental socio-economic levels, parental sex, and area of residence of the parent.

It was assumed that the parental attitudes toward the academy were influenced by the various moderator variables, or as the moderator variables change, they were assumed to have an influence upon the chosen independent variable which may modify the relationship with the dependent variable.

## Descriotion of Population

This study was delimited to the geographic area of Solorado, Sowa, Kansas, Minnescta, Missouri, Nebrasła, Morth Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming. It is referred to as the Mid-America Union of Seventh-day Adventists. The constituents of the Seventh-day Adventist churches within the Mid-America Union constitute the nopulation at large and the constituents who had cnildren of high scnool age during the 1981-e? scnocl year nave been the defined populazion.

## Sackground of Podulation

The Mid-America Union operates six (6) boarding academies and :wo (2) day academies. They are:

| 3oarding School | Location 1980 | 1980-31 Opening |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eampion | Loveland, Colorajo | 256 |
| Enterorise | Enterorise, Kansas | 115 |
| Dakota Adventist | 3ismarck, North Dakota | 89 |
| Mapiewood | Hutchinson, Minnesota | 237 |
| Platte Valley | Shelton, Nebraska | 197 |
| Sunnydale | Centralia, Missouri | 195 |


| Dav Academies | Locasion | 1989-81 Openin |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Colieqe View | Lincoln, Nebraska | 89 |
| Mile High | Jenver, Colorado | $\frac{147}{1325}$ |
| Total Enroilmert |  |  |

The Mid-America Union is a newly formed union. In june 1980 the former Central Union (Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, CJlorado, and Wyoming) merged wish the former Northern Union (Iowa, Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota) to form the new Mid-America Union. For the ourcose of this study the Central States Conference has been eliminated because of a lack of parental information.

In chapter I statistics showed the aecline of the secondaryscnooi enroilment in North America. In appendix A-A, figures are given to show the decline of the Mid-America academy enrollmer.t. Appendix A-2 shows the Mid-Anerica church membership and ine percentage of membershis enroiled in the secondary schools of the union. Appendix A-3 çives the mean birth rates for the states within the Mid-America Unicn for the time frame affected for this study. For example, ,he birth rate for $i 953$ would have an effect upon the 1967 enroll:ent as it was assumed that the normai age for a ninth grader is Fourteen. The fiqures show births per 1000 pcpulation. Adpendix A-2 compares the Union's membership year by year $\pm 0$ show the Jercentaqe of growth or decline.

It is apparent from apgendix $A$ that the church membershid in the Mid-America Union has continued to increase. The secondary schools show a declining trend as did the percentage of church membership in secondary education. However, it should be dointed out that the birth rate for the states comprising the Mid-America Union


#### Abstract

has steadily ceclined unti! 1967. Further study needs to be made to deternine whether the dec?ining birth rate is a s.onificant variable in the declining enrollment. It was assumed for the purposes of this study that the declining birth rate was not significant. The rationale ior this assumption was (1) No studies have yet been dorie to determine the North American Seventh-day Adventist Church birth rate ! The birth rate within the church may or may not coincide with the general population), and $\{2$ ) The percentage of growth in the Union should help to offset any loss from birth-rate declines.


## Sampling

The population for this study was defined as the parents of high-school-age youth who are members of SDA churcnes in the NidAmerica Union. Within the last two jears the Mic-America Union Education Jepartment has conducted a youth census of all children of ages one to iwenty-one belonging to church members. The census was taken on a unifnrm card (apoendix E) and contained oarental information needed for this study. The census cards are currentiy stored in the Mid-America Union Cffice of Education and the information has been transferred into the Union Colleqe computer. Each year the computer updates the census names by grade and a printout for each conference within the Union is sent out to be updatec by the local church. The updating requires that youth who have moved, ceased church membershio, or died be taken off the list, and any new youth who have reached the age of one or moved into the area be added.

To obtain a random sample, the computer printouts were arranged alphabetically by conference. Each family having


#### Abstract

high-school-aqe chi'3ren was given a number. Using sampling procedure, 350 corruter-generated, rardom numbers were used to select 350 =amilies to serve as the defined population. -o further insure accuracy a ?etter was sent to the 350 selected families from E. S. Reile, oresident of the Mid-America Union, notifying them or their seiection for an upcoming education survey and asking for their cooperation. (See appendix C-l.) Address correction requests were olaced upon the envelopes and corrections made when returned by the postal service. When a family's adress could not be located, it was assumed that they no lonqer resided within the union and the next family name on the computer list was selected for the survey.


## Data Collection

in order to collect the data for determining the attitudes of the sample, a questionnaire using a Likert-type scale was prepared (appendix D). :n preparing the questionnaire the rarious variables were listed and a series sf buestions designed by the author to determine the attitudes of the respondents. After the questionnaire was formulated, it was dilot-tested upon a group of parents of high-school-age students living in the Minneadolis-St. Paul area. Darents of high-school-age snildren attending the Northtrook and Minnetonka churcies ware asked during Sabbath School to meet together. The puroose of the study was explained and they were asked to answer the prepared survey instrument. Aster completing the survey, they were asked to write comments after any question they did not understand or questions they felt to be rot suitable. Sixty families completed the pilot survey.

AF:er analyzing ard comparing the pilot survey, changes in format ang wording were made to make the instrument more useful. The revised insirument was then sent to various faculty members of Andrews University for evaluation.

## Mailing Procedure

Because tnis studv was authorized by the Mid-America Eoard of Education, the survey was mailed with a cover letter from Randall Fox, Director of Education of the Mid-America Union. (See appendix C-3.) The letter stated the importance of the study, gave assurance of confidentiality, offered the opoortunity to receive survev results, and included instructions for completing and returning the survey. -o assure confidentiality, a specia? post office number in Minneapolis was used, called Mid-America Parent Survey.

To irs sre a reply each letter was stamped with a special colorful issue stamp and a self-addressed stamped envelope was provided. The letters were also maịed so they would arrive in the home during a weekend. It was assumed weekerd mail for SDA members would receive quicker attention.

To helo identify from which state a completed survey came and the non-respondents, a code number was placed upon the bottom of the last page of the instrument.

Three weeks after the first mailing, a card was sent to those families who had not returned their surveys. (See appendix C-4.)

Aadin, three weeks were allowed for responses. A cover letter and new surveys were then sen ${ }^{+}$to those not respondina. These surveys were given an additional code number to determine if their resDonses
would be different from the first mailina. No significant difference was found.

After the second mailing, another three weeks was given before the last letter was sent asking for the return of the completed surveys. The format of the fina? request was a humorous, light appeal so that the non-respondent would not be antac̣onized. (See appendix (-6.)

## Analysis

The returned surveys were sent to Anarews University for analysis on the computer. The analysis done was a basic tabulation and a chi-scuare analysis using the Uriversity of California BMDF-79 כrogram witin a significance 'evel of . IO.

The esmov inctriment wac dividar intn five sertinns. in each section opportunity was provided for open comments and 53.8 Jercent of those responding took the time to give their comments. Because these written comments can provide valuable information, an attempt was made to out the commerts into a format that could be tabulated.

A screening panel of three persons were selected on the basis of their orofessional experience and general conversance with matters educationa! to read through the commented surveys: Ann Kautz of Brookdaie, Minnesota; Bever!y Dickinson of Sambridae, Minnesota; and Wayne Krause of Fridley, Minnesota. This panel read tne comments and separated them into fourteen categories. These cateqories were tinen represented by Fourteen questions with a Likert-resoonse scale that couid be used as a grid to categorize each written comment. Each survey was re-read and comments given an adorodriate rating based

```
upon the fourteen cateqories and scale. The following shows the
fourteen cateqor; questions and severa? examples of the written
comments as they were categorized:
```

1. Home environment is more advantageous than Christian boarding school.
Exampies: "Students this age need constant super-
vision and are not capable of coping with a dormi-
tory situation. They are easily led in the wrong
direction."
"I also feel we can give our children the moral and
reliqious training they need at home. I do not
believe in sending my chijdren away and letting
someone else raise them."
2. Total influence of faculty is positive.
Examoles: "SDA schools often have unqua?ified
teachers. Academies seem to get a lot of teachers
that are waiting for a hinher position."
"Jur schools may not be derfect and our teachers
could at times be better influences, but being 'on
duty' 7 days a week, 24 hours a day, they try to
give their best.
2. Peer relationsnips are dositive.
Examples: "Erom the comments of my children and
others, the Christian association is not there.
you are ridiculed if you stand for the church,
worje in academy than in dublic schcol."
"I have very strong beliefs that the children in
our scnools are sick of religion. They do not act
very Christian nor do they show their religious
saith or belief! if anything, they are non-
religious and drive others away from their beliefs.
3. Academic quality of academy is oositive.
```
Examoles: "Altho I am not a SDA now (I was), I
```

believe the Christian education a child receives in a SDA (school) is unexcelled."
"I seriously doubt the practicality of some classes. After 4 years, 1 don't think my son could compose a business letter."
5. Supervision in ar idemy is adequate.

Examples: "Un?ess I knew they'd give my daughter as good a training and supervision as at ho: a . . ."
"I feel we should have more supervision in our dorms."
6. Senool provides an adequate and satisfactory work program.

Examples: "I feel for the students that really want to work there is good work opportunities."
"It is my on: nion that boarding schools should be nore self-su...srting, making it sossible for stucents to more nearly work =heir way through."
7. The expense of Christian education makes it oroh bitive.

Examcles: "I do not feel a famity should have to make innanc:a! sacrifice to send their children to academ/, especial'y when there is so much money eing wasted by others in our denomination."
"The t:aition is outraqeous and fee: everyone should se admitied."
3. Our schools are oresently following the "blueprint" and upnolding church stancards.

Examoies: "Our schools have beautiful opportunities, bu- the dedication, the determination to follow the biuegrint is sometimes lacking."

It a opears that the general worldly moral decay is creeping into our churches and schools -- and nomes."
9. Dur child had a oositive experience while in attendance at - boardinn scnool.

Examples: "Cnild did not want $=0$ attend only after unnappy experience at SDA school."
"My daughter attended 3 different academies. The spiritual atmospnere in 2 of them wasn't as crod as I would like to see."
10. Facilities, non-academic program, and food are ac. .udte.

Examples: "nnly two reasons weren't mentioned (for not attending) I can think of. One is food...

```
    "The food is far from following principles outlined
    by Ellen White."
!1. Our schocls have a healthy soiritial environment.
    Examoles: "We feel that there is not enough emphasis
    dut on religion and religious activities."
    "Keep in mind Christ's method of behavior modifi-
    cation--love. Do not drive our young people from
    Christ."
12. Recognizing tnat problems exist, we still support SDA
    boardinq education.
        Examples: "I'm sure others have seen merit in all
        the above (questions 37-44) and undoubtedly they
        (they above) state the ideal goals. From whe: we
        have gleaned, such isn't the case, and we have felt
        constrained to do otherwise."
        "In my odinion, my sons should all be in SDA schools."
    13. I am in aqreement witn the survey and its questions.
        Examoles: "I feel that this survey is inadequate. I
        trust that your conclusions will be much more in
        depth than these questions."
        "This questionnaire is loaded with biased assump-
        tions."
    :1. The boarding schoois meet the soecial physical and
        academic needs of my child.
        Example: "My 14-year-old son is shysically handi-
        capped, so he can not go to SDA grade or high schools."
    In comcuting the chi square analysis, two different methods
were used. First, the Darents of those attending SDA high schools
were comDared against those not in SDA high schools. This was done using question 9. Second, the parents of boarding-school youth were compared to all others. When the two analyses were compared, the first methcd showed the greatest discrimination. The second method revealed that those parents sending their children to SDA non-boarding schools had the same attitudes as the parents of boarding students.
```

The discriminatirn difference was attendance in a SDA high schonl vers:s non-attendance.

Assumptions
For the purpose of this study, the following assumptions were made:
A. Parents have the greatest irfluence concerning the type and location of schooling. Therefore, parental attitude is important.
3. As Darental attitudes become more positive toward the boarding academy, the enrollment increases, and as parentai actitude becomes neqative, enrollment declines.
:. That variables such as cost, church attendance, length of church membership, and school's adherence to mission are an influence upon Darental attitudes.
D. The normal age for a ninth-arader is fourteen years of age.
E. That she declining national birth rate is not a significant factor in secondary boardina enrollment because the mempership growth of the SDA church should offset it.
F. If the address of a selected family could not be located, it was assumed they had moved from union territory and a new name was selected.
G. Surveys were sent to arrive on weekends so a high return rate could be obtained.
H. It was assumed that those who did not respond did not cunstitute a third category of darents.

CHAPER IV

RESEARCH $\operatorname{FINDINGS}$


#### Abstract

It was the purdose of this study to determine if a relationsnip existed between Seventh-day Adventist boardinq-school attendance and parental attitudes toward the boarding academy. Seven sub-hypctheses were stated and a survey instrument designed to provice data concerning the topic of the study. Each nypothesis was stated and a listing of the survey questions used to test that particular nypothesis was given. -ables showing the statistira; analysis has been shown followed by comments concerning important observations. The format to be followed is: (1) A describtion of the defined DoDulation as given by the survey, (2) 2 review of the nypotheses, (2) the analysis of the data in reference to the stated nypotheses, (4) The analysis of written comments and other variables, (5) The relationshid of the independent variable to the dependent variable, (6) Summary.


## Jescriotion of Fopulation

The defined podulation has been described as Seventh-day Adventist church members of the Mid-America Union who were parents of high-school-age youth who were students. Tables 4-6 show the membershin of the Mid-America Union by conference and a comparison of those selected to particidate.

TABLE 4
SURVEY POPULATION


TABIE 5
SURVEY COMPARISON BY CONFERENCE


TABLE 6
SURVEY COMPARISON BY CONFEPENCES !PERCENTAGE!

|  | Rocky Mt. | Iowa/Mo. | Kans.Neb. | Dakota | Minn. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Membership in Union | 27 | 21.7 | 20 | 9.7 | 10.1 |  |
| Parents with highschool students | 31.6 | 23 | 22.6 | 8.2 | 14.2 |  |
| Selected parents | 30 | 23.3 | 23 | 10.6 | 12.2 |  |
| Surveys returned | 61.76 | 67.09 | 71.8 | 66.67 | 80.95 |  |
| Surveys unreturned <br> * Union membership | $\begin{aligned} & 39(N) \\ & \text { minus Cen } \end{aligned}$ | $26(N)$ <br> ral State | $22 \text { (N) }$ <br> Confere | $12(\mathrm{~N})$ | $8(N)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \text { (N) } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |

The data of tables 5 and 6 show that the random selection of sarents of high-school students was successful. The best Dercentaqe of redresentation was Iowa/Missouri with 23 percent of the total potential Darents, and random selecting chose 23.3 percent. The Jakota Conference had the areatest error with 8.2 percent of ootential darents, and the random selection was 10.6 oercent. Further investigation revealed that the Mid-America census list, the basis of obtaining oarents' names, nad recently been updated, but the Jakota and Minnesota lists had been just initially completed or were still beina completed.

After the names had been randomly selected, a further check revealed that twenty-four names either had wrona addresses or the parents were not SDA churcn memDers. This ieft a refined survey sopulation of 315, of which 232 were returned. The return rate was ?3.66 percent. : it should also be noted that after the surveys were

```
returned, twenty-two of the parents indicated thev were not presently
sarenis oi hiafi-scncol-age youth. An investigation revealed that
twelve of the twenty-two had college-age youth and ten of the parents
oniy had elementary-age youth. The error was the result of faulty
information obtained from those completing the Mid-America census.
The twenty-two surveys were eliminated from the study because they
did not fit the defined population. This ieft a population of
useable returned surveys of 210, or a 67 Dercent useable return rate.
Background of Pespondents
In Question one of the survey, the objective was to see who would answer the survey, the mother, father, or both, and if a sianificant difference would be found in the attitude of the respondents. Table 7 shows the respondents by percentages.
```

TABLE 7
respondents by percentage

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Fathers only | $: 9$ |
| Mothers only | 39.5 |
| Both father and mother | 38.1 |
| Euardian or sted-parent | $\vdots .4$ |

```
    The number of stucents ner home is given in tabl= 8, and the
```

avirace number of siudents ser home is found in table g.

TABLE 8
STUDENT PER HOME

| Number of Students Der Home | Elementary | High School | Eolleqe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No studerit | $62 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $00.5 \%$ |
| One student | $18.6 \%$ | $62.4 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ |
| Wo students | $14.3 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| Three or more students | $2.9 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |

> TABLE 9
> iVERAGGE STUCENTS PER HOME

| Students | Mean | Median |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary | .53 | 0 |
| High School | 1.14 | 1 |
| Coilege | .19 | 0 |


#### Abstract

No further investigation was attempted to discover a sionificant difference concerning attendance and number of students in the nome.

Length of church membershio A study concerning the length of church membership showed that the mean was slightly above $16-20$ years for the husbands, while the median was 21-25. The lenath of cnurch membershio for the wives was $16-20$ years, and the median was $26-50$. Further analysis on the ienqth of church membershio is discussed in this chapter under subnvpcthesis six - "Relationship between secondary boarding attendance d Jarentai lenath of church membership." Tatle 10 shows the percenta: $\pm$ in regard to ?enath of church membershio. It should be noted that $: 2.4$ percent of the husbands and 6.2 percent of the wives did not answer this question.


TABLE io
RESPONDENTS' LENGTH OF CHURCH MEMBEPSLID

| Church Membershio | Hustand | Wife |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-5$ years | 7.1 | 5.7 |
| $6-10$ years | 7.1 | 5.2 |
| $11-15$ years | 5.7 | 10.5 |
| $16-20$ years | 7.6 | 7.1 |
| $21-25$ vears | $: 1.0$ | 14.3 |
| $26-50$ years | 39.5 | 50 |
| Not a member | 9.5 | 1 |

```
Family incore level
in regard to family income, the mean was . 77 above the \(513,000-\) 19,000 figure, and the median was \(\$ 13,000\) to 19,000 . Further analysis was done to see if a signıficant difference existed between parents of students attending SDA schools and parents of students not attending SDA schools. The results are shown in this chapter under sub-hypothesis five - "Parental social/economic levels and secondary boarding attendance." It should be noted that the question asked for total family income and did not attempt to qualify a net or gross, nor federal income tax statement figures. The question asked was "Total family income." The percentage for income levels is listed in tacle 11.
```

TABLE II
INCOME LEVELS OF RESPONDENTS

| Income | Percentage of respondents |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0-12,000$ | 22.4 |
| $13,000-19,000$ | $\vdots 6.2$ |
| $20,000-27,000$ | 19 |
| $28,000-35,000$ | 11.4 |
| $36,000-42,000$ | 5.7 |
| $43,000-50,000$ | 2.4 |
| $50,000-$ and up | 8.1 |

Age of Parents
The age of the darents was another factor considered and was also a control variable. The data showed the mean age for the nusband
to te in the $38-45$ ranae with the median being $46-53$. For the wife, it was 38-45 and the median being the same range, 38-45. The percentages are snown in table 12 .

TABLE 12
AGE OF RESPONDENTS

| Aqe | Husband | wife |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $30-37$ years | 3.8 | 11.4 |
| $38-45$ years | 36.7 | 48.6 |
| $16-53$ years | 35.7 | 30 |
| 54 - years and older | 13.8 | 7.1 |
| Jid not respond | 1 | 1.4 |
| Stated that 5 did not apply | 9 | 1.4 |

Further analysis revealed that parents' age was not a significant factor in attendance. The Pearson chi-square value for the husbands was 3.301 and a crobability of .5087 , while the wives' was shi-square value of 5.935 and probatility of .2041 . It was interesting to note that although age was not a significant factor, in some conferences age did strow a different picture. 5or example, in IowaMissouri 50 sercent of those fathers sending their students to the academy were in the $38-45$ aqe bracket, while only 32 percent of fathers who did not send their students were of that age bracket. In
:he Jakota Conference 46 percent of those sending their children were in the $46-53$ age bracket, and 44 percent of those not sending tineir children were 54 years old and older. This indicated that in the Jakota Conference the parents tended to be slder, and the older the father, the more likely he would not send the children to the academy. The 44 percent figure for North Dakota cumpared with a survey percentage of 17 dercent for the same aqe qroud. Table 13 shows the age comDarison while table 14 shows the Dakota conference.

## -ABLE 13

fGE COMPARISON

| Age | -hose Sending Students to SDA righ School | These rot Sending students to SDA H.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30-37 years | 5.17 | 1.15 |
| 38-45 years | 37.07 | 35.63 |
| $16-33$ years | 36.21 | 36.76 |
| 54 - vears and older | $: 2.07$ | 17.24 |

TABLE 14
DAKGTA CONFERENCE AGE COMPARISON (FATHES)

| Age | Pàrents of Attenaing Students | Parents of Non-Attending Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30-37 years | 7.69 | 0 |
| 38-45 years | 15.38 | 22.22 |
| 46-53 years | 46.15 | 33.33 |
| 54 - years and older | 15.38 | 44.44 |

In regard to the wife's age, the mean was the $38-45$ age group and the median was the $38-45$ group. Table 15 gives the dercentages, and table 16 compares all the respondents with the Yebraska and Dakota conferences.
table :5
'WIFE'S AGE (ALL RESPONDENTS)

| Age | 11.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $30-37$ years | 48.6 |
| $38-45$ years | 30 |
| $46-53$ years | 7.1 |
| $54-$ years and older | 1.4 |
| Does not apply |  |

TABLE 16
WIFE'S AGE

|  | Age | Have At tending Students | Have No Altendige Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entire Survey Results | 30-37 years | 10.43 | 10.34 |
|  | 38-45 years | 52.17 | 47.13 |
|  | 46-53 years | 30.43 | 31.03 |
|  | 54 - years and older | 4.35 | 11.49 |
| Nebraska | 30-3/ years | 6.25 | 18.75 |
|  | 38-45 years | 62.50 | 50.00 |
|  | 46-53 years | 25.00 | 25.00 |
|  | 54 - years and older | 3.13 | 6.25 |
| Daknta | 30-37 years | 15.38 | 0 |
|  | 38-45 years | 30.71 | 37.50 |
|  | 46-53 years | 53.85 | 37.50 |
|  | 54 - years and older | 0 | 25.00 |

```
    -us: as with the father's age, tne Jakota conferenc= had a
nigher percentace of older wives, and the older the wife, the less
iikely the cnild will atiend the bcaraing school.
```


## Church Attendance

```
Another variable that was investiaated was the influence of churcn attendance by the parents upon academy attendance. Table 17
```



``` later in the chapter under sub-hypothesis three - "Non-atterjance and church non-attendance."
```

TABLE 17

## CHURCH ATTENDA.NCE

| Husband | Wife <br> $\%$ <br> $\%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Neekly | 65.7 | 33.3 |
| Monthly | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Seldom | 3.1 | 6.7 |
| iotatall | 9.6 | 2.9 |
| Joes not apply | -1.4 | 1.4 |

The data indicated that wives attend church more often and that more husbands than wives do not attend church at all, 3.6 percent to 2.9 percent.

```
                    Mon-respundents
    An attemet was made to determine ;f those who did not respond
to the survey were significantly different from those who did partic-
ipate in the survey. The total number of names of garents selected
:o particioate were 339; however, 24 surveys could not be delivered,
so the net sample was 315. Of the 315 surveys, 232 responded,leaving
3j who did not respond.
    Using the }83\mathrm{ who did not respond, and the 171 that were not
deliverable, a sedarate analysis was conducted, using the informa-
tion furnished on the census cards. Data concerning the father's
church membershis, mother's church membership, and student's schocl
atiendance was used For comparison DurDoses. These figures are given
in tables 13 - 27 and the ajpropriate chi-square analysis is snown.
```

                                    -AELE 18
    VORI-RESPONDENT OATA

| Conference | O! | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rocky Mountain | $\vdots 9$ | 39 |
| Sowa-Miss $\cdot \cdots i$ | 26 | 26 |
| Kansas-Nebraska | 22 | 22 |
| Jakota | 5 | 5 |
| Minnesota | 8 | 3 |
| TOTALS | $100(N)$ | 100 |

[^0]TABLE:9
NON-RESPONDENT CHURCH MEMBERSHI?


TABLE 20
NON-RESPONDENT MARITAL STATUS

| Marital Status | (V) | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Married | 79 | 79 |
| Jivorced/separated | 16 | 16 |
| No information | 5 | 5 |
| OTALS | $100(\mathrm{~N})$ | 100 |

TAELE 21
NOI'-RESPONDENT STUDENTS' SCHOOL ATTENDANCE

| Scheci Àtendarice | $(N)$ | Perce tage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SDA scrool | 30 | 30 |
| Non-SDA school | 44 | 44 |
| No information | 26 | 26 |
| C-4L | $100(N)$ | 100 |

TABLE 22
SURVEYED \& NON-SURVEYED HUSBANDS

-ASLE 23
SURVEYEJ \& NON-SURVEYED WIVES

| Wives | Seventh-day Advertists | Non-Seventh-day Adventists | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-surveyed | A | 93 | 7 | 100 |
| Surveyed | $C$ | 217 | 2 | 219 |
| TOTAL | 310 | 9 | 319 |  |
| $V^{2}=100$ |  |  |  |  |
| $N^{2}=219$ |  |  |  |  |
| $Z^{2}=9.277$ |  |  |  |  |
| dT $=1$ |  |  |  |  |
| Critical value $=2.71$ |  |  |  |  |

-ABLE 24
student attendance

| Students | SDA School | Non-SDA School | -otal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-surveyed families' <br> student atiencance | A | 30 | B |
| Surveyed families <br> student attendance | C | 115 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 145 | 86 | 74 |

$x^{2}=5.7975$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{i}$
Critical value $=2.71$

## -ABLE 25

```
CHURCH MEMBERSHIP OF FATHEPS OF NON-ATTENDING STUDENTS
    SURVEY VERSUS NON-SURVEY
```


$x^{2}=: 2.265$
$\mathrm{df}=1$
Critical ralue $=2.71$
-ABLE 26

```
CHIPCH MEMBEPS:HIP OF MOTHERS OF NON-ATTENDING STUDENTS
    SURVEV VEPSUS NON-SIJRYEY
```

| Wives | Seventh-day Adventists | Non-Seventh-day Adventists |  | Tota? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Von-surveyed | A 93 | 5 | 7 | 100 |
| Surveyed | C 80 | D | 2 | 82 |
| TOTAL | 173 |  | 9 | 182 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=1.994 \\ & d f=1 \\ & \text { Critical valu } \end{aligned}$ | $=2.71$ |  |  |  |

ABLE 27
SCHUCL $A^{-T} E N C A N C E$ BY YOUTH GF SURVEVEE PARENTS

| Student Attendance | (N)! | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| SDA boarding schoois | 75 | 62 |
| Day academies | 25 | 21 |
| junior academies | 5 | 4 |
| SDA self-supporting | 4 | 3 |
| Other iypes of SDA schools | 4 | 3 |
| A combination of above | 9 | 7 |
| TOTAL | 122 (N) |  |

The analvsis indicated that there was a significant difference between the oarents who responded to the survey and the Darents who did not respond in the area of church membershio and student attendance at an SOA school. Anaiysis of sub-hypothesis 3 showed Shat there was a significant reiationshio of non-church attendance to attendance at an SDA scnool. The figures indicated that the relationshio cf church attendance and SDA school attendance were similar. The higher the percentage of non-membershid or non-attendance, the lower was the dercentage of SOA school attendance (sub-hypothesis 3, p. 79-81).
it seemed logical to assume that if those who did not return their survey have a higher dercentage of non-membershio, the survey was not returned because the commitment to the church and its educaticn system was not as strong as those who are members and attend more
frequently. Therefore, or the purnose of this study, it was assumed that the attitudes of the non-respondent parents would not alter the hypothesis testing but would rather in=ensify the findings. The nonrespondents would reinforce the attitudes of those not sending their young people to SCA schools. It was felt that the non-respondents did not represent a third category that would alter the study if their attitudes could have been obtaineo.

## 3oarding-School Attendance

in 1980 there was 36.88 percent of the secondary-school attendance in boarding school. The present survey showed that 65.22 Jercent of the families who send their children to SDA schools Flace them in SDA boarding schools. The figures from appendix $A$ show that there were 1511 students in SDA senior academies and 1251 cf those were in boarding schools, or 33 percent. This survey showed that 36.41 dercent of the total families have their children in a SSA boarding school, and 65.22 percent of those sending them to a SDA niah school are in a boardina school.

As has alreadv been stated in chapter 3 , the data were analyeed from at least two standroints. A chi square was done comparing garents of students attending SDA high school with parents of students not attending SDA hish schools. A second comparison compared parents of students attending SDA boarding academies and parents of students attendina cther SDA schools, private, and putic hish schools. When the two analyses were compared, it was found that though the numbers differed, the acceptance or rejection of the hypcthesis was identical. in most cases the vercentages were not significantly altered. The

```
analysis showed ine greatest difference existed between carents of
s:udents attencing SDA scmcols and parents of students who are not
attending SNA schools. For the surpose of the study, the comparison
of parents will be those datronizing SDA schoois versus those not
Datronizing SDA schools. When the boarding versus non-boarding
attendance differs with basic chi-square analysis, the second chi-
square analysis has been presented.
```


## Review of Hypotheses

It was the ourpose of this study to determine if a relationshis existed between Seventh-day Adventist boarding-school attendance and parental attitudes toward the boarding academy. it was hypothesized that there was no significant relationshis between the decline of enrollment of the secondary boarding schools and the attitudes of parents toward the secondary boarding schools.

The sub-hypotheses studied were:
Sub-hyoothes 1 -- "hers is no significant difference in attitude toward the secordary boardina school between parents of studer-s attending SDA higin schools and perents of stucents not atterding SDA high schools.

Sub-nyoothesis 2 -- There is no significant relationsnio setween non-attendance and the cost of secondary boarding school.

Sç-hypothesis 3-- There is no sianificant relationshio between secondary boarding non-attendance and parental church non-attendance.

Sud-hydothesis 4 -- There is no sianificant relationship between parental socio-economic levels and secondary boarding non-attendance.

Sub-nypothesis 5 -- ihere is no significant relationship between secondary boarding attendance and oarental length of church membershio.

# Su0-hvpothesis o -- There is no sigrificant relationshio between the sezoncary boardina attencance and the parental perceution of the school's fulfillment of its uniaueness or mission. <br> Sub-hypothesis 7 -- There is no significant difference between parents of non-attending student and attendinc student concerning the dormitory concedt. 

Analysis of Stated Hypotheses

Sub-hypothesis 1
Hypothesis 1 stated that there is no significant difference in attitude toward the secondary boarding school between parents of students aitending Adventist schools and parer.ts of students not attending Adventist schools. $\overline{0} 0$ test this hypothesis, nineteen guestions were asked that would determine if there was a significant difference. Some of these questions were also used to test other aspects of attitude. In testina this hypothesis, the questions were stated and the total tally was civen to show the over-all reactions. The statistical data concerning darents of attending SDA students have been compared to those of varents of non-attending SDA students and is shown in tables 28 - 31.

TABLE 23

## NuMber of elementary students in home



TABLE 29
YUMEER OF HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS IN HOME


TABLE 3

NUMBER OF COLLEGE-AGE STUDENTS IN HOME

| Students | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Tally } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $⿳$ | Parents of Attending Students |  | Parents of nonAttending Students | $\because$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 125 | 62 | 73 | 631 | 52 | 60 |
| 1 | 52 | 31 | 33 | $20:$ | 29 | 34 |
| 2 | 12 | 61 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 or more | - 3 | I 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTASS | ! 202 | 1001 | $\because 16$ | 1001 | 86 | 100 |

TABLE 31
CHI SQUARE ANALYSIS OF NUMEER OF YOUTH BY SCHOOL CATEGORY IN HOME

| Students | Chi square value | df | Probability |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary | 4.329 | 3 | .1848 |
| High schoc' | 1.012 | 2 | .6030 |
| College | 1.020 | 3 | .7965 |

'lo sianificant difference was found to exist in any of the three variad les. However, it should be noted that 68 percent of the Darents of attencing students (table 28 ) had no elementary children, as compared to 57 jercent of the parents of non-attending children. This factor could have an effect upon the financial feasibility to Finance the older student in boarding academy. But when the figures For parents of boarding-schooi students were considered, it showed that 65 percent of non-boarding school oarents had no elementary-age children, compared with ol Dercent of parents of doarding-school studerts.

Analysis was also done comparing the number cf school-age children in homes of Darents of attending SOA students and nonattending SDA students, using conferences as controls. Tables 32 35 show that some conferences had siqnificant differences concerning the elementary-and high-school age groups.
-ABLE 32
ELEMENTARY DARENTS OF NON-ATTENDING STUDENTS BY CONFERENCES

| Students | Rocky Mt. | $\because$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { lowa } \\ \text { Mo. } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{c}{\infty}$ | Kans. Neb. | $\stackrel{\%}{*}$ | Dak. | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | Minn. | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 14 | 70 | 18 | 58 | 8 | 50 | 3 | 38 | 7 | 58 |
| 1 | 4 | 20 | 8 | 26 | 4 | 25 | : | 12 | 4 | 33 |
| 2 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 9 |
| 3 or more | 0 | 0 | : | 3 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 20 | 100 | 31 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 8 | 100 | 12 | 100 |
| $\bar{x}^{2}=19.997 \quad$ df $=12 \quad$ Probability $=$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 33
EIEme:tary parents uf attending jtudents by conference

| Students | Rocky Mt. | * | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iowa } \\ & \text { Mo. } \end{aligned}$ | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kans } \\ & \text { Neb } \end{aligned}$ | \% | Dak. | \% | Minn. | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 32 | 91 | 9 | 56 | 19 | 59 | 10 | 77 | 9 | 50 |
| ! | 11 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 7 | 22 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 22 |
| 2 | 2 | 61 | 4 | 25 | 6 | 19 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 22 |
| 3 or more | こ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | : | 6 |
| Potals | 35 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 32 | 100 | 13 | 100 | 18 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=19.48 \\ & \text { if }=12 \\ & \text { Probabilit } \end{aligned}$ | $=.0776$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 34
-IGH SCHONL PARENTS OF NON-ATTENDING STUDENT BY CONFERENCE

| Students | Rocky Mt. | Iowa Mo. | $\because$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kans. } \\ \text { Neb. } \end{gathered}$ | $\because$ | Dak. | : | Minn. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| : | 15 : 75 | 23 | 77 | 10 | 63 | 6 | 75 | 4 | 36 |
| 2 | 4 : 20 | 6 | 20 | 5 | 31 | 2 | 25 | 6 | 55 |
| 3 or more | 1 51 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| TOTALS | $20: 100$ | 30 | 100 | 16 | : 100 ! | 8 | 100 | 11 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=7.354 \\ & d f=8 \\ & \text { Probability } \end{aligned}$ | $=.4989$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

tABLE 35
HIGH SCHOOL PGRENTS OF ATTENGING ST:JDENTS BY CONFERENCE

| Students | $\begin{array}{l:l} \text { Rocky Mt. } & \text { Iowa/Mo. } \\ (N) \end{array}$ |  |  | $\text { Kanc. }_{\text {vi }} \text { 'Veb. }$ |  | Dakota (N) |  | Minnesota <br> ( $N$ ) : |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 19 \| 56 | 8 | 50 | 25 | 78 | 11 | 85 | 7 | 41 |
| 2 | 14 41 | 6 | 38 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 41 |
| 3 or more | 13 | 2 | $: 2$ | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 |
| -jtals | $34 \quad 100$ | 16 | 100 | 32 | 100 | 13 | 100 | 17 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=15.433 \\ & d f=8 \\ & \text { Probability }=.0513 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The analysis showed the Dakota Conference had a high sercentage of $\operatorname{varents~of~attending~students~with~only~one~nian-school-age~}$ student ser family, while Minnesota had a hig̣h percentace of so students ser famity, 41 jercent and 18 vercent of three or more per family. These fiqures could indicate a financial ornolem for Minnesota parents because of more hiah-school students per family. The figures for college students der family did not adoear to be siani"icant or valuable.

Attitudes concerning work and work program
?uestions $=16,=23,=43,=44$.
=16. 'SDA boarding schools are different because the students aro taught the ralue of labor througn 3 work program."
$=23$. $\qquad$
:43. "Studerts earr value of labor from work program."
=14. 'Studerits hive acequate opportunity to work."

The chi-square test of significance is shown in tabies $36-39$
For tie questions on attitudes toward the work and work program.

TABLE 36
JUESTION =16
'SDA boarding schoole are different because the students are tauaht the value of labor through a work proaram."

| Question $=16$ | Darents of Attending Students | \% | Parents of nonAttending Students | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 38 | 34 | 16 | 20 |
| Agree | 49 | 44 | 44 | 54 |
| Neutral | 21 | 191 | 10 | 12 |
| Jisagrea | 2 | 21 | $\bigcirc$ | 11 |
| Stroncly disagree | 2 | 11 | 3 | 3 |
| TOTALS | $\because 12$ | 100 | 82 | 100 |

```
x 2 = 13.473
\sigmaf = 4
Procatility = .0092
```

TAELE 37
DUESTION $\begin{gathered}\text { 2 } \\ \text { 2 }\end{gathered}$
"Dissatisfaction with lack of work opportunity."

| Question \#¢3 | Parents of Attending Students | \% | Parents of nonAttending Students | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not a reason | 54 | 48 | 47 | 56 |
| Partly a reason | 42 | 37 | 21 | 31 |
| A strong reason | 17 | ¢ 15 | 11 | 13 |
| Totals | :13 | ! 100 | 84 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=2.295 \\ & d f=2 \\ & \text { Drotation }=.5235 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 38
DUESTION $\ddagger 43$
"Students learn value of labor from work program."

| Ouestion 43 | Parents of Attending Studerits | $\stackrel{*}{3}$ | Parents of nonAttending Students | $\because$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doing an excellent job | 27 | 23 | $: 7$ | 21 |
| Doing an adequate job | 54 | 47 | 38 | 47 |
| Neutral | 20 | 18 | 15 | 18 |
| Making an attempt, but not adecuate | 11 | 10 | 6 | 7 |
| A failure | 2 | 2 | 6 | 7 |
| totals | 114 | 100 | 82 | 100 |

$x^{2}=4.126$
$a f=4$
Probability = . 3893

TABLE 39
OUESTION $=44$
"Studenさs have adequate opportunity to work."


When comparisons were made concerning the work program, there was no significant difference except in Question 16 . However, the difference shown in Juestion $: 6$ could be a distinction of perception of how parents view the SDA boarding schools being different from public schcols. Those parents sending their youth believed there was a difference while those not sending do not see a difference.

It was encouraging to note that the parents upheld the concept of a work jrogram, but they were not positive concerning how much work was availatle. Twenty-nine percent of parents of non-attending students felt the work orogram was not adequate and 31 percent of

Eareris of $\mathrm{e}^{-t}$ Ending students did not feel it was adequate. when the Darents of boardinc-school students were compared to parents of non-joarding-scnoo? students, shere was a significant difference shown on Question $=44$. iwenty-five percent of the parents of non-boarding students felt the opportunity for work was lacking, and 37 percent of parents wnose children did attend believed the work opportunities were lacking. Table 40 reveals the boarding versus non-boarding "iqures.

| ```-AR:E 4] OUESTION =44 "Students have adequate jDDortunity to work."``` |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vuestion $=64$ | Boardin Schoo? Parents | $\because$ | Non-boarding School Parents | $\stackrel{*}{\square}$ |
| Doing an excellent job | 17 | 14 | 16 | 21 |
| Doing an adequate | 47 | 38 | 23 | 30 |
| Veutral | 28 | 23 | $\square$ | 12 |
| ```Making an attempt, tut not c..equate A failure``` | $\frac{23}{3} 25$ | $E: 9$ | $\frac{24}{4} 37$ | $\frac{32}{5}$ |
| -otals | 123 | ${ }^{1} 100$ | 76 | 100 |
| ```x}=8.75 df = 4 Probability = . }067``` |  |  |  |  |
| Attitudes concerning faculty |  |  |  |  |
| Dues:ions $=17,=24$, and $=41$. |  |  |  |  |

```
Eeaこh by their Christian example."
```

    =24. "Dissatisfaction with supervision of students."
    41. "Christian faculty cive positive guidance and counsel."
    Analysis done concerning how parents viewed the faculty and the job they were doing showed there was no significant difference. Again the only question that showed a difference was a question involving the uniqueness of the boarding school from other types of educztion and why garents sent their youth to SDA boarding schools. when comparing the boarding-school parents versus non-boerding Jarents, a significant difierence did not hold up. Support for these Eindings is given in tables 41-43.

## TABLE 41

YUESTION $=17$
"SDA boardina schools are different vecause the faculty teach by their Christian example."

| Juestion $=17$ | Parents of Attending Students |  | Parents Ji nonAttendina Stucents | : |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 28 | 25 | 11 | $: 4$ |
| Agree | 59 | 53 | 40 | 40 |
| Neutral | 18 | 16 i | 21 | 26 |
| Disagree and stronoly disagree | 7 | $5:$ | 9 | $\therefore 1$ |
| -GTALS | 112 | 1001 | 31 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & r^{2}=5.732 \\ & d f=3 \\ & \text { Orodability } .08: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 12
OUESTIC: $=24$
"Jissatisfaction with supervision of students."

| Question $=24$ | Attending St | $\because$ | Non-Attending St.jents | : |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vot a reason | 16 | 41 | 42 | 51 |
| Partiya reason | 42 | 38 | 23 | 28 |
| A strong reascn | 24 | 22 | 17 | 21 |
| -otals | $: 12$ | 100 | 82 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2^{2}=2.343 \\ & d^{f}=2 \\ & \text { Erotabilut: }= \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

TAE_E 43
QUESTIOR =41
"Ch:istian faculty give positive guidance and counsel."

| 2ues ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - $n=11$ | A+- andina Students | - | Non-r̂ttendirj Students | V |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doina excellent $\therefore$ ot | 30 | 2. | :3 | 22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doina acequate } \\ & \text { job } \end{aligned}$ | 49 | 43 | 31 | ころ |
| Neutral | $\bigcirc 4$ | 121 | $: 9$ | 23 |
| Makina attemet. but not adequate | $: 7$ | :5 | 10 | $\vdots 2$ |
| A failure | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Totals | 113 | 1001 | 32 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=4.962 \\ & d f=4 \\ & \text { Probability }= \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

```
ittizudes conc+:ninc currir.u!um and acaderrics
    Zues:ior.s=13,=2,=34, =42.
    =13. "SDA boarding schools are different because they teach
        subjects from a Christian point of view."
    =22. Jissatisfaction with academic quality."
    =34. "I believe the SDA seconcary boarding schools are equal
        or better than oublic high schoois academically."
    =42. "Students le\Xirn academic subjects from Christian doint
        of view."
    Tables 44 - 49 sh.ow the tabulations, percentages, and chi-
square analysis for the questions concerninq curriculum and academics.
TABLE 44
QUESTION \(=19\)
'SDA boarding schools are different because they teach subjerts from a Christian poinc of view."
```



TABLE :5
QUESTION $\$ 22$
"Dissatisfaction :ith academic quality."

| Ouestion $=22$ | Boardina Studerts | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | Non-Boarding Stucents | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 19 | 25 | 20 | 15 |
| Agree | 38 | 51 | 65 | 53 |
| Neutral | 14 | 19 | 26 | 21 |
| Disagree and Strongly disagree. | 4 | 5 | 12 | 10 |
| -otals | 75 | 1001 | 123 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=3.258 \\ & d f=3 \\ & \text { Probabitity }= \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 46
QUESTION:22
"Dissatisfaction with academic qualizy."

| Question 22 | Parents of Attending Students | * | Parents of nonAttending Students | : |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not a reason | 74 | 65 | 55 | 67 |
| Partly a reason | 25 | 22 | 17 | 21 |
| A strong reason | 14 | 13 | 10 | 12 |
| TOTALS | 113 | 100 | 82 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=.062 \\ & \mathrm{df}=2 \\ & \text { Probability }=.0693 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 47
OUESTION $\ddagger 34$
"I be? ieve the SDA secondary boarding schools are equal or betier than public high schools academically."

| Duestion $=34$ | Parents of Àtenaing Studer.ts | $\stackrel{*}{3}$ | Parents of nor:Attending Students | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 36 | 32 | 10 | $\vdots 2$ |
| Agree | 47 | 41 | 39 | 46 |
| Neutral | 15 | 13 | 20 | 24 |
| Disagree | 11 | 10 | 8 | 10 |
| Strongly Cisagree | 5 | 4 | 7 | 8 |
| TOTALS | 114 | 100 | 84 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=12.707 \\ & \text { df }=4 \\ & \text { 3robability }=.0129 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

> TABLE 18 CUES:SN $=42$

| Question ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Attenaing Students | \% | Non-Attending Students | : |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doing excellent job | 30 | 26 | 20 | 25 |
| Doing adequate job | 63 | 55 | 41 | 51 |
| Neutral | :0 | 9 | :3 | 16 |
| Makina attempt but not adequate | 11 | 10 | 7 | 9 |
| A fiture | 0 | 0 | 0 | C |
| TOTALS | 114 | 100 | 81 | 100 |

$x^{2}=2.419$
$\mathrm{df}=3$
Probability $=.4902$

Concerning the question of how darents viewed the curriculum and acaderic quajity, the data indicated that there was no significant difference in the concept of teaching from a Christian viewpoint. 3oth groups agreed that the boarding schoc?s were doing an adenuate job teaching subjects from a Christian viewpoint. On Ouestion $=34$ concerning how well the boarding schools were doing in the academics, chere was a significant difference. When the responses were andyzed, it appeared that the parents of non-attending students did not believe or disbeiieve the boarding schools were inferior, but rather they were neutral. This may indicate that they were unsure or had no basis to make an evaluation. This point could become an important
factor, for if the parents of non-attending students dc not know the acacemic auality or even distrust it, they are not likely to send their young people. It was also interesting to note that in the analysis of boarding versus non-boarding students, tables 45,49 , the significance disapreared and the percentage for agreement with the auestion grew while the neutral percentage declined.

TABLE :9
TUESTION $\ddagger 34$
"I believe the SDA secondary boarding schools are equal or better than oublic hign schools academically."


```
x
ff=4
-mbability .i261
```

Attitudes =oncorninc basic Christiar education
The riext varianle to be consiaered involved Ouestions $=27$ and =33. Juestion $=27$ broadly asked the importance of Christian education while Duestion $=33$ narrowed the decision regarding boarding s.hocl. Eacn question was lonked at individually and then a compariSon made to see if there was a difference between obtaining a Christian education and sending students to a boarding school. The results of the analyses are found in tables 50-52.
=27. "Christian education at a SDA high school is nice bu: not necessar: for my children's education."
=33. "rf a chcice wero to be maje, $!$ would put my hich-school-age student in public school ather than in a jDA boarding school."

> TASLE 50
> JUESTION $=27$
'Christian education at $\exists$ SDA riah scneni is nice but not necessary "or ny crificren's education.'

$x^{2}=42.909$
If $=4$
Probability $=.0000$

TABLE 51
OUESTION $=33$
$\because:$ a choice were : 0 be made, I would Dut my high school-age student in suolic scrool rather tnan in a SDA boarding school."

| Question 33 | Parents of Attending Students | \% | Parents of nonAttending Students | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strong'y agree | 1 | 1 | 13 | 16 |
| Agree | 2 | 2 | 11 | 14 |
| Neutral | 11 | 10 | 11 | 14 |
| Disagree | 43 | 39 ! | 32 | 39 |
| Strongiy disas-ee | 53 | 48 | 14 | 17 |
| -c.ais | 110 | 100 | 81 | 1100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=57.288 \\ & 1 f=4 \\ & \text { Probability }=.0000 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

-ABLE $5 ?$
Comaarison of those tisagreeing in Questions 27 and 33)

| Duestions | Parents of ittenaing stucents |  | Parents of nonAttending Students |  |  | ota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Question $=27$ |  | 97 |  | 36 |  | 133 |
| Question ${ }^{\text {a }} 3$ | c | 96 | D | 46 |  | $14^{-}$ |
| TCTALS |  | 193 |  | 82 |  | 2.5 |
| $\mathrm{x}^{2}=.78$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d \mathrm{f}=1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eritical valu |  |  |  |  |  |  |

These two uuestions revealed that a difference did not exist in the question of whether to send students to a boarding schocl or receive a non-boarding SOA high school education. The data indicated the difference lay in whether Christian education was necessary for the child. Boarding school then became an option for accomplishing that desire.

The analysis of sub-hypothesis one revealed that there was no significant difference in attitudes toward the boarding academy between parents of students attending SDA nigh schoois and parents of students attending non-SDA high schools in the areas of the teachers and faculty. There was a significant differenre in how the academic quality was perceived. It was one area tha: could prove to be an important difference regarding attendance.

Sub-hypothesis 2
Sub-hypothesis two stated that "There is no significant relationshio between non-attendance and the cost of secondary boarding school." Juestions $=20,=31,=35$, and $=36$ have seen analyzed to iest :he hypotinesis and are listed in tables 53-56.
$=20$. "The expense is too great."
=31. II want to send my children to SDA secondary boarding schools, but i can not afford it."
$=35$.
"I can afford the tuition of SDA secondary boarding schools."
=36. "I am willing $=0$ enroll my children in SDA secondary toarding schools even if the family must make financial sacrifices."

```
    TABLE 53
    ZUESTION =20
"rhe expense is too great."
```




```
x 2 = 11.624
df = 4
Probability = . 0204
```

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TASLE } \equiv 5 \\ & \text { OUESTION }=35 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "! can afford the tuition of SDA secondary boarding schools." |  |  |  |  |
| Question $=35$ | zarents Attendino S |  | Parents of nonAttending Students | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |
| Strongly agree | 7 | 6 | 1 | ! |
| tgree |  | 32 | 12 | 15 |
| Neutral | 19 | 17 | 3 | 10 |
| Disagree | 30 | 26 | 32 | 41 |
| Strongly disaoree | 21 | 19 | 26 | 33 |
| TOTALS | 113 | 100 | 79 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=16.061 \\ & \text { df }=- \\ & \text { Probability }=.0029 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | -AB |  |  |  |
| ". am willing ts enroll my children in SDA secondary boarding schools even if the family must make financial sacrifices." |  |  |  |  |
| Question $=36$ | Parents ofAttending Students $;$Parents of non- <br> A.ttending students |  |  |  |
| Strongly agree | 37 | 33 | $\sigma$ | 8 |
| Aarce | 58 | 521 | 21 | 27 |
| Veutral | 14 | 12 ! | 25 | 32 |
| Jisagree | 2 | $2!$ | 12 33* | 15 |
| Strongly disagree | : | 1 | 14 | 18 |
| cotals | 112 | : 000 | 78 | 100 |
| $x^{2}=56.9<9$ | $\mathrm{df}=4 \quad \mathrm{P}$ |  | Probability $=.0000$ |  |

Juas:ion 2 20, iatle 53, indicated that both groups acreed Shat they believed that the expense of the boarding academy was a strong reason why many parerits did not send their children. The other three questions, $=31,=35$, and $=36$, supported tnat belief. Question $=31$, table 54 , indicated that 47 percent of the non-attendinq parenes would iike to send their young people but could not =fford it. It was interesting that 28 percent of those parents already sending their chilaren wanted to but could not afford it. Uuestion $=35$, $=$ able 55 , showed that 16 percent of those nonattending could afford it but did not shcose to send them. Forty percent of those attending eelieved thev could afford it while 45 Dercent deiieve :ney could not. Question $=36$, table 56, reveçled that even if the finances were heavy, 86 jercent of those attending would sacrifice to nave their children attend while only 35 percent of those non-atterding would be willing to sacrifice.

The analysis of the data showed there was a relationship between :he cost of secondary boarding schools and the attendance by the stucents. However, there was also indication that even in homes where the Financial burden was heavy, some parents were willing to make a financial sacrifice, wile o:hers were not. Only 3 percent of those sending their young people were not willing to make financial sacrifices, wnile 33 vercent of thcse not attending were not willing to make sacrifices.
-able 57 compares sarents of SDA non-boarding students with parents of boarding students. It shows that the percentages were very much alike excent for nuestion $=31$.

```
    TABLE 57
    PARE:- -F SDA NON-BOAPDING VERSUS PARENTS OF BOARDING
```

| Duestion 3 31 | Parents of non3oarding Students | Parents cf Boarding Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agree | 34 | 47 |
| Neutral | 21 | 25 |
| Disagree | 44 | 28 |
| Juestion $=35$ |  |  |
| Agree | 14 | $\therefore 0$ |
| Veutral | $\ni$ | 17 |
| Disagree | 47 | 15 |
| Question $=36$ |  |  |
| Agree | 33 | 85 |
| Veutral | 15 | $: 2$ |
| こisagree | 2 | 3 |

Sub-hypothesis 3
Suכ-hypotheris three stated the: "There is no significant relationshid between secondary boarding non-attendance and parental cnuren non-attendance." To test this hypothesis, Questions $\Rightarrow 7$ and s8 have been analyzed and are shown in tables 58-60.
$\ddagger$. 'Husband's SDA church attendance."
3. "Wife's SDA church attenaance."

TABLE 58
OUESTICH =?
"Husband's SDA church attendance."

| Attendance | Parents of 1ttending Students |  | Parents of nonittending Studen*s | $\because$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekly | 90 | 76 | 43 | 51 |
| Monthly | $\pm$ | 31 | 5 | 5 |
| Seldor | 5 | 4 | 12 | 14 |
| Net at a! | 5 | 1 . | 13 | 15 |
| Joes not apdy | 12 | 111 | 12 | 14 |
| TOTALS | 115 | 100! | 85 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=18.325 \\ & d f=1 \\ & \text { Probability }=.0009 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 59
OUESTION $\leq 8$
"Wife's SDA church attendance."


TABLE 60
CUESTION =7
"Husband's SDA church attendance."

| Atterdance | Soarsing Parents | - | All Other Darents | $\because$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekly | 58 | 76 | 80 | 52 |
| Monthly | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Seidor | 5 | 7 | $\vdots 2$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Not at a! | 3 | ! | 15 | 11 |
| Does not adoly | 7 | 9 | $: 7$ | 13 |
| TOTALS | ; 76 | 100 | 130 | 100 |
| $x^{2}=.5799$ | $d f=4$ |  | robability = . 2146 |  |


#### Abstract

When the hypornesis testing was considered, there was a signiFicant reiationship between boarding attendance and parental cnurcn attendance. As might be expected, faithful church attendance did snow a significant difference in whether the child attended SDA schools or did not attend. However, when those data were compered with the boarding or non-boarding results, the significance of the husband's attendance disappeared while the wife's attendance still was significant.


This may indicate that the father's part in the decision regarding SDA scnooi attendance was not on a par with the mother's. This assumetion received support from Question $=11$ which indicated Ehat the mother and student were the most influencial in whether the student attenced the boardinc academy. The father's input was less.

## QUESTION $=11$ PERCENTAGES

```
Father's influence 20
Mother's influence 32.5
Student's inflaence 32.5
All other influenses \5
```

```
Eub-hypothesis 4
Sub-hypnthesis four stated that "There is no significant rela-
tionsnip between Darental socio-economic ievels and secondary boarding
non-atiendance." Tj tes# this hypothesis, Juestion #4 was used =0
ascertain family incme levels. The question asked, "My total family
income is approximately . . ." The analysis is shown in tajles 61-63.
```

TABLE 6！
SOCIO－ECDNOMIC LEVELう

| Income（year） | Parents of Attending Students | Parents of non－ Attending Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s 000－12，000 | $23: 20$ | 24 ： 28 |
| 13，000－19，000 | $35688^{\circ}$ | 19 75 22 |
| 20，000－27，000 | 19 16 | $21 \quad 25$ |
| 2؟，000－35，000 | $\vdots 2 \quad i 11$ | $11 \quad 13$ |
| 36，000－42，000 | 7 19： 6 | $5 \quad 20 \sqrt{6}$ |
| 43．000－50．000 | $3 \quad 3$ | 1 |
| 5C．000－and us | $: 312$ | 5 |
| 「ごらい | $: 12 \quad 100$ | 85 100 |
| $r^{2}=3.100$ | 6 Probatility $=.2309$ |  |

－ABLE 62
QUESTION＝ 4
Mv total family income is adcroximately ．．．＂ Boarding versus Non－boarding （Row and Coiumn Percentages）


TABLE 63
JUESTION 4
"My total family income is ajproximately . . ." Attendin= SJA Schoois versus non-Atiending Row and Column Percentages)

| Income (year: | Attending |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Attenaing } \\ & \text { Row Column (: ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Row | Column ( 1 ) |  |  |
| ; 000-12,000 | 49 | 20 | 51 | 28 |
| 13,000-19,000 | 65 | 32 | 35 | 22 |
| 20,000-27,000 | 46 | 16 | 54 | 25 |
| 28,000-35,000 | 52 | :1 | - 0 | 13 |
| 36,000-42,000 | 58 | 5 | -? | 5 |
| 13,000 - 50,000 | 75 | 3 | 25 | 1 |
| 50,000 - and io | IE | 12 | 24 | 5 |
| -OTALS | 56 | :00 | 43 | $: 00$ |

The analysis revealed that there was no difference regarding the socio-economic levels of the Darents. Seventh-five percent of tine parents of ron-attending students were in the 5000 to $\$ 27,000$ aroud, as conDared with 68 percent of the parents of attending stucents. When the nigher categories, $528,000-\$ 50,000$, were considered, it was very even, 20 to i9 Dercent. However, there were more darents of the 550,000 and above with children attending SDA schools than not attendina.

This analysis was in harmony with the finding for subhypcthesis 2 reaarding cost and attendance. Though there was a slịht difference, the nypothesis tes:ing for socis-economic was not significant.

## Eub-hvocthesis 5

Sun-nypothesis ive stated that "There is no significant
relationship between serondary boarcing 三ttencance and length of narental cnurcn nemoersnij." Juestion $=3$ was used to test this 7ypothesis.
=3. "Iength of SDA menbership, husband - wife."
The data showed that both the fathers' and mothers' length of membershid was a significant factor of the student attending a SDA school. it also proved to be significant in the boarding versus nor-boarding analysis. It should be noted that the greatest oifference was found in membership lenath of $0-15$ vears, where the cercentages of non-attending were 35 percent and for attending were 16 دercent. For those of 26 - 50 vears, it was 30 percent of non¥ttending and 55 vercent for attending. This may indicate thet the nev members did not have the same conviction on Christian educatior. or did not have the concept of Christian education oresented fully to them.

Tables 64-57 show the chi-square comparison of church merbersnip between the two groups.

TABLE 64
FATHER'S CHiRCH MEMBERSHIP

|  | Parents of non- Parents of <br> Attendira Students Attendina Studen $\pm 5$ |  |  |  | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-jDA members | A | 11 | B | 9 | 20 |
| SDA members | 0 | 61 | D | 29 | 159 |
| TOTALS |  | 72 |  | $\because 07$ | 179 |

```
x
of = 1
Critical value = 2.71
```

```
        -ABIE 65
    EATHER'S IENGTH OF SHIJRCH MEMBERSHIP
```

| Lengrh | Parents of Attending Students (i) | Parents of nonAttending Students <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-5 years | 3 3 | $11 \quad 15$ |
| 6 - 10 years | $16 \% 66$ | 35, 913 |
| 11-15 years | 7 | 5 i |
| 16-20 years | 21.3 | 20: - 710 |
| 21-25 years | 15014 | 10 |
| 26-50 years | $59 \quad 55$ | 2230 |
| Vot a member | 3 | $11 \quad 15$ |
| -gials | :07 | 72100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2=i 9.483 \\ & \text { if }=5 \\ & \text { Drobability } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

TABLE 66
MOT:ER'S LENGTH OF CHLRCH MEMBERSHIF

| Senath | Darents of Attending Students (N) | Darents of nonAttending Students iN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-5$ years | 1 | $10 \quad 12$ |
| 6 - 10 years | $14-4$ | 36\% 7 |
| i1-15 years | $10 \quad 9$ | 1215 |
| 16-20 years | 65 | $21^{\alpha-8} 10$ |
| 21-25 years | 2119 | 911 |
| 26-50 years | 6962 | $34 \quad 41$ |
| Not a member | $0 \quad 0$ | $2 \quad 2$ |
| totals | 111100 | 32100 |
| $x^{2}=23.516$ | $d f=6$ | ability $=.0006$ |

TABLE 67
MOTHER'S CHURCH MEMSERSH:P

|  | Parents of nonAttending Students |  |  | Parents of Attending Students |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-SiA members | A | 2 |  | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| SDA members | c | 80 |  | 0 | 111 | 191 |
| OTALS |  | 82 |  |  | 111 | 193 |

$x^{2}=2.7357$
df $=1$
Critical va!ue $=2.71$

The data indicaita that there was no significant fifference between ine two groups reaarding whether or not the father was a nemter. It was interesting to compare these figures with the analysis of Questions $=11$ and $\ddagger 13$ which sought to determine who had the greatest influence upon the child's going to the boarding schocl. गlease refer tack to (Nuestion $=11$ on Daqe 82 for figures on most influence.

The data indicated there was a relationshio between church attendance and boarding-academy attendance. However, there was no relationshio between the father's membershic and non-attendance. This figure must be qualified because only the respondents' data were used. if the non-respondent data were available, it could alter the above conclusion since the jercentage of non-member fathers differed significantiy (non-respondent $!40^{\circ}$ ) versus non-member fatner (i0…) respondents).

```
        Sub-hyoothesis 6
    Sub-nyootnesis six stated that "There is no sigrificant rela-
tionship beiween the secondary boarding attendance and the parental
perception of the school's fuifillment of its uniqueness of mission."
Questions $14, =15, =16, % 17, = 18, % 19, 426, =37, % 39, =40 have been
used to test the hypothesis.
=14. "SDA boarding schcols are different because the students
```



```
=15. "SDA bcarding sc!icols are different because they teach
        moral developmert.""
=16. 'SDA Doarding schools are different because students are
        taught the value of labor through a work program."
=17. "SחA boarding schools are different because faculty teach
        by their Christian example."
=13. "SDA boarding schools are different because they ieach
        subjects from a Christian ooint of view."
=?9. "SDA bcarjing schools are different because Dart of their
        mission is to train young peopie to share their roligious
        "aith and beiiefs."
=26. "SDA boardi-g schczis are not differont enouon from oublic
        \etaigh schools.'
=37. "Students receive education in moral develooment."
=39. "Students receive training in sharing their faith."
440. "Students receive educat"on in religious beliefs."
```

The question of whether the boarding schools were fulfilling their unique mission was complicated and varied. In order to give an zccurate nicture and to test the hypothesis in an orderiy fashion, the area of concern was divided into five subareas with accompaning analysis: belief and values ttables $68-\mathrm{C}, 77$ ), work program (table 7!), faculty (tables $72-73)$, teaching from a Christian doinc of yiew itables 74-75), and teaching sharing of faith (tables 74, 78).

TABLE 68
QUESTION $=14$
'SDA boarding schools are different because the students have common religious beliefs and moral values."

| Juestion $\ddagger 14$ | Jarents of nonかttending students |  | Jarents of ittenuing studen:s | ; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 24 | 30 | 41 | 37 |
| Agree | 39 | 49 | 55 | 19 |
| leutral | 11 | 14 | 10 | 3 |
| Disagree and Strongly sisagree | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| - OTALS | 30 | 100 | 112 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=1.938 \\ & \text { df }=3 \\ & \text { Probability }=.535 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

$0:$

TABLE 69
QUESTION $=15$
'SDA boarding schools are different because -hey teach moral develobment."

| Juestion $=15$ | Parents of nonAttending Students | Parents of Attending Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 21 \| 26 | 34 ? 3 |
| Agree |  <br> 38 | 57 ) 5こ |
| Neutral | 16 ' 20 | 1312 |
| Disagree and Strongly disagree | 77 8 | 5 5 |
| TOTALS | $82 \cdot 100$ | 109100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=3.775 \\ & \text { of }=3 \\ & \text { Proobility }=.2863 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

TABLE 70
QUESTION $=40$
"Students receive education in religious beliefs."

| Question $=40$ | Parents of Attencing Students |  | Parents of Attending Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 28 | 35 | $3:$ | 27 |
| Agree | 41 | E1 | 62 | 55 |
| Neutra? | 5 | 6 | i0 | 9 |
| Jisagree and Strongly disagree | 7 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| TOTALS | 81 | 100 | 1113 | 100 |

$x^{2}=1.390$
$d f=3$
Probability = .7080

TABLE 71
QUESTION $=16$
"SDA boardina schools are different because students are taught the value of labor through a work program."


## TABLE 72

QUESTICN $\div 17$
"SDA boarding schools are different because faculty teach by their Christian examole."
(Attending versus Non-attending)

|  | Darents of non- <br> attending <br> Students | $\because$ | Atteriding Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |,

TABLE 73
DUESTION $\$ 17$
"SOA boarding scnools are different because faculty teach by their Christian example." (Boarding versus Non-boarding)

| Questior $=17$ | Parents of nonBoarding Students | Parents of Boarding Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly igree | 20 | 19 |
| Agree | 65 | 38 |
| Neutral | 26 | 14 |
| Jisagree and Stronaly disagree | 12 | 4 |
| - Otals | 123 | 75 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=3.258 \\ & d f=3 \\ & \text { Probability }=.3535 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

TARLE 74
OUESTION $=18$
"SDA boarcing schools are different because they teach subjects from a Christian point of view"

| Question $=18$ | Parents of nonAttending Students |  | Parents of Attending Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly aqree | 22 | 27 | 49 | 44 |
| Agree | 52 | 64 1 | 56 | 50 |
| Neutral | 5 | 61 | 5 | $4$ |
| Disagree and Stronaly disaaree | 3 | 3 | 2 | $12$ |
| -otais | 82 | 1001 | 112 | 1100 |
| $x^{2}=6.123$ | $d f=3$ | Prob | bability $=.1058$ |  |

TABLE 75
OUESTION =IG
"SDA boarding schocls are difforent because dart of their mission is :o train young people to share their religious faith and beliefs."

| Question $=19$ | Parents of nonAttending Students | $\because$ | Parents of Attending Students | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 22 | 27 | 42 | 37 |
| Agree | 44 | 53 | 51 | 45 |
| Neutral | $: 0$ | 12 | 18 | 16 |
| Disagree and | 7 | 8 | 2 | ¢ |
| Strongly disagree |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS | 33 | 100 | 113 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=7.41 I \\ & d f=3 \\ & \text { Probatilitf }=.0599 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 76
QUESTION $\ddagger 26$
"SOA boarding schools are not different enough from puolic high schools."

| Suestion $=26$ | parents of nonえttending Students | $\because$ | Parents o: Attending Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vot a reason | ミ4 | 67 | 76 | 67 |
| Partly a reason | 14 | 17: | 27 | 24 |
| A strona reason | $: 3$ | $16 i$ | 10 | 9 |
| TOTALS | 91 | 100: | 113 | 100 |

$x^{2}=3.041$
$d f=2$
Procability $=$.2186

TABLE IT
SUESTION :37
'Students receive education in moral development."

| Suestion $=37$ | Parents of nonAttending Students | \% | Parents of Attending S.udents | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 17 | 21 | 23 | 20 |
| Agree | 37 | 46 | 56 | 50 |
| Neutral | 11 | 14 | 14 | 12 |
| Disgaree and Stronaly disagree | 15 | 19 | 20 | 18 |
| -OTALS | 30 | 100 | 113 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=.220 \\ & d f=3 \\ & \text { Probability }=.9743 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 78
OUESTION $=39$
"Students receive training in sharing their faith."

| Question $=39$ | Darents of ronAttending Students | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | Parents of Attending Students | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jcing excellent job | 17 | 21 | 19 | 17 |
| Joing adequate job | 41 | 50 | 49 | 43 |
| Neutral | 12 | 15 | 19 | 17 |
| Making aitempt, but not adeauate | 9 | 11 | 20 | 18 |
| A failure | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| Totals | 32 | 100 | 113 | : 100 |
| $x^{2}=1.390$ | $d f=3$ | Pr | ocability $=.7080$ |  |

je:ies anc values
The questions involving wherner the SSA boarding schools were different because they reacn religious beliefs and mora! values showed that both groups of oarents perceived the boarding schools to be unique and different, and no sianificant difference was found. There were high percentages in the positive for all except Question s37, which showed that parents were not as favorable to the accomDlishment of moral development as other areas. In fact 19 oercent of those parents of non-attending and 18 percent of parents of attending students feit moral development was lacking. However, there was no relationshio between darentai derception of whether the school was different in teaching of beliefs and values and non-attendance.

Work program
As has already been stated in sub-hypothesis one, there was a significant difference regarding the concept of the work proaram as being unique. The darents were in agreement concerning the importance of the work arooram, 73 Dercent for non-attending varents and -3 Dercent for attendirc oarents, but there was a relationshio betwoen the work oroaram being unique and boerding academy nonattendance.

## Eaculty

As has already been shown in sub-hypothesis one, there was a significant difference concerning the faculty themselves. And there was a significant difference concerning whether or not the faculty and their Christian example were different from other school systems. In harmony with the presented data, there was a relationship between

```
2arenta? verこeDtion of the faculty being different in their Christian
exarr!e and boarcing scncol non-attendance.
```

Subjects taught from Christian viewdoint
The data indicated tha: both groups agreed that the boarding schools did teach from a Christian perspective and were significantly different besause of that factor. ihere was not a relationship between Darental perception concerning the boarding academy's teaching from a Christian perspective and non-attendance at the boarcing ecademy.

Unique mission to train for sharing faith
In reviewing the data, it was found that there was a significant difference among the sarents concerning the question of training youth in sharing their faith. However, both groups agreed strongly that the boarfing schools were different because of this aspect. It should also be noted that 11 Dercent of oarents of non-attending students belifyed the scncol did an adequate job, while only 60 sercent of parents of attending students felt the same way. Also, 23 Dercent of parents of those attending Felt the school did do an adequate job.

The data showed that there was a relationshio between Darental perception of the boarding academy being different in training for sharing their faith and boarding-school non-attendance.

## Sub-hypothesis 7

Parental attitudes towards dormitory concept
Questions $=21,=28,=29,=30, \pm 32$.

```
=2.. "rissatisfaciion witn dormitorv concept."
=29. "Vinth and tenth-grade youth should not be placed in a
    dormitory situation."
=29. "I would send my children to public high school rather
    than to send them away from home to attenc a SDA board-
    inc schocT."
=30. "If a choice were to be made, I would out my ninch-
    grader in public school rather than a SDA boarding
    school."
=32. "I do not believe a dormitory situation is suitable for
    nigh-scnool-age students."
```

The question of the dormitory concept was a very challenging one because it riad many variables, sucn as age and maturity of students, the ability of the deans, and the previous home backoround of the student. The survey questions attemoted io determine the attitudes =oward the basic conceot and if the ace of the student made any difference in that $\exists$ ttitude.

Table ${ }^{-9}$ shows bcth aroups of oarents agreed. 54 dercent for varents of non-attending students and 52 percent for sarents of attending students, that they believed parents probably did not send their students because cf the dormitory. 4owever, in Question $=23$, table 30 , while both arcups aqreed (non-attending, $46 \%$, and attendina, 43*) that ninth and tenth graders should not be placed in the dormitory, parents of attendina students disagreed with 44 percent saying they should be Jlaced there. This meant that darents of students now in attendance were about equally split. But the darents of

```
non-attending s:ucents were mucn more =cnvinced it was not a qood
Olace {16 aqree -- 27: disagree). It should be noted that there
was a significant difference between Farents of attending students
and parents of non-attendinq students.
```

TABLE 79
OUESTION $=21$
"Dissatisfaction with dormitory concept."
(Reasons for not sending younq peopie)


Responses :o ?uestion $=32$, table 83 , showed there was almost a 2 to 1 ratio ( $24^{\circ}$ non-attending -- $13^{\circ}$ : attending) who did not believe the dorm was suitatle for any age. Those who disagreed and felt the dorm was suitable for hiah-school students ranged in percentages 'parents of non-attending, $d E=$-- parents of attending students, $75 \%$ ). By flacing the percentages of Ouestion $=28$ side by side with Ouestion $=32$, shown in tables 85,86 , the data indicated that the dorm zoncept was protably suitable, but those parents of non-attending students felt that the vounger students should not be olaced there.

A Question snouid be raised at this point rearding the dormitory situation. Jid the Jarents wno sent their children to nonboarding SOA schoois believe the dorm was not a good situation? io nelp answer this important question, the aata were analyzed by separating the parents who sent their children to SDA non-boarding schools and comparing their responses to parents of boarding students. Table 84 shows the dercentages for agreement, neutral, and disagreement for Questions $=28,=29,=30$, and $=32$. The data indicated that the SDA non-toarding parents felt differently from the parents of boardina students on this issue. This showed that the dorm was an important issue concerning attendance. A quarter of the parents of non-attencing students (29:) felt the dorm was a great enough issue not to send them to a SDA school.

The analysis revealed that a siqnificant difference did occur and it occurred even for the younger students. This conclusion was rein:orced by the responses of Ouestion $\# 30$, table 32 , which showed the garents of non-attending students solit almost equally, wile the jarents of attending students were 17 to $’ 1$ percent in favor of overlooking the oossible drawbacks of the dorm for ninth graders and still sent them. The responses to Ouestion $=29$, table 81 , again reinforced the findings of Duestion $=30$.

The data showed a sianificant difference in attitude concerning the domitory question, and that the age of the student played an important ro`e.

TABLE 80
QUESTICN $\approx 28$
"Ninth and tenth-grade youth should not be Dlaced in a cormitory situation."

$x^{2}=10.632$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{d}$
Probability $=.0310$
TABLE 81
QUESTION
\#29
"I would send my children to oublic high school rather than to send them away from home to attend a SDA boarding school."

| Juestion $=29$ | Parents of non: A ttending Students |  | Parents of Attenaing Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 18 | $39 \% 22$ | 1 |  |  |
| igree | 14 | 17 | 9 |  |  |
| Neutral | 15 | 20 | 13 |  | 12 |
| Disagree | 26 | -32 | 39 | $0 \times$ |  |
| Strongly disagree | 7 | > 9 | 50 |  |  |
| octals | 31 | ! 100 | 111 |  | 100 |

$t^{2}=48.697$
of $=4$
Probability $=.0000$

TABLE 32
OUESTION $=30$
"If a chotce were to be made, I would put my ninth-grader in public school ather than a SDA boarding school."

$x^{2}=32.2: 3$
$\mathrm{df}=4$
${ }^{\text {Probability }}=.0000$

TABLE 33
OUESTION $\ddagger 32$
" ! do not believe a dormitory situation is suitable for high-school-age students."


```
x 2}=20.76
df = 4
Probability = .0004
```

TABLE 3:
PARENTS OF SDA BOARDING VERSUS PARENTS OF SDA NON-BOARDING
in Questions $\ddagger 23, \ddagger 29, \div 30, \ddagger 32$ (comparisons shown in nercentages)

| Question |  | Jarents of SDA nonSoarding Students | Parents of SJA Boarding Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 23$ | Agree | 43 | 44 |
|  | Neutrei | 26 | 11 |
|  | Disa ree | 31 | 44 |
| $=29$ | Agree | 28 | 8 |
|  | Neutral | 19 | 12 |
|  | Jisagree | 52 | 80 |
| $=30$ | Agree | 34 | 17 |
|  | Neutra? | 14 | 12 |
|  | Disagree | 52 | 71 |
| \$32 | Agree | 20 | 13 |
|  | Neutra? | 22 | 12 |
|  | Disagree | 58 | 75 |

TABIE 85
COMPARISON Of questions \#28 and \#32, part I

|  | Question \#28 |  | Question \#32 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | parents of Attending Students | Parents of nonAttending Students | parents of Attending Students | Parents of nonAttending Students |
| Opposed to dormitory concept | 13 | 46 | 13 | 24 |
| In favor of dormitory concept | 44 | 27 | 75 | 48 |

table 86
COMPARISON OF QUESTIONS \#28 and \#32, part 2

|  | Parents of Altending Students |  | Parents of non-Attending Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\text { Question } 28$ | $\text { Question } \# 32$ | Question \#28 | Question \#32 |
| Opposed to dormitory concept | 43 | 13 | 46 | 24 |
| In favor of dormitory concept | 44 | 75 | 27 | 48 |


#### Abstract

witten Comments and Other Variables In the desion of the survey, opoortunity was given for oper comments. The written comments were read and fourteen categories were made to analyze the comments. This was done by a panel of three indivicuais from the Minneadoiis area, Ann Kautz, Beverly Dickinson, and Wayne Krause. The results of their work in categorizing and deciding in which category each belonged is shown in table 87.

It should be noted that the survey was constricted for controlled responses and that the amount of written comments ? 113 respondents or $53.3^{*}$ of those returning surveys) showed a hig̣h interest and concern for the topic of Christian education. These comments were used to provide additional insight in the attitudes of parents, attitudes that were important enough for the respondent to take extra time to write concerning his attitudes.

The table showed that 20 Dercent of the written comments were in the area of finances with 59 percent believing finances were a neqative Factor and 36 percent saying they were bearable.

Another area of concern was that the boarding academy was not doing an adequate job upholding church standards or \#dhering to the writings of Mrs. White. The school work program also received considerable comments, 9 percent of those commenting had an 80 percent negative reaction. The influence of the faculty was also shown to be a concern, with 85 oercent of the "faculty" comments being negative. These four areas received the most comments and helped to highlight the results already presented. There seemed to be aqreement between ine written comments and the analysis of the controlled responses.


TAELE 37 SURYEY COMMENTS
15. Hore envirenment is more advantageous than Christian boarding school.
46. -otal influence of faculty is positive.
17. Peer relationsnips are positive. il
48. Academic quality of academy is nositive.
19. Supervision in acader:; is adeauate.
50. S-hool orovides an adeauate and satisfactory work orogram.
51. The expense of Christian education makes it prohibitive.
E2. Our schecls are oresent'y followina the "bluebrint" and upholding church standards.
53. Jur child had a positive experience wrile in attendance at a boarding schcol.
54. Facilities, non-acade-ric procram, and frod are adequate.
55. Jur scnools nave a healthy, spiritual environment.
56. Recocnizing that oroblems exist, we silil support SDA boarding education.
57. I am in agreement with the surre and its questions.
58. The boarding schools meet the special physical and academic needs of my child.
 Totals

```
    it was gratifying to note that area =56 showed that even
thcuạ Darents realize tne boarding school had oroblems, 64 percent
of those commentinc on ihis tovic were Dositive. The written
comments concerned with the approval or disapproval of the boarding
school was 15.9 percent.
The Reiationship of the Independent Variable to the Dependent Variable
In chaoter II! the independent variable was described as the attitude of the parents towards the boarding school, and the dependent variable was described as the attendance or non-attendance at the joardina school. The assumbtion was made that as the attitude of the parents increased or decreased favorably toward the boarjing school, the attendance wculd rise or decline.
Based upon the statistical analysis of this chanter, the following relationshio has been found to exist: as the attitude of the parents increases or decreases favorably toward the boarding school in the areas of the dormitory, academic auality, the concept of a work program, cost of attendance, and the SGA school being different from other schools, the attendance at the boarcing school will rise or decline.
```

Age of the husband and wife
The variadle of age was included as a control variable and the data are presented in tables $38-93$.

TABLE ge
FATHER'S AGE
Comparing parents of students attendina SDA schools versus parents of non-attending)

| =ather's Age | Parents of nonAttending Students | $\because$ | Parents of Attencing Studerits | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. 30-37 years | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| 2. 38-45 vears | 31 | 36 | 43 | 37 |
| 3. 46-53 years | 32 | 37 | 42 | 35 |
| 4. 54 - and older | :5 | $17!$ | 14 | 12 |
| j. Does not appiy | 8 | 9 | 11 | 10 |
| totals | 37 | 100 | 116 | 100 |
| $x^{2}=3.301$ |  |  |  |  |
| ```df=\| Probability = . 5087``` |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ for category 38-45 | 2.87 |  |  |  |

TABLE O,
MOTHEX'S AGE

| "other's Age | Parents of nonAttending Students | * | Parents of Attenaing Studenis | $\stackrel{ }{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :. 30-37 years | 9 | 10 | 12 | 10 |
| 2. 33-45 years | 41 | 47 | 60 | 52 |
| 3. $46-53$ years | 27 | 31 | 35 | 31 |
| 4. 54 - and older | 10 | $12!$ | 5 | 4 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| TOTALS | 87 | 100 | 115 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=5.935 \\ & \text { Probability }=.2041 \end{aligned}$ | 4 ₹ for category | ry 38 | -45 2.38 |  |

TABLE 9C
LGE OF HUSEA:ID: DARENTS IF ATTENDI'IG STUDENTS BY CONFERENCE


TABLE 91
AGE OF HUSBAND: PAPENTS OF NON-ATTENDING STUDENTS BY CONFERENCE


TABLE 92

AGE OF WICE: PARETIS OF NON-ATTENDIAG STJDENTS BY CONFERENCE

| Wife's age | Rocky Mt. | $:$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iowa } \\ & \text { Mo. } \end{aligned}$ |  | Kans Neb. |  | : | Dak. | * | Minn : | $\ddot{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30-37 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 3 |  | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 38-45 | 9 | 381 | 15 | 48 . | 3 |  | 50 | 3 | 37 | 7 | 64 |
| 46-53 | 9 | 43 | 3 | 26 | 4 |  | 25 | 3 | 37 | 3 | 27 |
| 54 - older | 2 | 9 | 5 | 16 : | 1 |  | 6 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| Not apply | 0 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Otals | 21 | 100 | 31 | !00 | 16 |  | 00 | 8 | , 100 | 11 | 100 |
| $x^{2}=6.291$ |  |  | $=12$ |  |  |  |  | ty | . 76 |  |  |

TABLE 93
AGE OF WIFE: PAREN-S OF ATTENDIikg STUDENTS BY CONFEPENCE


The Jata indicater that the :owa-Missouri Conference nad a nign oronortion of athers of students attending SDA scnocls that were in the 38 - 15 age group, while the Dakota Conference had a small percentage of that group. When the wives' ages were analyzed, the same pattern was found in the Iowa-Missouri Conference and Jakota Conference. This may indicate that the Iowa-Missouri parents of attending students tended to be younger than parents $0^{\circ}$ nonaこtending students.

It must be stated that there was not a significant relationsinip between age of the parents and attendance in a SDA school. When analysis vas done on the jarents of boarding-schocl students, the percentages were aimost identical and did not fary more tinan 3 vercent in any one age category.

```
Summar:
    In this chapter a description was given of the population as
provided by the survey instrument. Also, those parents not respond-
ing were reviewed concerning their church membership and where they
sent their children to school. The hypotheses and sub-hypotneses
were reviewed and then analyzed to test their null statements. Data
concerning the written comments were oresented and observations given.
    In summary the hypotheses and sub-hypctheses have been stated
in their null form and a statement concerning their acceptance or
rejection has teen made.
    Hypotheses: it is hypothesized that there is no significant
relationship between the decline of enrollment of the secondary board-
ing schools and the attitudes of parents toward the secondary boarding
school.
    The null is rejected based upon the finding of the seven sub-
hypotheses.
    Sub-hyoct!esis 1 - There is no significant difference in
ettitude toward the secondary boarcing scmool between parerits of
students attending SDA high schools and parents of students not
attending SDA high schools.
1. The nuil is accepted in regard to the attitudes toward She effectiveness of the teachers and faculty (Dages 64-67).
2. The nuil is rejected in regard to the attitudes toward the academic program of the bcarding school (pages 68-7i).
Sub-hypothesis 2 - Thero is no significant relationship between non-attendance and the cost of secondary boarding school.
```

```
    The null is rejected (page 78:.
    Sub-h;'pothesis 3 - There is no significant relationship
between secondary boarding non-attendance and parental church non-
attendance.
    The null is rejected (page 82).
    Sub-hypothesis 4 - There is no significant relationship
between parental socio-economic levels and secondary boarding non-
attendance.
    The null is accepted !page 84).
    jub-hypothesis 5 - There is no significant relationship
between seconjary boarding attendance and parental length of church
membershio.
    The nu;l is rejected (page 8T).
    Sub-hypothesis 6 - There is no significant relationship
between secondary boarding attendance and the parental perception of
the school's Eulfillment c= its uniqueness or mission.
    \therefore. The null is accepted in the area of teaching beliefs and
values from a Christian viewpoint (page 95).
    2. The null is rejected in the area of work program, faculty
dedication, and training young Deodle for sharing their faith.
(pages 04-05).
    Sub-hypothesis 7 - There is no significant difference between
parents of non-attending students and attending students concerning
the dommitorv concept.
    The null is rejected (page 99).
```


## CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

In chapter I data were given to show that there has been a declining trend in the enrollment of the church boarding academies. In 1967, 54.78 Dercent of those enrolled in senior academies were in boarsing schools, but in 1977 , there were only 44.64 percent of senior academy enrollees in the boarding academies.

It was the purpose of the study to determine if a relationship existed between the dec?ining enrollment of the boarding academy and parental atさitudes concerning the boarding school. To determine if there was a relationship, seven null sub-hypothesis were developed. The parents of high-school students in the Mid-America Union were cnosen as the podulation and a Likert-type-scale survey was desianed to determine the attitudes of the randomly chosen parents. There was a 74 percent response rate. To test the sub-hypothesis, a chi-sauare analysis was conducted and the results applied. The outline for this chapter is: (1) The hypothesis and seven sub-hypotheses as reflected from the testing; (2) The relation of the independent variable to the dependent variable; (3) Findings and conclusions based upon the analysis; (4) Recommendations for further studies and suggestions for correcting.

## Restatement of Hyoothes is

بypothesis: There is a significant relationshio between the
decline of enrollment of the secondary boarding schools and the attitudes of parents toward the secondary boarding school.

Sub-hypothesis 1 - There is no significant difference in attitude toward the secondary boarding school between parents of students attending Seventh-day Adventist high schools and parents of students not attending Seventhday Adventist high schools in the area of effectiveness of the teachers and faculty, but a significant difference does exist in attitude toward the academic program.

Sub-hypothesis 2 - There is a significant relationship between non-attendance and the cost of secondary boarding schools.

Sub-hypothesis 3 - There is a significant relationship between secondary boarding non-attendance and parental church non-attendance.

Sub-hypothesis 4 - There is no significant relationship between parents socio-economic levels and secondary boarding non-attendance.

Sub-hypothesis 5 - There is a significant relationship between secondary boarding attendance and parental length of church membership.

Sub-hypothesis 6 - There is no significant relationship between secondary boarding attendance and the parental perception of the school's fulfillment of its uniqueness or mission in the area of teaching beliefs and value from a Christian viewpoint. There is a significant difference in attitude in the areas of work program, faculty dedication, and training students to show their faith.

Sub-hypothesis 7 - There is a significant difference between parents of non-attending students and attending students concerning the dormitory concept.

Relationship of the Independent Variable to the
Dependent Variable
As the attitudes of the parents increase or decrease favorably toward the boarding school in the areas of the dormitory, academic quality of program. concept of work program, cost of attendance, and

```
She Seventh-day Adventist schools beinq different from other schools,
the attendance rises or declines at the boarding school.
```


## Findings and Conclusions

The success of the random sampling in selecting parents who represent various sub-populations, such as conference, was very encouraging. It gives strength to projections that will be made from the survey results to various conferences and variables of the board-ing-academy oroaram. For example, some conferences, such as Dakota, showed the parents to be older and that the older parents did not send their young people as much as the young parents. This data could be used by the Dakota Conference as it sets in motion ideas to increase their academy's enrollment. They need to speak to a group of parents who are older than parents in their neighboring conference.

Before this study was begun, it was observed that many school administrators and parents believed the decline of the boarding school enrollment was because of high tuition and other costs. The study also revealed that the majority of parents believed the cost to be a very important question. The data for sub-hypothesis two showed that 47 dercent of darents of non-attending students would like to send their children but they felt they could not afford the expense. Even 28 percent of parents of attending students felt they could not afford the expense but still enrolled their children.

The data also indicated that 85 percent of parents of attending students believed the boarding school yielded positive results and were willing to sacrifice, while only 33 percent of parents of non-atterding students felt the same way.

Another revealing fact was that only 16 percent of parents of non-atiending students felt they could afford the cost of the boarding schocl, as opposed to 38 percent of parents of attendina children. This data strongly suggests that the financial burden is very heavy For a significant number of darents. Almost one half (46: of the parents of non-attendina children want to send their children but feel financially unable. Twenty percent of the written comments were concerning the high cost of boarding schoois with 60 percent of those comments being negative.

The data may be indirating that one of the differences between Darents is not so much the high expense but rather the issue of a compelling advantage requiring a financial sacrifice. Is the total educational advantage of a boarding school enough to warrant such a sacrifice? Apparently, 33 percent of the parents of non-attending children voted "no."

The study also dealt with the topic of the unique mission of Seventh-day Adventist scnools and how well the boarding academy was fulfilling that mission. Of the six questions regarding tine jniqueness of Sevonth-day Adventist schools, three of them showed a significant difference in attitude. The areas of moral development, religious beliefs, and subjects from a Christian viewpoint found the Darents in agreement that the schools were unique and different. However, in the other areas, such as work program, dedicated Christian iaculty, and training in witnessing, the parents were divided upon whether the boarding schools were unique. The attitudes concerning the work program of the academies revealed that it was an area in which parents had some concerns. Nine percent of the written
comments were taroeted to this problem with 80 percent of the comments being negative. When the parents of attending students were compered to non-attendinq, the statistics revealed that 31 percent of the parents of attendina students were negative concerning the adequacy of the work program, while 29 percent of parents of nonattending were negative.

The data also indicated that the parents were in disagreement whether or not the faculty was unique in teaching by Christian example. Sixty-three percent of parents of non-attending students felt SDA boarding-school teachers were unique in their Christian example while is percent of parents of attending students felt the same way. This factor may indicate that some parents viewed SDA boarding teachers and pubiic-school teachers on a par in Christian example. if the variable should be true, it could result in a major overhaul of present recruiting strategies. For present recruiting arguments rely heavily on the assumbtion that Christian education is better because of teachers who teach by Christian example as opposed to oublic-school teachers who are neutral or negative in Christian examole.

Another unique feature of Christian education that was tested was training young peoole for Christian witnessing. The literature review revealed that both Caine's and Bakel's studies of Catholic education indicated a relationshio between Catholic school closings and negative parental attitudes concerning the fulfillment of the school in its uniaue mission. It was stated earlier that one of the objectives of SDA education was the training of young people for sharing their faith. This study indicated that the parents of
non-atending students were more positive than the parents of attending siudenis in the adequacy of this special training. Twenty-three percent of the parents of attending students felt the boarding schools had failed in their mission to train young people to share their faith. This factor could have a sianificant impact upon the future of not only SDA boarding schools but all SDA schools. If parents do not perceive the school adeauately training young people for witnessing and that training is considered as part of the unique mission of the school, then part of the reason for SDA school existence has been neqated.

Analysis of the data revealed that one in four (24\%) of those not sending their children to a boarding school acted upon a strong rejection of the dormitory concept, and those parents who did send their children to a boarding school, one in seven (13 ${ }_{\sim}^{\alpha}$ ), expressed reservations regarding the wisdom of the Dlan. It is interesting to note that when the parents were oolled concerning keeping their cnildren at home and attending a public school versus sending their children to a boarding academy, 39 Dercent of the parents of nonattending students declared on the side of keeping them at home. Parents of attending students desiring to keep their children home and in putic schcol was only 8 percent.

This disclosure is a reality of no small import to those in ieadershio positions in Christian education. Clearly, a stronger mandate than this is needed if a broad base of support for the educational proaram of the church is desired.

## Recommendations

Because Seventh-day Adventists have traditionally placed Christian education as a high priority and because this study indicated that attendance at a boarding academy is related to the parents' comritment to Christian education, it is recommended that:

1. Every conference re-evaliate its present approach in making its constituents aware of the importance of education. Local church pastors should not assume that their congregations "know all about" Christian education. Instead, an on-going emphasis and awareness of Christian education must become part of the church program.
2. The evangelistic program or the church be re-evaluated to ascertain the amount of emphasis placed upon the concept of Christian education. Revival and evangelistic efforts, baptismal classes, and Bible studies should include a section that deals with the Biblical concept of Christian education and its Dlace in the SDA family. io help convince new members of the imoortance of Christian education, it is recommended that a special vacket descrioing SDA Christian education and the schools that serve the member's conference be made まvailabie.
3. The students of local SDA boarding schools and their accomolishments be continually presented to the churches of each conference. Educational rallies should be held on a district basis where speciai proarams involving the students of the boarding schoois are featured. It is further recommended that all SDA elementary students be Dersonally contacted by an academy representative and systematically cultivated in an on-going orogram of personal contact.

## Academic achievement

The study indicated that boarding-academy academics were questioned by a significant number of parents. The parents were not so much condemning the academic quality as they were indicating that they did not know the quality of the program.

It is recommended that:

1. Each academy evaluate its academic program and improve areas of deficiency.
2. Each academy inform its constituency of the scholastic attainment of the students, and that the reporting be accurate, Dositive, and professional.

Dormitory
As has been shown, one of the most clear-cut findings of the study was in the area of negative parental attitudes concerning the dormitory. In view of these important findings, the following recommendations are offered:

1. That more emphasis be given to encouraging parents to not enroll their children in primary schools until ages seven or eight.
2. That the boarding academies re-evaluate the present "one dean to many students" program and provide a stronaer program by having more adult supervision and a better "home environment" as opposed to a "barrack atmosphere."
3. That a better method of room and rommate selection be found to reduce negative peer pressure. Especially for the ninth and tenth graders great care should be used to provide adequate adult association and positive peer influence.
4. That study be given to the psychological, physical, emotional, spirituai, and social needs of each age in the dormitory and adequate programs be provided to meet specific needs. At the present it appears that two to three separate stages of developmental needs and developmental tasks are lumped into one stage. This means that many younger and older students' needs are not being met.
5. That the faculty have small groups of students in their homes on a regular basis to provide positive "home modeling."
6. That study be given to providing each dormitory with an adequate dean staff, based upon a policied dean/student ratio.
7. Tinat study be given to providing more $K-9$ elementary programs where the ninth arade is an extension of the boarding academy. The student would be enrolled at the boarding academy but take class work at the $K-\underline{g}$ elementary school. (The Mid-America Union currently is piloting such a program.)
8. That the $S D A$ Board of Education (K-12) for North America ro-examine the כhilosophy undergirdina the boarding-school experience and deveiop instrumentatiun for evaluating its effectiveness.

[^1]labor but rather academic credit. This would also allow those under sixteen to work more within the industrial areas.
2. That eacn conference set up an endowment proqram to provide maiching scholarshid funds with the parents and local churches.
3. That a stronger emphasis be given to placing non-academyoperated industries near academies where students can learn trades and make a better cash income for the academies.
4. That stronger emphasis be given to a professional recruiting proqram that functions twelve months a year. More students per year heio to reduce expenses.
5. That study be given to reducing the number of class offerings thereby reducing the need for low pupil/teacher ratios.

Because this study was delimited by time and scope, it is recommended that a study similar to this study be done in other unions and that comparisons be made and norms established. It is "urther recommended that all conferences secure an accurate youth census and that the parents of these young people be provided adequete, up-to-cate-information regarding Christian education.

## APPENDIX A

SDA CHURCH AND MID-AMERICA UNION STATISTICS

1. Summary of Seventh-day Adventist Membership.
2. Mid-America Union Church Membership.
3. Birth Rate for States in Mid-America Union, 1953-1967.
4. Mid-America Senior Academy Enrollment, closinq report.

## AFFEND! X A

SUMMARY OF SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST MEMBERSHIP


APPENDIX A (continued)
MID-AMERICA UNION CHURCH MEMBERSHIP

| Year | North. Union Cent. Union | Total | $\because$ Enrolled in Sr. Acad. | Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jan. 1, 1967 | 1273227081 | 39813 | 3.679 |  |
| 1968 | 12652 27375 | 40027 | 3.680 | . 538 |
| 1969 | 12706 27590 | 40296 | 3.593 | . 672 |
| 1970 | 1269428295 | 40989 | 3.732 | 1.719 |
| 1971 | 1273929101 | 41900 | 3.610 | 2.222 |
| 1972 | 1291029771 | 42687 | 3.612 | 1.878 |
| 1973 | 13044 30482 | 43526 | 3.319 | 1.965 |
| 1974 | 13214 | 44498 | 3.278 | 2.223 |
| 1975 | 1358432359 | 45943 | 3.321 | 3.247 |
| 1976 | 1434032840 | 47180 | 3.013 | 2.692 |
| $\bigcirc 977$ | !5i79 33621 | 48800 | 2.829 | 3.433 |
| 1978 |  | 51981 | 2.916* | 6.518 |
| :979 |  | 52076 | 2.792 | . 182 |
| $\cdots \quad 1980$ |  | 53303 | 2.641 | 2.356 |

* Dakota Adventist Academy opening with $i 1$ additional students. Later enrollments declined.

APPENDIX A (continued)

## BIRTH RATE FOR STATES IN MID-AMERICA UNION

1953-1967

| Vear of Birth | Year of School Enrollment | Der 1000 Population | * Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :953 | 1967 | 27.266 |  |  |
| :954 | 1963 | 27.233 | . 1210 | decrease |
| 1955 | 1969 | 26.000 | 4.527 | decrease |
| 1956 | 1970 | 25.266 | 2.823 | decrease |
| 1957 | 1971 | 24.833 | 1.713 | decrease |
| -958 | 1972 | 25.2 | 1.477 | increase |
| !959 | 1973 | 25.23 | . 119 | increase |
| 1960 | 1974 | 24.766 | 1.839 | decrease |
| 1961 | -975 | 24.633 | . 537 | decrease |
| -962 | -975 | 22.8 | 7.44 | decrease |
| 1963 | :977 | 22.2 | 2.63 | decrease |
| 1964 | $\bigcirc 978$ | 20.8 | 6.306 | decrease |
| 1965 | 1979 | 19.166 | 7.855 | decrease |
| 1966 | 1980 | 17.766 | 7.304 | decrease |
| :967 | !981 | $\therefore 7.7$ | . 371 | decrease |


| Vear | APPMID-AMERICA SEN(ClosVorth. Union Cent. Union |  | ¢ A | uea! |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACADEM } \\ & \text { Repor } \end{aligned}$ | LMENT |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Percentage of Growth/Decline |  |
| $66-67$ | 497 | 968 | 1465 |  |  |
| 67-68 | 455 | 1018 | 1473 | . 546 | increase |
| 68-б9 | 454 | 994 | 1448 | 1.697 | decrease |
| 69-70 | 199 | 1031 | 1530 | 5.662 | increase |
| 70-71 | 463 | 1050 | 1531 | . 065 | increase |
| 71-72 | 483 | 1059 | 1542 | . 718 | increase |
| 72-73 | 429 | 1016 | 1445 | 5.29 | decrease |
| 73-74 | 420 | 1039 | 1459 | . 968 | increase |
| 74-75 | 442 | 1084 | 1526 | 4.592 | increase |
| 75-76 | 137 | 985 | 1422 | 6.815 | decrease |
| 76-77 | 470 | 911 | :381 | 2.883 | decrease |
| 77-78 | 540 | 975 | 1516 | 9.775 | increase |
| 78-79 | 531 | 923 | :454 | 4.089 | decrease |
| 79-30 | 483 | 925 | 1408 | 3.163 | decrease |
| 30-81 |  |  | 1332 | 5.397 | decrease |

APPENDIX B
aCADEMY STUDENT LOSSES

1. Academiy Student Losses.
2. North American Boarding Academy Losses, 1979.
3. North American Day Academy Losses, 1979.

ACADEMY STUDE!T LOSSES


```
AFPENDIX 3. (contוnued)
```



NORTH PACIFIC

| Auburn | 456 | 481 | 423 | 31 | 12 | 36 | 11 | 90 | 18.71 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Columbia | 162 | 168 | 164 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 8.92 |
| Gem State | 230 | 241 | 237 | 14 | 3 | 19 | 10 | 46 | 19.08 |
| Laurelwood | 3643 | 414 | 365 | 26 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 71 | 17.14 |
| Miln | 284 | 335 | 281 | 25 | 7 | 6 | 34 | 72 | 21.49 |
| Mount Ellis | 194 | 203 | 180 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 30 | 14.77 |
| Portland Adventist | 273 | 295 | 268 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 30 | 10.13 |
| Upoer Columbia | 324 | 337 | 316 | 26 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 56 | 16.61 |
| Walla Walla Valley | 266 | 236 | 268 | 11 | 5 | 16 | 7 | 39 | 13.63 |
|  |  | 2557 | 2761 | 2502 | 140 | 77 | 129 | 03 | 449 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 16.26 |  |  |  |  |

NORTIICRN

| Dakota Adventist | 18 i | 184 | 121 | 4 | 39 | 2 | 1 | 46 | 25.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Maplewood | 218 | 239 | 242 | 4 | 5 | 19 | 4 | 30 | 12.55 |
| Oak Park | 132 | 140 | 120 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 21 | 15.00 |
|  | 531 | 563 | 483 | 20 | 45 | 28 | 6 | 97 | 17.22 |

AFPENDIX B, (continued)


SOUTHWESTERN

| Chisholm iräl | 236 | 252 | 246 | - | 5 | 5 | 8 | 18 | 7.14 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jefferson | 57 | 71 | 95 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 24 | 33.80 |
| Ozark | 261 | 272 | 262 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 36 | 13.23 |
| Sandia View | 101 | 107 | 136 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 9.34 |
| Valley Grande | 153 | 129 | 107 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
|  | 808 | 831 | 646 | 11 | 19 | 32 | 26 | 98 | 10.58 |

```
APPENCIX R. (continued)
```

| UNION/ACADEMIES | Enrollment |  |  | Losses |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Open | Clos. | Open |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sent. | June 1979 |  | Asked to Wi thdraw | Tr. Acad. |  | Drop | Total Losses | $\because$ of losing |
| SOUTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bass Memorial | 178 | 143 | 165 | 10 | 2 | 32 | 5 | 49 | 34.26 |
| Collegedale | 337 | 354 | 342 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 36 | 10.16 |
| Fletcher | 233 | 252 | 196 | 22 | 15 | 40 | 3 | 80 | 31.74 |
| Forest Lake | 422 | 444 | 407 | 24 | 13 | 17 | - | 89 | 20.04 |
| Ga.-Cumberland | 245 | 260 | 229 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 4 | 39 | 15.00 |
| Greater Miami | 65 | 71 | 65 | - | - | 7 | - | 7 | 9.85 |
| Groveland | 39 | 43 | 30 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 34 | 79.06 |
| Harbert hills | 59 | NR | NR | - | - | - | - | - | NR |
| Highland | 158 | 136 | 155 | 7 | 5 | 17 | 4 | 33 | 24.26 |
| Laurelbrook | 30 | 99 | 84 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 16.85 |
| Little Creek | NR | 62 | 60 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madison | 132 | 144 | 146 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 23 | 15.97 |
| Mount Pisgan | 218 | 233 | 230 | 20 | 4 | 16 | 1 | 41 | 17.59 |
| Uakwood Coilege | 38 | i03 | io3 | - |  | - | 2 | 2 | 1.94 |
| Pine Forest | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Stonecave Inst. | NR | 12 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 33.33 |
|  | $2 \overline{264}$ | 2346 | 2226 | 127 | 75 | 181 | 40 | 452 | 19.26 |
| SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ATLANTIC | 1265 | 1310 | 1122 | 31 | 30 | 49 | 32 | 139 | 10.61 |
| CANADIAN | 747 | 784 | 827 | 4 | - | 15 | 11 | 69 | 8.80 |
| CENTRAL | 834 | 948 | 925 | 41. | 36 | 61 | 26 | 126 | 13.29 |
| columbia | 2192 | 1943 | 2256 | 79 | 38 | 100 | 13 | 198 | 10.19 |
| LAKE | 1375 | 1983 | 1785 | 14 | 59 | 113 | 35 | 238 | 12.00 |
| NORTH PACIFIC | 2557 | 2751 | 2502 | 140 | 77 | 129 | 93 | 449 | 16.26 |
| NORTHERN | 531 | 563 | 483 | 20 | 45 | 28 | 6 | 97 | 17.22 |
| PACIFIC UNION | 5433 | 5958 | 5591 | 154 | 171 | 286 | 83 | 653 | 10.96 |
| SOUTHERN | 2264 | 2346 | 2226 | 127 | 75 | 181 | 40 | 452 | 19.26 |
| SOUTHWESTERN | 808 | 831 | 346 | 11 | 19 | 32 | 26 | 88 | 10.58 |
| NAD TOTALS | 18506 | 19427 | 18563 | 651 | 550 | 994 | 365 | 2509 | 13.73 |
|  |  | 54692 | 55632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Annual Redort-1979, Department of Education - North American Division, Washington, D.C., 1979, Table 11.

APPENDIX B, (continued)
NORTH AMERICAN BOARDING ACADEMY LOSSES 1979

| Open | Clos. Exp. or |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sept. | June Asked to Tr. Tr. Drop Total a of |  |
| UNIONS/ACADEMIES | 1978 | 1979 Withdraw Acad. Pub. Out. Losses Closing |

## ATLANTIC

| Pioneer Valley | 207 | 217 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 2 | 38 | 17.51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Springs | 141 | 148 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 35 | 23.64 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 15 | 22 | 15 | 73 | 41.15 20.57* |

CANADIAN

| Canadian Union | 286 | 306 |  |  | 39 | 12.74 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Kingsway College | 247 | 261 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 23 | 8.81 |
| Okanagan | 58 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 62 | 20.55 | $10.27 *$ |

CENTRAL

|  | 232 | 257 | 24 | 7 | 25 | 7 | 39 | 15.17 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Campion | 141 | 140 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 21 | 15.00 |
| Enterprise | 174 | 184 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 23 | 12.50 |
| Platte Valley | 140 | 107 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 24 | 22.42 |
| Sunnydale |  |  | 39 | 22 | 52 | 22 | 109 | 65.09 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Blue Mountain | 404 | 419 | 30 | 10 | 22 | 3 | 65 | 15.51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garden State | 142 | 136 | 1 |  | 4 |  | 5 | 3.67 |
| Highland View | 116 | 126 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 28 | 22.22 |
| Mount Vernon | 278 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Pine Forge | 170 | 163 | 3 |  | 3 |  | 7 | 4.29 |
| Shendandoah | 388 | 396 | 32 | 12 | 54 |  | 66 | i6. 65 |
|  |  |  | 79 | 28 | 89 | 6 | 17 | 62.35 |
| LAKE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adelphian | 178 | 190 | 5 | 9 | 5 |  | 19 | 10.00 |
| Broadview | 242 | 254 | 7 | 5 | 14 | 2 | 28 | 11.02 |
| Cedar Lake | 311 | 326 | 4 | 8 | 24 | 7 | 43 | 13.19 |
| Indiana | 210 | 223 | 13 | 7 | 28 | 8 | $43+$ | 19.28 |
| Wisconsin | 231 | 253 | 5 | 6 | 23 | 4 | 38 | 15.01 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 35 | 94 | 21 | 17 | 68.50 |

APPENDIX B, (continued)


NORTH PACIFIC

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auburn | 476 | 481 | 31 | 12 | 36 | 11 | 90 | 18.71 |
| Gem State | 230 | 241 | 14 | 3 | 19 | 10 | 46 | 19.08 |
| Laurelwood | 368 | 414 | 26 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 71 | 17.14 |
| Milo | 284 | 335 | 25 | 7 | 6 | 34 | 72 | 21.49 |
| Mount Ellis | 194 | 203 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 30 | 14.77 |
| Upper Columbia | 324 | 337 | 26 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 56 | 16.61 |
|  |  |  | 124 | 56 | 98 | 77 | 674 | 107.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NORTHERN


FACIFIC

| Hawaiian Mission | 245 | 270 | 14 |  | 7 | 5 | 26 | 9.62 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monterey Bay | 519 | 557 | 20 | 30 | 17 | 4 | 71 | 12.74 |
| Newtury Park | 320 | 340 | 4 | 20 | 27 | 5 | 56 | 16.47 |
| Rio lindo | 358 | 385 | 17 | 35 | 34 | 5 | 74 | 19.22 |
| San Pasqual | 251 | 276 | 12 | 14 | 31 | 6 | 51 | 18.47 |
| Thunderbird | 307 | 333 | 15 | 17 | 22 | 18 | 72 | 21.62 |

SOUTHERN

| Bass Memorial | 178 | 143 | 10 | 2 | 32 | 5 | 49 | 34.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fletcher | 233 | 252 | 22 | 15 | 40 | 3 | 80 | 31.74 |
| forest lake | 422 | 444 | 24 | 13 | 17 |  | 89 + | 20.04 |
| Ga.-Cumberland | 245 | 260 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 4 | 39 | 15.00 |
| Groveland | 39 | 43 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 34 | 79.06 |
| Harbert hills | 59 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Highland | 158 | 136 | 7 | 5 | 17 | 4 | 33 | 24.26 |
| Laurelbrook | 90 | 89 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 16.85 |
| Little Creek | NR | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mount Pisgah | 218 | 233 | 20 | 4 | 16 | 1 | 41 | 17.59 |
| Pine Forest | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Stonecave Inst. | NR | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 4 | 33.33 |
|  |  |  | 115 | 68 | 149 | 24 | 384 | 272.13 |



## APPENDIX B, (continued) <br> NORTH AMERICAN DAY ACADEMY LOSSES <br> 1979

| UNIONS/ACADEMIES | Qoen <br> Sept. <br> 1978 | Clos. June 1979 | Exp. or Asked to Withdraw | Tr. Acad. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Tr. } \\ \text { Pub. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drop } \\ & \text { Out. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Losses } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { of } \\ \text { closing } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATLANTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bermuda institute | 120 | 120 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2.5 |  |
| Greater Boston | 94 | 101 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 5.94 |  |
| Greater New York | 242 | 240 | 3 |  | 1 | 3 | 7 | 2.91 |  |
| Northeastern | 240 | 245 |  | , | 8 | 3 | 16 + | 6.53 |  |
| Pine Tree Memorial | 68 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 5 | 6.75 |  |
| South Lancaster | 153 | 165 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 9 | $29+$ | 17.57 |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | 15 | 27 | 17 | 66 | 42.20 | $7.06 *$ |
| Canadian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fraser Valley | 110 | 112 |  |  |  |  | 39 | 12.74 |  |
| Newfoundland | 46 | 46 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 10.86 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44 | 23.60 | 11.80* |
| CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccllege View | NR | 114 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 6 | 5.26 |  |
| Mile High | 145 | 146 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 8.90 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 15 | 14.16 | 7.08* |
| COLJMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spring ValleyTakoma | 115 | 111 |  | 6 |  |  | 6 | 5.40 |  |
|  | 579 | 592 |  | 4 | 11 | 6 | 21 | 3.54 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10 | 11 | 6 | 27 | 8.94 | 4.47* |
| I AKE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andrews U. Academy | 348 | 369 | 2 | 21 | 6 | 11 | 40 | 10.84 |  |
| Battle Creek | 81 | 84 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2.38 |  |
| Grand Ledge | 81 | 83 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 13.25 |  |
| Frank L. Peterson | 74 | 76 | 1 |  | 7 |  | 8 | 10.52 |  |
| Shiloh | 119 | 125 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | 6 | 4.80 |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | 24 | 17 | 14 | 67 | 41.79 | 8.36* |
| NORTH PACIFIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia <br> Portland Adventist <br> Walia Walla Valley | 162 | 168 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 8.92 |  |
|  | 273 | 296 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 30 | 10.13 |  |
|  | 266 | 286 | 11 | 5 | 16 | 7 | 39 | 13.63 |  |
|  |  |  | 16 | 21 | 31 | 16 | 84 | 32.68 | 10.89* |

APPENDIX B,(continued)

Open Clos. Exp. or
Sept. June Asked to Tr. Tr. Drop Total : of
UNIONS/ACADEMIES 19781979 Withdraw Acad. Pub. Out. Losses Closing

PACIFIC UNION

|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Collegedale | 337 | 354 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 36 | 10.16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greater Miami | 65 | 71 |  |  | 7 |  | 7 | 9.85 |
| Madison | 132 | 144 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 23 + | 15.97 |
| Oakwood College | 88 | 103 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1.94 |
|  |  |  | 12 | 7 | 32 | 16 | 68 | 37.92 |
| SOUTHWESTERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chisholm Trail | 236 | 252 |  | 5 | 5 | 8 | 18 | 7.14 |
| Valley Grande | 153 | 129 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |

```
APPENDIX B,(continued)
```

Open Clos. Exp. or
Sept.June Asked to Tr. Tr. Drop Total : of : Closing 19781979 Withdraw Acad. Pub. Out. Losses Closing Boarding

UNION TOTALS

| Atlantic | i0 | 15 | 27 | 17 | 66 | 7.06 | 20.57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canadian |  |  |  |  | 44 | 11.30 | 10.27 |
| Central | 2 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 19 | 7.08 | 16.27 |
| Columbia |  | 10 | 11 | 6 | 27 | 4.47 | 12.47 |
| Lake | 10 | 24 | 17 | 14 | 67 | 8.36 | 13.70 |
| North Pacific | 16 | 21 | 31 | 16 | 84 | 10.89 | 17.93 |
| Northern |  | No Da | Acad | mies |  |  |  |
| Pacific Union | 32 | 55 | 148 | 40 | 325 | 8.35 | 11.94 |
| Southern | 12 | 7 | 32 | 16 | 68 | 9.48 | 30.24 |
| Southwestern |  | 5 | 5 | 8 | 18 | 7.14 | 18.79 |
| Totals | 142 | 141 | 280 | 121 | 718 | 8.29 | 169.69 |
| + Indicates errors in report as printed by $C C$ report. The total loss number has been used in computing percentage of clasing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX

## LETTERS

1. Letter from E. S. Reile, president of Mid-America Union, to parents of academy-age students.
2. Letter from Randall Fox, Director of Education for Mid-America Union, to parents of academy-age students which accompanied the survey instrument.
3. Letter from Randall Fox reminding those parents who had not returned the survey to do so.
4. Second letter from Randall Fox reminding those parents who had not returned the survey to do so.
5. Last, humorous letter from Randall Fox reminding those parents who had not returned the survey to do so.


# MID-AMERICA HEADQUARTERS of SEVENTH - DAY ADVENTISTS 




December 24, 1981

Dear
A letter from your church is probably not an everyday occurrence, especially one from the Mid-America Union office, and you are probably wondering what special activity or event demands a special notification.

The Mid-America Union is attempting to strengthen the education ministry in our church. Your family has been randomly selected to participate in a very important part of this project. in the next three weeks, you will be receiving in the mail a survey from our educational department. The puroose of the survey is to help us better understand our members' views and attitudes towards the church's boarding academies.

Out of the 2700 families with high school youth in the Mid-America Union, we have randomly selected 350 . Your family has been selected and your response is very much needed. !our answers to the survey will be confidential and a number will be given to jour answer sheet to protect your privacy.

Thank you so much for heloing us provide jou with better opportunities for Christian education. Please remember to quickly complete and return the survey when it arrives at your home. May God continue to bless and enrich your family.

E. S. Reile

President
bd


January 14, 1982

# MID-AMERICA HEADQUARTERS of SEVENTH - DAY ADVENTISTS 

gentral union conference
CENTRAL STATES COI ORADO KANSAS MISSOURI VEBRASKA WVCMMNG

Dear
Some time ago Elder E. S. Peile, Mid-America Union oresident, wrote to jou concerning participation in a Union-wide survey. I am sure as you complete the survey that you will understand how vital your feelings and attitudes are to us. We need your opinion so we can better operate our boarding schools.

As Elder Reile mentioned, your opinions will be confidential and your orivacy guarded by referring to your survey by number. If you wish a copy of the survey results, please write to my office requesting a copy of the survey report. Allow 6-8 weeks for the survey results to be tabulated.

Please read the survey carefully and answer the statements in accordance with your thinking. Then place it in the envelope provided and mail within three days. Thank you for your cooperation and in helping is to serve you better.

Sincerely,


Randall Fox
Birector of Education
bd $^{-}$
Enc. 2

February 19, 1982


# MID-AMERICA HEADQUARTERS of SEVENTH - DAY ADVENTISTS 

GENTRAL LINION CONFERENCE CENTRAL STATES COLORADO KANSAS MISSOURI NEBRASKA WYOMIV:

Dear Parents:
Just a short reminder that we have not received your survey concerning the boarding academy. The response thus far has been excellent and we want you to know how valuable your survey is to the project. As the rate of returns increases, our accuracy in discerning your opinions is much greater.

I have enclosed another survey in case you have misplaced the first. If you have already mailed your first survey, please disregard this letter.

Thanks again for your contribution to this oroject. We appreciate so much your opinions and your takina the time to complete the survey.

Sincerely,

Randall Fox
Jirector of Education
bd.
Enc. 2


# MID-AMERICA HEADQUARTERS of SEVENTH - DAY ADVENTISTS 

CENTRAL. LNKKN CTMFF RINEI
CENTRAL STAIIS COI OFAIX) KANSAS MISSXURI NEBRASKA WYGMINI

Marcin 1, 1982

Dear Parent:
A few weeks aqo I sent you a survey concerning the SDA boarding academies in our Union. It is very important that every family selected for the survey complete the form and return it as soon as possible. Your odinions and attitudes are important to us and will be used to guide decisions concerning the future operation of our school system.

Would it be possible for you to take a few moments in the next few days to complete the survey and return it? As each survey is returned, the accuracy of the project becomes greater, so you can see how vital your returned survey is to us. We sincerely want to know how you feel concerning the various issues. Thank you so much.

If you have already completed and returned your survey, please accept our appreciation and disregard this card.

Sincerely,

$$
\because \quad \text { Kex }
$$

Randall $50 x$ Education Director for the Mid-America Union
bd


## MID-AMERICA HEADQUARTERS of SEVENTH - DAY ADVENTISTS

central union conference.

CENTRAL STATES COLORADO KAYAK MISSOURI NEBRASKA WYOMIN;

March 11, 1982

Dear Parents:
When you saw our return address on the envelope today, you probably wanted to shout "Not that survey again!" I certainly can't blame you, but we need only 40 more return surveys to make a $75 \%$ return rate.

If only to shut us up or even humor us, could you help us obtain the last 40 by filling out jour survey and returning it in the self-addressed envelope. (Should you have sent in your survey, please disregard this letter.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sincerely, } \\
& \text { fendaill Fox } \\
& \text { Randall Fox } \\
& \text { Director of Education }
\end{aligned}
$$

bd ${ }^{-}$

## APPENDIX 0

## SURVEY INSTRUMENT

## APPENDIX 0

```
U:S-2MER:CM SN:NH FIRETTA, MTY!TVDE SURJE:
```

```
-nank iou far :aking =ne :ime to dar:ic'oate n! our survev. olease answer eazn
iues:ign in aceorcance w::h your ininking. nc: what you be:ieve others think. Arswer
:ne col:ow:nc zues:ions gv olzeing an , x! 'n fron: of the number that mosi corre-
zaoncs :o rour s.:_a:`n.
EET:こ: :
\therefore. -ne 'ncivicua!'s' comole:ing =nis surve, :s iare: the:
    _: Farner
    ___Motre.
    _ juarcias: = E:ev-garent
        : Sct% fatner inc metner
\therefore. The numeer g' senöl-zae youth in rour nome is:
```



```
j. -enc:r ze SJü cours= memberseio:
            nussar:I
                                    wise
        _: 3 - इyezrs
```

```ミ \(3-5\) years
        2 5-:0 yexrs __ 5 -i0 vecrs
    __:-:5 .u_rs ___:O :l-i5 years
    __ E-zn lezrs __: :on-zj fears
        \: 2:-25 leses ___2 3:-25 vears
    __ =5-50 ears ___: 26-50 years
    __ ":% a Ter:er _& Vc: a mencer
```



```
        : E j05 - :!2.:OS a jear
        Z: :.OOC - :S.JCO a jear
        # =0.0rig - =?.200 a vear
        : ここ.こ00 - ミ氵.ここ0 a vear
        35.Jここ - $2.300 a year
        \vdots ij.j05 - シo.jC0 a yejr
        EC.zcr - anc jo a year
ミ. -usvana's ade is:
        : #2- #
    __ 39 - :5
        : 26 - ミj
        : 5! - anc oider
        S 2oes no: as=iy
```


## APPENDIX $3,($ cont inued）

```
Earen:a; A::`:uce E,rvev, gaqe z
\therefore. n!'és aqe :s:
    : 河 - 況
        :3 - -5
        \Xi 46 - ミ氵
        : E: - anc j`cer
        # joes ne: ze=ly
```



```
        : Nefkiy
        : uonこ=`,
        # je:com
        : ic: z: z":
        # こoes n5: aこ=i%
```



```
        : neer':
        :Mon+m:y
        ` se?com
        : Vo: 3: ali
        \ Joes not zoply
J. : nave :Me following scnool-age chi!deen in an SDA school:
    #`emen:ar. jge tign Ecmoni age Eoilege age
```




```
        _ or more _2 j or more
```



```
    :A. u., -mis'ren is are: in
            : Fa: acrcem.
            Z Esarcinc acacemy
            Z iunior zcadery :9in and :Oth gracers:
            - Seis-sucjor:inc SDA seroo:
            # F:her :yoes
```



```
    :. nitich g* :ne collowing nac the most influence in your crild's going ic board-
        •חתֻ aczcer.,: 'glease sheck on:y one il: aniswer.:
            : Eazner
            __ Mcther
            ___ E:ucen: nimseif
            ___ Iuisite influence of nome
            ___ sinnown
```


## APPENDIX $0,($ continued)

```
Zuren:3' it::iuce E!rvey, oage J
Answer aues:!2m5:= anc !3 oniy " you nave r:on senool-age youth in outlic ar
zr'va:E non-5:# 5crocis.
    :\therefore. : na,o :ne milowing mign scrcol-aqe cn:ldren in public or orivate non-jä
        :=ngכi:
```

$\qquad$

``` :
```

$\qquad$

```
        ___
        __: \vdots gr more
    :Z. .'mon :* :he foilowinc qaj the mose inf?uence in childs gotne :o zu=lis
        or grivate non-50, mion senjo': Flease eneck only one il) arswer.
```

$\qquad$

``` : =zener
        ___ Mi:ner
        \vdots :-joer: mimse:e
        : G:Sve ra`luence g' nome
        # nknown
```

ミEこ:OR: :
jeiow are reasons wn: zarents senc :heir youth to SDA boardinc senoois. Fiease
tircie the number ina: mos: aarees with your thinking.

-DMME:

## APPENDIX D,(continued)

| EE5TIOM : 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2lease circle the number which shows the defree to which the following items in your :udgmen: may be reasons for not sendinq chi:dren to an Adventist secondary boarding sence?. |  |  |  |
| 20. The expense is toc greaz. | ! | 2 | 3 |
| 2:. Jissatisfaction witr domitory concedt. | : | 2 | 3 |
| 22. Jissatisiaction with academic quality | - | 2 | 3 |
| 23. Sissatisfaciton with lack of work edoortunity. | - | 2 | 3 |
| 24. Jissatistaciion with suderioision of students. | - | 2 | ! |
| :5. Ehila does not want so atiend. | ! | 2 | 三 |
| io. SDA boarcinc sencols are not different enough erom suoli: nign senocls. | - | 2 | 3 |

gompgits:

SECT:ON IY
For the next questions, piease circle the number in Ene Dox wnich mos: acrees with your thinking.
27. Enristian educai:on at a SJA nign school is nica but net necessary for my enifdren's education.
29. Vinen and senth-grade youtn snould no: de giaced in a dormitory situation.
29. $\quad$ would senc my enildren to pusitic nign schooi rather than :s send them away from home $t 0$ attend $\therefore$ Sün boerding school


## APPENOIX D.(continued)



このMnEvTS:


EOMMEV:S:

APPENDIX E

## SAMPLE OF CENSUS CARD

APPERDIX E
YOUTH CENSUS

SPECIAI NOIES

Bakel, john Edward. "A Comparative Stuciy of Parental Characteristics and Attitudes Related to Pupil iransfer from an Enrollment in Sarochial schūl." Dh. O. dissertation, Saint !ouis University, 1974.

Balleweq, George Edward, Jr. "The Growth in the Number and Population of Christian Schools since 1966: A Profile of Parental Views Concerning Factors Which Led Them to Enroll Their Children in a Christian School." Ed.D. dissertation, Boston University School of Education, 1980.

Bartlett, Virgil Louis. "A Study to Determine the Effect of Dormitory Experience and Non-dormitory Experience on Students in Seventhday Adventist Secondary Schools." Ed.D. dissertation, Ball State University, 1971.

Bothwell, H. Roger. "Moral Development of Seventh-day Adventist High School Seniors Versus Other Parochial and Public High School Seniors." Ed.D. dissertation, Drake University, 1979.

Brehhe, Milo L. How Different Are People Who Attend Lutheran Schools? St. Louis: Concordia Publishina House, 1974.

Brosnan, Martin Francis. "A Study of the Factors Influencing the Transfer of Studencs from Catholic to Public Secondary Schools." Ed.D. dissertation, Wayne State University, 1971.

Caine, Warren. "A Study of Attitudes and Perception of Catholic School Parents and Teachers in New Orieans Parochial Schools as Compared to Selected Catholic Schools in Washington, D.C., and Deliver, Colorado." Ed.D. dissertation, George Peabody College for Teachers, 1979.

Damm, John Silver. "The Growth and Decline of Lutheran Parochial Schools in the United States, 1638-1962." Ph.D. dissertation, Columbia University, 1963.

JuPreez, Ingram Frank. "Moral and Religious Problems and Attitudes as Expressed by Students in Seventin-day Adventist Academies in the United States and Canada." Ed.D. dissertation, Andrews University, 1977.


```
Koss, L. V. Private and Secondary Education, A Comparative Study.
    Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 193i.
Leary, Albert Richard. "Catholic Education in the Diocese of
    Bismarck, North Dakota: Attituses and Alternatives." Ed.D.
    dissertation, University of North Dakota, 1975.
Lewis, Larry Malberne. "Reliaious Effects of Seventh-day Adventist
        Parochial Education." Ph.D. dissertation, Buston University
    Graduate School, 1974.
Metcalfe, Howard Eli. "A Study of the Attitudes of Parents towards
        Seventh-day Adventist Education in the Columbia Union
        Conference." Ph.D. dissertation, The Catholic University of
        America, 1969.
Noble, Loel Nathanael. "Certain Religious and Educational Attitudes
        of Senior High School Students in Seventh-day Adventist
        Schools in the Pacific Northwest." Ph.D. dissertation,
        University of Oregon, 1971.
Powers, <yrin. "Factors Affecting the Deciining in Enrollment in the
    Catholic Secondary Schools of the Diocese of Brooklyn." Ph.D.
    dissertation, Fordham University, 1974.
Schiffgrens, Marie Michelle. "A Study of the Attitudes and
    Perception of Catholic Parents towards Catholic Education in
    Metrodolitan Des Moines, Iowa." Ph.D. dissertation, The
    University of Iowa, 1969.
"Small Sample Technique." NEA Research Bulletin, 38. (December
        1960):99.
Stephen, james Robert. "A Study of Enroliment and Operating
    Conaitions in the Seventh-day Adventist Schools." Ph.D.
    dissertation, University of Michigan, 1979.
Walker, Hampton Eugene. "A Study cf Differences in Expressed Values
    af High School Seniors of Selected Secondary Schools." Dh.D.
    dissertation, University of Maryland Graduate School, 1969.
Wilkins, Elizabeth May. "A Comparative Study of Critical Thinking Skil's, Dogmatism and American College Testing Program Scores of Seventh-day Adventist College Freshmen Graduated from Church Related and Public Secondary Schools." Ed.D. dissertation, Andrews University, 1979.
```

```
NAME: Lawrence Gilbert Kromann
```

EDUCATION:
1965 Bachelor of Arts - Theology
Pacific Union College
Angwin, California
1967 Master of Divinity Andrews University

1982 Doctor of Education - Reliạious Education Andrews University

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

```
1967-69 Youth Pastor
    Central California Conference of SDA
    Assignment: San Francisco, 1967-8;
            Modesto, 1968-69
:969-72 vouth Pastor
            Ypper Columbia Conference of SDA
            walla Walla College area
:972-i3 Youth Co-ordinator
            IDver Columbia Conference of SDA
            Spokane area
1973-73 Director of Education/Adventist Youth/Temperance
            Iowa Conference of SDA
```



```
            North Dakota Conference of SDA
1980 - Jirector of Education/Adventist Youth/TemDerance/
                    Communications
            Minnesota Conference of SDA
```

!077 Appointed by Governor R. D. Ray to the Private School Advisory Committee for the State of Iowa.

1982 ADDointed member of Minnesota Nonpublic School Accrediting Association.
:982 ADpointed member by Commissioner of Education, John Feda, to the Nonpublic School Study Committee.


[^0]:    : There were 24 not deliverable, but 7 were eiminated because ieither hustand nor wife were SDA church members.

[^1]:    Finances
    The study revealed that 46 percent of those parents not sending their young people wanted to but felt they could not afford the expense. The following recommendations are made to provide more financial assistance:
    i. That study be given to reducing the total cost of board-ing-school attendance by requiring all students to work as part of the school program. This would mean they would receive no monies for

