University of Dayton eCommons

Biology Faculty Publications

Department of Biology

2016

The Impact of Oxygen on Bacterial Enteric Pathogens

Nathan Wallace *University of Dayton*

Ashley N. Zani University of Dayton

Elizabeth A. Abrams *University of Dayton*

Yvonne Sun *University of Dayton*, ysun02@udayton.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/bio_fac_pub

Part of the <u>Biology Commons</u>, <u>Biotechnology Commons</u>, <u>Cell Biology Commons</u>, <u>Genetics Commons</u>, <u>Microbiology Commons</u>, and the <u>Molecular Genetics Commons</u>

eCommons Citation

Wallace, Nathan; Zani, Ashley N.; Abrams, Elizabeth A.; and Sun, Yvonne, "The Impact of Oxygen on Bacterial Enteric Pathogens" (2016). *Biology Faculty Publications*. 245.

https://ecommons.udayton.edu/bio_fac_pub/245

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biology at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biology Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu, mschlangen1@udayton.edu.

1

Metabolic determinants in *Listeria monocytogenes* anaerobic listeriolysin O production

Nathan Wallace^a, Eric Newton^a, Elizabeth Abrams^a, Ashley Zani^a, Yvonne Sun^{a*}

^aDepartment of Biology, University of Dayton, 300 College Park, Dayton, OH 45469

*Corresponding Author

Phone: (937)229-3023

Fax: (937)229-2012

Address:

University of Dayton-Biology 2320

300 College Park, Dayton, OH 45469

Acknowledgement

Transmission electron microscopy was performed with the assistance of Barbara Miller at the Nanoscale Engineering Science and Technology Laboratory at University of Dayton. N. Wallace is supported by Graduate Student Summer Fellowship from University of Dayton. E. Newton and A. Zani are undergraduate students supported by College of Arts and Sciences Dean's Summer Fellowship and Department of Biology, respectively. E. Abrams is an undergraduate student supported by University Honors Program. Both E. Abrams and Y. Sun are supported by American Heart Association Grants in Aid (16GRNT27260219).

Abstract

Listeria monocytogenes is a human pathogen and a facultative anaerobe. To better understand how anaerobic growth affects *L. monocytogenes* pathogenesis, we first showed that anaerobic growth led to decreased growth and changes in surface morphology. Moreover, compared to aerobically grown bacteria, anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes* established higher level of invasion but decreased intracellular growth and actin polymerization in cultured cells. The production of listeriolysin O (LLO) was significantly lower in anaerobic cultures—a phenotype observed in wildtype and isogenic mutants lacking transcriptional regulators SigB or CodY or harboring a constitutively active PrfA. To explore potential regulatory mechanisms, we established that addition of central carbon metabolism intermediates, such as acetate, citrate, fumarate, pyruvate, lactate, and succinate, led to an increase in LLO activity in the anaerobic culture supernatant. These results highlight the regulatory role of central carbon metabolism in *L. monocytogenes* pathogenesis under anaerobic conditions.

Keywords

Anaerobic metabolism; virulence regulation; tricarboxylic acid cycle

Introduction

Listeria monocytogenes is a foodborne pathogen and a leading cause of death from foodborne illnesses (Scallan et al. 2011). While immuno-competent individuals may develop mild gastroenteritis after ingestion of large amounts of *L. monocytogenes*, immuno-compromised individuals have a higher risk of developing systemic infections. These infections can cause more severe symptoms and lead to fatal outcomes despite early antibiotic treatments. Therefore, there is a need to better understand *L. monocytogenes* behavior during transmission to develop effective strategies to prevent infections. Upon ingestion, *L. monocytogenes* transits through the gastrointestinal tract and must adapt to host lumenal conditions in order to establish infections. However, despite the fact that the intestinal lumen is characterized by varying degrees of oxygenation (He et al. 1999), most of our understanding of *L. monocytogenes* pathogenesis is based on research conducted under aerobic conditions. The extent and the mechanism by which anaerobic exposure impacts *L. monocytogenes* pathogenesis is unclear.

As a facultative anaerobe, *L. monocytogenes* can grow under strict anaerobic conditions with altered carbon metabolism. Chemical analyses have shown that in the presence of oxygen, *L. monocytogenes* incompletely oxidizes glucose to acetate, lactate, and acetoin. In the absence of oxygen, *L. monocytogenes* produces lactate as its major fermentation product along with ethanol, formate, and carbon dioxide (Pine et al. 1989; Romick et al. 1996; Romick and Fleming 1998; Jydegaard-Axelsen et al. 2004). Moreover, transcriptional analyses using *L. monocytogenes* strain EGD showed a decreased transcript level for genes encoding pyruvate dehydrogenase and those

involved in acetoin synthesis under anaerobic conditions (Müller-Herbst et al. 2014). Genes encoding phosphotransferases systems also exhibited differential transcript levels in response to suboxic conditions (Toledo-Arana et al. 2009). Together these studies suggest that oxygen levels play a key role in regulating carbon metabolism in *L. monocytogenes*. However, it is not clear whether or how these metabolic adaptations influence *L. monocytogenes* pathogenesis under anaerobic conditions.

L. monocytogenes is an intracellular pathogen capable of growing and spreading between the cytosol of mammalian host cells. Its ability to invade non-phagocytic cells contributes to invasion of intestinal epithelium and subsequent systemic infections.

Available evidence suggests that anaerobic growth results in an enhanced invasion phenotype (Bo Andersen et al. 2007; Burkholder et al. 2009). However, the subsequent intracellular growth in the aerobic host cytosol is not known. Moreover, the signals mediating the anaerobic effects on L. monocytogenes infection have not been established. In this study, to provide a better understanding of L. monocytogenes behavior under anaerobic conditions, we investigated how anaerobic growth and the associated signals from anaerobic metabolism affect L. monocytogenes pathogenesis

Materials and Methods:

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Culture of the wild-type and isogenic mutants of *L. monocytogenes* strain 10403s were grown from colonies on a freshly streaked brain heart infuction (BHI) plate (<1 week old) at 37°C. Mutants used in this study include those with clean deletion in sigB ($\Delta sigB$) and codY ($\Delta codY$) and one with a constitutively active PrfA (PrfA*) (Bruno and

Freitag 2010). All cultures were grown in filter-sterilized BHI media (Lot 4176589) to ensure consistency. Aerobic cultures were grown with agitation at 250 RPM to ensure adequate oxygen diffusion. Anaerobic cultures were grown in a temperature-controlled incubator inside an anaerobic chamber (Coy Laboratory, Type A) with a nitrogenous atmosphere containing 2.5% hydrogen. Optical density (OD) was measured in an optically clear 96-well plate at 600 nm with a volume of 200 μL per well using a 96-well plate reader (Biotek Synergy4). Supplements included sodium acetate (Fisher Scientific BP334-500), sodium fumarate (Acros Organics AC21553-1000), sodium succinate (Acros Organics AC20874-5000), sodium citrate (Fisher Scientific S279-500), acetoin (Acros Organics AC 41195-100), sodium pyruvate (Alfa Aesar A11148), and lithium lactate (Acros Organics 413331000). All supplements were prepared as 1 M stock solutions in deionized water, filter-sterilized, and added directly to the media to the desired concentration before inoculation.

Measurement of lactate, acetoin, and ethanol concentrations

Supernatant lactate was measured using a commercially available enzymatic kit following the manufacturer's suggested protocol (Fisher 50-489-257). The Voges-Proskauer test (Nicholson 2008) was adapted to quantify acetoin production in the supernatant of overnight *L. monocytogenes* cultures. Supernatant or standard (100 μL) was placed into a sterile micro-centrifuge tube followed by additions of 70 μL of .5% creatine monohydrate (Sigma C3630-100G), 100 μL of 1-Napthol (Sigma N1000-10G), and 100 μL of 40% KOH (Chempure 831-704) in 95% EtOH. Samples were centrifuged between each addition, and incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes after the final

addition. After incubation 200 µL of each sample was placed into a flat bottom 96-well plate and the absorbance was read at 560 nm. A standard curve was constructed to calculate the concentration of acetoin in culture supernatant samples. Ethanol percentage was measured using a commercially available enzymatic kit following manufacturer's suggested protocol (Fisher 50-489-254).

Transmission electron microscopy

Overnight aerobic and anaerobic cultures of *L. monocytogenes* were visualized using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Bacterial cultures (3 mL) were spun down to collect pellets, which were first fixed using 2 mL of a 2% paraformaldehyde (Alfa Aesar 30525-89-4) and 2% glutaraldehyde (Alfa Aesar 111-30-8) in phosphate buffer solution for 24 hours at 4°C. Following fixation, cells were washed 3 times for 10 minutes in phosphate buffer. Washed cells were then post fixed using a 2% solution of OsO₄ in phosphate buffer for 24 hours at 4°C. Following post fixation cells were stained with a 2% lead citrate in phosphate buffer solution at 4°C for 24 hours. After staining the cells were treated to a series of dehydrations in ethanol (30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, 100%) each for 10 minutes. The dehydrated cells were then embedded in API-PON 812 epoxy resin monomer (SPI-CHEM 90529-77-4) and dried for 24 hours at 70°C in an oven. The dried samples were sectioned using an ultramicrotome with a diamond blade to 100 nm sections. The sections were then embedded on lacy carbon grids and read using a Hitachi H-7600 Transmission Electron Microscope at 120kv. Measurements of cell envelope thickness were made using GNU Image Manipulation Program (GIMP).

Cell culture infection

The murine peritoneal macrophages RAW 264.7 (ATCC TIB-71), Caco-2 colorectal adenocarcinoma cells (ATCC HTB-37), and LS174T mucin-secreting colorectal adenocarcinoma cells (ATCC CL-188) were grown in DMEM media (Thermo Scientific SH30285.01) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (JRScientific REF 4365-500, Lot N056-6), HEPES (10 mM), and glutamine (2 mM) in a 37°C incubator with a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Prior to infections, cells were seeded in a 24-well tissue culture plate and grown for 14-18 hours. Overnight cultures of L. monocytogenes were used for infections at an MOI of 10. Bacteria diluted in cell culture medium were added to each well (500 µL) and incubated for 30 minutes. Following incubation media was aspirated and cells were washed twice with sterile DPBS. Fresh media (1 mL per well) containing 10 µg/mL gentamicin stock was added to each well. To enumerate intracellular bacteria, cell culture media was aspirated off and sterile 0.1% (v/v) triton X-100 was added to each well (200 µL per well) to lyse host cells. Lysates were diluted and spread on LB plates. Colonies on plates were counted using an automatic colony counter (Synbiosis aCOLyte 3) after 24-48 hours of incubation in a 37°C incubator.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

RAW264.7 macrophages were plated onto sterile coverslips (18 by 18 mm) inside 6-well plates at 1 million cells per well in the afternoon prior to infections.

Overnight *L. monocytogenes* cultures were washed twice and diluted in cell culture

media for infection at an MOI of 10. At 2 hpi, coverslips were fixed in paraformaldehyde (3.7% in PBS) overnight at 4°C. For immunofluorescence microscopy, each coverslip was washed with TBS-T (25 mM Tris-HCI, 150 mM NaCI, 0.1% Triton X-100) and blocked with TBS-T with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA). Anti-*Listeria* serum (1:500 in TBS-T with 1% BSA; Thermo Scientific PA1-30487) was added onto each coverslip and incubated at room temperature for one hour. Each coverslip was washed in 5 ml of TBS-T prior to incubation with secondary antibodies: phalloidin-iFluor 594 (1: 400, abcam ab176757) and AlexaFluor 488–goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:400, abcam ab150077) in TBS-T with 1% BSA. One hundred intracellular bacteria per experimental replicate were scored for the presence or absence of actin clouds.

Hemolytic assays

Hemolytic assays were performed using overnight culture supernatant samples to measure the activity of listeriolysin O (LLO). Each sample was incubated at room temperature with 0.1 M DTT (5 μL) for 15 minutes. A positive control (0.4% triton X-100) and a negative control (blank BHI media) were included for each experiment. After incubation, samples were serially diluted using hemolysis buffer containing: dibasic sodium phosphate (35 mM) and sodium chloride (125 mM) brought to pH 5.5 with acetic acid. Defibrinated sheep's blood (Hemostat Laboratories DSB050) was diluted to a hematocrit of 2% and then added to each sample for a final hematocrit of 1%. Samples were incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. After incubation, all samples were spun down at 2000 RPM for 5 minutes to pellet intact blood cells. Supernatant lysate (120 μL) was transferred to a flat bottom 96-well plate for OD measurement at 541 nm as an indicator

for LLO activity. Hemolytic unit was calculated as the inverse of the dilution factor at which half complete lysis occurred and subsequently normalized with original culture OD measured at absorbance at 600 nm. Samples that did not produce lysis at a level more than half of complete lysis were designated as "Below Detection" for their hemolytic units. Supernatant samples from anaerobic cultures typically generate activities at or slightly above "Below Detection" levels.

SDS-PAGE, silver staining, and immunoblotting

Samples from overnight cultures of *L. monocytogenes* were used for SDS-PAGE and western blotting. Cultures were normalized by optical density (600 nm) using BHI media and centrifuged to separate supernatant and bacterial cell pellets. Supernatant samples were precipitated with 1% trichloroacetic acid at 4°C for 1 hour. Following precipitation a cold acetone wash was performed. Both the pellet and supernatant samples were resuspended in 12 µL of 2x sample buffer and heated at 95°C for 5 min. The samples were then separated via SDS-PAGE (8% acrylamide in the separating gel). Following SDS-PAGE, gels were either subjected to silver staining (Thermo Scientific 24612) following manufacturer's protocol or proteins in gel were transferred to a PVDF membrane for subsequent immunoblotting using anti-LLO rabbit antibody (1:10,000, abcam ab43018) followed by goat-anti-rabbit HRP antibody (1:10,000, abcam ab6721). Bands were visualized using chemilluminescent substrate (BIO-RAD 170-5060) and captured with x-ray films (WorldWide Medical Products 41101002).

Results

Characterization of anaerobic growth by Listeria monocytogenes strain 10403s

Current knowledge of anaerobic metabolism in *L. monocytogenes* is built from research using different laboratory strains (Pine et al. 1989; Romick et al. 1996; Müller-Herbst et al. 2014). Strain 10403s is widely used as a model organism, but its anaerobic metabolism has not been investigated. Therefore, we first monitored in vitro growth of strain 10403s in the presence or absence of oxygen in standard BHI medium. As expected for a facultative anaerobe, static growth in the absence of oxygen resulted in a lower maximal optical density compared to agitated aerobic growth (Fig. 1A). Compared to aerobic growth, anaerobic growth of strain 10403s resulted in lower pH, higher concentrations of ethanol and lactic acid, and no detectable levels of acetoin (Table 1). Using TEM to visualize strain 10403s also highlighted a morphological difference between aerobically and anaerobically grown cells (Fig. 1B, C). Anaerobically grown strain 10403s exhibited a notably increased space between cytoplasm and the outer edge of the cells.

Effects of anaerobic exposure on cell culture infections

To determine the impact of anaerobic growth on *L. monocytogenes* infections, we infected murine macrophages (RAW264.7) and human colonic epithelial cells (Caco-2 and LS174T) with overnight *L. monocytogenes* grown under aerobic or anaerobic conditions. At 1 hpi, there was a significantly higher intracellular CFU in both Caco-2 (Fig. 2A) and LS174T (Fig. 2B) cells infected with anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes* compared to those infected with aerobically grown bacteria. We also investigated the impact of anaerobic growth on infection stages beyond the initial

invasion by monitoring intracellular growth of aerobically or anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes* in RAW264.7 macrophages. While there was a higher intracellular number of bacteria in macrophages infected with anaerobically grown bacteria at 1 hpi, intracellular growth by anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes* was significantly reduced in later time points post infection (Fig. 2C). Because intracellular growth relies on *L. monocytogenes* escape from phagosomes into the cytosol, we enumerated the proportion of cytosolic bacteria by measuring actin co-localization at 2 hpi inside macrophages. *L. monocytogenes* grown under anaerobic conditions exhibited significantly compromised actin co-localization compared to those grown under aerobic conditions (Fig. 2D). These data suggest that anaerobic growth has a strong effect on the outcome of infections. Moreover, because all infections were performed under aerobic conditions, the observed differences between aerobically and anaerobically grown bacteria suggest that anaerobic exposure may have a long-term impact on subsequent interactions with host cells under aerobic conditions.

Effects of anaerobic growth on LLO production

LLO is a secreted hemolysin and its pore-forming activity contributes to *L. monocytogenes* escape from phagosomes to the cytosol. Therefore, based on the infection phenotypes, we hypothesized that anaerobic growth, in contrast to enhancing invasion (Fig. 2A and 2B) (Bo Andersen et al. 2007; Burkholder et al. 2009), resulted in decreased LLO production. We tested supernatant samples from overnight aerobic or anaerobic cultures for LLO activities through hemolytic assays and found little to no detectable hemolytic activity in the anaerobic culture supernatant (Fig. 3A). Using

immunoblotting and silver staining, it was clear that while anaerobic growth did not alter the overall protein abundance in the supernatant (Fig. 3B bottom), it resulted in a clear decrease in LLO abundance (Fig. 3B top). Because LLO production can be regulated by multiple transcription factors PrfA, SigB, and CodY (Rauch et al. 2005; de las Heras et al. 2011; Lobel et al. 2015), we tested isogenic mutants lacking known transcriptional regulators SigB (ΔsigB) or CodY (ΔcodY) or harboring a constitutively active virulence master regulator PrfA (PrfA*) for their LLO production in response to anaerobic growth. While the PrfA* mutant exhibited higher levels of LLO production, all 3 mutants tested, similarly to wild type, produced significantly lower levels of LLO under anaerobic conditions compared to aerobic conditions (Fig. 3D). These results highlighted that LLO production is under strong regulation by the presence or absence of oxygen. Moreover, this anaerobic suppression of LLO production is not directly mediated by known virulence regulators PrfA, SigB, and CodY.

Effects of metabolic signals on anaerobic LLO production

To identify factors contributing to regulation of LLO production in response to the presence or absence of oxygen, we investigated the role of physiological and metabolic signals differentially generated during aerobic or anaerobic growth. We first considered the role of lactic acid, a fermentation acid produced from pyruvate during *L. monocytogenes* anaerobic growth, in regulation of LLO production. The signal from lactic acid could be two fold—the acidification of the medium or the organic acid itself. To test the role of medium acidification, we measured LLO activity in the supernatant of cultures grown in buffered medium to prevent medium acidification with or without

oxygen. In MOPS-buffered medium (pH 7.0), while there was no significant difference in pH between aerobic and anaerobic cultures (Table 1), LLO activity was significantly lower in anaerobic culture supernatant than that in aerobic culture supernatant (Fig. 4A). Exogenous supplementation of lactate (2 mM) resulted in increased LLO activity in both aerobic and anaerobic culture supernatant but didn't alleviate the relatively lower levels of anaerobic LLO production. (Fig. 4B). In contrast, exogenous supplementation of the aerobic metabolite, acetoin, did not affect LLO activity in aerobic or anaerobic cultures (Fig. 4C). These results suggest that while acetoin and lactate are both metabolite products of pyruvate, only lactate supplementation influenced anaerobic LLO production.

Effects of central carbon metabolites on LLO production

Lactate production is catalyzed by a reversible enzyme, lactate dehydrogenase, from pyruvate—a metabolite that connects to multiple carbon metabolic pathways in *L. monocytogenes* (Fig. 5A). Therefore, the effect of lactate on anaerobic LLO production is likely mediated by signals generated through pyruvate metabolism. When pyruvate was supplemented in the culture medium, we observed a dramatic increase in both aerobic and anaerobic LLO production (Fig. 5B). The pyruvate supplementation also resulted in an increase in acetoin production under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions (Fig. 5C), a phenotype suggesting exogenous pyruvate was taken up and metabolized. Because pyruvate is also metabolized to generate acetyl-coA for tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, we tested the effects of TCA intermediates on anaerobic LLO production. If increase in the carbon flux through pyruvate was important in

enhancing anaerobic LLO production, then supplementation of downstream metabolites in the TCA cycle should exhibit similar anaerobic enhancement of LLO production. Indeed, supplementations of acetate, citrate, succinate, and fumarate all resulted in higher levels of anaerobic LLO production (Fig. 5D). These data highlighted a potential role for central carbon metabolites in influencing LLO production in the absence of oxygen.

Discussion

As an enteric pathogen, *L. monocytogenes* encounters fluctuating levels of oxygen from the aerobic oral cavity to the anaerobic intestinal lumen. As a result, metabolic adaptations to anaerobic conditions are an inevitable process during intestinal phase of infections. Here we show that anaerobic growth resulted in major changes in carbon metabolism characterized by the lack of acetoin production and the increased production of lactate and ethanol. Ethanol concentrations for aerobic cultures may be underestimated because of the loss through culture agitation during aerobic growth. Curiously, anaerobic growth led to different morphologies under TEM. It is not clear if the differences in morphology are a result of specific structural differences or a result of different responses to TEM sample preparation processes. Both scenarios suggest surface modifications in anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes* that can potentially lead to changes in stress resistance during transit through the anaerobic lumen during intestinal phase of infections.

Anaerobic growth also resulted in significant changes in subsequent interactions with host cells under aerobic conditions. Anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes*

exhibited a significant increase in cell invasion but a significant decrease in actin colocalization and intracellular growth compared to aerobically grown bacteria. These results suggest that while anaerobic growth results in enhanced internalization into host cells, likely as a result of the increased expressions of internalins (Toledo-Arana et al. 2009) and LAP (Burkholder et al. 2009), it does not provide advantages in subsequent intracellular growth. Because L. monocytogenes entry into the host cytosol mainly relies on the activity of LLO (Hamon et al. 2012), the lack of actin co-localization phenotype can be partially attributed to the reduced LLO production exhibited by anaerobically grown bacteria. Alternatively, it is also possible that anaerobically grown L. monocytogenes have compromised intracellular expression of ActA, which facilitates actin polymerization as a means for bacterial motility and cell-cell spread. ActA is typically expressed by intracellular L. monocytogenes. However, the role of physiological or metabolic states of *L. monocytogenes* prior to entering host cells in intracellular ActA expression is not clear. Given the role of L. monocytogenes dissemination in lethal infections, knowledge of how extracellular conditions influence subsequent intracellular behavior can be used to develop strategies to restrict L. monocytogenes infections in the intestines without spreading to peripheral organs.

To begin investigating the regulatory mechanism, we first tested the anaerobic LLO production in isogenic mutants either lacking known transcription regulators ($\Delta sigB$ and ($\Delta codY$) or harboring constitutively active regulator (PrfA*). In all the mutants tested, hemolytic activities in anaerobic culture supernatant were significantly lower than those in aerobic culture supernatant. These results suggest that these known transcriptional regulators are not directly involved in the anaerobic suppression of LLO

production. L. monocytogenes genome contains 15 putative members in the Crp/Fnr protein family (Glaser et al. 2001), which is known for their ability to detect and respond to environmental signals such as fluctuating oxygen levels (Körner et al. 2003). Although mutations in each of these genes did not result in compromised growth in reduced oxygen conditions (Uhlich et al. 2006), these regulators may still play a direct or indirect role in detecting oxygen levels and modulating virulence gene expressions. In addition to the Crp/Fnr protein family, L. monocytogenes has 15 histidine kinases and 16 response regulators with demonstrated functions in fitness and pathogenesis (Flanary et al. 1999; Kallipolitis and Ingmer 2001; Cotter et al. 2002; Brøndsted et al. 2003; Kallipolitis et al. 2003; Dons et al. 2004; Williams et al. 2005; Larsen et al. 2006; Gottschalk et al. 2008; Collins et al. 2012; Nielsen et al. 2012; Vivant et al. 2014; Pöntinen et al. 2015). However, it's not clear how the signal transduction system is involved in *L. monocytogenes* anaerobic adaptations. Future investigations into their activities under anaerobic conditions can dramatically enrich our current understanding of *L. monocytogenes* anaerobic virulence regulation.

To further explore potential signals involved in the regulation of anaerobic LLO production, we first tested the effects of lactic acid, the main product of *L. monocytogenes* anaerobic metabolism, on anaerobic LLO production. We considered lactic acid as two separate signals, medium acidification and the organic acid itself, and found that the lower LLO production under anaerobic conditions compared to aerobic conditions cannot be explained by medium acidification or lactate. While lactate supplementation does not influence the potential suppression of anaerobic LLO production compared to aerobic LLO production, it enhances anaerobic LLO production

compared to no lactate anaerobic control. This led us to consider anaerobic carbon metabolism as part of the signaling pathway leading to decreased anaerobic LLO production. Lactate is typically produced by *L. monocytogenes* from pyruvate through a reversible enzyme, lactate dehydrogenase. Therefore, the exogenous supplementation of lactate may potentially be converted back to pyruvate, which can then enters multiple carbon metabolic pathways. In contrast, the lack of effect from acetoin suggests that the acetoin production is a non-reversible pathway or that the expression of pathway enzymes are suppressed under anaerobic conditions. To directly confirm the role of pyruvate, we tested and demonstrated the positive effects of exogenous pyruvate on LLO and acetoin production. The dramatic effects of pyruvate observed in our study suggest that LLO production is sensitive to modulation by signals generated through pyruvate metabolism.

The TCA cycle is one of the main metabolic pathways utilizing pyruvate as the main carbon substrate. L. monocytogenes has an incomplete TCA cycle (Fig. 5A), lacking 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase, succinyl-CoA synthetase, and succinic dehydrogenase (Trivett and Meyer 1971; Glaser et al. 2001). Although an incomplete TCA cycle is not an uncommon genotype in bacteria (Huynen et al. 1999), its presence often demands additional means for bacteria to generate TCA intermediates to support anabolic pathways. L. monocytogenes is capable of generating oxaloacetate from pyruvate by pyruvate carboxylase (Schär et al. 2010) and succinate from γ -aminobutyrate (GABA) by the glutamate decarboxylase system coupled with the GABA shunt under acid stress conditions (Cotter et al. 2001; Feehily et al. 2013). As a result, the carbon flux of TCA cycle in L. monocytogenes might not be unidirectional and might

change under different physiological conditions. In *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*, TCA cycle is known to be suppressed under anaerobic conditions (Gray et al. 1966; Spencer and Guest 1987; Nakano et al. 1998) and by catabolite repression (Nakano et al. 1998; Gosset et al. 2004). While catabolite repression has been associated with *L. monocytogenes* virulence regulation (Gilbreth et al. 2004), which is known to respond to the presence of fermentable carbohydrates (Behari and Youngman 1998), the anaerobic TCA cycle activities have not been investigated in detail. If TCA cycle activity is reduced in *L. monocytogenes* under anaerobic conditions similarly to *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*, our results showing the positive effects of TCA cycle intermediates on anaerobic LLO production suggest a connection between the reduced TCA cycle activity and the decreased anaerobic LLO production.

All TCA cycle intermediates, when supplemented exogenously, resulted in an increase in anaerobic LLO production. Curiously, only citrate supplementation led to a significantly decreased aerobic LLO production compared to no supplementation control. Citrate has a multifaceted role in bacterial metabolism and physiology. As an intermediate metabolite in the TCA cycle, it serves as a feedback molecule that binds to the catabolite control protein C (CcpC) and suppresses the transcription of the first two genes in the TCA cycle—citrate synthase (citZ) and aconitase (citB) (Kim et al. 2006; Mittal et al. 2009). However, when the intracellular level of citrate is artificially high, as established with citB mutation, citrate-bound CcpC acts as a transcriptional activator for citB (Mittal et al. 2013). Therefore, the relationship between citrate levels and CcpC activities is not linear. It is possible that the opposing effects of exogenous citrate on aerobic or anaerobic LLO production reflect the different intracellular citrate levels

achieved by exogenous citrate supplementations and the corresponding citrate synthase and aconitase activities under aerobic or anaerobic conditions.

In summary, our study highlights a critical role of anaerobic exposure in *L. monocytogenes* infections. *L. monocytogenes* grown anaerobically exhibit higher levels of internalization into host cells but compromised actin polymerization and intracellular growth, both of which might be attributed to the decreased LLO production. To better understand the mechanism underlying the anaerobic regulation of LLO production, our study suggest TCA cycle metabolites as positive signaling molecules for anaerobic LLO production. With anaerobic exposure a necessary step during infections, results from our study help strengthen current knowledge on *L. monocytogenes* adaptations and responses under anaerobic conditions.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Behari J, Youngman P (1998) Regulation of *hly* expression in *Listeria monocytogenes* by carbon sources and pH occurs through separate mechanisms mediated by PrfA. Infect Immun 66:3635–3642.
- Bo Andersen J, Roldgaard BB, Christensen BB, Licht TR (2007) Oxygen restriction increases the infective potential of *Listeria monocytogenes* in vitro in Caco-2 cells and in vivo in guinea pigs. BMC Microbiol 7:55. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-7-55
- Brøndsted L, Kallipolitis BH, Ingmer H, Knöchel S (2003) *kdpE* and a putative RsbQ homologue contribute to growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* at high osmolarity and low temperature. FEMS Microbiol Lett 219:233–239.
- Bruno JC, Freitag NE (2010) Constitutive activation of PrfA tilts the balance of *Listeria* monocytogenes fitness towards life within the host versus environmental survival. PloS One 5:e15138. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0015138
- Burkholder KM, Kim K-P, Mishra KK, et al (2009) Expression of LAP, a SecA2-dependent secretory protein, is induced under anaerobic environment. Microbes Infect 11:859–867. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2009.05.006
- Collins B, Guinane CM, Cotter PD, et al (2012) Assessing the contributions of the LiaS histidine kinase to the innate resistance of *Listeria monocytogenes* to nisin, cephalosporins, and disinfectants. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:2923–2929. doi: 10.1128/AEM.07402-11
- Cotter PD, Gahan CGM, Hill C (2001) A glutamate decarboxylase system protects Listeria monocytogenes in gastric fluid. Mol Microbiol 40:465–475. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02398.x
- Cotter PD, Guinane CM, Hill C (2002) The LisRK signal transduction system determines the sensitivity of *Listeria monocytogenes* to nisin and cephalosporins. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:2784–2790.
- de las Heras A, Cain RJ, Bieleckal MK, Vazquez-Boland JA (2011) Regulation of Listeria virulence: PrfA master and commander. Curr Opin Microbiol 14:118–127. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2011.01.005
- Dons L, Eriksson E, Jin Y, et al (2004) Role of flagellin and the two-component CheA/CheY system of *Listeria monocytogenes* in host cell invasion and virulence. Infect Immun 72:3237–3244. doi: 10.1128/IAI.72.6.3237-3244.2004
- Feehily C, O'Byrne CP, Karatzas KAG (2013) Functional γ-Aminobutyrate Shunt in Listeria monocytogenes: role in acid tolerance and succinate biosynthesis. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:74–80. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02184-12

- Flanary PL, Allen RD, Dons L, Kathariou S (1999) Insertional inactivation of the *Listeria monocytogenes che YA* operon abolishes response to oxygen gradients and reduces the number of flagella. Can J Microbiol 45:646–652.
- Gilbreth SE, Benson AK, Hutkins RW (2004) Catabolite repression and virulence gene expression in *Listeria monocytogenes*. Curr Microbiol 49:95–98. doi: 10.1007/s00284-004-4204-z
- Glaser P, Frangeul L, Buchrieser C, et al (2001) Comparative Genomics of *Listeria* Species. Science 294:849–852. doi: 10.1126/science.1063447
- Gosset G, Zhang Z, Nayyar S, et al (2004) Transcriptome analysis of Crp-dependent catabolite control of gene expression in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 186:3516–3524. doi: 10.1128/JB.186.11.3516-3524.2004
- Gottschalk S, Bygebjerg-Hove I, Bonde M, et al (2008) The two-component system CesRK controls the transcriptional induction of cell envelope-related genes in *Listeria monocytogenes* in response to cell wall-acting antibiotics. J Bacteriol 190:4772–4776. doi: 10.1128/JB.00015-08
- Gray CT, Wimpenny JW, Mossman MR (1966) Regulation of metabolism in facultative bacteria. II. Effects of aerobiosis, anaerobiosis and nutrition on the formation of Krebs cycle enzymes in *Escherichia coli*. Biochim Biophys Acta 117:33–41.
- Hamon MA, Ribet D, Stavru F, Cossart P (2012) Listeriolysin O: the Swiss army knife of *Listeria*. Trends Microbiol 20:360–368. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2012.04.006
- He G, Shankar RA, Chzhan M, et al (1999) Noninvasive measurement of anatomic structure and intraluminal oxygenation in the gastrointestinal tract of living mice with spatial and spectral EPR imaging. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:4586–4591.
- Huynen MA, Dandekar T, Bork P (1999) Variation and evolution of the citric-acid cycle: a genomic perspective. Trends Microbiol 7:281–291.
- Jydegaard-Axelsen A-M, Høiby PE, Holmstrøm K, et al (2004) CO2- and anaerobiosisinduced changes in physiology and gene expression of different *Listeria monocytogenes* strains. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:4111–4117. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.7.4111-4117.2004
- Kallipolitis BH, Ingmer H (2001) *Listeria monocytogenes* response regulators important for stress tolerance and pathogenesis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 204:111–115.
- Kallipolitis BH, Ingmer H, Gahan CG, et al (2003) CesRK, a two-component signal transduction system in *Listeria monocytogenes*, responds to the presence of cell wall-acting antibiotics and affects beta-lactam resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47:3421–3429.

- Kim H-J, Mittal M, Sonenshein AL (2006) CcpC-dependent regulation of *citB* and *Imo0847* in *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Bacteriol 188:179–190. doi: 10.1128/JB.188.1.179-190.2006
- Körner H, Sofia HJ, Zumft WG (2003) Phylogeny of the bacterial superfamily of Crp-Fnr transcription regulators: exploiting the metabolic spectrum by controlling alternative gene programs. FEMS Microbiol Rev 27:559–592.
- Larsen MH, Kallipolitis BH, Christiansen JK, et al (2006) The response regulator ResD modulates virulence gene expression in response to carbohydrates in *Listeria monocytogenes*. Mol Microbiol 61:1622–1635. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05328.x
- Lobel L, Sigal N, Borovok I, et al (2015) The metabolic regulator CodY links *Listeria* monocytogenes metabolism to virulence by directly activating the virulence regulatory gene *prfA*. Mol Microbiol 95:624–644. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12890
- Mittal M, Pechter KB, Picossi S, et al (2013) Dual role of CcpC protein in regulation of aconitase gene expression in *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Microbiol Read Engl 159:68–76. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.063388-0
- Mittal M, Picossi S, Sonenshein AL (2009) CcpC-Dependent Regulation of Citrate Synthase Gene Expression in *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Bacteriol 191:862–872. doi: 10.1128/JB.01384-08
- Müller-Herbst S, Wüstner S, Mühlig A, et al (2014) Identification of genes essential for anaerobic growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Microbiol Read Engl 160:752–765. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.075242-0
- Nakano MM, Zuber P, Sonenshein AL (1998) Anaerobic regulation of *Bacillus subtilis* Krebs cycle genes. J Bacteriol 180:3304–3311.
- Nicholson WL (2008) The *Bacillus subtilis ydjL* (*bdhA*) Gene Encodes Acetoin Reductase/2,3-Butanediol Dehydrogenase. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:6832–6838. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00881-08
- Nielsen PK, Andersen AZ, Mols M, et al (2012) Genome-wide transcriptional profiling of the cell envelope stress response and the role of LisRK and CesRK in *Listeria monocytogenes*. Microbiol Read Engl 158:963–974. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.055467-0
- Pine L, Malcolm GB, Brooks JB, Daneshvar MI (1989) Physiological studies on the growth and utilization of sugars by *Listeria* species. Can J Microbiol 35:245–254.
- Pöntinen A, Markkula A, Lindström M, Korkeala H (2015) Two-Component-System Histidine Kinases Involved in Growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* EGD-e at Low Temperatures. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:3994–4004. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00626-15

- Rauch M, Luo Q, Müller-Altrock S, Goebel W (2005) SigB-dependent in vitro transcription of *prfA* and some newly identified genes of *Listeria monocytogenes* whose expression is affected by PrfA in vivo. J Bacteriol 187:800–804. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.2.800-804.2005
- Romick TL, Fleming HP (1998) Acetoin production as an indicator of growth and metabolic inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Appl Microbiol 84:18–24.
- Romick TL, Fleming HP, McFeeters RF (1996) Aerobic and anaerobic metabolism of *Listeria monocytogenes* in defined glucose medium. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:304–307.
- Scallan E, Hoekstra RM, Angulo FJ, et al (2011) Foodborne illness acquired in the United States--major pathogens. Emerg Infect Dis 17:7–15. doi: 10.3201/eid1701.091101p1
- Schär J, Stoll R, Schauer K, et al (2010) Pyruvate carboxylase plays a crucial role in carbon metabolism of extra- and intracellularly replicating *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Bacteriol 192:1774–1784. doi: 10.1128/JB.01132-09
- Spencer ME, Guest JR (1987) Regulation of citric acid cycle genes in facultative bacteria. Microbiol Sci 4:164–168.
- Toledo-Arana A, Dussurget O, Nikitas G, et al (2009) The *Listeria* transcriptional landscape from saprophytism to virulence. Nature 459:950–956. doi: 10.1038/nature08080
- Trivett TL, Meyer EA (1971) Citrate cycle and related metabolism of *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Bacteriol 107:770–779.
- Uhlich GA, Wonderling LD, Luchansky JB (2006) Analyses of the putative Crp/Fnr family of transcriptional regulators of a serotype 4b strain of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Food Microbiol 23:300–306. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2005.03.007
- Vivant A-L, Garmyn D, Gal L, Piveteau P (2014) The Agr communication system provides a benefit to the populations of *Listeria monocytogenes* in soil. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 4:160. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2014.00160
- Williams T, Bauer S, Beier D, Kuhn M (2005) Construction and characterization of Listeria monocytogenes mutants with in-frame deletions in the response regulator genes identified in the genome sequence. Infect Immun 73:3152–3159. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.5.3152-3159.2005

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes* exhibits decreased maximal growth in vitro and morphological differences under TEM. (A) Growth curves of *L. monocytogenes* strain 10403s grown in BHI are plotted on a linear Y-axis to show the decreased maximal OD over 8 hours of growth. Averages of triplicates are plotted with error bars representing standard deviation and statistics were performed using a two-tailed student's t-test with significant differences indicated by asterisks (*** p<.001). Aerobically (B) or anaerobically (C) grown *L. monocytogenes* were visualized with TEM. Space between cytoplasm and outer edge of cells (n=10) were measured and shown under their respective images as averages ± standard deviation.

Figure 2. Anaerobic growth of *L. monocytogenes* leads to increased initial intracellular CFU but decreased intracellular growth and actin co-localization. Cell culture infections were performed with human colonic epithelial cell lines, Caco-2 (A) and LS174T (B), and with murine peritoneal macrophages, RAW264.7 (C, D). All infections were performed with MOI of 10 using aerobically or anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes*. Approximately 100 *L. monocytogenes* cells were counted for actin colocalization per infection condition at 2 hpi. Averages of triplicates are plotted with error bars representing standard deviation and statistics were performed using a two-tailed student's t-test with significant differences indicated by asterisks (* p<.05, ** p<.01, *** p<.001).

Figure 3. Anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes* secretes less LLO. (A) LLO activity is decreased in anaerobic culture supernatant compared to aerobic culture supernatant of wildtype strain 10403s and isogenic mutants. Averages of triplicates are plotted with error bars representing standard deviation and statistics were performed using a two-tailed student's t-test with significant differences indicated by asterisks (* p<.05, ** p<.01). (B, top) Abundance of LLO is lower in anaerobic ("AN") culture supernatant ("SUP") compared to aerobic ("A") culture supernatant. Lysate ("LYS") of samples shows similar total protein levels. (B, bottom) Silver stain was used as a loading control and shows similar total protein levels between aerobic and anaerobic samples. Recombinant LLO ("rLLO") was used as a positive control and supernatant from mutant lacking the *hly* gene (Δ*hly*) was used as a negative control.

Figure 4. Media pH or exogenous supplementation of lactate or acetoin does not alleviate the reduced LLO production under anaerobic conditions relative to aerobic conditions (A) Compared to aerobic cultures, LLO activity in supernatant of anaerobic cultures in BHI or BHI buffered with MOPS (pH 7.0) was significantly lower.

(B) Lactate supplementation enhances culture supernatant LLO activity in aerobically and anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes*. (C) Acetoin supplementation does not enhance LLO activity in aerobically or anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes*.

Averages of triplicates are plotted with error bars representing standard deviation and statistics were performed using a two-tailed student's t-test with significant differences indicated by asterisks (* p<.05, ** p<.01). Samples with hemolytic activities less than half complete lysis are labeled as below detection ("BD").

Figure 5. Supplementation of intermediates involved in central carbon metabolism alters carbon metabolism and increases supernatant LLO activity of anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes*. (A) A simplified schematic shows 3 possible fates of pyruvate in *L. monocytogenes* central carbon metabolism. (B) Exogenous supplementation of pyruvate enhances LLO activity in both aerobic and anaerobic culture supernatant. (C) Exogenous pyruvate supplementation increases acetoin concentrations in both aerobic and anaerobically grown *L. monocytogenes*. (D) Supplementation of intermediates of the TCA cycle (50mM) enhances anaerobic LLO activity. Averages of triplicates are plotted with error bars representing standard deviation and statistics were performed using a two-tailed student's t-test with significant differences indicated by asterisks (* p<.05, *** p<.001).

Table 1

	Culture pH	Culture pH	[Lactate]	[Acetoin]	[Ethanol]
	(BHI)	(Buffered BHI)	(mM)	(mM)	(%)
Aerobic	5.41±0.14	6.57±0.01	0	1.37±0.51	0.22%±.000
Anaerobic	4.67±0.12	6.51±0.03	1.75±0.31	0	1.43%±.002
p-value ^a	0.002	0.48			0.009

Table 1. Characterizations of *Listeria monocytogenes* strain 10403s in vitro growth. Values show are averages of triplicates ± standard deviation. ^aP values were calculated between aerobic and anaerobic samples using a two-tailed student's t-test.









