University of Dayton eCommons

Summer Conference on Topology and Its Applications

Department of Mathematics

6-2017

Fiber Strong Shape Theory for Topological Spaces

Ruslan Tsinaridze Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University, r.tsinaridze@bsu.edu.ge

Vladimer Baladze Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University, vbaladze@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.udayton.edu/topology_conf Part of the <u>Geometry and Topology Commons</u>, and the <u>Special Functions Commons</u>

eCommons Citation

Tsinaridze, Ruslan and Baladze, Vladimer, "Fiber Strong Shape Theory for Topological Spaces" (2017). *Summer Conference on Topology and Its Applications*. 57. http://ecommons.udayton.edu/topology_conf/57

This Topology + Foundations is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Summer Conference on Topology and Its Applications by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu, mschlangen1@udayton.edu.

Fiber Strong Shape Theory for Topological Spaces

Ruslan Tsinaridze

Department of Mathematics, Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University

ABSTRACT. In the paper we construct and develop a fiber strong shape theory for arbitrary spaces over fixed metrizable space B_0 . Our approach is based on the method of Mardešic'-Lisica and instead of resolutions, introduced by Mardešic', their fiber preserving analogues are used. The fiber strong shape theory yields the classification of spaces over B_0 which is coarser than the classification of spaces over B_0 induced by fiber homotopy theory, but is finer than the classification of spaces over B_0 given by usual fiber shape theory.

Math. Sub. Class.:54C55, 54C56, 55P55.

Keywords and Phrases: Fiber shape, Fiber homotopy, Fiber resolution, Fiber shape expansion, Fiber strong expansion, $A(N)R_{B_0}$ -space, $A(N)E_{B_0}$ -space.

1 Resolution and Strong Expansions of Spaces over B₀

An inverse system of the category Top_{B_0} is a collection $\mathbf{X} = (X_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, \mathscr{A})$ of space X_{α} over B_0 indexed by a directed set \mathscr{A} and f.p. maps $p_{\alpha\alpha'} : X_{\alpha'} \to X_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \leq \alpha'$, such that $p_{\alpha\alpha'} = p_{\alpha\alpha'} = p_{\alpha\alpha'}$ and $p_{\alpha\alpha} = 1_{X_{\alpha'}}$, $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$.

A morphism $(f_{\beta}, \varphi) : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y} = (Y_{\beta}, q_{\beta\beta'}, \mathscr{B})$ of inverse systems of the category $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathbf{B}_{0}}$ consists of a function $\varphi : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{A}$ and of f.p. maps $f_{\beta} : X_{\varphi(\beta)} \to Y_{\beta}$, $\beta \in \mathscr{B}$, such that whenever $\beta \leq \beta'$, then there is an index $\alpha \geq \varphi(\beta), \varphi(\beta')$ for which $f_{\beta} p_{\varphi(\beta)} = q_{\beta\beta'} f_{\beta'} p_{\varphi(\beta')\alpha}$.

Two morphisms $(f_{\beta}, \varphi), (g_{\beta}, \psi) : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ are said to be equivalent, $f_{\frac{\sim}{B_0}}g$, provided for each $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ there is an $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $\alpha \ge \varphi(\beta), \psi(\beta)$, such that $f_{\beta} p_{\varphi(\beta)\alpha} = g_{\beta} p_{\psi(\beta)\alpha}$.

Let **pro-Top**_{B₀} be a category, whose objects are the inverse systems **X** of the category **Top**_{B₀} and whose morphisms are the equivalence classes **f** of morphisms $(f_{\beta,\varphi}): \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ with respect to relation $\simeq \frac{1}{2}$.

A morphism $\mathbf{p} = (p_{\alpha}): X \to \mathbf{X} = (X_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, \mathscr{A})$ from a rudimentary system (X) to an inverse system **X** consists of the f.p. maps $p_{\alpha}: X \to X_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathscr{A}$, such that $p_{\alpha} = p_{\alpha\alpha'}, p_{\alpha'}, \alpha \leq \alpha'$.

Definition 1.1 Let X be a space over B_0 and let $\mathbf{X} = (X_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, \mathscr{A})$ be an inverse system of the category $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathbf{B}_0}$. We say that $\mathbf{p}: X \to \mathbf{X}$ is a resolution over B_0 or fiber resolution of the space X

The authors supported in part by grant FR/233/5-103/14 from Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation (SRNSF)

over *B*₀ provided it satisfies the following two conditions:

R_{B₀}**1).** Let $P \in ANR_{B_0}$, let \mathcal{U} be an open covering of P and let $h: X \to P$ be a f.p. map. Then there exist an index $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and a f.p. map $f: X_{\alpha} \to P$ such that h and $f p_{\alpha}$ are \mathcal{U} -near.

R_{B₀}**2).** Let $P \in ANR_{B_0}$ and let \mathcal{U} be an open covering of P. Then there is an open cover \mathcal{U} of P with the following property: if $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f, f': X \to P$ are f.p. maps such that the f.p. maps $f p_{\alpha}$ and $f' p_{\alpha}$ are \mathcal{U} -near, then there is an index $\alpha' \geq \alpha$ such that the f.p. maps $f p_{\alpha\alpha'}$ and $f' p_{\alpha\alpha'}$ are \mathcal{U} -near.

If in a fiber resolution $\mathbf{p}: X \to \mathbf{X} = (X_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha\alpha}, \mathscr{A})$ of the space X over B_0 each X_{α} is an ANR_{B0}, then we say that \mathbf{p} is a fiber ANR_{B0} -resolution.

The next theorem is essential in the construction of the fiber shape category for arbitrary spaces over B_0 .

Theorem 1.2 Every space X over a metrizable space B_0 admits an ANR_{B₀} -resolution over B_0 .

In the proof of Theorem 1.2 we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3 Let $f: X \to Y$ be a f.p. map from the topological space X over B_0 to an ANR_{B_0} -space Y. Then there exists an ANR_{B_0} -space Z of weight $w(Z) \le max\{w(X), w(B_0), \aleph_0\}$ and f.p. maps $g: X \to Z$ and $h: Z \to Y$ such that f h = g.

Definition 1.4 Let X be a topological space over B_0 , $\mathbf{X} = (X_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, \mathscr{A})$ an inverse system in \mathbf{Top}_{B_0} and $\mathbf{p} = (p_{\alpha}) : X \to \mathbf{X}$ a morphism of $\mathbf{pro-Top}_{B_0}$. We call \mathbf{p} an expansion over B_0 of the space X over B_0 provided it has the following properties:

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{B}_{0}}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{)}. \text{ For every } \mathsf{ANR}_{\mathbf{B}_{0}} \text{ -space } P \text{ over } B_{0} \text{ and } f.p. \text{ map } f: X \to P \text{ there is an index } \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and a } f.$ $p. \text{ map } h: X_{\alpha} \to P \text{ such that } h p_{\alpha} \underset{R}{\simeq} f.$

E_{B₀}**2).** If $f, f': X_{\alpha} \to P$ are f. p. maps, $P \in ANR_{B_0}$ and $f p_{\alpha} \underset{B_0}{\simeq} f' p_{\alpha}$, then there is an index $\alpha' \ge \alpha$ such that $f p_{\alpha\alpha'} \underset{B_0}{\simeq} f' p_{\alpha\alpha'}$.

Definition 1.5 A morphism $\mathbf{p}: X \to (X_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, \mathscr{A})$ is called a strong expansion over B_0 provided it satisfies condition $E_{B_0}(1)$ and the following condition:

SE_{B₀}**2).** Let *P* be an ANR_{B₀} -space, let $f_0, f_1 : X_\alpha \to P$, $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$ be f.p. maps and let $F : X \times I \to P$ be a f.p. homotopy such that

$$\begin{split} S(x,0) &= f_0 p_\alpha(x), \quad x \in X, \\ S(x,1) &= f_1 p_\alpha(x), \quad x \in X. \end{split}$$

Then there exists a $\alpha \ge \alpha$ and a f.p. homotopy $H: X_{\alpha} \times I \rightarrow P$, such that

$$\begin{split} H(x,0) &= f_0 p_{\alpha \alpha'}(z), \quad z \in X_{\alpha'}, \\ H(x,1) &= f_1 p_{\alpha \alpha'}(z), \quad z \in X_{\alpha'}, \\ H(p_{\alpha'} \times 1_I) &\simeq S(\operatorname{rel}(X \times \partial I)). \end{split}$$

It is clear that, every strong expansion over B_0 is an expansion over B_0 . If all $X_{\alpha} \in ANR_{B_0}$, then **p** is called an ANR_{B_0} -expansion and strong ANR_{B_0} -expansion, respectively.

The main result of section 1 is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6 Let X be a topological space over B_0 . Then every resolution $p: X \to X$ over B_0 induces a strong ANR_{B0} -expansion.

Corollary 1.7 Every ANR_{B₀} -resolution over B_0 induces ANR_{B₀} -expansion.

Corollary 1.8 Every space X over B_0 admits a cofinite strong ANR_{B0} -expansion.

In the proof of Theorem 1.6 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.9 Let X be a topological space over metrizamble space B_0 , let P, P' be ANR_{B₀}-spaces, let

 $f: X \to P'$, $h_0, h_1: P \to P$ be f.p. maps and let $S: X \times I \to P$ be a f.p. homotopy such that

$$S(x,0) = h_0 f(x), \quad x \in X,$$

 $S(x,1) = h_1 f(x), \quad x \in X.$

Then there exists an ANR_{B₀}-space $P^{'}$, f.p. maps $f^{'}: X \to P^{'}$, $h: P^{'} \to P^{'}$ and a f.p. homotopy $K: P^{'} \times I \to P$ such that

$$h f = f,$$

$$K(z,0) = h_0 h(z), \quad z \in P$$

$$K(z,1) = h_1 h(z), \quad z \in P^{"}$$

$$K(f \times I_t) = S.$$

Lemma 1.10 Let $p: X \to X$ be a resolution over B_0 and let α, P, f_0, f_1 and F be as in $SE_{B_0}(2)$. Then for every open covering \mathcal{U} of P, there exist a $\alpha' \geq \alpha$ and a f.p. homotopy $H: X_{\alpha'} \times I \to P$ such that

$$H(y,0) = f_0 p_{aa'}(y), \qquad y \in X_a$$
$$H(y,1) = f_1 p_{aa'}(y), \qquad y \in X_a$$
$$(S,H(1 \times p_a)) \le \mathcal{U}$$

2 On Fiber Strong Shape Category

The objects of category SSH_{B_0} are all topological spaces over B_0 . The morphisms of category SSH_{B_0} are defined by the following way.

Let $\mathbf{p}: X \to \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q}: Y \to \mathbf{Y}$ be an ANR_{B_0} -resolutions of X and Y, respectively. Let $[f]: \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ be a some morphism of category **CPHTop**_{B_0}. Let $\mathbf{p}': X \to \mathbf{X}'$, $\mathbf{q}': Y \to \mathbf{Y}'$, $[f']: \mathbf{X}' \to \mathbf{Y}'$ be another triple of fiber resolutions of spaces X and Y over B_0 and morphism of category **CPHTop**_{B_0}.

Now define the following equivalence relation. We say the triples $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, [f])$ and $(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}', [f'])$ are equivalent if

$$[f'][i] = [j][f],$$

where $[i]: \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}'$ and $[j]: \mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{Y}'$ are isomorphisms of category **CPHTop**_{B₀}.

The fiber strong shape morphisms $F: X \to Y$ are the equivalence classes of triples $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, [f])$ with respect to the above defined relation ~.

Let $F: X \to Y$ and $G: Y \to Z$ be the fiber strong shape morphisms, defined by triples $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, [f])$

and $(\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{q}',[g])$, where $\mathbf{p}': Y \to \mathbf{Y}$, $\mathbf{q}: Z \to \mathbf{Z}$ and $[g]: \mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{Z}$.

As we know there exists an unique morphism $[h]: \mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{Y}'$ of category **CPHTop**_{**B**₀} such that [h][q] = [q']. Note that

$$[j][q] = [q'] = [h][q].$$

Hence, [j] = [h]. Besides, $[g][j] = [g][h][1_z]$.

Thus, we can assume that the morphisms F and G are given by triples $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, [f])$ and $(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}', [g])$.

Consequently, we can define the composition $GF: X \to Z$ as the morphism given by triple $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, [g][f])$.

In the role an identity morphism $\mathscr{I}: X \to X$ we can take the morphism defined by triple $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, [l_x])$.

The obtained category SSH_{B_0} call the fiber strong shape category.

Let $X \in ob(\mathbf{SSH}_{B_0})$. By symbol $\mathrm{ssh}_{B_0}(X)$ denote the equivalence class of topological space X and call the fiber strong shape of X.

For each f.p. map $\varphi: X \to Y$ choose ANR_{B₀}-resolutions $\mathbf{p}: X \to \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q}: Y \to \mathbf{Y}$. There exists a unique morphism $[f]: \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ of category **CPHTop**_{B₀} such that $[q][\varphi] = [f][p]$.

We can define a functor SS'_{B_0} : **Top**_{B₀} \rightarrow **SSH**_{B₀}. By definition,

 $SS'_{B_0}(X) = X, X \in ob(Top_{B_0})$

and

$$SS'_{B_0}(\varphi) = \Phi, \ \varphi \in Mor_{Top_{B_0}}(X,Y)$$

Here Φ is a fiber strong shape morphism defined by triple $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, [f])$.

As in [L-M] we can prove that functor SS'_{B_0} induces a functor $SS_{B_0} : HTop_{B_0} \to SSH_{B_0}$, which we call the fiber strong shape functor. By definition,

$$SS_{B_0}(X) = X, X \in ob(\mathbf{HTop}_{B_0})$$

and

$$SS_{B_0}([\varphi]_{B_0}) = SS'_{B_0}(\varphi), \ \ [\varphi]_{B_0} \in Mor_{HTop_{B_0}}(X,Y).$$

Let us define a functor $S: \mathbf{SSH}_{B_0} \to \mathbf{SH}_{B_0}$. Assume that S(X) = X for each object $X \in ob(\mathbf{SSH}_{B_0})$. Let $F: X \to Y$ be a fiber strong shape morphism given by a triple $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, [f])$.

Consider the morphism E([f]) as an image of [f] with respect the functor $E: \mathbf{CPHTop}_{B_0} \to \mathbf{pro-HTop}_{B_0}$. The triple $(\mathbf{Hp}, \mathbf{Hq}, E[f])$ generates a fiber shape morphism, which we denote by $S(F): X \to Y$.

Now we can formulate the following **Theorem 2.5** *There exists the following commutative diagram*

$$HTop_{B_0} \xrightarrow{S_{B_0}} SH_{B_0}$$

where S_{B_0} is V.Baladze fiber shape functor $[B_4]$.

Corollary 2.6 Let X and Y be topological spaces over B_0 . If $ssh_{B_0}(X) = ssh_{B_0}(Y)$, then $sh_{B_0}(X) = sh_{B_0}(Y)$.

Remark 2.7 Using the methods developed in this paper and papers $([B_6], [L-M], [M_1], [M_2])$ it is possible to construct fiber strong shape theory for category of arbitrary continuous maps.

REFERENCES

[B₁] V. Baladze, On an equivariant strong theory of shapes, Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR., 122(1986), 501-504.

[B₂] V.Baladze, On shape theory for fibrations, Bull. Georgian Acad. Sci., 129(1988), 269-272.

[B₃] V.Baladze, Fiber shape theory, Rendiconti dell instituto di Matematica Universitadi Trieste. An International Journal of Mathematics, 22(1990), 67-77.

[B₄] V.Baladze, Fiber shape theory and resolutions, Zb. Rad. Filoz. Fak. Nisu, Ser. Mat., 5(1991), 97-107.

 $[B_5]$ V. Baladze, Fiber shape theory of maps and resolutions. Bull. Georgian Acad. Sci., 141(1991), 489-492.

[B₆] V.Baladze, Fiber shape theory, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst., 132(2003), 1-70.

[B-T₁] On fiber fibrant spaces, Transactions of Batumi Regional Scientific Center of Georgian National academy of Sciences, Batumi, (2016)7-19.

[B-T₂] Baladze V. and Tsinaridze R. On fiber Strong Shape Theory, Transactions of Batumi Regional Scientific Center of Georgian National academy of Sciences, Batumi, (2016) 20-28.

[Bat] M.A. Batanin, Categorical strong shape theory, Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catégoriques, 38(1997),3–65.

[Bau] F. W. Bauer, A shape theory with singular homology, Pac. J. Math., 64(1976), 25-64.

[By-Te] A. Bykov and M. Texis, Equivariant strong shape, Topology Appl., 154(2007), 2026-2039.

[C₁] F.W. Cathey, Strong shape theory, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Washington, 1979.

[C₂] F.W. Cathey, Strong shape theory, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, 870(1981), 215-238.

[Ca-H] A. Calder and H. M. Hasting, Realizing strong shape equivalences, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 20 (1981), 129-156.

[D] M. Dadarlat, Shape theory and asymptotic morphisms for C*-algebras, Duke Math. J., 73(1994), 687-711.

[Dy-N₁] J. Dydak and S. Nowak, Strong shape for topological spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 323(1991), 765-796.

 $[Dy-N_2]$ J. Dydak and S. Nowak, Function space and shape theories, Fund. Math., 171(2002), 117-154.

[Dy-S] J. Dydak and J. Segal, Strong shape theory, Dissertations Math., PWN, Warsaw, 192(1981), 1-42.

[E-H] D. Edwards and H. Hastings, Čech and Steenrod Homotopy Theories with Applications to Geometric Topology, Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[H] H. M. Hastings, Shape theory and dynamical systems, In The structure of attractors in dynamical systems, Lecture Notes in Math., 668(1978), 150-160.

[I-S] Y.Iwamoto and K. Sakai, Strong n-shape theory, Topology and its Applications, Topology and its Applications, 122 (2002),253-267.

[Ko-O] Y. Kodama and J. Ono, On fine shape theory, Fund. Math., 105 (1979),29-39.

 $[L_1]$ T. Lisica, Strong shape theory and the Steenrod-Sitnikov homology, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž., 24 (1983),81-99.

[L₂] Ju.T. Lisica, Strong shape theory and multivalued maps, Glasnik Mat., 18(1983), 371-382.

[L-M] J.T. Lisica, S. Mardešic', Coherent prohomotopy and strong shape theory, Glasnik Mat., 19(1984), 335-399.

 $[M_1]$ S. Mardešic', Resolution of spaces are strong expansions, Publication De L'Institut Mathematique, 49(1991),177-188.

[M₂] S. Mardešic', Strong Shape and Homology, Springer, 2000.

[M-S] S. Mardešic' and J. Segal, Shape Theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.

[Mim] Z. Miminoshvili, On a strong spectral shape theory, Trudy Tbilissk. Mat. Inst. Akad Nauk Gruzin. SSR, 68(1982), 79-102.

[Q] J.B.Quigley, An exact sequence from the n-th to (n-1)-th fundamental group, Fundam. Math.,76(1972), 181-196.

[S] L. Stramaccia, On the definition of the strong shape category, Glassnik math., 32(1997), 141-151.

[Y] T. Yagasaki, Fiber shape theory, Tsukuba J. Math., 9(1985), 261-277.