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#### Abstract

Computer security is a moving target that moves or increases with the growth of technology. Organizations during the $21^{\text {st }}$ century have to create and/or adopt new technologies in order to stay in business and be competitive. These new technologies involve thousands of lines of code using programming languages, crossing servers, and database engines. Along with the growth of technology, organizations' IT professionals are trying to prevent any data breach to valuable data from hackers by locking all vulnerable doors that hackers might use to access a system. While IT professionals are trying to lock all vulnerable doors, hackers need only one door to hack a given system using one of the hacking methods available. One of the most used hacking methods and most security concerning is SQL Injection that hackers use to bypass a system by gaining unauthorized access to retrieve or modify valuable data such as Social Security Numbers, bank information, health records, etc. SQL Injection can be achieved through injecting SQL commands into a SQL statement via a web page. There is a number of SQL Injection methods used to gain unauthorized access into a given system; however, SQL Injection through Sign-in/Log-in process is the most used technique with 63\% of all SQL injection types used [1]. Therefore, this research focuses on SQL Injection through Sign-in/Log-in process and presents a new way of alerting the system admin of any SQL Injection attempts and blocks, as well as any further access attempts by the same user (abuser).
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## Chapter I: Introduction

## Introduction

Computer security is one of the most important issues all organizations spend time and money in research and development (R\&D) to produce more secure system(s) to protect their data centers. Depending on the size of the organization, large organizations host their computer security team within the organization while small size organizations outsource security to a third party that is specialized in computer security. Whether a given organization hosts a computer security team within its structure or outsources it, the main point is its data security.

SQL injection attack is one of the most-used methods to bypass a system which allows hackers to retrieve, read, modify, steal, and/or damage sensitive data. SQL injection itself has a number of ways that can be undertaken by abuser to reach valuable data. This research discusses SQL injection through the log-in process which is the most-used method among all SQL injection methods and presents an alerting system as early as submitting the username and password from the client (front-end application) side. The main focus of this research is introducing an early alert to the system admin in case an SQL injection is attempted and blocks current and future access to the system by analyzing the user's input and the system's file logs.

## Problem Statement

A successful SQL injection attack might cause significant damages to the organization that is being attacked. Some of these damages could result to the loss
of an entire table of valuable information or get unlimited access to read unauthorized information since this attack enables the abuser to delete, modify, read, and insert unauthorized records, such as a social security number (SSN), medical records, date of birth, etc. While there are a number of SQL injection methods, this research addresses the root cause of sign-in/log-in processes. Log-in is the first step that anyone takes to access a given system and to be able to navigate through what the individual is authorized to do. During the log-in process, there are usually two processes that take place by the application and they are hidden from the end-user. These two processes are authentication and authorization [2]. SQL injection takes place during the authentication process by bypassing the system and sometimes getting admin rights that allow full control over the database. This issue still exists today and the cause is not having the proper SQL injection detection that is able to catch any attempts from the client side to avoid any illegal text that is entered by the user to be passed to the server side. Today's technology needs a system that is capable of handling the users' input at the application level and pass only clean input to the server for authentication and authorization processes. Not only that, but also there is a need of alerting system admin(s) in case SQL injection attempted to react faster and deploy whatever plan the organization has in place regarding this issue such as blocking the abuser's IP address, redirect the abuser to the organization's Honeypot, etc.

## Nature and Significance of the Problem

There are a number of studies that have been done to develop defense methods to prevent systems from SQL injection attacks; however, it is still a continuous problem that occurs today and grows with the growth of technology. SQL injection through log-in process still exists and further significant research should be done to prevent attacks and serve as a reminder while developing applications. SQL injection through the log-in process is the most important in all SQL injection methods, as these attacks are used with 63\% of all SQL injection attack methods [1]. This study presents a new way of preventing SQL injection at log-in. It is useful because it not only prevents any SQL injection attempts, but also presents an alert functionality that alerts system admins to take actions at the beginning stages of attacks.

## Study Questions

Addressing a security concern is a major component during the early stages of system development and requires addressing the three most crucial elements of security: confidentiality, integrity, and availability, or CIA, during all phases of system development. Therefore, one of the most important skills that a system needs to acquire in computer security is the ability to detect, prevent, and recover.

After understanding the SQL Injection problem, Cloud Security, and Intrusion Detection and Prevention, I asked myself the question that led me to this research. Why does SQL Injection still exist when we understand the dilemma enough to prevent it, but also the ability to alert the system admins for further actions? Also,
how can we retrieve users' information from the front-end application for our determination of whether to allow or block the attempted access without passing the entered information (by the user) to the server?

## Definition of Terms

Table 1: Definition of terms used in this document

| Term | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| SQL | Structured Query Language is a query language used for accessing <br> and modifying information in a database (retrieved from: <br> http://techterms.com). |
| SQL Injection | SQL injection is a type of security exploit in which the attacker adds <br> Structured Query Language (SQL) code to a Web form input box to <br> gain access to resources or make changes to data (retrieved from: <br> http://searchsoftwarequality.techtarget.com). |
| Data center | A data center (sometimes spelled datacenter) is a centralized <br> repository, either physical or virtual, for the storage, management, and <br> dissemination of data and information organized around a particular <br> body of knowledge or pertaining to a particular business (retrieved <br> from: http://searchsoftwarequality.techtarget.com). |
| Data breach | A data breach is an incident in which sensitive, protected or confidential <br> data has potentially been viewed, stolen or used by an individual <br> unauthorized to do so. Data breaches may involve personal health <br> information (PHI), personally identifiable information (PII), trade secrets <br> or intellectual property (retrieved from: <br> http://searchsoftwarequality.techtarget.com). |
| Authentication | Authentication is the process of determining whether someone or <br> something is, in fact, who or what it is declared to be. |
| Authorization | Authorization is the process of giving someone permission to do or <br> have something. In multi-user computer systems, a system <br> administrator defines for the system which users are allowed access to <br> the system and what privileges of use (such as access to which file <br> directories, hours of access, amount of allocated storage space, and so <br> forth) (retrieved from: http://searchsoftwarequality.techtarget.com). |
| Confidentiality | Confidentiality of information refers to protecting the information from <br> disclosure to unauthorized parties. |
| Integrity | Integrity of information refers to protecting information from being <br> modified by unauthorized parties. |
| Availability | Availability of information refers to ensuring that authorized parties are <br> able to access the information when needed (retrieved from: http:// <br> security.blogoverflow.com). |

## Summary

This chapter introduced an introduction to computer security along with the explanation of the SQL Injection problem. Then, it narrowed the explanations of specific problems this study focuses on, like SQL injection through the log-in process. The "Study Questions" section includes some questions that have been developed and raised while taking security classes as a graduate student. These questions are the main reasons for which the topic was chosen. For an easy reference, this chapter defined various terms used in the research. The next chapter covers the background and literature related to SQL Injection.

## Chapter II: Background and Review of Literature

## Introduction

On August of 2013, the Pew Research Centre reported that 51\% of U.S. adults, and $61 \%$ of internet users, bank online [3]. Online service is a technology that has been used heavily across the world in both the government and private sectors making people's lives easier to manage. As this technology is making our day-to-day practices easier, it is a weapon that could be used against us if technology vulnerabilities are not handled correctly. There are a number of technology vulnerabilities that hackers are targeting in order to reach sensitive data. One of these vulnerabilities is SQL injection. Protecting an organization's data requires full understanding of SQL injection; thus, it is one of the concerns that arises within any dynamic web application development team to prevent anyone from breaching the organization's data.

Using an online service requires a log-in process as the first step an individual has to go through in order to be able to use the service; then, navigates through their account according to their level of authorization. This chapter discusses the log-in process and its vulnerabilities.

## Background Related to the Problem

- Log-in Process Overview (Alward, 2015) [2]

The log-in process is the first step an end-user goes through to access his/her account through a web-based interface known as the "authentication process."

There are many types of authentications, or levels of authentication, used by organizations today. Some of these levels are known to be one-, two-, three-, and four-layer authentications and they differ as the following:

One-layer authentication: User enters username and password at the same time; e.g., Capital One bank (capitalone.com).

Two-layer authentication: User enters username first, then enters password; e.g., Gmail (gmail.com).

Three-layer authentication: User enters username first, then answers a security question, then enters password.

Four-layer authentication: User enters username first, then answers a security question, then a picture is displayed (a picture which was chosen by the user at the time he/she created his/her account from a list of pictures through the organization), then enters password; e.g. TCF bank (tcfbank.com).

An overview of the log-in process as Alward (2015) [1] explained in previous research is as follows:

Log-in/Sign-in process using a web system/application is usually done through two aspects of web development, front end known as interface where the enduser (user) uses and experiences to enter his/her password and browse throughout the application. Back end, or the server side, is the other aspect of web development where it translates how the site works, updates and changes, which is everything the user can't see, like databases [4]. Moreover, looking at these two aspects of web development from the software engineering side, front-end and back-end are distinctions which refer to the separation of concerns between a presentation layer and a data access layer respectively [1] within the OSI model (Open Systems Interconnection model). (p. 3)

## - Problem Overview

SQL Injection problem arises with the use of dynamic SQL where query structure has to be formed when the program runs based on the user selection, which makes dynamic queries powerful in terms of performance with both server and client sides. We must first understand what "based on the user selection" means. Carfax.com, for example, is a commercial, web-based service that lists used cars. The site allows people to search and compare prices before they choose one to buy. Carfax.com has a wide-filtering feature that allows visitors to narrow their searches. If we take a look at the filtering query that retrieves information from the company's database, we will find that the query structure changes every time the query is executed. This means the numbers and names of columns and conditions within the Where clause are changing every time the query is executed; thus, dynamic query should be used. However, dynamic SQL is the root problem to SQL injection because it leaves the server vulnerable to any attack by an abuser where he/she can add database keywords to inject the query and get unauthorized information. Let us discuss a similar example to illustrate the issue that focuses on the log-in process. Consider an application log-in process; for example, a query for a log-in process that authenticates users:

```
SELECT UserName FROM tblUser
WHERE UserName = '' AND UserPassword = ''
```

Let us assume that I am an authorized user with Redwan as my username and Password123 as my password. The query would look like the following when it is executed:

SELECT UserName FROM tblUSer WHERE UserName = 'Redwan' AND
UserPassword = 'Password123'
When the above query is executed, I will be able to access the application because I have supplied the right username and password. However, what happens if I enter " or 1=1 -- as the username and 123 as the password [5]. The query would look like the following:

SELECT UserName FROM tblUser WHERE UserName = '' or 1=1 --AND UserPassword = '123' When the above query is executed, I will be able to bypass the authentication logic, assuming there is no data validation process, because no matter what I supply as the username, 1=1 is true; it returns all records within tblUser. Also, supplying any text as the password would not make any difference within the above query because it is canceled out by using the two minus signs (--). Looking at the above query explains what SQL injection is when I am able to enter some text that can be a part of the query structure. Also, it explains how a query can be injected to bypass an application authentication process. Therefore, SQL injection is a mobile problem that has to be handled the correct way and be addressed at the early stages of application development, which is the main reason for this research.

## Literature Related to the Problem

## 1. SQL Injection Attacks in Web Application

Gandhi and JwalantBaria (2013) [5] proposed the hash values technique and they claimed that "...this technique will be able to prevent SQL Injection Attack completely" (p. 191). This technique is where the username and password should be hashed and inserted into another two columns in the "Authorized User" table. These two columns, then, will be used during the log-in process to authenticate users after hashing the users' entries, usernames, and passwords through the client side before the dynamic SQL is executed by the server. These steps are shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1: SQL injection attacks in web application-proposed architecture by Gandhi and JwalantBaria (2013) [5]

## 2. Evaluation of SQL Injection Detection and Prevention Techniques

Chia-Jun Lin, Chen, Hwang, and Fu-Hau Hsu (2011) proposed a novel technique [6], TransSQL, to solve the notorious SQL injection problem. Their approach indicates that TransSQL automatically duplicates SQL databases and stores the duplicated databases in an LDAP form. TransSQL is used to monitor the connections between the protected web application and the related SQL database. Then, every time an SQL request is sent to the SQL database, TransSQL creates an LDAP-equivalent request of the SQL request and sends the LDAP request to the LDAP database. This proposed technique prevents SQL injection attacks by querying both the SQL database and LDAP to ensure the equivalent contents of the SQL database and LDAP. If they are not equivalent, SQL injection attack tactics are available to use.

## Literature Related to the Methodology

## 1. Preventing SQL Injection Attack Using Pattern Matching Algorithm

Kharche, Patil, Gohad, and Ambetkar (2015) proposed a scheme with two modules defined as Static Phase and Dynamic Phase as shown in Figure 2 [7]. In the Static Phase, they maintain a list of known anomaly patterns known as the Static Pattern List. The Static Phase, then, checks the user generated SQL queries by applying a Static Pattern Matching Algorithm searching for matching queries within the Static Pattern List. If the query matches $100 \%$ with any of the patterns from the Static Pattern List, then the query is attacked with SQL injection and access is denied. If there is no match, Phase 2 (Dynamic Phase) of the proposed method takes
place. During the Dynamic Phase, a search for any form of new anomalies is triggered by calculating the anomaly score value of the user-generated SQL query using an Aho-Corasick algorithm. If the anomaly score value is more than the threshold value (50\% of the query), an alarm is prompted, access is granted, and the query will be passed to the system admins for manual review by adding it to the Static Pattern List.


Figure 2: Preventing SQL injection attack using pattern matching algorithm proposed architecture by Kharche, Patil, Gohad, and Ambetkar (2015) [7]

## 2. Early Detection of SQL Injection Attacks

Shahriar, North, and Chen proposed a Shadow Query approach [8] to test the user input within the client-side before passing values to the server-side. The authors used PHP code in the implementation at the front-end and used JavaScript to code the verification function (Shadow Query). The SQL query present in the server-side script is simplified to generate Shadow Query. This is done by replacing tables,
columns, logical operators, and variable values with symbolic values to prevent revealing sensitive information at the client-side. Using this approach, they deploy the system in an Apache web server (version 2.2) with MySQL server as the back-end database after creating necessary tables with data sets and tested their method by applying three types of attack inputs: tautology, union, and piggy-backed queries. The results of this approach were promising as the system detected all SQL injection attacks with a zero rate of false warning; however, there was not an alerting functionality within the system.

## Summary

A database is the main system that stores, organizes, and manages a large amount of information within a single software application. Organizations use databases to increase efficiency of its business operations and this reduces overall costs. Bank of America, for example, stores all customers' information in its database, including usernames and passwords, which is used to authenticate and authorize users when they log-in using the web interface to manage their accounts. Therefore, hackers know the importance of this valuable system and databases, and are trying to find doors to break in. The log-in process is one way of breaking into a database by bypassing an unsecure system when dynamic SQL used, which is the root of the SQL injection problem. Also, this chapter has discussed four of the studies done in regards to detection SQL injection; however, none of them discussed or implemented a functionality that is capable of raising an alert as soon as an attack is attempted. The next chapter lays out the proposed approach that is going to prevent
the SQL injection problem and alert the system admins as soon as an SQL injection is captured.

## Chapter III: Methodology

## Introduction

SQL Injection through the log-in process was introduced briefly in Chapter I and explained with examples in Chapter II. Also, Chapter II included four approaches similar to SQL injection in Literature Related to the Problem (p. 12) and Literature Related to the Methodology (p. 17). Chapter III includes the proposed Approach (p. 21), Hardware and Software Environment (p. 23), Work in Progress (p. 23), and Time Line (p. 23).

## Approach

After searching for answers to the study questions mentioned in Chapter I, this approach captures a user's IP address and text entered (username and password) by adding a top security level, which starts prior to the application and begins the authentication process. One advantage is that the information can be utilized to differentiate between blocked and unblocked users from accessing the system. Shall the user be listed on the blocked list, this first stage of security will not allow the user to pass his/her information to the second security level (authentication process). The following is a list of the logical steps the proposed system takes to determine who should be listed in the blocked users' log; also shown in Figure 3:

1. Get user's IP address, username, and password.
2. Using the user's IP address, scan the blocked users' log to determine if the IP address is listed.
3. If the IP address is listed on the blocked users' log, display "Username and/or Password Incorrect" message to the user and end the session.
4. If not, pass the username and password to the validation process; if any illegal text is found, log the user's information into the blocked users' log, display "Username and/or Password Incorrect" message, and send an alert to the system admin.
5. If no illegal text is found, start the authentication process.


Figure 3: Proposed approach

Using the process mentioned above, system admin gets an alert as soon as any illegal text is found within the supplied text by the user, keeping in mind that the entire process is done at the client-side with the exception of determining if the user should be blocked or not. The process of determining if the user should be blocked or not is done by passing only information that was captured by the front-end application (not what the user entered) to the server-side to scan it against the system's log (blocked users' log); this way, the system makes sure that no illegal text is passed to the server [9].

Entities and processes that will be used during the implementation are:

1. Table used for authorized users.
2. Table to log all activities and blocked users.
3. Two text boxes; one for the username and the other one for the password.
4. Two buttons; one for log-in and the second to create an account.
5. Validation function.
6. Database-stored procedures.

## Hardware and Software Environment

- Microsoft environment
- Microsoft Visual Studio
- VB.net
- Microsoft SQL Server
- Microsoft Office


## Summary

This chapter included the layout of the logical design of the proposed approach and the tools that are used to accomplish the physical system. About 60\% of this research is yet to be completed by designing the physical system for testing. I am looking forward to prove to the committee that my proposed project works with zero defects. The next chapter includes complete report after testing the system to deliver to the committee.

## Chapter IV: Data Presentation and Analysis

## Introduction

I tested the introduced method for eight days using a web-based system. The system used three major entities defined as authorized users, user blocked list, and system log. This chapter presents the environment and components used for the system, the raw data collected, and data analysis.

## Data Presentation

A preliminary web-based system was designed to test the introduced methodology and to collect data using the Windows environment with the following components:

1. Front-end was developed in ASP.NET using VB (Visual Basic) programming language and hosted on a IIS 10.0 web server.
2. Back-end was developed using Microsoft SQL Server 2012.
3. Gmail account was created to send emails and text messages for the alert functionality using SMTP (Simple Mail Transfer Protocol) via VB.

The system included "Log-In" and "Create New Account" functionalities.


Figure 4: System's interface
As stated within the introduced methodology, the first security level of the system checks for the user's IP address by passing it to the SQL server and searches for it in the system's blocked users' list. If the user's IP address is found with a True Locked Indicator (because the system admin has the ability to manage the log), an "Account is disabled" message is displayed to the user. However, if the user's IP Address is not found, or found with a False Locked Indicator, the system moves on to the second layer (validates users' input for possible SQL injection), and if the second layer is passed, moves on to the third layer (authentication).

The website was live for eight days (10/20/2016-10/27/2016) and was tested from different locations. Before looking at the data collected, the following are explanations of column headers that will be included in all or some of the next three tables:

1- UserName is the user's username that he/she entered in the Username field.

2- UserPassword is the user's password that he/she entered in the Password field.

3- Date is the date when the record was entered.
4- Time is the time when the record was entered.
5- IP Address is the user's IP Address.
6- LockedIndicator is the indicator that determines if the user is allowed to access the system or not.
a. If True, deny access.
b. If False, grant access.

7- Action is the action that was taking by the user.
a. LogIn: means the record was inserted when the user logged in.
b. Create: means the record was inserted when the user created a new account.

8- Successful indicates whether the user was successful in accessing the system, whether by logging in or creating a new account.
a. True: means the user was logged in or created a new account successfully.
b. False: means the user was unsuccessful to log in or to create a new account.

9- Message: displays the message that was sent to the user (front-end application).

## Data Collected

1- Users Data (8 records):
The following data was collected when the user successfully created an account.

Table 2: All users' data from created accounts

| ID | UserName | UserPassword | Date | Time | IPAddress |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | redtest1 | redtwst1 | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $6: 25: 56 \mathrm{PM}$ | 199.17 .22 .66 |
| 4 | redtest2 | redtest2 | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $6: 38: 46 \mathrm{PM}$ | 199.17 .55 .161 |
| 5 | jchen | apple999 | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $11: 26: 17 \mathrm{PM}$ | 68.119 .24 .182 |
| 6 | redtest3 | redtest3 | $10 / 21 / 2016$ | $12: 54: 41 \mathrm{PM}$ | 63.230 .81 .241 |
| 7 | red-test | redtest | $10 / 21 / 2016$ | $7: 48: 51 \mathrm{PM}$ | 192.168 .1 .1 |
| 8 | redtest4 | redtest4 | $10 / 21 / 2016$ | $7: 53: 09 \mathrm{PM}$ | 192.168 .1 .1 |
| 9 | Redtest6 | redtest6 | $10 / 23 / 2016$ | $1: 03: 33 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8.42 .164 .20 |
| 10 | redtest5 | redtest5 | $10 / 24 / 2016$ | $8: 01: 54 \mathrm{AM}$ | 24.52 .25 .146 |

2- System's Users Blocked List (30 records):
The following data was collected when the system detected SQL injection attempts. This data serves the system admin as a log to look at when he/she gets the alert to view and analyze for decisions. Also, here is where the system admin is able to turn off blocking a particular user in cases, like false negatives (incorrectly rejected), occurred.

Table 3: BlockedUser log

| ID | UserName | Password | Date | Time | Locked Indicator | UserIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ' or 1=1 -- | redtest2 | 10/20/2016 | 6:46:05 PM | TRUE | 199.17.55.161 |
| 2 | ' or 1=1 -- | test | 10/20/2016 | 7:28:47 PM | TRUE | 199.17.22.67 |
| 3 | jchen | ' or 1=1 | 10/21/2016 | 10:16:56 AM | TRUE | 199.17.38.71 |
| 4 | redtest3 | 'or1=1-- | 10/21/2016 | 12:57:37 PM | TRUE | 63.230.81.241 |
| 5 | Redtest3 | 'or1=1 -- | 10/21/2016 | 1:18:50 PM | TRUE | 66.191.131.210 |
| 6 | ' or 1=1 -- | hjdj | 10/21/2016 | 1:31:14 PM | TRUE | 64.83.197.92 |
| 7 | 'Or 1=1 -- | test | 10/22/2016 | 8:26:08 PM | TRUE | 174.219.13.149 |
| 8 | Redtest6 | 'or1=1-- | 10/23/2016 | 1:04:26 PM | TRUE | 8.42.164.20 |
| 9 | 'Or 1=1 -- | hhhhhh | 10/23/2016 | 1:13:54 PM | TRUE | 207.238.29.50 |
| 10 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 10/23/2016 | 2:12:53 PM | TRUE | 63.229.207.136 |
| 11 | Test' or 1=1 -- | gvbvvb | 10/23/2016 | 2:34:45 PM | TRUE | 65.103.10.49 |
| 12 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 10/23/2016 | 2:37:02 PM | TRUE | 63.231.253.15 |
| 13 | Test | ' or 3=3-- | 10/23/2016 | 2:39:10 PM | TRUE | 75.146.149.45 |
| 14 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 10/23/2016 | 5:31:46 PM | TRUE | 209.181.233.89 |
| 15 | redtest5 | 'or 9=9 -- | 10/24/2016 | 8:02:30 AM | TRUE | 24.52.25.146 |
| 16 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 10/25/2016 | 7:50:38 PM | TRUE | 208.54.80.158 |
| 17 | ' select * from tbluser-- | ghihg | 10/25/2016 | 7:53:08 PM | TRUE | 12.23.136.106 |
| 18 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 10/25/2016 | 8:05:01 PM | TRUE | 63.230.83.177 |
| 19 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 10/25/2016 | 8:21:55 PM | TRUE | 204.96.25.180 |
| 20 | Test ' or 1=1 -- | test | 10/25/2016 | 8:26:52 PM | TRUE | 63.230.81.14 |
| 21 | ' or 1 | hgfhg | 10/27/2016 | 6:27:14 PM | TRUE | 199.17.55.169 |
| 22 | ' or 1=1 -- | test | 10/27/2016 | 6:33:36 PM | TRUE | 199.17.22.85 |
| 23 | test ' or 5=5 -- | test | 10/27/2016 | 6:35:01 PM | TRUE | 199.17.22.86 |
| 24 | test | 'or1=1-- | 10/27/2016 | 6:37:14 PM | TRUE | 199.17.22.83 |
| 25 | test | ' delete table tbltest;-- | 10/27/2016 | 6:38:41 PM | TRUE | 199.17.22.82 |
| 26 | test | 'or 1=1 -- | 10/27/2016 | 6:41:01 PM | TRUE | 199.17.22.92 |
| 27 | test | 'or1=1-- | 10/27/2016 | 6:46:19 PM | TRUE | 199.17.22.106 |
| 28 | ' select * from tblUser -- | gfdsf | 10/27/2016 | 6:49:48 PM | TRUE | 199.17.29.3 |
| 29 | test | 'or1=1-- | 10/27/2016 | 6:52:46 PM | TRUE | 199.17.29.96 |
| 30 | test | 'or 1=1-- | 10/27/2016 | 6:53:19 PM | TRUE | 199.17.29.65 |

3- System's Log (142 records):
The following data presents a system log file that contains all events that were performed by users; the following data was collected at any time as a user:
a. Logs in; whether he/she was successfully logged in or not based on the following facts:
i. Correct username and password.
ii. Incorrect username and password.
iii. User is blocked.
b. Creates new account; whether he/she was successful in creating new account or not based on the following facts:
i. New account was created.
ii. Username already used.
iii. User is blocked.

Table 4: System's log

| ID | UserName | Password | IP <br> Address | Action | Successful | Date | Time | Message |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | Test | test | 208.54 .83 .129 | LogIn | TRUE | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $10: 50: 38$ <br> AM | Login <br> Succeeded. |
| 10 | Test | test | 174.219 .0 .230 | LogIn | TRUE | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $12: 15: 49$ <br> PM | Login <br> Succeeded. |
| 11 | thesis | test | 199.17 .55 .161 | LogIn | TRUE | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $12: 53: 22$ <br> PM | Login <br> Succeeded. |
| 12 | test | test | 172.98 .67 .90 | LogIn | TRUE | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $2: 28: 28$ <br> PM | Login <br> Succeeded. |
| 13 | test | test | 199.17 .22 .66 | LogIn | TRUE | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $6: 25: 18$ <br> PM | Login <br> Succeeded. |
| 14 | redtest1 | redtwst1 | 199.17 .22 .66 | Create | TRUE | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $6: 25: 56$ <br> PM | Account <br> created. |
| 15 | redtwst1 | redtest1 | 199.17 .22 .66 | LogIn | FALSE | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $6: 26: 08$ <br> PM | Invalid <br> Username or <br> password. |
| 16 | redtest1 | redtest1 | 199.17 .22 .66 | LogIn | FALSE | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $6: 26: 18$ <br> PM | Invalid <br> Username or <br> password. |
| 17 | redtest1 | redtest1 | 199.17 .22 .66 | LogIn | FALSE | $10 / 20 / 2016$ | $6: 26: 29$ | Invalid |


| ID | UserName | Password | IP <br> Address | Action | Successful | Date | Time | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | Username or password. |
| 18 | test | test | 199.17.22.66 | Logln | TRUE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6:26:41 } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 19 | redtest1 | redtest1 | 199.17.22.66 | Logln | FALSE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:26:51 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Invalid Username or password. |
| 20 | redtest1 | redtwst1 | 199.17.22.66 | Logln | TRUE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:37:09 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 21 | redtest2 | redtest2 | 199.17.55.161 | Create | TRUE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6: 38: 46 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account created. |
| 22 | redtest2 | redtest2 | 199.17.55.161 | Logln | TRUE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:38:55 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 23 | ' or 1=1 -- | redtest2 | 199.17.55.161 | Logln | FALSE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:46:05 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 24 | test | test | 199.17.55.161 | Logln | FALSE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:46:39 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 25 | newacco | newpass | 199.17.55.161 | Create | FALSE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:46:55 } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 26 | test | test | 199.17.55.161 | Logln | FALSE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:47:01 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 27 | test | test | 199.17.22.66 | Logln | TRUE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:48:04 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 28 | Test | test | 199.17.55.161 | Logln | FALSE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:48:42 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 29 | Test | test | 199.17.55.161 | Logln | FALSE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:49:56 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 30 | test | test | 199.17.22.67 | Logln | TRUE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:28:31 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 31 | ' or 1=1 -- | test | 199.17.22.67 | Logln | FALSE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7: 28: 47 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 32 | test | test | 199.17.22.67 | Logln | FALSE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 28: 55 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 33 | jchen | apple999 | 68.119.24.182 | Create | TRUE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:26:17 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account created. |
| 34 | jchen | apple999 | 68.119.24.182 | Logln | TRUE | 10/20/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:26:43 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 35 | jchen | apple999 | 199.17.38.71 | Logln | TRUE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 14: 11 \\ & \text { AM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 36 | jchen | ' or 1=1 | 199.17.38.71 | Logln | FALSE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 16: 56 \\ & \text { AM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 37 | redtest3 | redtest3 | 63.230.81.241 | Create | TRUE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 54: 41 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account created. |
| 38 | redtest3 | redtest3 | 63.230.81.241 | Logln | TRUE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:57:27 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 39 | redtest3 | 'or1=1-- | 63.230.81.241 | Logln | FALSE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:57:37 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 40 | Redtest3 | redtest3 | 174.219.132.31 | Logln | TRUE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:05:21 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 41 | Redtest3 | redtest3 | 66.191.131.210 | Logln | TRUE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:18:12 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 42 | Redtest3 | 'or1=1 -- | 66.191.131.210 | Logln | FALSE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:18:50 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 43 | Redtest3 | redtest3 | 66.191.131.210 | Logln | FALSE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:19:02 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 44 | Redtest3 | redtest3 | 64.83.197.92 | Logln | TRUE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:30:46 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 45 | ' or 1=1 -- | hjdj | 64.83.197.92 | Logln | FALSE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 31: 14 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 46 | Redtest3 | redtest3 | 64.83.197.92 | Logln | FALSE | 10/21/2016 | 1:31:33 | Account is |


| ID | UserName | Password | IP <br> Address | Action | Successful | Date | Time | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | disabled. |
| 47 | test | test | 192.168.1.1 | Logln | TRUE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:07:26 } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 48 | red-test | redtest | 192.168.1.1 | Create | TRUE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:48:51 } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account created. |
| 49 | test | test | 192.168.1.1 | Logln | TRUE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 52: 41 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 50 | redtest4 | redtest4 | 192.168.1.1 | Create | TRUE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:53:09 } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account created. |
| 51 | redtest4 | test | 192.168.1.1 | Create | FALSE | 10/21/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:53:49 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Username already used. |
| 52 | Test | test | 199.17.55.161 | Logln | FALSE | 10/22/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:32:27 } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 53 | Redtest5 | redtest5 | 199.17.55.161 | Create | FALSE | 10/22/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:33:30 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 54 | Test | test | 174.219.13.149 | Logln | TRUE | 10/22/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 19: 26 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 55 | Test | test | 174.219.13.149 | Logln | TRUE | 10/22/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:19:39 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 56 | 'Or 1=1 -- | test | 174.219.13.149 | Logln | FALSE | 10/22/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8: 26: 08 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 57 | Test | test | 174.219.13.149 | Logln | FALSE | 10/22/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 26: 21 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 58 | Test | test | 8.42.164.20 | Logln | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:02:48 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 59 | Redtest6 | redtest6 | 8.42.164.20 | Create | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:03:33 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account created. |
| 60 | Redtest6 | dgtgh | 8.42.164.20 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:03:49 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Invalid Username or password. |
| 61 | Redtest6 | redtest6 | 8.42.164.20 | Logln | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:03:57 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 62 | Redtest6 | 'or1=1-- | 8.42.164.20 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:04:26 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 63 | Redtest6 | redtedt6 | 8.42.164.20 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:04:37 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 64 | Test | test | 8.42.164.20 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:04:59 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 65 | Test | test | 207.238.29.50 | Logln | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:13:33 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 66 | 'Or 1=1 -- | hhhhhh | 207.238.29.50 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:13:54 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 67 | Test | test | 207.238.29.50 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:14:04 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 68 | Test | test | 174.219.15.144 | Logln | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:39:40 } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 69 | Test | test | 8.42.164.20 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:44:42 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 70 | Test | test | 63.229.207.136 | Logln | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 12: 28 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 71 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 63.229.207.136 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:12:53 } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 72 | Test | test | 63.229.207.136 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:13:02 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 73 | Test | test | 65.103.10.49 | Logln | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2: 31: 34 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 74 | Test | test | 65.103.10.49 | Logln | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:34:15 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |


| ID | UserName | Password | IP <br> Address | Action | Successful | Date | Time | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | Test | hfth | 65.103.10.49 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:34:19 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Invalid Username or password. |
| 76 | Test' or 1=1 | gvbvvb | 65.103.10.49 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:34:45 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 77 | Test | test | 65.103.10.49 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:35:04 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 78 | Test | test | 63.231.253.15 | Logln | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:36:42 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 79 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 63.231.253.15 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:37:02 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 80 | Test | test | 63.231.253.15 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:37:08 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 81 | Test | test | 75.146.149.45 | Logln | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 38: 45 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 82 | Test | ' or 3=3-- | 75.146.149.45 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:39:10 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 83 | Trst | test | 209.181.233.89 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 31: 17 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Invalid Username or password. |
| 84 | Test | test | 209.181.233.89 | Logln | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:31:32 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 85 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 209.181.233.89 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 31: 46 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 86 | Test | test | 209.181.233.89 | Logln | FALSE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:36:39 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 87 | test | test | 192.168.1.1 | Logln | TRUE | 10/23/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:07:45 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 88 | test | test | 24.52.25.146 | Logln | TRUE | 10/24/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:01:25 } \\ & \text { AM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 89 | redtest5 | redtest5 | 24.52.25.146 | Create | TRUE | 10/24/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:01:54 } \\ & \text { AM } \end{aligned}$ | Account created. |
| 90 | reddest5 | redtest5 | 24.52.25.146 | Logln | FALSE | 10/24/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:02:04 } \\ & \text { AM } \end{aligned}$ | Invalid Username or password. |
| 91 | redtest5 | redtest5 | 24.52.25.146 | Logln | TRUE | 10/24/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:02:15 } \\ & \text { AM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 92 | redtest5 | 'or 9=9 -- | 24.52.25.146 | Logln | FALSE | 10/24/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8: 02: 30 \\ & \text { AM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 93 | redtest5 | redtest5 | 24.52.25.146 | Logln | FALSE | 10/24/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:02:49 } \\ & \text { AM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 94 | test6 | test6 | 24.52.25.146 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:06:18 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 95 | Test | test | 208.54.80.158 | Logln | TRUE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 50: 04 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 96 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 208.54.80.158 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7: 50: 38 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 97 | Test | test | 208.54.80.158 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 50: 44 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 98 | Test | test | 12.23.136.106 | Logln | TRUE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 52: 08 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 99 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 'select * } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { tbluser-- } \end{aligned}$ | ghjhg | 12.23.136.106 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:53:08 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 100 | test | test | 12.23.136.106 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 53: 22 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 101 | Test | test | 63.230.83.177 | Logln | TRUE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:03:54 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 102 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 63.230.83.177 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 05: 01 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |


| ID | UserName | Password | IP <br> Address | Action | Successful | Date | Time | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103 | Test | test | 63.230.83.177 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8: 05: 11 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 104 | Test | test | 12.23.136.106 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:06:11 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 105 | Test | test | 204.96.25.180 | Logln | TRUE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:21:40 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 106 | Test | 'or1=1-- | 204.96.25.180 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 21: 55 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 107 | Test | test | 204.96.25.180 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:22:03 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 108 | Test | test | 63.230.81.14 | Logln | TRUE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:26:03 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 109 | Test ' or 1=1 | test | 63.230.81.14 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:26:52 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 110 | Test | test | 63.230.81.14 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:27:05 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 111 | Test | test | 12.23.136.106 | Logln | FALSE | 10/25/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:29:51 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 112 | test | test | 199.17.55.169 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:26:59 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 113 | ' or 1 | hgfhg | 199.17.55.169 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:27:14 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 114 | test | test | 199.17.55.169 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:27:39 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 115 | test1 | test1 | 199.17.55.169 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:27:48 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 116 | test222 | test222 | 199.17.55.169 | Create | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:28:06 } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 117 | test222 | test222 | 199.17.55.169 | Create | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:29:45 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 118 | test | test | 199.17.55.169 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:29:57 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 119 | test | test | 199.17.22.85 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:33:23 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 120 | ' or 1=1 -- | test | 199.17.22.85 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6: 33: 36 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 121 | test | test | 199.17.22.85 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:33:44 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 122 | test | test | 199.17.22.86 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:34:47 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 123 | test ' or 5=5 | test | 199.17.22.86 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:35:01 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 124 | test | test | 199.17.22.86 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:35:08 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 125 | test | test | 199.17.22.83 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6: 37: 03 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 126 | test | 'or1=1-- | 199.17.22.83 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:37:14 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 127 | test | test | 199.17.22.83 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:37:18 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 128 | test | test | 199.17.22.82 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:38:12 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 129 | test | ' delete table tbltest;-- | 199.17.22.82 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:38:41 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 130 | test | test | 199.17.22.82 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:38:48 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 131 | test | test | 199.17.22.92 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:40:50 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 132 | test | 'or 1=1 -- | 199.17.22.92 | LogIn | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | 6:41:01 | Account is |


| ID | UserName | Password | IP <br> Address | Action | Successful | Date | Time | Message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | disabled. |
| 133 | test | test | 199.17.22.92 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:41:07 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 134 | test | test | 199.17.22.93 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6: 41: 57 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 135 | test | test | 199.17.22.106 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:46:07 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 136 | test | 'or1=1-- | 199.17.22.106 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:46:19 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 137 | test | test | 199.17.22.106 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 46: 25 \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 138 | test | testtskj | 199.17.29.3 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:49:22 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Invalid Username or password. |
| 139 | test | test | 199.17.29.3 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:49:26 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 140 | ' select * from tblUser -- | gfdsf | 199.17.29.3 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:49:48 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 141 | test | test | 199.17.29.3 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:49:56 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 142 | test | test | 199.17.29.3 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:50:01 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 143 | test | test | 199.17.29.96 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:52:34 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 144 | test | 'or1=1-- | 199.17.29.96 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:52:46 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 145 | test | test | 199.17.29.96 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:52:49 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 146 | test | test | 199.17.29.65 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:53:10 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |
| 147 | test | 'or 1=1-- | 199.17.29.65 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:53:19 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 148 | test | test | 199.17.29.65 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:53:23 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 149 | test | test | 199.17.29.3 | Logln | FALSE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:55:02 } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Account is disabled. |
| 150 | test | test | 192.168.1.1 | Logln | TRUE | 10/27/2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:02:21 } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Login Succeeded. |

4- Alerting system admin:
a. 30 text messages were received by cell phone.
b. 30 emails were received by email.

A custom message was sent to the system admin in the event that SQL injection was detected.

Message title: "SQL Injection Detected."
Message body: "Abuser's information has been logged."

## Data Analysis

Microsoft Excel 2007 and writing queries in SQL Server 2012 were the tools used to analyze the collected data between 10/20/2016 and 10/27/2016. Based on the analysis:

1- 8 accounts were created from 7 unique IP addresses.
2- 30 unique IP addresses were blocked.
a. 4 of the 30 IP addresses were listed among the 7 unique IP addresses.
b. 26 of the 30 IP addresses were different than the 7 unique IP addresses.

3- 30 alerts were sent by the system.
a. 30 alerts were received by the system admin.

4- 58 of the 142 log records had successfully accessed the system.
a. 50 of the 58 successfully logged into the system.
b. 8 of the 58 successfully created new accounts.

5- 84 of the 142 log records were unsuccessful to access the system.
a. 9 of the 84 had invalid username or password.
b. 1 of the 84 tried to create an account with a username that already had been used.
c. 74 of the 84 were completely blocked.
i. The 74 records had 30 unique IP addresses.

1. 1 IP address was blocked 8 times.
2. 1 IP address was blocked 6 times.
3. 3 IP addresses were blocked 4 times each.
4. 1 IP address was blocked 3 times.
5. 21 IP addresses were blocked 2 times each.
6. 3 IP addresses were blocked 1 time each.

6- 0 IP addresses were granted access with SQL Injection attempt.
a. All IP addresses were logged and blocked at the time SQL injection occurred and denied all future access by the same IP addresses.

## Summary

In this chapter, the environment and components used to design the system for testing, raw data collection, and data analysis were presented. This chapter included all of the raw records collected in the three entities. For better understanding, this chapter also included an explanation of the raw data and the analysis, as there were no IP addresses that were granted access with the SQL injection attempts. The next chapter discusses the promising results, conclusion, and recommendations for future study.

## Chapter V: Results, Conclusion, and Recommendations

## Introduction

The physical design reacted as expected during the planning of the logical design. This chapter includes the results the system facilitated and explains how the first security layer played a crucial role in securing the system from abusers. After discussing the results, this chapter also includes recommendations based on the results. Also, this chapter includes future studies that can be done to strengthen the method.

## Results

Computer security is one of the major concerns in the $21^{\text {st }}$ century and it increases with the growth of technology. This research focused on one of the most used techniques by hackers-SQL injection. Additionally, this study introduced a method of identifying users' IP addresses as a tool to block abusers, utilizing security layers, and alerting system admins as soon as SQL injection was detected.

The results of the physical design of the introduced methodology are promising, as it blocked all abusers from accessing the system. The system blocked access for all 30 IP addresses that were logged when they first tried to hack the system using SQL injection technique. None of 30 IP addresses were able to access the system again, as the system's first security layer was able to decipher abusers from legitimate users through their IP addresses. Therefore, the rate of allowing an abuser to access the system was 0 .

Abusers were blocked from accessing the system by analyzing their IP addresses within the client-side; therefore, no other information was passed to the server-side (database), so that server resources are not wasted. According to the log, one IP address was blocked 21 times from accessing the system, which was the highest on record. The following table shows how many times every IP address tried to log-in or create an account during the testing period:

Table columns definition:
IPAddress: User's IP address.
Successful: 1 = True, if user successfully logged in or created an account. $\mathbf{0}=$ False, if user was blocked from accessing the system.

Number of Activity: the number of how many times a user tried to access the system.

Table 5: Number of times every IP address tried to log-in or create an account

|  | IPAddress | Successful | Number Of <br> Activity |  | IPAddress | Successful | Number Of Activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 12.23.136.106 | 1 | 1 | 37 | 199.17.55.161 | 0 | 8 |
| 2 | 12.23.136.106 | 0 | 4 | 38 | 199.17.55.169 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 172.98.67.90 | 1 | 1 | 39 | 199.17.55.169 | 0 | 6 |
| 4 | 174.219.0.230 | 1 | 1 | 40 | 204.96.25.180 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 174.219.13.149 | 1 | 2 | 41 | 204.96.25.180 | 0 | 2 |
| 6 | 174.219.13.149 | 0 | 2 | 42 | 207.238.29.50 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 174.219.132.31 | 1 | 1 | 43 | 207.238.29.50 | 0 | 2 |
| 8 | 174.219.15.144 | 1 | 1 | 44 | 208.54.80.158 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 192.168.1.1 | 1 | 6 | 45 | 208.54.80.158 | 0 | 2 |
| 10 | 192.168.1.1 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 208.54.83.129 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 199.17.22.106 | 1 | 1 | 47 | 209.181.233.89 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 199.17.22.106 | 0 | 2 | 48 | 209.181.233.89 | 0 | 3 |
| 13 | 199.17.22.66 | 1 | 5 | 49 | 24.52.25.146 | 1 | 3 |
| 14 | 199.17.22.66 | 0 | 4 | 50 | 24.52.25.146 | 0 | 4 |
| 15 | 199.17.22.67 | 1 | 1 | 51 | 63.229.207.136 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 199.17.22.67 | 0 | 2 | 52 | 63.229.207.136 | 0 | 2 |
| 17 | 199.17.22.82 | 1 | 1 | 53 | 63.230.81.14 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 199.17.22.82 | 0 | 2 | 54 | 63.230.81.14 | 0 | 2 |
| 19 | 199.17.22.83 | 1 | 1 | 55 | 63.230.81.241 | 1 | 2 |
| 20 | 199.17.22.83 | 0 | 2 | 56 | 63.230.81.241 | 0 | 1 |
| 21 | 199.17.22.85 | 1 | 1 | 57 | 63.230.83.177 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | 199.17.22.85 | 0 | 2 | 58 | 63.230.83.177 | 0 | 2 |
| 23 | 199.17.22.86 | 1 | 1 | 59 | 63.231.253.15 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | 199.17.22.86 | 0 | 2 | 60 | 63.231.253.15 | 0 | 2 |
| 25 | 199.17.22.92 | 1 | 1 | 61 | 64.83.197.92 | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | 199.17.22.92 | 0 | 2 | 62 | 64.83.197.92 | 0 | 2 |
| 27 | 199.17.22.93 | 1 | 1 | 63 | 65.103.10.49 | 1 | 2 |
| 28 | 199.17.29.3 | 1 | 1 | 64 | 65.103.10.49 | 0 | 3 |
| 29 | 199.17.29.3 | 0 | 5 | 65 | 66.191.131.210 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | 199.17.29.65 | 1 | 1 | 66 | 66.191.131.210 | 0 | 2 |
| 31 | 199.17.29.65 | 0 | 2 | 67 | 68.119.24.182 | 1 | 2 |
| 32 | 199.17.29.96 | 1 | 1 | 68 | 75.146.149.45 | 1 | 1 |
| 33 | 199.17.29.96 | 0 | 2 | 69 | 75.146.149.45 | 0 | 1 |
| 34 | 199.17.38.71 | 1 | 1 | 70 | 8.42.164.20 | 1 | 3 |
| 35 | 199.17.38.71 | 0 | 1 | 71 | 8.42.164.20 | 0 | 5 |
| 36 | 199.17.55.161 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |

## Conclusion

This research presented a new method to keep hackers from reaching a given system. This method was thought of after studying some key points of computer security along with understanding the importance and role of IP addresses in communication over the internet. Since any three-way handshake has to include source and destination IP addresses, why IP addresses are not used to identify users' behavior as a security layer when SQL injection is attempted is a mystery.

IP addresses within the .NET Framework can be accessed by using the HttpContext Class. This study uses IP addresses along with examining the user's input to identify if the system should grant or deny access. As results of this study were gathered, all IP addresses were blocked from accessing the system where SQL injection attacks were presented. Not only that, but there was zero access granted to any IP address which was listed in the system's log, whether SQL injection attacks were detected or not. This step was taken to consider security as a top priority because it is believed access should be denied to anyone who is using the same IP address, and this was presented in the analysis when there were 74 access attempts from 30 blocked IP addresses. In other words, if Bob and Alice are in the same LAN and Bob tries SQL injection to attack the system, Bob and Alice will be blocked from accessing the system since they share the same IP address (both are in the same LAN).

The analysis includes 10 records out of the 74 that tried to access the account with usernames or passwords that are not found in the authorized users' table
(incorrect username or password). Yet, 6 of the 10 logged records indicated the system was attacked from these addresses that were not found in the authorized users' table, which concludes that someone can use SQL injection even if he/she is not the legitimate user. Thus, IP addresses are tools this study used to prevent any illegal users from accessing the system.

## Future Study

Research in the computer security sector will have to be conducted to strengthen the introduced method. The system's Users Blocked List was built dynamically, as it validated each text entered by users. This study used public IP addresses in determining abusers from legitimate users to allow only the latter to access the system. However, it blocks users based on the network (LAN) level, not the user level since public IP addresses can be shared by more than one user. This method can be further developed to block users on the user level by device fingerprinting.

Using device fingerprint (also known as machine fingerprint or browser fingerprint) allows the system to identify individuals or devices for security purposes. Device fingerprint collects information about the device when it is connected to the internet. There are a number of methods used during device fingerprinting such as browser configurations, OSI, operating systems, wireless settings, etc. Device fingerprint is already tested and used, especially by banks (online banking).

As this study used IP addresses as security functionality to block abusers from accessing the system when SQL injection was detected, IP address methods can be
applied to combat any other hacking methods, as IP addresses are available in all internet communications. Therefore, this is a tool that is available and security professionals should make a use of it.
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