

THE APPLICATION OF SUCCESSIONAL THEORY-BASED
MANAGEMENT TO MINNESOTA PRAIRIE SITES
DEGRADED BY INVASIVE PLANT SPECIES

by

Jamie R. Hanson

B.S., St. Cloud State University, 2011

A Thesis

Submitted to the Graduate Faculty

of

St. Cloud State University

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree

Master of Science

St. Cloud, Minnesota

December, 2011

This thesis submitted by Jamie R. Hanson in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science at St. Cloud State University is hereby approved by the final evaluation committee.

Chairperson

Dean
School of Graduate Studies

THE APPLICATION OF SUCCESSIONAL THEORY-BASED
MANAGEMENT TO MINNESOTA PRAIRIE SITES
DEGRADED BY INVASIVE PLANT SPECIES

Jamie R. Hanson

A thesis project at Camp Ripley Army National Guard Training Site will address the effectiveness of directing succession as a means of restoring areas dominated by perennial terrestrial invasive species: Common Tansy (*Tanacetum vulgare*) and Spotted Knapweed, (*Centaurea stoebe ssp. micranthos*). The purpose of this project is to design and implement an experiment that will test different combinations of treatments that alter the three factors of site availability, species availability, and species performance, as defined by Pickett et al. (1987) and Sheley et al. (2003). Altering these three factors is done with the goal of restoring perennial invasive-species-dominated areas into a native plant community. My experimental objective is to determine if succession-based management strategies are an appropriate methodology for the restoration of Minnesota prairie ecosystems that are impacted by invasive species, as it has been shown that invasive species can severely degrade ecosystems. The research question further involves determining which practices within this framework of succession are most effective in restoring Minnesota prairie ecosystems that are degraded by the presence of these invasive plant species. This experiment took place in spring 2010 through fall 2011 and incorporated site manipulation of four seedbed preparations, two cover crop types, and two seed dispersal methods. The addition of a fourth factor involved the application of a selective herbicide, Milestone, to half of each plot. Statistical analysis determined that by the end of data collection in August 2011, all levels from the first three factors in the experimental design did not significantly reduce either invasive species. The application of the fourth factor did significantly reduce both invasive species' mean percent cover. However, a negative consequence of this selective herbicide is reduction in species richness in plots and increase in non-native grass cover. It is

recommended, due to the nature of succession, continued monitoring, data collection, and analysis occur on experimental sites.

Month Year

Approved by Research Committee:

Jorge Arriagada Chairperson

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Jorge Arriagada for his support and assistance through the many trials and tribulations that accompanied this thesis project. Previously known as my field assistant, and now a graduate student herself; Kayla Malone made the execution of my experimental design possible. I cannot thank Kayla enough for her innumerable hours spent on my project. I also thank my committee members Dr. Marco Restani, Dr. Stephen Saupe, and Dr. Michner Bender. The members of the Environmental Office and Department of Public Works at Camp Ripley, Linda Donnay and others in the Office of Sponsored Programs were constant supporters of this experiment, and for that I thank them immensely. Chen Zhu in the Statistical Consulting Center and Dr. Jody Illies at Saint Cloud State University were invaluable assets to the statistical analyses contained within this thesis. Last, but not least, I thank my family and especially my friends for being with me on this two and a half year journey.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
Chapter	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
Invasive Species	1
Common Tansy and Spotted Knapweed	6
Ecological Succession	13
Objectives	19
2. METHODS	22
Study Site	22
Experimentation Design	26
Procedures	28
3. RESULTS	36
Initial Data Collection—2010	36
Senescent Stand Data Collection—2011	37
Emergent Stand Data Collection—2011	40
Post-Milestone Application Data Collection—2011	42

Chapter	Page
2011 Vegetation Surveys	45
Statistical Analyses	56
Boxplot Figures	66
4. DISCUSSION	73
REFERENCES	77
APPENDIX	89

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Ups13 Southern Dry Prairie native plant community as defined by the DNR Ecological Classification System 2005	24
2. UTM GPS Point Location of Blocks at Camp Ripley, MN	28
3. Timeline of events in 2010	34
4. Timeline of events in 2011	35
5. A random number generator was used to determine the number of steps walked within the block to visually estimate senescent stand invasive species percent cover in April 2010 of a meter sized area at that point with a total of 16 points per block	37
6. April 2011 senescent stand mean percent cover of spotted knapweed	39
7. April 2011 senescent stand mean percent cover of common tansy	39
8. A block mean of visual estimates of senescent stand invasion species percent cover in April 2011 of each 10 by 20 meter plot	40
9. May 2011 emergent stand mean percent cover of spotted knapweed	41
10. May 2011 emergent stand mean percent cover of common tansy	41
11. A block mean of visual estimates of emergent stand invasive species percent cover in May 2011 of each 10 by 20 meter plot	42
12. July 2011 Post-selective-herbicide treatment mean percent cover of spotted knapweed	44
13. July 2011 Post-selective-herbicide treatment mean percent cover of common tansy	44

Table	Page
14. A block mean of visual estimates of post-selective-herbicide treatment invasive species percent cover in July 2011 of each 10 by 20 meter plot	45
15. 2011 Species Richness per half-plot (# spp.) with 1m squared quadrat sampling frame randomly placed at least one meter from buffer zone	47
16. Species richness per half-plot (# spp.) with 1m squared quadrat sampling frame randomly placed at least one meter from buffer zone	48
17. Forb and tree surveys in June and August 2010	49
18. Forb and tree surveys in August 2011	51
19. Grass surveys in August 2010	52
20. Grass surveys in August 2011	53
21. Cover class mean values for every treatment of the four blocks in spotted knapweed areas was determined by randomly placing a 0.2 by 0.5 m Daubenmire frames in each half-plot	54
22. Cover class mean values for every treatment of the four block in common tansy areas was determined by randomly placing a 0.2 by 0.5 m Daubenmire frames in each half-plot	55
23. Common tansy independent samples t-test for species richness in plot halves with and without a selective herbicide application	58
24. Spotted knapweed independent samples t-test for species richness in half-plots with and without a selective herbicide application	59
25. Univariate ANOVA for spotted knapweed areas with the Between- Subjects factors of seedbed preparation (SP), cover crop (CC), seeding method (SM), selective herbicide application (MS), and block	59
26. Univariate ANOVA for spotted knapweed	61
27. Repeated Measures ANOVA for spotted knapweed areas with the Within-Subjects Effects of time on mean percent cover	62

Table	Page
28. Repeated Measures ANOVA for spotted knapweed	62
29. Univariate ANOVA for common tansy area with the Between-Subjects factors of seedbed preparation (SP), cover crop (CC), seeding method (MS), selective herbicide application (MS), and block	63
30. Univariate ANOVA for common tansy	65
31. Repeated Measures ANOVA for common tansy areas with the Within-Subjects Effects of time on mean percent cover	65
32. Repeated Measures ANOVA for common tansy	66

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Common tansy (<i>Tanacetum vulgare</i>) and spotted knapweed (<i>Centaureastoebe ssp. Micranthos</i>) distribution as of 2010 at Camp Ripley	9
2. The Pine Moraines and Outwash Plains, Hardwood Hills, and Anoka Sand Plain subsections converge at Camp Ripley	23
3. A randomized complete block design (2x2x4)	26
4. Treatment assignments were determined by plots receiving a random number between 1-16	27
5. Spotted knapweed blocks' mean percent cover measures for all data sets	67
6. Spotted knapweed mean percent cover measures per data set	68
7. Spotted knapweed mean percent cover measures for plot halves within blocks that received no selective herbicide versus those half-plots that received a selective herbicide application	69
8. Common tansy mean percent cover measures per block	70
9. Common tansy mean percent cover measures per data set	71
10. Common tansy mean percent cover measures for plot halves within blocks that received no selective herbicide versus those half-plots that received a selective herbicide application	72