

# University of Richmond Law Review

---

Volume 3 | Issue 1

Article 2

---

1968

## Foreword

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.richmond.edu/lawreview>

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

*Foreword*, 3 U. Rich. L. Rev. v (1968).

Available at: <https://scholarship.richmond.edu/lawreview/vol3/iss1/2>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School Journals at UR Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Richmond Law Review by an authorized editor of UR Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact [scholarshiprepository@richmond.edu](mailto:scholarshiprepository@richmond.edu).

## FOREWORD

This first issue of the University of Richmond Law Review replaces the Law Notes, which began as a faculty publication over a decade ago. It may well be presumptuous for the present editorial board to suggest that the T. C. Williams School of Law has come of age as a result of the publication of a single issue of the Law Review, but the attitude of the student body in general supports that observation. This new venture was born of the hard work and persistence of many students over the past years as well as a few faculty members, particularly Professor Harry L. Snead, Jr., the present faculty advisor. Not only the members of the editorial board for this first issue, but also the entire student body and the alumni of this law school are the beneficiaries of those efforts.

The new publication is dedicated to excellence in legal scholarship. Realistically speaking, the Law Review will have many shortcomings, especially in the first few issues, but it will not be content until it meets the highest standards. To that end, the Law Review invites your comment and criticism.

The Law Review is particularly indebted to Theodore J. Markow, a third year law student, for compiling the material for the legislation section. The editors are also grateful to J. Edward Betts for his advice during the first year. Several members of the Richmond Bar were kind enough to read and criticize student material: James W. Featherstone, III, Alexander H. Slaughter, S. W. Tucker, C. Daniel Stevens, Bowman T. Bowles, Jr., Carl F. Bowmer, C. Cotesworth Pinckney, and Roderick B. Mathews.

The editors are unable to express fully their gratitude to Professor Harry L. Snead, Jr., for all the unselfish sacrifices he has made, not in this year alone, but over the past several years. Those sacrifices have produced more than a law review.

