

# Investigating COMT Influence on the Proactive-Reactive Stress Coping Axis in Zebrafish

Sean T. Bresnahan, Ryan Y. Wong  
Department of Biology, University of Nebraska at Omaha

## Background

**Proactive-Reactive Stress Coping Axis**

	Proactive	Reactive
Exploratory Behavior	High	Low
Aggression	High	Low
Stress Sensitivity	Low	High

Stress coping styles often consist of correlated suites of behaviors. One set of alternative stress coping styles found in many species consist of behaviors on a proactive (bold) and reactive (shy) axis, which emerge out of an array of neural and genomic mechanisms.

**Catechol-O-methyltransferase**

Catecholamine → COMT → Inactive metabolite

Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) – a regulator of stress-relevant signaling molecules in the brain – is one neural mechanism which may play a role in stress coping style.

**Hypothesis**

Higher *comta* expression may confer on proactive individuals an increased ability to cope with stress. Knockout of *comta* by Cas9 will result in proactive individuals with similar sensitivity to stress (stationary behavior in novel environments) as reactive conspecifics, and reactive individuals with increased sensitivity to stress compared to controls.

## Materials and Methods

- Design *comta* sgRNA and target region primers
- Synthesize *comta*-Cas9/gRNA mRNA
- Inject 1-cell-stage embryos
- Monitor survival
- Validation 1: HRMA
- Validation 2: on-target analysis
- Validation 3: off-target analysis
- Generate F1 lines
- Stress-behavior assay
- in situ* hybridization

## Results

**Spawning and Post-Treatment Survival Rates**

Breeding attempts	155	Times eggs observed	50	Spawning probability	32.25%
Eggs saved	1,200	Survived at 72hpf	285	% survival at 72hpf	23.75%
Survived at 72hpf	285	>72hpf or used	87	% >72hpf or used	30.53%
				Mean per attempt	1.8
				% of total	7.25%

**Initial detection of variants by High Resolution Melt Curve Analysis (HRMA)**

**Bold 1-8: Aligned Melt Curves**      **Shy 1-5: Aligned Melt Curves**

HRMA indicates variants in *comta* target region for Cas9-gRNA injected individuals compared to controls. Genomic DNA from 6 month old +/- (8 proactive, 5 reactive) and +/- individuals (4 proactive, 4 reactive) assessed for variation in the target region. Gray curves represent +/- and colored curves represent +/- individuals.

**On-target analysis by Sanger sequencing and bioinformatic determination of mutation type**

Multiple sequence alignment mapped to reference genome	Sequence	Sequence	Target similarity	Target		
Bold (-/-) 1:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	97.581%	0.55%	50.00%	0.50%
Bold (-/-) 2:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	99.211%	0.79%	77.78%	0.00%
Bold (-/-) 3:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	99.001%	1.37%	27.78%	22.22%
Bold (-/-) 4:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	98.487%	2.11%	38.89%	27.78%
Bold (-/-) 5:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	98.889%	2.00%	27.78%	3.50%
Bold (-/-) 6:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	96.531%	0.51%	50.00%	0.00%
Bold (-/-) 7:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	97.551%	1.02%	38.89%	0.00%
Bold (-/-) 8:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	98.721%	0.50%	27.78%	0.00%
Bold (+/+) 1:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	100.00%	0.00%	94.44%	0.00%
Bold (+/+) 2:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	98.543%	0.79%	38.89%	0.00%
Shy (-/-) 1:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	100.00%	0.00%	94.44%	0.00%
Shy (-/-) 2:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	98.521%	1.02%	61.11%	11.11%
Shy (-/-) 3:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	99.471%	1.43%	38.89%	5.50%
Bold (+/+) 1:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	100.00%	0.00%	94.44%	0.00%
Shy (+/+) 1:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	99.471%	1.43%	38.89%	5.50%
Reference:	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	CGTATGGACACATGTTGCTG	96.89%	1.02%	51.28%	5.60%

**11/13 Cas9-gRNA injected individuals display mutations in target sequence.**

**Top left:** Target region genomic sequence alignment for 8 proactive (bold) and 5 reactive (shy) individuals with relative controls, mapped to the GRCh21 zebrafish reference genome. Target sequence highlighted in grey. (N) = base-call errors. Possible missing bases highlighted in black. (Top right): whole sequence and gRNA target similarity scores. (Bottom right): reading frame/translation analysis. Columns highlighted for corresponding codon. Many samples were heterozygous; alternative variants shown; mutation types vary from top left.

**Targeting efficiency**

Potential +/- tested	Confirmed by HRMA	Confirmed by Sequencing	% +/- confirmed
23 (of 42)	18	11	84.61%

## Conclusions and Future Directions

**23.75% survival of Cas9-gRNA injected larvae at 72 hours post fertilization**

- 285/1200 +/- survived at 72hpf – a common timepoint in similar studies (Varshney et al., 2016).
- Survival rate increased over trials as cytotoxicity and rearing issues were worked out.

**18/23 individuals (78.26%) verified for genomic variants by HRMA**

- 23 +/- individuals (data not shown for 10/23) assessed by HRMA.
- 18/23 verified for variants in the target region compared to controls.
- Melt curves for 5/23 individuals aligned with those of controls; individuals removed from the study.

**11/13 individuals (84.61%) verified for *comta* knockout by sequencing**

- 13/18 HRMA verified individuals assessed by sanger sequencing.
- Ensemble and Clustal Omega alignments revealed 100% identity upstream of the target sequence.
- Within and downstream of the target sequence, various mutation types were revealed.
- Several samples displayed heterozygosity; sequencing files were duplicated and base-calls manually modified to distinguish between variants.
- Across variants, 11/18 individuals displayed successful frameshift-causing and/or other mutation types.

**Current efforts: generation of F1 line, behavioral testing, and *in situ* hybridization**

- Off-target analysis of the 11/18 *comta* +/- individuals is currently being conducted.
- Individuals verified to be without off-target mutations will be cross-bred to generate an F1 line.
- At 6-8 months old, F1's will be subjected to a battery of stress-behavior assays, and brains stored for visualization of *comta* expression by *in situ* hybridization.

## Acknowledgements

A very special thanks to Ryan Wong for training and mentorship throughout this project; to Rebecca Patterson for assistance in breeding efforts; to Matthew Baker for assistance on histology-related components; to Danny Revers, Nagat Mohamed, and Amber Park for zebrafish husbandry; and all members of the Wong lab for many useful critiques and discussions as well as ample encouragement.

This study was supported by a Fund for Undergraduate Scholarly Experiences (FUSE) award to STB, funds from the National Institutes of Health (R15MH115074), Nebraska EPSCoR First Award (OIA-1557417), Nebraska Research Initiative, and University of Nebraska Omaha start-up grants to RYW.

## References

Coppens, C.M., de Boer, S.F., & Koolhaas, J.M. (2010). Coping styles and behavioural flexibility: towards underlying mechanisms. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London: Biological Sciences*, 365(1560), 4021-4028.

Doudna, J. A., & Mali, P. (2016). *CRISPR-Cas: A laboratory manual*. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

Eiden, L. E. (2013). Neuropeptide-Catecholamine Interactions in Stress. *Adv. Pharmacol.* 68: 339-404

Godwin, J., Sawyer, S., Perin F., Owendine S.E., Kezios Z.D. (2012). Adapting the Open Field Test to Assess Anxiety-Related Behavior in Zebrafish. In: Kalcheff A., Stewart A. (eds) *Zebrafish Protocols for Neurobehavioral Research. NeuroMethods*, vol 66. Humana Press.

Semenova, S., Rozov, S., Pindia, P. (2017). Distribution, properties, and inhibitor sensitivity of zebrafish catechol-O-methyl transferase (COMT). *Biochem Pharmacol*, 114(5): 147-157.

Varshney, G. K., Carrington, B., Pei, W., et al. (2016). A high-throughput functional genomics workflow based on CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis in zebrafish. *Nature Protocols*. 11: 2357-2375

Wong, R. Y., Lamm, M. S., & Godwin, J. (2015). Characterizing the neurotranscriptional states in alternative stress coping styles. *BMCG*, 16:425.