Richmond Journal of Law and Technology

Volume 7 | Issue 2

Article 12

²⁰⁰⁰ Electric Choice in Pennsylvania

Brian D. Crowe

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarship.richmond.edu/jolt Part of the <u>Consumer Protection Law Commons</u>, and the <u>Energy and Utilities Law Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Brian D. Crowe, *Electric Choice in Pennsylvania*, 7 Rich. J.L. & Tech 17 (2000). Available at: http://scholarship.richmond.edu/jolt/vol7/iss2/12

This Symposium Information is brought to you for free and open access by UR Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Richmond Journal of Law and Technology by an authorized administrator of UR Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact scholarshiprepository@richmond.edu.



Volume VII, Issue 2,

Fall 2000

Electric Choice in Pennsylvania

by Brian D. Crowe(*)

<u>Cite As:</u> Brian D. Crowe, *Electric Choice in Pennsylvania*, 7 RICH. J.L. & TECH. 17 (Symposium 2000), *at* http://www.richmond.edu/jolt/v7i2/crowe.html.

I. Implementing Electric Choice

- A. PECO Energy
- B. Background on Pennsylvania's Retail Competition
- C. Current Status
- D. Building Blocks
- E. Potential Problems and Pitfalls
- F. Implications
- II. PECO Energy Company
 - A.Serving Southeastern Pennsylvania
 - B. \$4 Billion Annual Revenue
 - C. 1.5 Million Electric Customers (approximately 28% of PA customers)
 - D. 400,000 Natural Gas Customers
 - E. Three Primary Organizations:
 - 1. Local Distribution Company

- 2. Generation and Power Trading
- 3. Ventures (Electric Marketing Affiliates and Telecommunications, Infrastructure)

III. Pennsylvania's Electric Competition Legislation

A. Passed December 3, 1996.

B. Result of Consensus working group under the PA Public Utility Commission.

C. Established Pilot Programs for 5% of load beginning in November, 1997.

D. Remaining customers to be phased into choice from January, 1999 through January, 2001, in 33% increments.

E. PECO accelerated phase-in to 2000.

IV. Electric Choice

A. Advanced metering and billing is unbundled is made competitive.

B. Suppliers can offer advanced metering to their generation customers (i.e. remotely read)

C. Customers may have up to 3 billing options: combined bill from PECO, combined bill from the electric generation supplier, or two separate bills.

V. Current Status

A. As of January, 2000, all customers are eligible for electric choice.

B. Approximately 250,000 customers are participating in PECO Energy's territory.

C. Over 500,000 customers are participating in Pennsylvania.

D. Over 35 active suppliers in our territory.

VI. Building Blocks

- A. Wholesale market model
- B. Stranded Investment
- C. Supplier Licensing
- D. Market Rules / Tariffs and Fees
- E. Infrastructure
- F. Testing
- G. Consumer Education
- H. Time

VII. Potential Problems & Pitfalls

A. Weak Licensing

B. Technical Weakness

C. Slamming

D. Lack of Testing

E. Customer Confusion

F. Market Rules

G. Measures of Success.

VIII. Implications

A. Will Customer Choice take off?

[*] Brian D. Crowe is the Director of Customer Choice Implementation at PECO Energy's Distribution Company. In this position, he is responsible for all activities related to the phase-in of choice of electric suppliers for all 1.5 million of PECO Energy's electric distrbution customers. He began his career with PECO Energy in 1987. Prior to his appointment to his current position, he was a Corporate Strategist in the Company's Corporate Planning & Development Department. Previously he has held the positions of Account Manager and Account Executive in the Marketing & Sales Department and various engineering positions in the former Engineering & Construction Department. Brian holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering and an MBA in Finance.

Return to this Issue's Index

Return to The Journal's Home Page

© Copyright 2000 Richmond Journal of Law & Technology