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#### Abstract

Summary A new scorpion species, Euscorpius feti sp. n., is described from the western Balkans based on morphological evidence. It is characterized by long-limbed overall appearance, medium-large size, light brown to reddish color, and a high trichobothrial count $(P v=11-12, e t=8, e m=4$ and $e b=4)$.


## Introduction

The genus Euscorpius Thorell, 1876 is one of the most studied taxa of scorpions, very common in southern Europe and Anatolia. Its species occupy diverse habitats from the sea level up to at least $2,400 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l. Taxonomy of this genus is complicated and still unresolved throughout its range, due to inaccurate old descriptions, lost type specimens, lack of specimens from many areas as well as existence of cryptic species complexes, which exhibit very similar morphological characters. The Euscorpius populations of Balkans have been poorly studied and many of them are in need to clarification and detailed research. This paper focuses on a small geographic area between the south of Croatia and the north of Montenegro. From this area, four valid species of Euscorpius have been known: E. italicus (Herbst, 1800), E. tergestinus (C.L. Koch, 1837), E. hadzii Di Caporiacco, 1950, and E. mingrelicus (Kessler, 1874), of which the last two especially need clarification. In this study we describe a new species, which has never been mentioned in the past, Euscorpius feti sp. n.

## Materials and Methods

## Material examined

A total of 7 specimens of Euscorpius feti sp. n. have been examined (see Type material). Further 45 specimens have been examined for comparison:
E. aquilejensis (C.L. Koch, 1837). Italy: Rome, Via delle Isole Cursolane, May 2013, leg. P. Crucitti, 1

Y (GTC 316); Rome, Via Cosseria 2, 3 October 2013, leg. S. Tropea, 1 § (GTC 421); Ancona, Genga, Ponte Chiaradovo, $43.42545^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 12.99003^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ to $43.42340^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $12.99135^{\circ}$ E, 14 September 2013, leg. G. Tropea, 6 + (GTC 359-364); Umbria, Perugia, Città della Pieve, 508 $\mathrm{m}, ~ 2010$, leg. C. M. Legittimo, 2 (GTC 77, 78); Abruzzo, L’Aquila, Celano, 9 July 2011, leg. G. Tropea, 2 \& (GTC 422,423); Friuli Venezia Giulia, Trieste, Vicolo del Castagneto 69, $1 \not \subset$ (MSNT); Friuli Venezia Giulia, Trieste, lapidary garden, park, pitfall traps, 28 August - 2 October 1994, leg. Entomological Group of the Museum of Trieste, 1 \& (MSNT).
E. balearicus Di Caporiacco, 1950. Spain: Balearic Islands, Mallorca, Puerto de Soller, October 1933, leg. C. Alzona, 2 ( (MSNG 2847, 2848, paralectotypes); Balearic Islands, Mallorca, Lluc, 16 October 1964, leg. Rallo, 1 ㅇ (MSNT).
E. hadzii Di Caporiacco, 1950. Bosnia \& Herzegovina: Republika Srpska, Vucija Bara, July 1900, leg. [R.] Sturany, 1 đ̂, 1 ¢ (MSNB 13360, 13361); Jablan, 8 August 1971, leg. A. Valle and R. Bianchi, 4 §, 2 ㅇ (MSNB 8713-8718); Croatia: Dubrovnik, August 1970, leg. Zullini, 3 ふ̃, 2 ㅇ (MSNB 8454-8458); Montenegro: near Trsa, Plužine, Durmitor National Park, $43^{\circ} 10.800^{\prime}$ N, $18^{\circ} 55.960^{\prime}$ E, 2012, leg. S. Novakovic, 2 §, 1 ¢ (GTC 424-426); Montenegro: Krivošije, leg. Branksik, 4 đ, 3 ¢ (NHMW 13354). Unclear locality (see Reitter, 1881): "Dalmatien: Castelnuovo, Ragusa, Dricno" [Croatia: Ragusa (now Dubrovnik). - Bosnia \& Herzegovina: Drieno (now Drijen, on the road Dubrovnik-Trebinje). - Montenegro: Castelnuovo (now Herceg Novi), April-May] 1880, leg. [E.] Reitter, 1 Q imm. (NHMW 13289).


Figures 1-2: Euscorpius feti sp. n., female, dorsal and ventral views.
E. tergestinus (C.L. Koch, 1837). Croatia: Sukošan, 2012, 3 \& (GTC); Mali Halan, 11 August 1970, leg. A. Valle \& R. Bianchi, 3 ㅇ (MSNB 8173-8175). Unclear locality (see Reitter, 1881): "Dalmatien: Castelnuovo, Ragusa, Dricno" [Croatia: Ragusa (now Dubrovnik). - Bosnia \& Herzegovina: Drieno (now Drijen, on the road Dubrovnik-Trebinje). - Montenegro: Castelnuovo (now Herceg Novi), April-May] 1880, leg. [E.] Reitter, 2 ㅇ (NHMW 13289).

In addition, we studied the data obtained by Tropea (2013); see Material Examined in Tropea (2013: 1-3).

## Abbreviations

Abbreviations: $V$ : trichobothria on pedipalp chela manus ventral surface; $P v$ : trichobothria on patella ventral surface; $P e$ : trichobothria on pedipalp patella external surface; et: external terminal; est: external sub-
terminal; em: external medium; esb: external suprabasal; $e b_{a}$ : external basal $a$; $e b$ : external basal; $d b$ : dorsal basal trichobothrium on fixed finger; $D p$ : pectinal teeth number; L: length; $H$ : height; Lchel: chela length; Wchel; chela width; Lcar: carapace length; Wcar: carapace width; Lfem: femur length; Lpat: patella length; Lmet: metasoma length; met.seg: metasomal segment; CarA$\operatorname{CarP} \%$ : average ratio of distances from center of median eyes to anterior and posterior margins of the carapace; $D P S$ : dorsal patellar spur; $D D$ : distal denticle; $M D$ : median denticles; $O D$ : outer denticles; $I D$ : inner denticles; IAD: inner accessory denticles; GTC: private collection of Gioele Tropea, Rome, Italy; MSNB: Museo Civico di Scienze Naturali "E. Caffi", Bergamo, Italy; MZUR: Museo di Zoologia "Charles Darwin" dell 'Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Rome, Italy; MSNG: Museo Civico di Storia Naturale "Giacomo Doria", Genoa, Italy; MSNT: Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Trieste, Trieste, Italy; NHMW: Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Vienna, Austria; UL, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia; VFPC: private collection of Victor Fet, Huntington, West Virginia, USA.

## Systematics

Family Euscorpiidae Laurie, 1896

## Genus Euscorpius Thorell, 1876

## Subgenus Incertae Sedis

Euscorpius feti Tropea, sp. n.
(Figs. 1-18, Tab. 1)

## http://zoobank.org/urn:Isid:zoobank.org:act:71FFE7

 45-5538-42CF-928E-A5CFAC8052D7Type material. Holotype: $\mathcal{\text { , }}$, Croatia: Korčula Island, Vrbovica, April 1999 (UL).

Paratypes: Croatia: Lapad Peninsula, May 1952, 1 $\uparrow$ (UL); Cavtat (Ragusavecchia), $1 \delta \mathrm{imm}$. (MZUR 35). Bosnia \& Herzegovina: Republika Srpska, Trebinje, 1 $q$ (UL); Petrina, Trebinje, 6 June 2004, leg. D. Pavic, 1 $\uparrow$ imm. (VFPC); Zavala, 1 ð imm. (GTC). Unclear locality (see Reitter, 1881): "Dalmatien: Castelnuovo, Ragusa, Dricno" [Croatia: Ragusa (now Dubrovnik). Bosnia \& Herzegovina: Drieno (now Drijen, on the road Dubrovnik-Trebinje). - Montenegro: Castelnuovo (now Herceg Novi), April-May] 1880, leg. [E.] Reitter, 1 q (NHMW 13289).

Note: The precise provenance of the specimens NHMW 13289 is not known. The label says "Yu / Dalmatien: Castelnuovo, Ragusa, Dricno, leg. Reitter 1880." Consulting Reitter (1881), we can say that Castelnuovo refers to the city Herceg Novi, northern Mon-
tenegro, near the border with Croatia; Ragusa refers to Dubrovnik, in southern Croatia; while Dricno is misspelled Drieno, an old Turkish fort in Bosnia \& Herzegovina, on the road to Trebinje. Unfortunately, Reitter (1881) did not mention where exactly these scorpions were collected. The three localities are all quite close, thus all specimens NHMW 13289 (including E. tergestinus and E. hadzii) could come from any of these places.

Geographic distribution: Western Balkans: Bosnia \& Herzegovina (west), Croatia (south), ?Montenegro (northwest) (see map in Fig. 17).

Etymology: The specific epithet in honor of the scorpiologist Victor Fet, who has provided and continues to provide a great contribution to the knowledge of the genus Euscorpius, and for his willingness to help his colleagues.

Diagnosis: A medium-large Euscorpius species, total length $35-38 \mathrm{~mm}$. Color of adults light brownreddish, carapace and pedipalps darker reddish. More or less expressed reticulation or marbling on carapace, metasoma and chelicerae is present. The number of trichobothria on the pedipalp manus ventral surface is 4 ( $3 V+E t_{1}$ ); the number of trichobothria on the pedipalp patella ventral surface is 11 to 12 (11 in $71.43 \%$ and 12 in $28.57 \%$ of pedipalps examined); the number of trichobothria on pedipalp patella external surface is usually: $e b=4, e b_{a}=4$, esb $=2$, em $=4$, est $=4$, et $=8$ (series et $=8$ in $85.71 \%$ and et $=7$ in $14.29 \%$ of pedipalps examined). Trichobothria $e t_{2}$ and $e s t_{2}$ on pedipalp patella external surface are located in a very proximal position; trichobothrium $e t_{2}$ is proximal to $e s t_{3}$. The pectinal teeth count is 9 in males and usually 7 in females ( 7 in $80 \%$ and 8 in $20 \%$ of pectines examined). Metasomal segment I usually longer than wide or as long as wide; average $L / W$ ratio 1.029. Lchel/Wchel ratio is 3.294 in females. Dorsal patellar spur highly developed. Femur longer than patella; Lfem/Lpat ratio is 1.077. Carapace usually longer than wide; average ratio Lcar/Wcar 1.053; average distance from center of median eyes to anterior margin of the carapace is $38.80 \%$ of the carapace length. Average distance from center of median eyes to posterior margin of the carapace is 61.20 $\%$ of the carapace length. Average ratio of Lmet/Lcar is 2.226 .

Trichobothrial and pectinal teeth count variation: The variation observed in 7 studied specimens ( 2 males, 5 females) is given below (left/right asymmetry not specified). Pectinal teeth in males ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ): 9/9 (2). Pectinal teeth in females ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): 7/7 (4), $8 / 8$ (1); in total, 7 in $80.00 \%$ and 8 in $20.00 \%$; mean $=7.20, \mathrm{SD}=0.40$. Pedipalp patella trichobothria $P v(n=7): 11 / 11$ (3), 11/12


Figures 3-14: Euscorpius feti sp. n. (female). 3. Carapace. 4. Telson. 5. Lateral view of the metasomal segment V. 6. Ventral view of the metasomal segment V. 7. External view of the chela. 8. Ventral view of the chela. 9. Dorsal view of the chela. 10. Ventral view of pedipalp patella. 11. Dorsal view of pedipalp patella. 12. External view of pedipalp patella. 13. Ventral view of pedipalp femur. 14. Dorsal view of pedipalp femur.
(4); in total, 11 in $71.42 \%$ and 12 in $28.58 \%$; mean $=$ 11.28, $\mathrm{SD}=0.45$. Pedipalp patella trichobothria Pe $(\mathrm{n}=7)$ : et $=7 / 7$ (1), $8 / 8(6)$, in total, 7 in $14.29 \%$ and 8 in $85.71 \%$; mean $=7.86, \mathrm{SD}=0.35$; est $=4 / 4(7) ;$ em $=$ $4 / 4$ (7); $e s b=2 / 2(7) ; e b_{a}=4 / 4(7) ; e b=4 / 4$ (7).

## Description of the female holotype

Coloration: Whole color light orange-brown with carapace darker, reddish; marbling on chelicerae, metasoma and patella of legs; tergites outline lighter; sternites grayish/brownish with outline and lateral area very lighter, whitish/light brownish; pectines and genital operculum whitish; chelicerae very yellowish/light brownish with darker fingers and fingers' teeth very darker, area distal with dark marbling; telson yellowish with traces of dark pigmentation, longitudinal dark line and dark reddish aculeus tip; darker carinae, specially the internal carinae of pedipalps, blackish.

Carapace: Length 5.64, posterior width 5.27; fine granulation on whole surface but it becomes gradually larger toward the lateral area, especially in anterior lateral area, from median eyes to half than carapace length; anterior edge from slight granulate to granulate in lateral area and more or less straight, with a slight prominence at the center; very deep posterior median and posterior lateral furrows, the latter two combine to form two protuberances at the posterior margin; two pairs of lateral eyes (with a larger anterior eye), and a pair of median eyes, situated anteriad of the middle; length from center of median eyes to anterior margin is $39.36 \%$ of carapace length; length from center of median eyes to posterior margin is $60.64 \%$ of the carapace length.

Mesosoma: Tergites very finely granulated to rough with lighter outline; sternites glossy and finely punctated; small spiracles inclined to about $45^{\circ}$ downward towards outside; area of overlap between sternites very pale.

Metasoma: Dorsal carinae on segments I-IV granulated, the last two or three distal granules are more pronounced; dorsolateral carinae on segments I-III barely traceable for $1 / 3$ to $2 / 5$ of the length, on segments IV-V absent or obsolete; ventrolateral carinae absent on segment I, smooth or obsolete on segments II-IV, slightly and finely serrulate and spaced on segment V; ventromedian carina absent on segments I-IV, finely granulate on segment V ; intercarinal spaces mostly smooth, only the dorsal surface of segments I and II has very small scattered granules; marbling on metasomal segments II-V.

Telson: Vesicle with a few scattered, very small granules to rough, with ventral setae of different size, especially near the vesicle/aculeus juncture; $L / H$ ratio of the vesicle 2.143.

Pectines: tooth count 7/7; middle lamellae count 5/4; several microsetae on marginal lamellae, middle lamellae and fulcra.

Genital operculum: The genital operculum is formed by two sclerites united; a few microsetae are present.

Sternum: Pentagonal shape, type 2; longer than wide, with a deep posterior emargination.

Pedipalps: Coxa and trochanter with tuberculate carinae. Femur: dorsal internal carinae tuberculate; dorsal external carinae formed by tubercles slightly spaced; external median carinae serrulate; ventral internal carinae tuberculate; ventral external carinae formed by spaced tubercles, well formed only in the proximal half; anterior median formed by spaced, nearly conical tubercles, varying in size, of which three bear a macroseta each; dorsal intercarinal spaces uniformly granulated; ventral intercarinal spaces not uniformly granulated, with larger granules near ventral internal carinae. Patella: dorsal internal carinae tuberculate to slightly crenulate; dorsal external carinae lightly crenulate to rough; ventral external carinae crenulate; ventral internal carinae tuberculate to lightly serrulate; dorsal intercarinal surface finely granulated, with larger granules in distal area; ventral intercarinal surface with few scattered minute granules, especially near ventral internal carinae. Dorsal patellar spur highly developed. Chelal carina $D 1$ is distinct, strong, dark and from smooth to rough; $D 4$ is rounded and smooth; $V 1$ is distinct, strong, dark and rough to lightly crenulate; V3 rounded, dark and lightly and finely granulated; external carina granulated; intercarinal tegument rough to finely granulated with very minute scattered granules. Typical Euscorpius chela finger dentition; $L / W$ ratio of the chela 3.43 ; Lfem/Lpat ratio is 1.09 .

Trichobothria: Chela: trichobothria on the pedipalp manus ventral surface is $4 / 4\left(V_{1-3}+E t_{1}\right)$. Patella: ventral $(P v): 12 / 11$; patella external $(P e)$ : et $=7 / 7$, est $=4 / 4$, em $=4 / 4, e s b=2 / 2, e b_{a}=4 / 4, e b=4 / 4$. Trichobothria $e t_{2}$ and $e s t_{2}$ on pedipalp patella external surface are located in a very proximal position; trichobothrium $e t_{2}$ is proximal to est ${ }_{3}$. Femur: trichobothrium $d$ is slightly proximal to $i$, while trichobothrium $e$ is distal to both $d$ and $i$; it is situated on dorsal external carina but is shifted toward its dorsal surface.

Legs: Legs with two pedal spurs; no tarsal spur; ventral row of tarsus III with a total of 8 spinules, of increasing size from proximal to distal, ending with a decentralized spinule; 3 flanking pairs of tarsal setae adjacent to the ventral spinules row. Granulation present on dorsal and ventral surface of leg femora, it is mostly marked and dark ventrally; patella with dark marbling.

Chelicerae: Smooth, with dark marbling on anterior part, with darker apical portion of denticles. Movable finger: the dorsal distal denticle is very smaller than the ventral distal denticle; ventral edge is smooth with

|  |  | E. feti sp. n. Croatia Holotype $q$ | E. balearicus Mallorca, Spain Paralectotype (MSNG 2847) | E. aquilejensis Trieste, Italy $q$ (MSNT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Length | 34.89 | 29.29 | 37.82 |
| Carapace | Length | 5.64 | 4.89 | 5.28 |
|  | Post. width | 5.27 | 4.62 | 4.60 |
| Metasoma | Length | 12.77 | 9.47 | 11.98 |
| Segment I | Length | 1.61 | 1.29 | 1.56 |
|  | Width | 1.53 | 1.46 | 1.68 |
| Segment II | Length | 1.92 | 1.47 | 1.80 |
|  | Width | 1.37 | 1.20 | 1.46 |
| Segment III | Length | 2.22 | 1.68 | 2.02 |
|  | Width | 1.26 | 1.14 | 1.41 |
| Segment IV | Length | 2.64 | 1.91 | 2.40 |
|  | Width | 1.21 | 1.04 | 1.38 |
| Segment V | Length | 4.38 | 3.12 | 4.20 |
|  | Width | 1.20 | 1.02 | 1.35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Telson | Length | 4.52 | 3.41 | 4.56 |
| Vescicle | Length | 2.96 | 2.33 | 3.15 |
|  | Width | 1.23 | 1.08 | 1.68 |
|  | Depth | 1.38 | 1.02 | 1.56 |
| Aculeus | Length | 1.56 | 1.08 | 1.41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Femur | Length | 5.69 | 4.38 | 4.74 |
|  | Width | 1.86 | 1.59 | 1.68 |
| Patella | Length | 5.22 | 4.21 | 4.68 |
|  | Width | 1.92 | 1.80 | 1.86 |
| Chela | Length | 10.50 | 8.82 | 9.36 |
|  | Width | 3.06 | 2.88 | 3.12 |
| Movable finger | Length | 6.30 | 5.04 | 5.46 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio | CarA-CarP \% | 39.36-60.64 | $39.26-60.74$ | 39.39-60.61 |
|  | Lcar/Wcar | 1.070 | 1.058 | 1.147 |
|  | Lcar/Lpat | 1.080 | 1.162 | 1.128 |
|  | Lcar/Ltel | 1.248 | 1.435 | 1.158 |
|  | Lchel/Wchel | 3.431 | 3.062 | 3.000 |
|  | L/W met.seg I | 1.055 | 0.885 | 0.928 |
|  | L/W met.seg II | 1.403 | 1.225 | 1.233 |
|  | L/W met.seg III | 1.753 | 1.474 | 1.432 |
|  | L/W met.seg IV | 2.189 | 1.838 | 1.740 |
|  | $L / W$ met.seg $V$ | 3.650 | 3.059 | 3.111 |
|  | Lmet/ met.seg V | 2.916 | 3.036 | 2.852 |
|  | Lmet/Lcar | 2.265 | 1.937 | 2.269 |
|  | Lfem/Lpat | 1.089 | 1.041 | 1.013 |

Table 1: Measurements (mm) and ratios of $E$. feti $\mathbf{s p} . \mathbf{n}$, E. balearicus, and E. aquilejensis.


Figures 15-16: Euscorpius feti sp. n. 15. Lateral view of tarsus of leg III. 16. Ventral view of tarsus of leg III.
brush-like setae on the inner part; dorsal edge has five denticles: one large distal, one medium and one small subdistal, one large median and a small basal. Fixed finger: it has four denticles: one distal, one subdistal, one median and one basal, the last two in a fork arrangement; the internal surface has brush-like setae.

## Comparisons

As specified below, E. feti sp. n. is very distinct from two sympatric species of the subgenus Euscorpius s.str., $E$. hadzii and $E$. tergestinus. In addition, $E$. feti sp. n. is compared with two species that appear to be relatively closer in morphology, E. balearicus and $E$. aquilejensis. The proportions refer only to female specimens.

It is possible to distinguish $E$. feti sp. n. from $E$. hadzii by the following features: (1) the number of trichobothria on pedipalp patella external surface which usually are $e m=4-5, e b_{a}=7, e b=5$ in $E$. hadzii versus $e m=4, e b_{a}=4, e b=4$ in $E$. feti sp. n.; (2) $E$. feti sp. n. is generally more slender, with all segments of the pedipalps and the carapace proportionally longer than in $E$. hadzii; (3) E. feti sp. n. has the spinule series on tarsus ending with a decentralized distal spinule, while in $E$. tergestinus it ends with distal paired spinules; (4) E. hadzii has a higher $D p$ in females, which usually is 8 versus 7 in females of $E$. feti sp. n.

Recently redescribed Euscorpius tergestinus differs from $E$. feti sp. n. in the following features: (1) a $P v$ and $P e$-et on average lower, respectively 10.39 and 7.55 in E. tergestinus versus 11.28 and 7.86 in $E$. feti sp. n.; (2) E. feti sp. n. has general habitus more slender, with all segments of the pedipalps and the carapace proportionally longer than in $E$. tergestinus; (3) in $E$. feti sp. n., because of the elongated chela and more proximal position of the base of fixed finger, the trichobothria $d b$ and $d s b$ occur in more distal position than in $E$. ter-
gestinus, which has the trichobothrium $d b$ in basal position and $d s b$ more proximally; (4) E. feti sp. n. has a proportionally longer pedipalp femur that is usually longer than pedipalp patella, while E. tergestinus has a more stocky femur which is usually shorter than patella and sometime as long as it; (5) E. feti sp. n. has a particularly elongated carapace compared with E. tergestinus; its posterior part is on average $61.20 \%$ of the total length of carapace, so the eyes occur in more distal position, while $E$. tergestinus has more stocky carapace, its posterior part is on average $56.79 \%$ of the total length of carapace; (6) $E$. feti sp . n. has the metasomal segment I usually longer than wide while in E. tergestinus it is wider than long; (7) E. feti sp. n. has the spinule series on tarsus ending with a decentralized distal spinule, while in E. tergestinus it ends with distal paired spinules.
E. feti sp. n. is more morphologically similar to $E$. balearicus and E. aquilejensis. These three species share a generally long-limbed habitus, with femur usually longer than the patella, long-limbed chela with the trichobothria $d b$ in not basal position, a very well developed DPS (dorsal patellar spur), and a particularly elongated carapace with the rear part usually $>60 \%$ of the total length.
E. feti sp. n. differs from E. aquilejensis in the following features: (1) a higher trichobothrial count with $P v=11$ to 12 and usually $P e-e t=8$ in $E$. feti sp. n. compared to $P v=9$ and $P e-e t=6$ in $E$. aquilejensis; (2) $E$. feti sp. n. has more slender chelae, with Lchel/Wchel ratio in females of 3.29 versus 2.89 in females of $E$. aquilejensis; (3) E. feti sp. n. has the metasomal segment I usually longer than wide while E. aquilejensis has it wider than long; (4) E. feti sp. n. has marbling on chelicerae, metasoma and patella of legs, while E. aquilejensis has no marbling.
E. feti sp. n. differs from E. balearicus in the following features: (1) E. feti sp. n. has the metasomal segment I usually longer than wide while E. balearicus has it wider than long; (2) in E. feti sp. n. all the seg-


Figure 17: Localities of the studied specimens of Euscorpius feti sp. n. (red circles; map was downloaded from: http://d-maps .com).
ments of the metasoma are more elongated than in $E$. balearicus; (3) E. feti sp. n. has Lchel/Wchel ratio higher than E. balearicus; (4) ) E. feti sp. n. has both Lcar/Lfer and Lcar/Lpat ratios higher than E. balearicus.

## Discussion

Taxonomy of the genus Euscorpius is complicated, and for many geographic territories and species complexes still remains unresolved throughout its range. The Balkan region hosts a number of Euscorpius populations that need to be studied; probably in the near future, several new species will be described from this area. Continuous changes in the taxonomy of this genus has further contributed to the confusion between the various species and populations. Populations of the subgenus Euscorpius s.str., or related to it, which occur in the distribution area of E. feti $\mathrm{sp} . \mathrm{n}$. in the past have been called: E. carpathicus mesotrichus and E. c. polytrichus after Hadži (1929); E. c. tergestinus and E. c. hadzii
after Di Caporiacco (1950); E carpathicus and E. mesotrichus after Kinzelbach (1975); and E. tergestinus and E. hadzii after Fet \& Soleglad (2002). In addition, all populations and species belonging to the subgenus Euscorpius and/or related to it, have been addressed as "E. carpathicus complex". Furthermore, specimens of many populations are hard to find both in nature and in the collection of the museums, where the labeling often does not help for the reasons mentioned above. It appears that $E$. feti sp. n. has been "obscured" by unclear labeling and by the fact that the specialists too often looked only at the variable number of trichobothria neglecting other important morphological characters.
$E$. feti $\mathrm{sp} . \mathrm{n}$. is sympatric with $E$. tergestinus and $E$. hadzii; all three species were found in the area of Dubrovnik, Croatia. However, specimens of E. feti sp. n. are not common in the collections, while it is quite easy to find specimens of E. tergestinus and E. hadzii. These two species are easily separated from E. feti sp. n., as explained above. At the same time, E. feti sp. n. seems


Figures 18-22: Pedipalp patella external surface, schematized trichobothrial patterns. 18. E. feti $\mathbf{~ p p}$. n. 19. E. balearicus. 20. E. aquilejensis. 21. E. hadzii. 22. E. tergestinus.
closer to geographically more distant species, such as $E$. aquilejensis (Italy; western Slovenia and northern Croatia), recently redescribed and elevated to species status (Tropea, 2013), and even E. balearicus (Balearic islands, Spain). In fact, these three species share the following features: all segments of pedipalps particularly long and thin; elongated carapace with its rear part $>60 \%$ of the total length; distally located trichobothrium $d b$ on the fixed finger; and may have two unpaired distal spinules on ventral aspect of the tarsus, as well as other, partially similar characters such as carination and granulation.

Tropea (2013) pointed out that some species, including E. aquilejensis and E. balearicus, do not appear to belong within the subgenus Euscorpius s.str. Parmakelis et al. (2013) arrived at the same conclusion (paraphyletic nature of the subgenus Euscorpius s.str.) as a result of a multiple genetic marker study. Currently, the subgeneric composition of the genus Euscorpius is unclear. Three old subgenera introduced by Birula (Euscorpius Thorell, 1876; Polytrichobothrius Birula, 1917; Tetratrichobothrius Birula, 1917), with the more recent addition of Alpiscorpius Gantenbein et al., 1999, are not sufficient to explain the phylogenetic position of some valid species, including E. aquilejensis, E. avcii Tropea et al., 2012, E. balearicus, and E. tauricus (C.L. Koch, 1837) as well as a number of undetermined populations (Tropea, 2013; Parmakelis et al., 2013).

Since its many similarities with E. aquilejensis and E. balearicus, E. feti sp . n. is not considered as belonging to the subgenus Euscorpius s.str., and therefore, it is not assigned to any subgenus for the moment. This new species should be subjected to further studies, including genetic analysis, in order to understand its relationships with other species and populations of genus Euscorpius,
as well as its distribution and ecology. Additional morphological data on males is also required.
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