

### University of Portland Pilot Scholars

**Education Faculty Publications and Presentations** 

School of Education

2-2015

# Implementing a Paradigm Shift: Incorporating Pain Management Competencies into Pre-Licensure Curricula

Ellyn Arwood *University of Portland,* arwood@up.edu

Joanna M. Rowe

Naileshni S. Singh

Daniel B. Carr

Keela A. Herr

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://pilotscholars.up.edu/edu\_facpubs Part of the <u>Education Commons</u>, and the <u>Nursing Commons</u>

#### Citation: Pilot Scholars Version (Modified MLA Style)

Arwood, Ellyn; Rowe, Joanna M.; Singh, Naileshni S.; Carr, Daniel B.; Herr, Keela A.; and Chou, Roger, "Implementing a Paradigm Shift: Incorporating Pain Management Competencies into Pre-Licensure Curricula" (2015). *Education Faculty Publications and Presentations*. 30.

http://pilotscholars.up.edu/edu\_facpubs/30

This Journal Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Education at Pilot Scholars. It has been accepted for inclusion in Education Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of Pilot Scholars. For more information, please contact library@up.edu.

#### Authors

Ellyn Arwood, Joanna M. Rowe, Naileshni S. Singh, Daniel B. Carr, Keela A. Herr, and Roger Chou

## Working title: Implementing a Paradigm Shift for Incorporating Pain Management Competencies into Pre-Licensure Curricula

Arwood E., Rowe J., Singh N., Carr D., Herr K., Chou R.

#### Abstract

#### Objective

The purpose of this article is 1) to present the historical context and rationale for competencybased pain management education; and 2) to suggest learning tools that faculty might apply into their teachings and their institutions' pre-licensure curricula for promoting conceptual learning based on competency-based pain management education.

#### Design

Based on the well-documented need to improve the competency of health care professionals in pain assessment and management, <sup>1-3</sup> an interprofessional group of health care providers collaborated and then convened in August 2012, to develop Core Competencies for Pain Management for the pre-licensure programs of study across health care disciplines. This interprofessional group of pain educators achieved consensus on a common set of pain-related competencies<sup>5</sup> intended to be implemented across a variety of pre-licensure professional programs.

#### Setting

A group of the interprofessional faculty, who participated in the development of the Core Competencies for Pain Management, provide a follow up of how to implement learning tools within teaching and curricula, based on competency education in pre-licensure health care. Results

Broad questions about how to incorporate competencies into pre-licensure curricula, for all

health provider pre-licensure programs, including how to assess competency across individuals and how to teach in ways that emphasize the demonstration of conceptual learning, remain unanswered. This article reviews how the use of competencies creates historical context for a shift from teaching to learning and concludes with suggestions and exemplars in applying Core Competencies for Pain Management in pre-licensure programs.

#### Introduction

Pre-licensure programs designed to educate aspiring health care providers recognize the importance of students' learning to assess and manage pain. However, traditionally, pain management for beginning practitioners was conceptualized as a knowledge-based content category rather than as a set of learned competencies in which students must demonstrate proficiency. Therefore, pain management often is embedded across a curriculum of study using only knowledge-based testing, thus not assessing competency. Testing for knowledge about how to manage pain is not the same as being able to demonstrate competency in pain management. Professional competencies in health care are defined as the integrated enactment of knowledge, skills, and values/attitudes that embody the domains of practice of a particular health profession applied in specific care contexts (Core Competencies for Interprofessional Collaborative Practice Report, 2011).<sup>50</sup> The purpose of this article is two-fold: 1) to present the historical context and rationale for competency-based education; and 2) to suggest learning tools that faculty might apply into their teaching and their institutions' curricula for promoting and assessing conceptual learning based on Core Competencies for Pain Management.

#### Post Modern History of Competence and Performance

Cultural assumptions about the role of the educator and the student have changed since the 1950's. During the 1950's, and the next several decades, two major educational beliefs provided

the basis for most education: behaviorist beliefs and the belief that performance equals competence. The first belief was that a set of behaviorist methods (e.g., Skinner<sup>6, 7</sup>) exists where performance equals imitation. If students repeat the teacher's presented knowledge or modeled demonstration of skills, then the students were determined to "show competence." Skinner's theory supported the beliefs that all knowledge and skills can be taught through repetitions, models, or imitations. In essence, student learning was a mirror of teaching. In contrast, Chomsky<sup>8</sup> philosophically suggested that all humans have an innate competence. This innate competence can be assessed through performance.

The education field combined Skinner's methodology and Chomsky's philosophy which supported the belief that testing students' imitated knowledge and performance of skills would represent students' competence or their innate ability to learn.<sup>9</sup> Curricula were developed that consisted of objectives with lessons arranged in a stair-step hierarchy of curriculum difficulty.<sup>11</sup> This approach purported that the better the lessons were sequenced, the easier it was for students to provide the expected outcome (demonstrated acquired skill and knowledge); and, the easier it was for the faculty members to test the students' performances. Instructors across disciplines focused on teaching methodologies and curricula content rather than on learners' needs.

Under this paradigm, struggling students were viewed as needing more "practice". To provide this additional practice, struggling students were assisted by breaking expected knowledge and skills into smaller parts followed by more practice. For example, students in labs might have study sessions or additional handouts; teachers gave students copies of their notes or posted power point slides before class or after class. Faculty would set up weekly study sessions for students, with additional time to practice imitated psychomotor tasks in labs. Closer to exam time, faculty would increase office hours in which they would re-teach the same knowledge and skills in the same way, and offer practice with old exams. However, focus on re-teaching did not improve the performance for all students, nor did this type of practice insure competence in healthcare practice

By the 1990's; educators, employers, and the public-at-large were demanding that students be better prepared to succeed in their real-world tasks. This demand for improved clinical practice was initially addressed by the development of numerous taxonomies to emphasize teaching differently for differences in learning, learning styles, individual learning intelligences, and differences in cognitive styles. Eventually, these taxonomies about differences yielded to examining ways to assess competence, not as a mirror of an imitated performance but as an expected set of outcomes. Various curricula and teaching methods were designed to broadly apply to all students' needs and to meet workplace expectations by assessing competence.<sup>14</sup>

Educators diligently worked to create curricula that fostered breadth of performance and depth of skill competence. Breadth of performance was achieved by distributing comprehensive content across levels or coursework to allow for adequate student practice, which educators believed would, over time, allow for a depth of competence.<sup>15</sup> For example, basic biology would be followed by advanced biology. Biochemistry would follow basic chemistry and so forth. Professional organizations called for standardized measures of student knowledge and skills that would demonstrate levels of performance that would equal expected clinical competence.

By the 21st century, many disciplines realized that the teaching and mirrored testing to measure student content knowledge did not assure clinical or professional competence. The Joint Commission recognized that despite 20 years of work by educators, clinicians, and professional

organizations, there were "modest" improvement in the clinician's ability to manage pain.<sup>16</sup> For example, a report on medical schools<sup>56</sup> shows that few have a pain curriculum.<sup>56</sup> According to The Joint Commission, pain-related performance in clinical settings was suboptimal and needed improvement. This assessment outcome demanded that institutions who educate health care providers undertake another educational paradigm shift<sup>17</sup> to accommodate for clinical competence.

The educational paradigm shift has two primary foci of change: 1) shift the emphasis of teaching to an emphasis on conceptual learning<sup>18</sup>; 2) move away from modeling and memorizing parts to a whole concept-based assessment of "why" and "how" to manage client situations. Shift from Teaching to Learning

This paradigm shift in pain management education suggests that teaching methods have to consider how learners acquire concepts; and, ways to assess conceptual understanding have to be developed. In other words, this new paradigm for "practice education<sup>19</sup>" focuses on the complex nature of conceptualizing. The term "practice" in this case means application of knowledge and skills in real client situations, not imitation or knowing what to based only on the rules of best practice. This complexity of focusing on pain management concepts for prelicensure education necessitates a collaborative-interprofessional approach<sup>20</sup> much like what the Expert Summit for Interprofessional Consensus on Pain Management created<sup>21</sup> in order to focus on the breadth and depth of competence clinical practice.<sup>22</sup> Table 1 provides a summary of those Pain Management Core Competencies.

DOMAIN	COMPETENCIES
<b>Domain One</b> . Multidimensional Nature of Pain: What is Pain?	Explain the complex, multidimensional and individual-specific nature of pain. Present theories and science for understanding pain.
This domain focuses on the fundamental	Define terminology for describing pain and

concepts of pain including the science,	associated conditions. Describe the impact of
nomenclature, and experience of pain, and	pain on society. Explain how cultural,
pain's impact on the individual and society.	institutional, societal and regulatory influences
	affect assessment and management of pain.
Domain Two. Pain Assessment and	Use valid and reliable tools for measuring pain
Measurement: How is Pain Recognized?	and associated symptoms to assess and
	reassess related outcomes as appropriate for the
This domain is related to how pain is assessed,	clinical context and population. Describe
quantified, and communicated, in addition to	patient, provider, and system factors that can
how the individual, the health system, and	facilitate or interfere with effective pain
society affect these activities.	assessment and management. Assess patient
	preferences and values to determine pain-
	related goals and priorities. Demonstrate
	empathic and compassionate communication
	during pain assessment.
<b>Domain Three</b> . Management of Pain: How is	Demonstrate the inclusion of patient and others
Pain Relieved?	as appropriate, in the education and shared
	decision-making process for pain care. Identify
This domain focuses on collaborative	pain treatment options that can be accessed in a
approaches to decision-making, diversity of	comprehensive pain management plain.
treatment options, the importance of patient	Explain how health promotion and self-
agency, risk management, flexibility in care.	management strategies are important to the
and treatment based on appropriate	management of pain. Develop a pain treatment
understanding of the clinical condition.	plan based on benefits and risks of available
	treatments. Monitor effects of pain
	management approaches to adjust the plan of
	care as needed Differentiate physical
	dependence, substance use disorder, misuse,
	tolerance, addiction, and non-adherence and
	how these conditions impact pain and function.
	Develop a treatment plan that takes into
	account the differences between acute pain
	acute-on-chronic pain chronic/persistent pain
	and pain at end of life
<b>Domain Four</b> Clinical Conditions: How	Describe the unique pain assessment and
Does Context Influence Pain Management?	management needs of special populations
Does Context Influence I and Management.	Explain how to assess and manage pain across
This domain focuses on the role of the	setting and transitions of care. Describe the role
clinician in the application of the competencies	scope of practice and contribution of the
developed in domains 1-3 and in the context of	different professions within a pain management
varied patient populations, settings, and care	care team. Implement an individualized pain
teams	management plan that integrates the
	nanagement plan that integrates the
	events and health care providers in the context
	of available resources. Describe the role of the
	of available resources. Describe the role of the
	chineran as an auvocate in assessing patients to

meet treatment goals.

Table 1. Pain Management Domains and Core Competencies.<sup>1</sup>

The Pain Management Core Competencies were developed based on increasing conceptual learning, not on measuring imitation and performance of skills. The following section offers interprofessional health care providers some learning tools for integrating these Pain Management Core Competencies into curricula, teaching, and assessment.

#### **Application of Competency-Based Education Relative to Pain Management**

Literature from what is known about the neuroscience of the learner, the cognitive psychology of the thinker, and the use of language to name the thinking provides principles and tools for shifting the educational paradigm of teaching to learning; from testing memorized parts of knowledge and skills to assessing the learner's understanding of concepts; and, from performance to concept acquisition or "thinking" in the way that learners acquire concepts. Specifically, the integration of this literature from multiple professions highlights learning principles<sup>23</sup> that are essential in understanding how learners acquire concepts. Two of the most important acquisition principles are as follows: 1) Students learn concepts in relationship to each other so providing multiple opportunities for learners to overlap connections between and among concepts leads to better depth of understanding and therefore higher competence; and, 2) Most learners think with a visual meta-cognition so learning concepts through an integration of the use of visual concepts creates improved visual "mental" thinking or meta-cognition. Each of these principles will be addressed in relationship to incorporating the Pain Management Core Competencies in pre-licensure curricula.

Learning Concepts in Relationship to Other Concepts

Connecting the literature about cognitive psychology with language and neuroscience provides knowledge about how to design learning opportunities for most students<sup>4</sup>. For example,

Domain 1 of the Core Competencies (Table One) is primarily knowledge-based requiring the learning of foundational concepts of pain management. These concepts can be aligned with course objectives and incorporated across the coursework. In this way, learners are provided access to the same foundational concepts interconnected across multiple course experiences. This type of conceptual learning increases student performance on complex patient types of test questions which assess conceptual learning.<sup>24</sup> To assess for competence of complex patient needs, an understanding of the levels of cognitive development is important. For example, the understanding of what the *learner* knows or can see and touch is preoperational at best. Whereas understanding what *others* have rules about is concrete; and, the understanding of complex concepts from *others' perspectives* which cannot be seen or touched is formal.<sup>23</sup>

Using these levels of understanding lead to better conceptual assessments and models such as the SIMBaLL (Simulation Based on Language Learning)<sup>26</sup> designed to provide a foundational place for considering how to turn simulations and other clinical activities into conceptual learning opportunities. Conceptual learning increases in depth as learners or students add more meaning by participating in carefully crafter assignments that layer and overlap concepts. Therefore, multiple experiences with the same concepts increase students' understanding which also increases students' levels of conceptualization. As students increase their conceptual understanding, their abilities to perform at higher levels of competence also increase. So, the Domains of Pain Management show this increasing level of conceptualization starting with the foundational concepts in Domain One, multi-dimensional nature of pain, and finishing with the applied complex concepts of pain management in Domain Four.

For conceptual learning and competence at the formal level to occur at Domain Four, multiple layers of conceptual experience are required by the learner. For example, clinicians are expected to build client relationships based on "trust, effective communication, mutual understanding, compassion, empathy, and respect." These types of concepts cannot be touched, seen or felt by the learner; therefore, these concepts require multiple layers of integrating and connecting thinking experiences to be acquired in clinical practice, which is at a formal level of knowledge. For example, a program might delineate what is meant by "effective communication" and require inclusion of those elements across multiple field experiences or multiple real time drawings (see following section on visual meta-cognition) to layer concept depth of "effective communication" and therefore an increase in understanding.

The formal concept of "effective communication" is acquired through scaffolds of joint activities between the person managing the pain and the patients with meaning being assigned and refined. For example, during a high fidelity simulation of client pain management, a student notices that the patient who had a knee replacement is moaning and appears to be in pain, so the student might ask if the client is experiencing pain and ask the patient to rate the pain using a pain scale of 0-10. The student is making an assumption that the pain is from the surgery. The student then leaves to get the prn pain medication to manage the client's pain. This act does not mean that the student understands why this client is having pain at that specific time. For this particular patient, the pain is related to a blood clot and not from surgery.<sup>25</sup> The student's thinking is valuable in assessing at what level the student is able to clinically practice. A follow up debriefing session; or, better yet, a follow up written explanation for why the student did not explore duration, type, and location of pain provides a better understanding of the student's level of conceptual thought. The student's thinking also requires feedback and refinement by the instructor to increase the student's conceptual learning. By using these types of clinical experiences across the curriculum, with adequate

effective feedback, students are able to reach competence within Domain 4 of the Pain Competencies.

Clinical activities such as high and low fidelity simulations may offer additional benefits to the learner's acquisition of higher conceptual thinking. Fidelity refers to the ability of the simulation to portray the clinical environment<sup>52</sup> or real life situation.<sup>54</sup> High fidelity simulation may include actors, standardized patients (SPs) who follow a script or variations of computer-programmed mannequins that create hemodynamic variables for learners to respond; whereas low fidelity simulation may be the use of task managers or static mannequins that replicate anatomical areas of the body but have no interactive computer functions<sup>55</sup> For example, the use of SPs using pre-established scripts and with prior training in depicting a particular clinical situation may be ideal for the assessment of foundational competencies in pain management<sup>31</sup> such as history taking, physical examination, and initial patient assessment.<sup>32</sup> Communication, including non-verbal cues that add to the clinical interaction between a patient and clinician, has been successfully assessed using SPs<sup>33-34</sup> to further increase the complexity of concepts assessed.

Feedback to the student is necessary to refine the student's thinking in these clinical situations. As the concepts increase in complexity, assessment of particular challenges in communication regarding pain care may include difficult conversations regarding opioid medications or treatment compliance that may be best suited to practice in a simulated patient encounter prior to those interactions in practice.<sup>35-37</sup> It should be noted however that the practicing of a skill set or task does not assess for conceptual learning. Again, it is important to ask students to reflect in writing after the simulation in order to assess the student's rationale and thinking or conceptual learning. Furthermore, a debriefing session with the

instructor and students with/without the SP allows for discussion to refine the students' conceptual understandings of the clinical case. However, without these written assessments, the instructor may not know what the student actually understands. Clinical simulations using SPs that arrange concepts from easy psychomotor tasks to difficult concepts, such as clinical situations that rely on formal concepts such as "empathy and compassion," may provide ideal opportunities to assess the learner's competence of understanding the complex issues of those who suffer from acute and chronic pain.

Not only can basic science concepts be assessed using human-like simulations, clinical concepts can also be assessed effectively.<sup>38-39</sup> Mannequin based simulation may solidify an emotional component to learning memory without the added risks. For example, if a student experiences a situation that creates a potent memory and emotional experience, such as a difficult patient encounter or adverse event, that individual is able to recall the memory more readily because the student will often assign meaning with language. Language connects multiple access points of the brain for better conceptual learning.<sup>23</sup> However, conceptual learning is rooted in personal experience, and is therefore unique and cannot be controlled for assessment of clinical competence, and, may also carry clinical risk to the patient. Creating a realistic, yet artificial, experience through simulation may help create the emotional memory without the risks to the patient or provider. SPs and high, and/or mid-fidelity, mannequin based simulation has been shown to be effective in the teaching and retention of clinical skills.<sup>40-43</sup>

Other benefits to utilizing both SPs and mannequin based simulation, for assessment of competencies and learning of more complex concepts, include the use of digital recording that can be reviewed at a later time for feedback, for immediate feedback during a debriefing session, self-assessment, teaching of teamwork, <sup>44</sup> providing the same standardized experience

to multiple learners, evaluating by the SP, adapt to different learner levels or experiences,<sup>45</sup> and emphasizing individual responsibility. The use of SPs may be limited to larger resource areas that are able to fund and support a simulation program based on trained and paid actors, facilities to house such resources, and employ trained staff to create case studies and debrief properly. The associated costs and time intensive nature of SPs may limit its routine use in a variety of educational settings.

Mannequin based simulation has similar costs but with the added issue of the equipment purchase and maintenance. Other disadvantages of simulation, in general, include inability to replicate physical exam findings, dependence on realism, and reliance on the buy in of the learner.<sup>46</sup> Lastly, technical (checklists of tasks completed) and non-technical tools (scales assessing leadership, communication, etc.) have been developed to assess student performance in simulation, but whether that translates into improved clinical care or patient safety is not yet fully known.<sup>46-48</sup> Simulation is not a replacement for teaching through patient encounters and mentorship by experienced clinicians, but may add an opportunity to refine, assess, and evaluate learners' conceptualizations of performance and therefore help provide for continuous refinement of clinical competence. The real issue is that for complex pain management concepts to be acquired, and used, in safe clinical practice, the concepts must be interconnected over time through multiple experiences to be learned and demonstrated at a concrete or formal level of competence. The previous section dealt with the conceptual learning and assessment of concepts specific to the pain core competencies listed within Domains 1-4. This next section deals with how learners acquire these pain management concepts.

Visual Meta-Cognition

Since the majority of learners think with a visual-metacognition<sup>23</sup>, it is important that foundational as well as complex concepts about pain management are acquired as mental graphics that are interconnected in the learner's brain for long term retention to be recalled for later clinical applications. For example, one of the authors, Dr. Joanna Rowe, draws out concepts in real time so that students are able to see the thinking that goes with her spoken language. She no longer has to provide numerous outside opportunities to memorize material (study sessions, power point slides, her lecture notes, etc.) as the students are taking their own visual notes<sup>53</sup> which means they are using their own thinking and overlapping their visuals with the professor's visuals to create the layers of depth for higher order thinking. Drawing in real time by the professor with students drawing their notes provides for better conceptual learning. Figure 1 provides an example of what the real time drawing for a session on pain related to sickle cell might look like at the end of a class.



Figure 1. Drawing concepts in real time.

Since, most thinkers use a visual way of accessing their thinking; educators need to provide visual ways of presenting ideas<sup>23</sup>. Notice in Figure 1 that the concepts are connected with arrows and that drawn pictures or concepts connected to written language make real time connections between ideas the instructor is presenting and what students already know.

The learner's achievement of conceptual goals can be complicated by the complex nature of pain itself, a syndrome with psychosocial and spiritual aspects along with a biological or physiological basis. It should be noted that these types of concepts may also be drawn in real time so that learners are able to make mental graphics that connect philosophies with practices with goals of patients. Follow-up questions that are scaffolded or layered across examples create multiple opportunities for learners to acquire these complex concepts, such as the traits of an effective physician-patient relationship in the setting of acute and chronic pain.

Domains 2 and 3 of the Core Pain Management Competencies, in particular, require learners to assess patient preferences, demonstrate empathetic and compassionate care, demonstrate the inclusion of the patient and other significant individuals in pain care decisions, and assess for adverse events, such as addiction and misuse of medications. These particular concepts are not easily testable in a classroom or routinely assessed in a clinical setting. Instructors must use other, non-traditional, methods to ensure accomplishment of these core competencies critical to an effective and comprehensive patient centered pain management plan. Simulation paired with visual layers of refinement and expression could provide such learning opportunities that may meet the goals of student learning or competency; and simulation can be arranged to provide for the assessment of competencies for pain medicine as it has done in other areas of conceptual medicine.<sup>28-30,51</sup>

So, the pain management competencies can be arranged conceptually across the curriculum for multiple opportunities to interconnect and increase the depth of understanding while the concepts are being visually overlapped for improved conceptual learning and demonstration of competence. The following example is for an existing nursing pre-licensure program where competencies are deliberately arranged to increase in *conceptual* complexity over time within the curriculum while student assessment of pain competencies occurs across the domains for the four semesters through traditional conceptual testing, oral debriefing, and written explanations. Conceptual clinical learning is evidenced by demonstrating the four domains of competencies in both the mid-fidelity and high-fidelity (HiFi) simulation labs. Assessment and refining of learning occurs during debriefing to scaffold learning. Table Two outlines the way that the Pain Management Core Competencies are spread through the curriculum and assessed.

Curriculum	Concept Learning	Clinical Assessment
Theory Course: 1 <sup>st</sup>	Integrated Experiential	Simulation Experience:
semester. Foundations: The	Learning Lab: Concurrently	High Fidelity and mid fidelity
concepts of the first three	in the integrative experiential	simulations are created and
domains are visually taught	learning lab students learn and	student learning assessed with
but tested in a typical format.	practice vital signs and pain	debriefing followed by student
Emphasis on specific	assessment skills.	written explanation of
applications to collaborative		understanding of concepts
pharmacological and non-		HiFi simulation lab students are
pharmacological concepts of		digitally recorded providing care
pain management used to		to a standardized mannequin
integrate concepts. The elderly		client, observed by a faculty
client is pulled out as an		member and debriefed. Detailed
exemplar for application.		scoring rubrics have been
Clinical: 48 hours of elderly		designed to assure each student
clients in nursing homes.		demonstrates competency with a
Domains 1-3		passing score of 90%. Students
		must pass this simulation to
		remain in the course of study.
Theory Courses: 2 <sup>nd</sup>	Integrated Experiential	Simulation Experience:
Semester Students take 3-4	Learning Lab: Students have	High Fi Simulation is used to help

relative to chronic illness across the lifespan.Students work in pairs. One simulation adds complexity to the previous exemplar of the elderly.versus acute pain issues and to consider more complex problem solving issues related to compassion, communication, and diversity.Clinical Experience: 100 hours in chronic illness settings with elderly clients and 100 clinical hours in mental health facilities. Domains 1-3Students work in pairs. One simulation adds complexity to the previous exemplar of the elderly.versus acute pain issues and to consider more complex problem solving issues related to compassion, communication, and diversity.Theory Courses: 3rd concepts relative to acute care across lifespan. Sudents learn non-pharmacotherapeutic interventions for acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students participate in three HiFi simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management careHigh Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology. Competence measured by performance as well as written debriefing of clinical rationale for performance.Theory Courses: 4th Semester students take management/leadership course of clinical experience.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation is debriefedHi	courses that integrate concepts	three HiFi simulations.	students deal with chronic pain
across the lifespan.simulation adds complexity to the previous exemplar of the elderly.consider more complex problem solving issues related to compassion, communication, and diversity.Autors used to measure performance.Competence measured by written application of understanding about performance.Theory Courses: 3 <sup>rd</sup> Semester Courses integrate concepts relative to acute care across lifespan. Students learn non-pharmacotherapeutic interventions for acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students and teach acute pain management careHigh Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic interventions for acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students paticipate in three HiFi and teach acute pain management careStudent is expected to recognize a client who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology.Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Bomains 2,3Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students for three clients with pain management /eadership course along with professional community course.High Fidelity Simulation: management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students simulation. The students simulation. Non-participating student observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefedTheory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> management/eadership course of clinical experience.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students hour simulation. The students simulation. The students <tr< td=""><td>relative to chronic illness</td><td>Students work in pairs. One</td><td>versus acute pain issues and to</td></tr<>	relative to chronic illness	Students work in pairs. One	versus acute pain issues and to
Clinical Experience: 100 hours in chronic illness settings with elderly clients and 100 clinical hours in mental health facilities. Domains 1-3the previous exemplar of the elderly.solving issues related to compassion, communication, and diversity. Rubrics used to measure performance.Theory Courses: 3rd Semester Courses integrate concepts relative to acute care across lifespan. Students learnin management in a variety of illness exemplars.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students participate in three HiFi simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.High Fidelity Simulation: Student is experiencing a management careTheory Courses: 4th Domains 2,3Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students management careHigh Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespan Domains 2,3Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a3 hour simulation. Non-participating in the acute care settings student sobserve and assess student serve nerviewing of clinical experience.High Fidelity Simulation: Three very involved complex care patient senarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.Clinical experience students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experiencing the acute care settings student sobserve and assessHigh Fidelity Simulation: the acute care se	across the lifespan.	simulation adds complexity to	consider more complex problem
hours in chronic illness settings with elderly clients and 100 clinical hours in mental health facilities.elderly.compassion, communication, and diversity. Rubrics used to measure performance.Domains 1-3Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students on-pharmacotherapeutic interventions for acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students and teach acute pain management areHigh Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to reat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology. Competence measured by performance at learning Lab: The students and teach acute pain management careHigh Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as workter peutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology. Competence measured by performance at learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care patient scenarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing of clinical rationale for performance.Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Semester students take management/leadership course of clinical experience.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care patient scenarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.Theory Courses: 256 hours of clinical experience.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students <br< td=""><td>Clinical Experience: 100</td><td>the previous exemplar of the</td><td>solving issues related to</td></br<>	Clinical Experience: 100	the previous exemplar of the	solving issues related to
settings with elderly clients and 100 clinical hours in mental health facilities. Domains 1-3Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students on-pharmacotherapeutic interventions for acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students and teach acute pain management careHigh Fidelity Simulation: Students exemplars.Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanIntegrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students management careHigh Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology. Competence measured by performance.Theory Courses: 4th Semester students take management/leadership course.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students students observe and assess student sobserve and assess student serve periormance.High Fidelity Simulation: Three very involved complex care patient students observe and assess student sobserve and assess student sobserve and assess student sobserve and assess	hours in chronic illness	elderly.	compassion, communication, and
and 100 clinical hours in mental health facilities.Rubrics used to measure performance.Domains 1-3Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students participate in three HiFi simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.High Fidelity Simulation: Students learn management in a variety of illness exemplars.Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanIntegrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students and teach acute pain management careHigh Fidelity Simulation: Students infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the performance.Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Semester students take management/leadership course of clinical experience.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students management needs during a 3 hours imalation. The students of clinical experience.High Fidelity Simulation: Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Learning Lab: The students management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess providing care that would in the acute care settings providing care that would in the acute acute acute settings providing care that would in the acute acute acute settings providing care that would in the acute acute acute settingsHigh Fidelity Simulation: The ord exit he acute acute acute acute acute students observe and assess student performance. The entire ker ord exit he ard exit he areal exit of a different management for a fift fra	settings with elderly clients		diversity.
mental health facilities. Domains 1-3performance.Domains 1-3Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students participate in three HiFi simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students participate in three HiFi simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.High Fidelity Simulation: Students learn management in a variety of illness exemplars.Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanIntegrated Experiential management/leadership course along with professional colinical experience.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students of clinical experience.High Fidelity Simulation: Students take must manage and provide care parts during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating is tudents work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would in the acute care settings providing care that would in the acute acua experience with of clinical experience.Hite price participating participating the performance. The entire kindents here more management care settings providing care that would in the acute care settings providing care that would providing care that would <b< td=""><td>and 100 clinical hours in</td><td></td><td>Rubrics used to measure</td></b<>	and 100 clinical hours in		Rubrics used to measure
Domains 1-3Competence measured by written application of understanding about performance.Theory Courses: 3 <sup>rd</sup> Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students participate in three HiFi simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.High Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a partmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology.Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanIntegrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students management careHigh Fidelity Simulation: student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a patmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology.Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Semester students take management/leadership course along with professional community course.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with dients work with preceptorsOudeut experience.wident performance. The entire simulation is debriefed wide the trudents or mericine in students observe and assess student sobserve and assessProviding care that would include actual experience with relimite the trudents observe and assessProviding care that would include actual experience with relimite the trude	mental health facilities.		performance.
Theory Courses: 3 <sup>rd</sup> Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students participate in three HiFi simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.High Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a mozortial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology.Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanIntegrated Experiential management/careHigh Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology.Competence measured by performance.ProformanceTheory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hours in the acute care settings iclinical experience.High Fidelity Simulation: Three very involved complex care patient scenarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing and write ups to detertine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.Student s work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with clinet actor exertion reading and therefore theirStudent s experience with include actual experience with <td>Domains 1-3</td> <td></td> <td>Competence measured by written</td>	Domains 1-3		Competence measured by written
Theory Courses: 3 <sup>rd</sup> Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students participate in three HiFi simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.High Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology. Competence measured by performance.Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanIntegrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students management careHigh Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology. Competence measured by performance.Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Semester students take management/leadership course along with professional community course.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with entire simulation is debriefed include actual experience with entire simulation is debriefed include actual experience with entire simulation is debriefed include actual experience with entire simulation is debriefed work in pairs during this there with a complex care students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefed work in pairs during this de			application of understanding
Theory Courses: 3'dIntegrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students participate in three HiFi simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.High Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology.Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanIntegrated Experiential management careHigh Fidelity Simulation: Student is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology. Competence measured by performance as well as written debriefing of clinical rationale for performance.Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Semester students take management/leadership course along with professional community course.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with entime dent entire simulation is debriefed include actual experience withHigh Fidelity Simulation: Students are assessed for individual performance and team			about performance.
Semester Courses integrate concepts relative to acute care across lifespan. Students learn non-pharmacotherapeutic interventions for acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.Learning Lab: The students participate in three HiFi simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management careStudent is expected to recognize a client who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology.Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespan Domains 2,3Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with entime the care settings providing care that would include actual experience withItearning Lab: The students management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefed work in paris during this during	Theory Courses: 3 <sup>rd</sup>	Integrated Experiential	High Fidelity Simulation:
concepts relative to acute care across lifespan. Students learn non-pharmacotherapeutic interventions for acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.participate in three HiFi simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management careclient who is experiencing a myocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology.Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanIntegrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students of clinical experience.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience withIntegrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefed work in pairs during this HiFi sindents observe and assessHigh Fidelity Simulation assess student performance and team individual performance and team	Semester Courses integrate	Learning Lab: The students	Student is expected to recognize a
across lifespan. Students learn non-pharmacotherapeutic interventions for acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.simulations designed to test and teach acute pain management caremyocardial infarction and understand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology. Competence measured by performance as well as written debriefing of clinical rationale for performance.Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain community course.High Fidelity Simulation: Theory Courses: 256 hours of clinical experience.f Clinical Course: 256 hours of clinical experience.management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience withmanagement careelimit who a cura texperience with olimit who a cura texperience with include actual experience withmanagement needs during a 3 hour simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefedStudents are assessed for individual performance and team murch is reportiving care for time	concepts relative to acute care	participate in three HiFi	client who is experiencing a
non-pharmacotherapeutic interventions for acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.and teach acute pain management careunderstand who to call, as well as to treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology. Competence measured by performance as well as written debriefing of clinical experience.Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management/leadership course.High Fidelity Simulation: Three very involved complex care patient scenarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.Students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with elimite who sense unserimentionNon-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefedStudents are assessed for individual performance and team musit the acute care settings	across lifespan. Students learn	simulations designed to test	myocardial infarction and
interventions for acute pain management in a variety of illness exemplars.management careto treat from a pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology.Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanIntegrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management/leadership course.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with elimite whe ene argerimentementementementementementementement	non-pharmacotherapeutic	and teach acute pain	understand who to call, as well as
management in a variety of illness exemplars.pharmacotherapeutic standpoint, and be able to explain the pathophysiology.Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanintegrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management leadership course.integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students of clinical experience.High Fidelity Simulation: Three very involved complex care patient scenarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.Students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience withstudents observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefed with the students of clinical experience withstudent performance and team patient scenarios are created for assess student performance and team	interventions for acute pain	management care	to treat from a
illness exemplars.and be able to explain the pathophysiology.Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanand be able to explain the pathophysiology.Domains 2,3Competence measured by performance as well as written debriefing of clinical rationale for performance.Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain community course.High Fidelity Simulation: There very involved complex care patient scenarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing and write ups toClinical Course: 256 hours of clinical experience.hour simulation. The students simulation. Non-participating simulation. Non-participating students work with preceptorsstudents observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefed include actual experience withstudent performance. The entire simulation is debriefed or mark in enviriding and ferefore their include actual experience with	management in a variety of		pharmacotherapeutic standpoint,
Clinical Experience: 200 hours in acute care settings across lifespanpathophysiology. Competence measured by performance as well as written debriefing of clinical rationale for performance.Domains 2,3Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain community course.High Fidelity Simulation: Theory Courses: 4thClinical Course: 256 hours of clinical experience.management needs during a 3 students of the acute care settings students work with preceptors in the acute care settingswork in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefedStudents are assessed for individual performance and team ompetence.	illness exemplars.		and be able to explain the
hours in acute care settings across lifespanCompetence measured by performance as well as written debriefing of clinical rationale for performance.Domains 2,3Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain community course.High Fidelity Simulation: Three very involved complex care patient scenarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would science with determine the acute acute experience with entire simulation. Non-participating student performance. The entire simulation is debriefed understanding and therefore and team entire simulation is debriefedStudents are assessed for individual performance and team individual performance and team	<b>Clinical Experience</b> : 200		pathophysiology.
across lifespan Domains 2,3performance as well as written debriefing of clinical rationale for performance.Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup> Semester students take management/leadership course along with professional community course.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The studentsHigh Fidelity Simulation: Three very involved complex care patient scenarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.Students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience withstudent performance. The entire simulation is debriefed students observe and assessStudents are assessed for individual performance and team individual performance and team	hours in acute care settings		Competence measured by
Domains 2,3debriefing of clinical rationale for performance.Theory Courses: 4thIntegrated ExperientialHigh Fidelity Simulation:Semester students take management/leadership course along with professional community course.Integrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience withIntegrated Experiential Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefedHigh Fidelity Simulation: Three very involved complex care patient scenarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.Students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with entire simulation is debriefedStudents are assessed for individual performance and team unerk in envertiding acfe affective	across lifespan		performance as well as written
Theory Courses: 4thIntegrated ExperientialHigh Fidelity Simulation:Semester students takeLearning Lab: The studentsThree very involved complex caremanagement/leadership coursemust manage and provide carepatient scenarios are created toalong with professionalfor three clients with painassess student performance withcommunity course.management needs during a 3debriefing and write ups tofor clinical Course: 256 hourshour simulation. The studentsdetermine their conceptualof clinical experience.work in pairs during this HiFiunderstanding and therefore theirStudents work with preceptorsstudent performance. Thelevel of pain management clinicalproviding care that wouldstudent performance. TheStudents are assessed forinclude actual experience withentire simulation is debriefedindividual performance and team	Domains 2,3		debriefing of clinical rationale for
Theory Courses: 4thIntegrated ExperientialHigh Fidelity Simulation:Semester students takeLearning Lab: The studentsThree very involved complex caremanagement/leadership coursemust manage and provide carepatient scenarios are created toalong with professionalfor three clients with painassess student performance withcommunity course.management needs during a 3debriefing and write ups toClinical Course: 256 hourshour simulation. The studentsdetermine their conceptualof clinical experience.work in pairs during this HiFiunderstanding and therefore theirStudents work with preceptorssimulation. Non-participatinglevel of pain management clinicalproviding care that wouldstudent performance. TheStudents are assessed forinclude actual experience withentire simulation is debriefedmudents are assessed forwith the studentswith the studentsmudents			performance.
Semester students take management/leadership course along with professional community course.Learning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience withLearning Lab: The students must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefedThree very involved complex care patient scenarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.	Theory Courses: 4 <sup>th</sup>	Integrated Experiential	High Fidelity Simulation:
management/leadership course along with professional community course.must manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience withmust manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefedpatient scenarios are created to assess student performance with debriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.Students who are experience with elients who are experience withwith the studentsmust manage and provide care for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. Non-participating students observe and assesspatient scenarios are created to assess student performance their level of pain management clinical competence.	Semester students take	Learning Lab: The students	Three very involved complex care
along with professional community course.for three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The studentsassess student performance with debriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.Students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience withfor three clients with pain management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefedassess student performance with debriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.	management/leadership course	must manage and provide care	patient scenarios are created to
community course.management needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with eliants who are experience withmanagement needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefeddebriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.students observe and assess providing care that would include actual experience with eliants who are experience withmanagement needs during a 3 hour simulation. The students simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefeddebriefing and write ups to determine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.	along with professional	for three clients with pain	assess student performance with
Clinical Course: 256 hours of clinical experience.hour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess providing care that would include actual experience withhour simulation. The students work in pairs during this HiFi simulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefeddetermine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.Students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience withstudents observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefeddetermine their conceptual understanding and therefore their level of pain management clinical competence.Students observe and assess student actual experience withwith the studentswith the students	community course.	management needs during a 3	debriefing and write ups to
of clinical experience.work in pairs during this HiFiunderstanding and therefore theirStudents work with preceptors in the acute care settingssimulation. Non-participating students observe and assessunderstanding and therefore theirproviding care that would include actual experience withstudent performance. The entire simulation is debriefedstudents are assessed for individual performance and team	Clinical Course: 256 hours	hour simulation. The students	determine their conceptual
Students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with eliants who are experience withsimulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefedlevel of pain management clinical competence.Students work with preceptors in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with eliants who are experience withsimulation. Non-participating students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefedlevel of pain management clinical competence.Students are assessed for individual performance and team with the studentswith the students	of clinical experience.	work in pairs during this HiFi	understanding and therefore their
in the acute care settings providing care that would include actual experience with aliants who are experience include actual experience with include actual experience with aliants who are experience include actual experience. Students observe and assess students observe and assess student performance. The entire simulation is debriefed with the students	Students work with preceptors	simulation. Non-participating	level of pain management clinical
providing care that would student performance. The entire simulation is debriefed with the students are assessed for individual performance and team with the students.	in the acute care settings	students observe and assess	competence.
include actual experience with entire simulation is debriefed individual performance and team	providing care that would	student performance. The	Students are assessed for
aliante sub a sur aviencia a suith the students used in mostiding sofe officialize	include actual experience with	entire simulation is debriefed	individual performance and team
chemis who are experiencing   with the students.   work in providing safe effective	clients who are experiencing	with the students.	work in providing safe effective
pain and efficient care. This is a	pain		and efficient care. This is a
learning simulation and is not			learning simulation and is not
graded.			graded.

Table 2. Competencies and Assessment as a Pre-Licensure Curriculum Exemplar

In trying to integrate the pain management concepts into multiple experiences across the curriculum, there are numerous resources to assist in creating the conceptual hierarchy needed to provide for student learning about pain and pain management. For example, individual health

professional disciplines as well as the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) have created curricula in which the Pain Core Competencies may be aligned. These consensusbased internationally endorsed curricula provide a scope and sequence that faculty members can use as a foundation to create student learning opportunities within the resources and faculty expertise of their institutions. Furthermore, the National Institute of Health has invested in funding Centers of Pain Excellence in Education with the purpose of developing case-based tools that will be available for all schools. Case methodology provides for similar opportunities as the Hi-Fi simulations to assess conceptual development.<sup>26</sup> Finally, learners may also show evidence of competencies within the medical model of closely supervised field work with clients in a variety of health settings. For example, beyond the lab of role play and simulation, the learner is supervised with a "real" patient, building on the knowledge and understanding previously established. In these real-world situations, the teacher needs to be more flexible as not all patients will provide great teaching/learning opportunities, and quality supervision is necessary to minimize client risks. For clinical interaction to show evidence of competency, the educator must use competency-based objectives and be able to use the patient-based opportunities that present themselves. So, real clinical patients won't be as structured as simulation-based learning and other models; but another step in the scaffolding of conceptual understanding of pain and pain management.

Table 3 highlights the learning tools suggested in this article that can be used with some of the curricula resources to promote conceptual learning for competence-based practice.

Faculty Objectives	Student Objectives	Learning Principles
Draws concepts in real time	Listens and watches and draws	Most learners today are visual
with learner or patient central	in personal notes as the faculty	thinkers so ideas must be
to drawing	member draws	presented in the way learners
		think
Builds from the simplest	Adds old information to new	Concepts are learned in

concepts to most complex	information through a scaffold	connection to other concepts
across coursework	of cognitive layers from what	in order to scaffolded the
	student knows to what others	depth and not just breadth of
	know to what they do from a	conceptual learning
	patient's perspective	
Provides opportunities for	Integrates theory with practice	Use of language increases
students to explain the why	through performance on tests,	student learning from simple
and how of "doing" or	responses to oral questions	psychomotor acts of
performance in reflection as a	during debriefings, and in	preoperational thinking to
way to provide opportunities	written form of explanation	concrete levels of rule based
for learning to be competent	for competence	thinking to formal
		understanding from patients'
		perspectives
Uses standardized patients	Learns the basic concepts of	Conceptual learning scaffolds
(SPs) in carefully arranged	what to do in a given situation	from foundational pain
scenarios from least complex	as evidenced by tests and low	management concepts
concepts to most complex	fidelity simulation or highly	(Domain1) to more integrated
concepts to provide multiple	supervised clinical experience	concepts (Domain 2 and 3) to
layers of overlapped concepts		formal applications (Domain
		4) of pain management plans
Uses increasingly complex	Increases their conceptual	Application of thinking
levels of simulation or field	learning to most complex pain	requires increasingly more
work with careful supervision	management situations as	complex feedback to activities
and measured outcomes	evidence by high fidelity	for refinement of concepts
	simulations, oral, and /or	
	written explanations	
Uses case and population	Shows effective pain	Conceptual learning increases
exemplars in increased	management across multiple	in depth across multiple
complexity across time and	settings over time and across	opportunities to refine for
across the domains	multiple populations	higher order thinking
Uses closely supervised	Interacts with patients in	Doing a task is not evidence of
clinical situations with	clinical setting	competence; therefore,
outcomes assessed for		explanations are needed to
conceptual understanding, not		show an understanding of
just for "doing"		theory and practice

TABLE 3. Tools for developing conceptual learning within a curriculum that addresses Pain

Management Core Competencies.

#### Summary

The ultimate goal of shifting, from teaching students and testing performance, to

providing opportunities for students to conceptually learn as assessed with a competency-

based curriculum, is to provide quality care for patients. As faculty from pre-licensure programs engage in creating teaching, curriculum, and assessment methods that focus on learner competence, not the teacher or faculty member's philosophies and assumptions, the assumption is that professional competence for safe and effective practice will improve. To encourage incorporating pain management competencies into pre-licensure health professional curricula, this article provided contextual history behind the educational paradigm shift from teacher imitation and performance to an emphasis on learner competency.<sup>49</sup> Illustration of how to focus on the learner acquiring concepts about pain and pain management, as well as examples on how to incorporate pain competences into a pre-licensure health profession curriculum, were provided as impetus for pre-licensure faculty across health care disciplines to provide competency-based education.

- 1. Fishman, SM, Young, HM. Interprofessional pain management competency program. Available at <u>http://www.ucdmc.ucdavis.edu/paineducation/About\_us/index.html</u> (accessed October 2013).
- Schatman, ME, Campbell, A. Chronic Pain Management: Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Program Development. New York: Informa Healthcare USA, Inc. 2007: 190-194.
- 3. Wells N, Pasero C, McCaffery M. Improving the Quality of Care Through Pain Assessment and Management. In: Hughes RG, editor. *Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses*. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2008 Apr. Chapter 17. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2658/
- 4. Arwood E, Mitchell D, Robb B, Varner E. Neuroeducation: A translation of neuroscience, cognitive psychology, and language for effective literacy practices for those with autism spectrum disorders. *Oregon Society for Neuroscience*. Oregon: SFN, April, 2014.
- Fishman, SM, Young, HM, Arwood, EL, Chou, R, Herr, K, Murinson, BB, Watt-Watson, J, Carr, DB, Gordon, DB, Stevens, BJ, Bakerjian, D, Ballantyne, JC, Courtenay, M, Djukic, M, Koebner, IJ, Mongoven, JM, Paice, JA, Prasad, R, Singh, N, Sluka, KA, St.Marie, B, Strassels, S. Core competencies for pain management results of an interprofessional consensus summit. *Pain Med.* April 11, 2013.
- 6 Skinner BF. <u>Are theories of learning necessary?</u> *Psych. Rev.* 1950; **57**, 193-216
- 7 Skinner BF. Verbal Behavior. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts; 1957.

- 8 Chomsky N. *Aspects of the Theory of Syntax*. MA: MIT Press, 1965.
- 9. Georg von K, Roos, J. A perspective on knowledge, competence and strategy. *Personnel Rev.*, 1995; **24**, 3, 56 76
- Connor-Greene PA. Assessing and promoting student learning: Blurring the line between teaching and testing. *Teaching of Psych.* 2000; 27, 2: 84-88. doi: 0.1207/S15328023TOP2702\_01
- 11. Sanders WL. Value-added assessment from student achievement data: Opportunities and hurdles. *J of Personnel Eval in Ed* 2000; **14**; 4: 329-339.12. Zettel J J, Ballard J. The education for all handicapped children act of 1975 (PL 94-142): Its history, origins, and concepts. *Special education in America: Its legal and governmental foundations* 1982: 11-22.
- 13. Fitzpatrick RB Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. *J Nat'l Ass'n Admin. L.Judges* 1991; **11.** Available at http://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/naalj/vol11/iss1/2 2
- 14. Spencer LM., Signe PHD, Spencer M. *Competence at Work Models for Superior Performance*. Wiley. com, 2008.
- 15 Per-Erik E. The many meanings of occupational competence and qualification. Jof European Industrial Training 1997; **21**, 6/7: 266 273.
- 16 Berry PH, Dahl JL. The new JCAHO pain standards: Implications for pain management nurses. *Pain Management Nursing*, 2000; **1**, 1, 3-12. Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/jpmn.2000.5833.
- 17. Carraccio C, Wolfsthal SD, Englander R, Ferentz K, Martin C. Shifting paradigms: From Flexnor to Competencies. *Academic Medicine* 2002; **77**,5: 361-367.
- 18. Arwood EL.The neuroeducation of learning: Learning systems, not styles. In: Parkay FW, Anctil, EJ, Hass G. Curriculum Leadership: Readings for Developing Quality Educational Programs. NY: Pearson, 2014: 233-245.
- 19. Edmond CB. A new paradigm for practice education. *Nurse Educ Today* 2001; **21**, 4: 251-259.
- 20. Pickering J, Embry E. So long, silos. *The ASHA Leader*2013; **18**, 6 38-51.
- 21. Fishman S, Young, HM. Experts launch pain management competency program. *Pain Medicince News* 2013. Available at http://painmedicinenews.com/PrintArticle.aspx?A\_Id=23501
- 22. Doolen J. The Development of the Simulation Thinking Rubric. Unpublished dissertation, Colorado, 2013.
- 23. Arwood E. Language Function: An Introduction to Pragmatic Assessment and Intervention for Higher Order Thinking and Better Literacy. UK: Jessica Kingsley Publishers, 2011.
- 24. Woo, T., Arwood, E., & Rowe, J. (2013). *Proceedings of World Nursing Conference in Singapore*. Translating Neuroscience: When is the use of clickers Effective for Student Learning? July 2013.
- 25. Kaakinen, J.R. & Arwood, E. (2009) Systematic Review of Nursing Simulation Literature for Use of Learning Theory, *International Journal of Nursing Education Scholarship: Vol.* 6: Issue 1, Article 16.
- Arwood, E.L. & Kaakinen, J.R. (2009) SIMulation Based on Language and Learning (SIMBaLL): The Model. *International Journal for Nursing Education Scholarship: Vol.*6: Issue 1, article 9. (Reported to be top 8 articles on this subject as of Fall 2013.)

- 27. Pillars, W. Visual notetaking in the classroom. *Education Week: Teacher*. Available online http://www.edweek.org/tm/colections/ctq-collaboratory/2013/09/23/
- 28. Bond WF, Spillane L. The use of simulation for emergency medicine resident assessment. Academic emergency medicine : official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine 2002;9:1295-1299.
- 29. McNaughton N, Ravitz P, Wadell A, Hodges BD. Psychiatric education and simulation: a review of the literature. Canadian journal of psychiatry Revue canadienne de psychiatrie 2008;53:85-93.
- 30. Humphrey HJ, Marcangelo M, Rodriguez ER, Spitz D. Assessing competencies during education in psychiatry. International review of psychiatry (Abingdon, England) 2013;25:291-300.
- 31. Larsen DP, Butler AC, Lawson AL, Roediger HL, 3rd. The importance of seeing the patient: test-enhanced learning with standardized patients and written tests improves clinical application of knowledge. Advances in health sciences education : theory and practice 2013;18:409-425.
- 32. Grice GR, Wenger P, Brooks N, Berry TM. Comparison of patient simulation methods used in a physical assessment course. American journal of pharmaceutical education 2013;77:77.
- 33. Deladisma AM, Cohen M, Stevens A, et al. Do medical students respond empathetically to a virtual patient? American journal of surgery 2007;193:756-760.
- 34. Stroud L, McIlroy J, Levinson W. Skills of internal medicine residents in disclosing medical errors: a study using standardized patients. Academic medicine : journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges 2009;84:1803-1808.
- 35. Matthias MS, Krebs EE, Collins LA, Bergman AA, Coffing J, Bair MJ. "I'm Not Abusing or Anything": Patient-physician communication about opioid treatment in chronic pain. Patient education and counseling 2013.
- 36. Bergman AA, Matthias MS, Coffing JM, Krebs EE. Contrasting Tensions Between Patients and PCPs in Chronic Pain Management: A Qualitative Study. Pain medicine (Malden, Mass) 2013.
- 37. Brenner GJ, Newmark JL, Raemer D. Curriculum and cases for pain medicine crisis resource management education. Anesthesia and analgesia 2013;116:107-110.
- 38. Fitch MT. Using high-fidelity emergency simulation with large groups of preclinical medical students in a basic science course. Medical teacher 2007;29:261-263.
- 39. Karnath B, Thornton W, Frye AW. Teaching and testing physical examination skills without the use of patients. Academic medicine : journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges 2002;77:753.
- 40. Gordon JA, Hayden EM, Ahmed RA, Pawlowski JB, Khoury KN, Oriol NE. Early bedside care during preclinical medical education: can technology-enhanced patient simulation advance the Flexnerian ideal? Academic medicine : journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges 2010;85:370-377.
- 41. Stevens DL, King D, Laponis R, et al. Medical students retain pain assessment and management skills long after an experiential curriculum: a controlled study. Pain 2009;145:319-324.
- 42. Boet S, Borges BC, Naik VN, et al. Complex procedural skills are retained for a minimum of 1 yr after a single high-fidelity simulation training session. British journal of anaesthesia 2011;107:533-539.

- 43. Barton J, Bair AE. High Fidelity Simulation Increases Medical Students' Comfort in Managing Critically III Patients. Simulation in Healthcare 2010;6:535.
- 44. Vyas D, McCulloh R, Dyer C, Gregory G, Higbee D. An interprofessional course using human patient simulation to teach patient safety and teamwork skills. American journal of pharmaceutical education 2012;76:71.
- 45. Okuda Y, Bryson EO, DeMaria S, Jr., et al. The utility of simulation in medical education: what is the evidence? The Mount Sinai journal of medicine, New York 2009;76:330-343.
- 46. Griswold S, Ponnuru S, Nishisaki A, et al. The emerging role of simulation education to achieve patient safety: translating deliberate practice and debriefing to save lives. Pediatric clinics of North America 2012;59:1329-1340.
- 47. Naik VN, Brien SE. Review article: Simulation: a means to address and improve patient safety. Canadian journal of anaesthesia = Journal canadien d'anesthesie 2012.
- 48. Zendejas B, Brydges R, Wang AT, Cook DA. Patient Outcomes in Simulation-Based Medical Education: A Systematic Review. Journal of general internal medicine 2013.
- 49. Jason F, Snell LS, Cate OT, Holmboe ES, Carraccio C, Swing SR, P Harris, Glasgow NJ, Campbell C, Dath D, Harden RM, Iobst W, Long DM, Mungroo R, Richardson DL, Sherbino J, Silver I, Taber S, Talbot M, Harris KA Competency-based medical education: Theory to practice. *Informa Healthcare* 2010; **32**, 8, 638-645 (doi:10.3109/0142159X.2010.501190)
- 50. Core Competencies for Interprofessional Collaborative Practice Report. May, 2011. (doi: http://www.aacn.nche.edu/education-resources/ipecreport.pdf)
- 51. Brenner GJ, Newmark JL, Raemer D. Curriculum and cases for pain medicine crisis resource management education. *Anesth Analg. 2013* Jan: 116 (1): 107-110. doi 10.1213/ANE.0b013e31826f0ae0.Epub 2012 Dec 7.
- 52. Maran NJI, Glavin RJ. Low -to-high fidelity simulation-acontinuum of medical education? *Med Educ*. 2003; Nov: 37 Suppl 1:22-8.
- 53. Forsythe G. Drawing in class. *ITED Talks* 2012. Retrieved from <u>http://maps.playingwithmedia.com/visual-notetaking/</u>
- 54 Seropian M. A., Brown K., Samuelson Gavilanes J., & Driggers, B. Simulations: not just a manikin. *Journal of Nursing Education*2004;*43*(4), 164-169
- 55 BrayB., Schwartz C. R., Weeks D. L., & Kardong-Edgren S. Human patient simulation technology: perceptions from a multidisciplinary sample of health care educators. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing* 2009 *5*, 145-150. (doi:10.1016j/ecns.2009.02.002)
- 56 Mezei L., Murinson B.B., Johns Hopkins Pain Curriculum Development Team. Pain eduation in North American medical schools. *J. Pain*.2011; Dec; **12**,121199-208.(doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2011.06.006. Epub 2011 Sep 25. PubMed PMID 21945594).