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#### Abstract

The 17-electron complex $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ reacts with halogens $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{I}^{-} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ to yield the dimeric oxidation products $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{X}\right]_{2}$ as thermally stable solids. Reactions with other chlorinating agents similarly yield $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$. An X-ray crystal structure of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$ was obtained. The magnetic properties of the $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ bridged dimer have been determined and modeled using the usual isotropic hamiltonian $H=-2 J \hat{S}_{1} \bullet \hat{S}_{2}$ which yields $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{k}=-30 \mathrm{~K}$. Low-temperature $(77 \mathrm{~K})$ Raman spectra of solid $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}_{2}\right]_{2}(\mathrm{X}=$ $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I}$ ) allow assignments to be made for the metal-ring and metal halogen stretching modes in the low frequency region ( $<600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). Tetrahydrofuran (THF) cleaves these dimers to yield complexes of the form $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})$.


## Introduction

Over the past two decades, the study of paramagnetic organometallic complexes has greatly expanded. ${ }^{1}$ These complexes are generally highly reactive and many have been postulated as reaction intermediates. In particular, the $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph})$ family of complexes recently has received much attention. The $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ and Me complexes both exist in equilibria between 17 e monomers and 18 e dimers in solution and as dimers in the solid state, ${ }^{2}$ while for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$ the complex exists solely as a 17 e monomer both in solution and the solid state. ${ }^{3}$

The isolation of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ allowed comparison of predicted and actual structural parameters, as well as a comparison of the physical properties of the three radical species $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph})$. In many ways the physical properties of these species are similar; however in some notable ways (e.g. reduction potentials) they differ significantly. A question that naturally follows such an examination is how the large size and electronwithdrawing capabilities of the pentaphenylcyclopentadienyl ligand affect the reactivity of complexes incorporating it.

Previous work has shown that reaction of the $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Cp}),{ }^{4} \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{Cp} *)^{5}\right)$ dimers with halogens yields complexes of the form $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$. Likewise, Baird ${ }^{5-8}$ and coworkers have shown that alkyl and allyl halides also produce $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$ complexes. Herrmann and coworkers found that reacting excess chlorine or bromine with $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}$ in acetonitrile yielded the complexes $\mathrm{CpCrX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}) .{ }^{9}$ Theopold and coworkers have prepared $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{X}]_{2}$ by direct reaction of LiCp * with $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3} \cdot 3$ THF, ${ }^{10}$ while several other methods have been reported by other groups. ${ }^{11-15}$

Interestingly, Fischer reports that allyl bromides convert $\left[\mathrm{CpCr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}$ to $\left[\mathrm{CpCrBr}_{2}\right]_{2}$ at elevated temperatures, ${ }^{14}$ while Baird reports that alkyl and benzyl bromides react with $\left[\mathrm{CpCr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}$ to yield $\mathrm{CpCr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ at or below ambient temperatures. ${ }^{7}$ The two most reasonable pathways for $\left.[\mathrm{CpCrX}]_{2}\right]_{2}$ formation are $\mathrm{CpCr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$ compounds decomposing or further reaction with RX . Although all known $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$ complexes decompose slowly
both in solution and in the solid state, to our knowledge no determination of the decomposition products has been reported. Herein, we report the synthesis and characterization of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{X}\right]_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ compounds by a variety of pathways and show the halide bridged dimer results from the decomposition of the tricarbonyl halide in both solution and in the solid state.

## Experimental Section

General Data. All reactions of air- and moisture-sensitive materials were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere employing standard Schlenk techniques unless otherwise stated. Solids were manipulated under argon in a Vacuum Atmospheres glovebox equipped with a HE-493 dritrain. Solvents (Fisher) were distilled from the appropriate drying agent under nitrogen: toluene, hexane (sodium/benzophenone), benzene, tetrahydrofuran (THF) (potassium/benzophenone), carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, and dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CaH}_{2}\right)$. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{3}$ and $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{THF}^{16}$ were prepared according to literature procedures. NMR solvents were vacuum distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and placed under a nitrogen atmosphere. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was prepared following a literature procedure ${ }^{17}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ was sublimed prior to use. $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (Fisher), $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ (Acros), $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ (Mallinckrodt), and all other materials (Fisher) were used as received without further purification. Elemental analyses were performed by Mikroanalytisches Labor Pascher, Remagen, Germany. ${ }^{18}$

Electrochemistry. Cyclic voltammetry was performed with EG\&G equipment (175 programmer and 173 potentiostat) as previously described ${ }^{19}$ at a Pt electrode on $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ substrate solutions in dichloromethane and THF using tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate as the supporting electrolyte. Scan rates were generally $0.1 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Data were stored on a Nicolet 3091 digital oscilloscope and transferred to a PC. Simulations were done with a Windows adaptation of the Gosser program. ${ }^{20}$ The potential scale is vs. aqueous SCE, which is converted to the ferrocene scale by subtracting 0.40 V from the figures given.

Raman Spectroscopy. Raman spectra were obtained using a Coherent Innova 90-6 $\mathrm{Ar}^{+}$ion laser by collecting backscattered photons directly from the surface of a KCl-supported pellet held under vacuum in a liquid- $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ dewar. ${ }^{21}$ The radiation used was 514.5 nm . Laser power was varied from $150-400 \mathrm{~mW}$. Under these conditions, no decomposition of the samples was observed. A Spex 1403 double monochromator equipped with a pair of 1800 grooves $/ \mathrm{mm}$ holographic gratings and a Hamamatsu 928 photomultiplier detector was used to record the spectra under the control of a Spex DM3000 microcomputer system, as described in detail elsewhere. ${ }^{22}$ Multiple scans (15-20) were averaged to improve signal to noise ratio. Each scan was obtained with $6-8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ slit widths at $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} / \mathrm{s}$ intervals. Manipulation of Raman data was performed using LabCalc software (Galactic Industries, Inc.).

Magnetic Susceptibility. Magnetization vs. temperature data were obtained on a Quantum Design MPMS 5T or 7T SQUID magnetometer in 1000 G applied field. Samples were loaded between two cotton plugs in gelatin capsules or in glass holders as previously described. ${ }^{23}$ Diamagnetic corrections for the samples were calculated from Pascal's constants. The corrections for the holders were calculated from the measured average gram susceptibility of several nominally identical holders.

X-ray Structural Determination. Crystallographic data for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ are collected in Table 1. Dark green block crystals were photographically characterized and determined to belong to the triclinic crystal system. The centrosymmetric space group alternative was initially chosen by its frequency of occurrence and the distribution of $E$ values; the choice was confirmed by subsequent refinement behavior. An empirical correction for absorption was applied to the data. The structure was solved by direct methods, completed from difference Fourier maps, and refined with anisotropic thermal parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions, except for the disordered (two positions, 70/30 distribution) solvent molecule $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. All computations used SHELXTL (4.2) software (G. Sheldrick, Siemens XRD, Madison, WI).
$\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$ (1). Method 1: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved
in benzene ( 50 mL ) and then transferred via cannula to a separate flask containing $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The stirred solution immediately turned yellowish brown, then quickly to olive green with gas evolution. After stirring overnight, a green solid precipitated. The mixture was filtered via cannula and the solid dried in vacuo to yield $0.86 \mathrm{~g}(0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1}$ as an olive green powder. Crystals were grown by dissolving $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}$ (THF) (vide infra) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and layering with a 1:1 mixture of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl} /$ hexane. mp: $335^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{Cr}_{2}$ : C, 73.95; H, 4.43. Found: C, 73.55; H, 4.38.

Method 2: $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right](2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 5.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{THF}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in dry benzene ( 80 mL ) to give a dark olive green colored solution. The mixture was refluxed overnight, cooled to room temperature, and filtered via cannula. The resulting solid was dried in vacuo to yield $2.13 \mathrm{~g}(1.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1}$ as an olive green powder. The identity of the product in this reaction (and that in Method 3) was confirmed by comparison of its IR spectrum ( KBr pellet) with that of a sample generated by Method 1 .

Method 3: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ was dissolved in chloroform to give a blue solution. This reaction mixture was stirred overnight. Stirring was stopped and the suspended $\mathbf{1}$ was allowed to settle out.
$\left[\left(\mathbf{C}_{5} \mathbf{P h}_{5}\right) \mathbf{C r}(\mu-\mathbf{B r}) \mathbf{B r}\right]_{2}$ (2): Bromine ( $0.082 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting reddishbrown solution changed to a dark olive green in $c a$. ten minutes. Stirring was stopped and a dark olive green solid precipitated. The mixture was filtered via cannula and the resulting solid was dried in vacuo to yield $2(0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%)$ as an olive green powder. Visible $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }} 410$ (sh), 480 (sh), 655. mp: $330-333^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{Br}_{4} \mathrm{Cr}_{2}$ : C, 63.95; H, 3.83. Found: C, 64.00; H, 3.82.
$\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathbf{P h}_{5}\right) \mathbf{C r}(\mu-\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathbf{( 3 )}: \mathrm{I}_{2}(0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})\right.$ was dissolved in benzene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred via cannula to a flask containing $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was refluxed overnight, cooled to room temperature, and filtered via cannula. The solid was then dried in vacuo to yield 3 as a dark green powder ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%$ ). mp: $335^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). ${ }^{18}$
$\left(\mathbf{C}_{5} \mathbf{P h}_{\mathbf{5}}\right) \mathbf{C r C l}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( T H F )} \mathbf{( 4 ) :}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})\right.$ was dissolved in THF ( 25 mL ). After filtering via cannula, the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield the product as an olive green powder in near quantitative yield. Microcrystalline samples may be obtained by layering a THF solution of 4 with hexane. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}$ : C, 73.12; H, 5.19. Found: C, 72.56; H, 6.32.
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathbf{P h}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrBr}_{2}(\mathbf{T H F})(5)$ and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathbf{P h}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrI}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{T H F})(6):$ These compounds are prepared in an analogous fashion to 4. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}: \mathrm{C}, 64.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.56$. Found: C, 64.27; H , $4.98 .{ }^{18}$
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathbf{P h}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathbf{C O})_{3} \mathbf{B r}(7):\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30$ mL ) and cooled to $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a liquid nitrogen/acetone bath. Adding bromine ( $0.041 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.59$ mmol ) immediately caused the solution to change from dark blue to dark reddish-brown. This solution was filtered into a second flask and mixed with cold hexane ( 40 mL ). This solution was transferred to a $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer overnight to yield 7 ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ) as a thermally sensitive, reddish-brown powder.

## Results and Discussion

Syntheses. Reaction of the $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ radical with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ yielded olive green complexes of the form $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{X}\right]_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{1}), \mathrm{Br}(2), \mathrm{I}(\mathbf{3}))$ in high yields (eq 1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}+\mathrm{X}_{2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{X}\right]_{2}+6 \mathrm{CO} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Complex 1 can also be prepared from the reaction of $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{THF}$ and $\mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right)$ in refluxing benzene or from the reaction of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ with $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$. All three complexes are poorly soluble in non-coordinating solvents, although solubility increases in the order $\mathbf{1}<\mathbf{2}<\mathbf{3}$. Crystalline materials are produced by layering a solution of the appropriate $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiX}$ in hexane above a solution of a dichloromethane solution of the THF adduct (vida infra). ${ }^{24}$

Dissolving these complexes in THF results in their cleavage to monomeric species, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})$ (eq 2). All solids these monomers are very similar in color to their parent

$$
\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{X}\right]_{2}+2 \mathrm{THF} \longrightarrow 62\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})
$$

dimers, but form blue-green solutions. They are much more soluble than their parent dimers.
Initial reaction of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ and each halogen results in formation of the expected 18electron $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$, which then further reacts with additional halogen to yield $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}_{2}\right]_{2}$. At ambient temperature, solutions of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{I}$ decompose over a period of days to 3 , those of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Br}, 7$, decompose to 2 in less than 10 minutes, and those of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ in seconds to 1 . CO stretching frequencies for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}$ $=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ are collected in Table 4. Attempts to isolate $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{I}$ at room temperature always resulted in substantial conversion to $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrI}_{2}\right]_{2}$. Solutions of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ prepared and stored below $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are sufficiently stable that 7 may be isolated as an thermally sensitive, red-brown solid. On standing for several weeks at ambient temperature, this solid converts to $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ and 2, presumably via a solid state disproportionation reaction.

The other known $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me})$ compounds also exhibit poor stability. King ${ }^{25}$ and Baird $^{26}$ report that $\mathrm{CpCr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ is quite unstable. Likewise, although $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ can be isolated, they decompose slowly in the solid state. ${ }^{5}$ Despite being sufficiently stable to isolate, Manning found $\mathrm{CpCr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ and $\mathrm{CpCr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{I}$ decompose on gentle heating ( $76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively), ${ }^{15}$ while King found them too unstable to isolate pure. ${ }^{25}$ No decomposition product was identified for any of these compounds. In all cases, the $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$ compounds are less stable than either their Cp or Cp * analogues. Finally, cyclic voltammetric studies of $\left[\mathrm{CpCr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}$ suggest that under appropriate conditions the very unstable $\mathrm{CpCr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{+}\left(\mathrm{L}=\right.$ THF, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ ion forms, although it was not directly observed. ${ }^{27}$

The $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}$ ligand probably decreases the stability of the $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$ complexes relative to their Cp and Cp * analogues because its large size increases crowding and because it releases far less electron density to the metal than either Cp or Cp*.3,28 Furthermore sevencoordinate first-row transition metal complexes are uncommon because of the small size of the metal atoms/ions. ${ }^{29}$

The aforementioned reports on $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$ ( $\mathrm{X}=$ halide), coupled to reports on the thermal stabilities of $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}^{30}$ and the $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}$ dimers, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{e}}$ suggests that the crowding associated with the 7-coordinate geometry significantly reduces the stabilities of these molecules to a point of marginal viability. However, if only steric factors affected complex stability, the order of stability would be $\mathrm{Cl}>\mathrm{Br}>\mathrm{I}$. The actual ordering is $\mathrm{I}>\mathrm{Br}>\mathrm{Cl}$ for both $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ and Ph , consistent with electronic factors also playing an important role. A reasonable explanation for this observation posits that as the halogens become more electronegative, the complexes more readily decompose because of decreased electron density available for $\pi$-backbonding to the CO ligands.

Molecular Structure of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathbf{P h}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} \cdot \mathbf{2 C H}_{2} \mathbf{C l}_{2}$. The X-ray crystal structure of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is displayed in Figure 1. Bond distances and angles are listed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The geometry is that of an edge-shared bioctahedron with $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}$ ligands capping opposite faces and bridging chloride ligands occupying the shared edge. As in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}{ }^{11}$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$, , ${ }^{12}$ the chromium atoms and bridging chlorines in $\mathbf{1}$ form a square in the center of the complex. The chromium atoms lie too far apart to bond and their separation is nearly identical in the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}$ complexes. Bond distances differ only slightly from those in the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ complexes. Most bond angles differ between these complexes by $0-3^{\circ}$, however, the $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\right.$ bridging)-Cr-Cl(terminal) angles on $\mathbf{1}$ are $4^{0}-5^{\circ}$ smaller than those on the other complexes. Inspection of a space-filling model of $\mathbf{1}$ generated from the crystal structure shows close contacts between the terminal chlorine atoms and phenyl rings bound to the Cp on the same chromium atom. These contacts may cause the contraction of the $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond angle.

Electrochemistry. The electrochemistry of complexes 2 - $\mathbf{6}$ was investigated by cyclic voltammetry in THF and dichloromethane. $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ did not give informative electrochemistry in any solvent. Reduction occurs outside the solvent/electrolyte window and the observed rather positive, irreversible oxidation probably arises from bound chloride.
$\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrBr}_{2}\right]_{2}$ exhibits a quasi-reversible reduction at -0.45 V in dichloromethane.

Incomplete electrochemical reversibility does not allow the number of transferred electrons to be determined from the peak separation. The THF complex, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrBr}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})$, displays two successive reductions in the same solvent; the first one reversible and the second irreversible at about -0.5 and -1.4 V , respectively. This pattern more clearly develops after the solution has been kept at ambient temperature for about 30 minutes prior to data collection. Since the first reduction is very close to the one found for the dimeric complex and much different from the reduction pattern of the mononuclear complex in THF (vide infra), we suggest complex 5 reverts to dimeric complex 2 in dichloromethane. The observation of two successive reduction peaks then argues for stepwise reduction of each $\operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ to $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ with concomitant loss of bromide, slower for the first reduction and faster for the second. A large peak at 1.1 V on the successive anodic scan is assigned to the liberation of $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$.

The mononuclear THF complex, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrBr}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})$, in THF instead gave one chemically irreversible reduction, $E_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}}=-1.04 \mathrm{~V}$, with close lying follow up peaks at -0.23 V and 0.03 V , respectively (Figure 2). Simulation clearly shows these to be direct electrode products of the reduction. Loss of bromide in the course of the reduction is a plausible assumption in this case too, where the follow up peaks are assigned to the reoxidation of the dehalogenated reduction product with and without incorporation of bromide. This conclusion is drawn from the observation that only one single reoxidation (at -0.166 V ) follows the -1.04 V reduction on addition of excess $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$(as $\left.\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right] \mathrm{Br}\right)$.

Reduction of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrI}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})$ in dichloromethane gave very similar electrochemistry to the bromide complex with potentials of -0.75 V and -0.33 V for reduction and reoxidation, respectively. In addition, there are two oxidation peaks at 0.31 V and 0.57 V that occur on the initial anodic scan. The potential range and the fact that the final oxidation is partly reversible argues in favor of a bound iodide, which is liberated at two successive potentials.

Magnetic Susceptibility. The values of $\chi \mathrm{T}$ for all of the mononuclear species, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}_{2}$ (THF), $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ (1.94), Br (1.72), $\mathrm{I}(1.83)$ are essentially consistent with the expected spin only value of $1.875 \mathrm{emu}-\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ in a pseudo-octahedral field. ${ }^{31}$ The data are
temperature independent from 25 K to 250 K with a slight downturn below 25 K and warrant no further comment.

The magnetic properties of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ are illustrated in the plot of $\chi \mathrm{T}$ vs. T (Figure 3). At room temperature, the value of $\chi \mathrm{T}$ is less than that expected for two uncoupled $S=3 / 2$ centers ( $3.75 \mathrm{emu}-\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), indicating the presence of antiferromagnetic coupling. This fact has previously been noted by Köhler and co-workers for the corresponding Cp and Cp * analogs, but was apparently never quantified. ${ }^{12}$ The data were fit (eq 3) to the analytical solution of the Heisenberg hamiltonian ${ }^{32} H=-2 J \hat{S}_{1} \bullet \hat{S}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi T=\frac{2 N g^{2} \mu_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}}{k}\left\{\frac{\exp [x]+5 \exp [3 x]+14 \exp [6 x]}{1+3 \exp [x]+5 \exp [3 x]+7 \exp [6 x]}\right\} \quad \text { where } \mathrm{x}=\frac{2 J}{k T} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two parameter fit gives $g=2.1$ and $J / k=-30 \mathrm{~K}$. The g value is slightly high compared to the expected value of 2 , but the coupling is in the range of other doubly hydroxy-bridged chromium dimers. ${ }^{33}$

Raman Spectroscopy. Due to the sensitivity of the $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}_{2}\right]_{2}$ samples to air and prolonged exposure to high temperature, Raman spectra could only be obtained under low temperature conditions. Solid samples were used because the solid precipitates from solution in liquid samples upon laser exposure. The strongest peaks obtained for each sample are observed in the region containing chromium-halogen and Cp-ring tilting vibrations as seen in Figure 4. All band identifications and assignments are given in Table 5. Samples of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Br}) \mathrm{Br}\right]_{2}$ (2) gave very high scattering backgrounds and did not provide Raman spectra.

The skeletal vibrational modes are designated by the symbol $v$, and represent stretching motions. The metal-halogen stretching modes were assigned after those of trans-planar $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{4} \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ and tetrahedral $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{MX}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CpMX}{ }_{3}$ compounds $\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{a}\right.$ transition metal). ${ }^{34-37}$ The $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}}$ stretches represent stretching of the $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds in the $\mathrm{Cr}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{X})_{2}$ bridge and occur in the 175-240 and $230-315 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ regions in $\mathbf{3}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathbf{1}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$ respectively. These are lower in frequency compared to the terminal $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{X}$ stretching modes ${ }^{34}$ represented by $v_{\mathrm{t}}$, which occur at $\sim 283 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
in 3 and $\sim 350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in 1. Other halogen-sensitive bands in this region at 131 (3) and $157 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (1) appear to be $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{X}$ bending ( $\delta$ ) vibrations. ${ }^{35}$

The second Raman region of interest is that containing metal-cyclopentadienyl ( Cp ) ring stretching and tilting vibrations (400-550 cm ${ }^{-1}$ ). Due to the fact that the $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}_{2}\right]_{2}$ compounds are classified in the $C_{2}$ point group, the $v(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cp})$ stretches can be easily identified as the $A$ - and $B$-symmetry modes. ${ }^{35,36}$ These two $v(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cp})$ modes occur in the narrow range of $400-425 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and are distinguishable in the chloride dimer $\mathbf{1}$ as seen in Figure 4 and Table 5. However, the $B$ mode ( $\sim 400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) for the iodide dimer 3 cannot be clearly identified due to close overlap with the $A$ mode at $414 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The Cp ring tilting motions ${ }^{36}$ are found in the $450-550$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ region. As expected, these Raman bands are almost independent of X and quite similar for both compounds (Figure 4). Finally, the Raman spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and 3 taken above $600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (not shown) also contain internal $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}$ ligand vibrational modes associated with the Cp and Ph rings at the frequencies typical of centrally $\pi$-bonded complexes ${ }^{34}$ and monosubstituted benzenes. ${ }^{38}$

Summary. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ rapidly reacts with halogens to initially yield $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$ complexes ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}$ ), which then decompose to $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}(\mu-\mathrm{X})\right]_{2}$ dimers in solution. The larger size of $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}$ (as compared to Cp or $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ) combined with its reduced tendency to release electron density to metals to which it binds makes the 7 -coordinate $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$ compounds much less stable than their Cp or $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ analogues. A crystal structure of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ shows the molecule to exhibit only limited intramolecular crowding consistent with the reduced strain in a six-coordinate environment. Magnetic susceptibility measurements show the chromium centers to couple antiferromagnetically. All complexes exhibit complex electrochemical behavior and Raman spectra for the $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ and I dimers are assigned. The dimers react with Lewis bases (e.g. THF) to yield monomeric species: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})$.
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Table 1. Crystal and Refinement Data for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
a. Crystal Data
formula
fw
cryst system
space group
a, $\AA$
$b, \AA$
c, $\AA$
$\alpha$, deg
$\beta$, deg
$\gamma$, deg
$V, \AA^{3}$

Z
color
crystal size, mm
D (Calcd), g/cm ${ }^{3}$
abs coeff, $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
b. Data Collection
diffractometer
radiation
temp, K
$2 \theta$ scan range, deg
scan type
reflns collcd
obsd rflns
$\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{Cl}_{8} \mathrm{Cr}_{2}$
1306.8
triclinic
$P \overline{1}$
12.072 (6)
12.290 (6)
12.638 (6)
67.23 (4)
65.28 (4)
75.99 (2)

1565 (5)
1
dark green
$0.42 \times 0.44 \times 0.48$
1.362
0.732

Siemens P3m/V
$\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$
296
4.0 to 48.0

Wycoff
5191
$2868(F>5.0 \sigma(F))$

## c. Solution and Refinement

| solution | direct methods |
| :--- | :--- |
| refinement method | full-matrix least-squares |
| quantity minimized | $\sum w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}-F_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}$ |
| weighting scheme | $w^{-1}=\sigma^{2}(F)+0.0010 F^{2}$ |
| number of parameters refined | 311 |
| final $R$ indices (obs. data), \% | $R=6.73, \mathrm{w} R=8.25$ |
| $R$ indices (all data), \% | $R=11.19, \mathrm{w} R=9.32$ |
| GOF | 1.58 |
| data-to-parameter ratio | $9.2: 1$ |
| largest difference peak, e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.75 |
| largest difference hole, e $\AA^{-3}$ | -0.61 |

Table 2. Selected Bond Distances $(\AA)$ in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

|  | $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cr-C(1) | 2.270 (5) |  |  |
| Cr-C(2) | 2.285 (5) |  |  |
| Cr-C(3) | 2.266 (6) |  |  |
| Cr-C(4) | 2.240 (7) |  |  |
| Cr-C(5) | 2.242 (7) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{CNT}^{\text {d }}$ | 1.911 | 1.867 | 1.88 |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 2.247 (3) | 2.274 (2) | 2.291 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 2.380 (3) | 2.377 (1) | 2.393 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 2.360 (3) | 2.374 (1) | 2.383 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{A})-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 2.360 (3) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ | 3.375 | 3.362 (1) |  |
| aThis work. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Ref. 11. |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {cRef. 12. There were two conformers in the unit cell. Averaged values are presented. }}$
${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{CNT}=$ centroid of the cyclopentadienyl ring

Table 3. Selected Bond Angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

|  | $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ | $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~b}\right.$ | $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $92.2(1)$ | $96.12(6)$ | $97.0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $92.2(1)$ |  | $96.5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $89.2(1)$ | $89.89(5)$ | $87.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{A})$ | $92.2(1)$ |  | $92.3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{CNT}-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 124.4 | 123.6 | 123.2 |
| $\mathrm{CNT}-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 124.6 | 121.8 | 122.0 |
| CNT-Cr-Cl(2A) |  | 120.5 | 122.4 |
| aThis work. | 124.2 |  |  |
| bRef. 11. |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {cRef. 12. There were two conformers in the unit cell. Averaged values are presented. }}$ ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{CNT}=$ centroid of the cyclopentadienyl ring

Table 4. Infrared Spectral Data for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$ Complexes

| complex | solvent | $v(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}), \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | reference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ | $2041,1986,1954$ | 15 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ | hexane | $2032,1979,1935$ | 5 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | THF | $2031,1980,1939$ | this work |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ | $2029,1975,1953$ | 15 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{I}$ | hexane | $2018,1967,1934$ | 5 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{I}$ | THF | $2016,1965,1937$ | this work |
| ${ }^{\text {a A cold solution of }\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Br} \text { was rapidly transferred to an IR cell at ambient }}$ |  |  |  |
| temperature and the spectrum was immediately taken. |  |  |  |

Table 5. Raman Low-Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) and Assignments for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{X}\right]_{2}$ Complexes ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{I}^{-}$)

| $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ | $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrI}_{2}\right]_{2}$ | assignment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 157 | 131 | $\delta(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{X})$ |
| 231 | 177 | $v_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{X})$ |
| 255 | 198 | $v_{b}(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{X})$ |
| 272,311 | 240 | $v_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{X})$ |
| 351 | 283,275 | $v_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{X})$ |
| 421 | 414 | $v(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cp}), A$ |
| 401 | 400 sh | $v(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cp}), B$ |
| 482 br | 490 br | Cp ring tilt |
| 536 | 530 | Cp ring tilt |

## Figure Captions

Figure 1. Molecular structure and labeling scheme for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1) (30\% thermal ellipsoid probability).

Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrBr}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})$; A: in THF at $\mathrm{v}=100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$, B: same after addition of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right] \mathrm{Br}$.

Figure 3. Plot of $\chi \mathrm{T}$ vs. T for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ and fit to Heisenberg hamiltonian model.
Figure 4. Low-temperature ( 77 K ) Raman spectra ( $100-600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) of $\mathbf{1}$ (bottom) and $\mathbf{3}$ (top) excited at 514.5 nm . Both spectra obtained via backscattering on KCl pellets in a liquid- $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ dewar; (1) 400 mW laser power, $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ slit widths, average of 16 scans; (3) 150 mW laser power, $8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ slit widths, average of 15 scans.
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Table 1S. Bond Distances $(\AA)$ in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrCl}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

| Cr-C(1) | 2.270 (5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 2.285 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 2.266 (6) |
| Cr-C(4) | 2.240 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 2.242 (7) |
| Cr-CNT ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.911 |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 2.247 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 2.380 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 2.360 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{A})-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 2.360 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ | 3.375 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.473 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.494 (7) |
| C(3)-C(36) | 1.490 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | 1.475 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(56)$ | 1.502 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(90)-\mathrm{Cl}(3) *$ | 2.099 (44) |
| $\mathrm{C}(90)-\mathrm{Cl}(4) *$ | 1.897 (31) |
| ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}(90)$ refers to the carbon in the dichloromethane. Likewise, $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ refer to the chlorine atoms in the solvate. |  |

Table 2S. Bond Angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$.

| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 92.2 (1) | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 118.0 (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 92.2 (1) | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 92.9 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 89.2 (1) | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 102.9 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{A})$ | 92.2 (1) | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 96.5 (2) |
| CNT-Cr-Cl(1) | 124.4 | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 126.4 (1) |
| CNT-Cr-Cl(2) | 124.6 | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 157.2 (1) |
| CNT-Cr-Cl(2A) | 124.2 | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 92.1 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 138.0 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 112.6 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 153.1 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 148.9 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 129.6 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 143.7 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 98.3 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 107.1 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 36.3 (1) | Cr-C(1)-C(16) | 129.9 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 60.9 (2) | Cr-C(2)-C(26) | 132.1 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 61.3 (2) | Cr-C(3)-C(36) | 125.5 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 36.7 (1) | Cr-C(4)-C(46) | 131.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 36.4 (1) | Cr-C(5)-C(56) | 125.7 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 61.0 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 61.0 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 118.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 36.7 (1) | C(3)-C(36)-C(31) | 118.7 |
| C(3)-Cr-C(5) | 61.3 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 118.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 36.9 (1) | C(5)-C(56)-C(51) | 116.9 |
| Cr-C(1)-C(2) | 72.4 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 119.9 |
| Cr-C(2)-C(1) | 71.3 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 121.7 |
| Cr-C(2)-C(3) | 71.1 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 120.9 |
| Cr-C(3)-C(2) | 72.5 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | 121.3 |


| Cr-C(3)-C(4) | $70.6(1)$ | $C(5)-C(56)-C(55)$ | 122.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cr-C(4)-C(3) | $72.7(1)$ | $C(5)-C(4)-C(46)$ | 125.9 |
| Cr-C(4)-C(5) | $71.6(1)$ | $C(5)-C(1)-C(16)$ | 124.3 |
| Cr-C(5)-C(4) | $71.4(1)$ | $C(1)-C(2)-C(26)$ | 126.7 |
| Cr-C(1)-C(5) | $70.6(1)$ | $C(4)-C(3)-C(36)$ | 125.8 |
| Cr-C(5)-C(1) | $72.7(1)$ | $C(3)-C(4)-C(46)$ | 124.8 |
| $C(2)-C(1)-C(16)$ | 127.0 | $C(1)-C(5)-C(56)$ | 124.5 |
| $C(3)-C(2)-C(26)$ | 124.3 | $C(4)-C(5)-C(56)$ | 126.4 |
| $C(2)-C(3)-C(36)$ | 126.0 | $C l(3)-C(90)-C l(4)$ | $90.7(16)$ |

Table 3S. Atomic Coordinates ( $\mathbf{x 1 0 4}$ ) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Coefficients

| $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right) \text { for }\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} \bullet 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | z | $U(e q)^{\text {a }}$ |
| Cr | 60(1) | 983(1) | 603(1) | 28(1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 267(2) | -444(2) | 2297(2) | 56(1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | -1516(2) | 61(2) | 699(2) | 36(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 820(3) | 2737(5) | -704(3) | 29(3) |
| C(2) | -457 | 2883 | -462 | 28(3) |
| C(3) | -1086 | 2695 | 818 | 29(3) |
| C(4) | -199 | 2433 | 1367 | 28(4) |
| C(5) | 980 | 2459 | 426 | 26(3) |
| C(11) | 1839(4) | 2600(4) | -2819(4) | 38(4) |
| C(12) | 2763 | 2887 | -3981 | 49(5) |
| C(13) | 3665 | 3578 | -4245 | 55(5) |
| C(14) | 3643 | 3984 | -3346 | 54(5) |
| C(15) | 2719 | 3698 | -2183 | 38(4) |
| C(16) | 1817 | 3006 | -1920 | 29(4) |
| C(21) | -658(4) | 4406(4) | -2369(4) | 42(4) |
| C(22) | -1239 | 4931 | -3222 | 56(5) |
| C(23) | -2223 | 4426 | -3099 | 56(5) |
| C(24) | -2624 | 3396 | -2123 | 50(5) |
| C(25) | -2042 | 2871 | -1270 | 42(4) |
| C(26) | -1059 | 3377 | -1393 | 30(4) |
| C(31) | -3092(4) | 3909(4) | 1057(4) | 38(4) |
| C(32) | -4338 | 4101 | 1715 | 53(5) |
| C(33) | -4933 | 3214 | 2777 | 59(6) |
| C(34) | -4282 | 2134 | 3180 | 60(5) |


| C(35) | -3035 | 1942 | 2521 | 42(4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(36) | -2440 | 2829 | 1460 | 29(4) |
| C(41) | -1349(4) | 3256(4) | 3071(4) | 44(4) |
| C(42) | -1575 | 3300 | 4231 | 53(5) |
| C(43) | -922 | 2496 | 4948 | 53(5) |
| C(44) | -43 | 1648 | 4505 | 49(5) |
| C(45) | 184 | 1604 | 3345 | 39(4) |
| C(46) | -469 | 2407 | 2628 | 32(4) |
| C(51) | 2316(4) | 3092(4) | 1075(5) | 40(4) |
| C(52) | 3446 | 3097 | 1129 | 59(6) |
| C(53) | 4444 | 2335 | 676 | 60(5) |
| C(54) | 4311 | 1570 | 170 | 61(5) |
| C(55) | 3182 | 1565 | 116 | 45(4) |
| C(56) | 2184 | 2327 | 569 | 32(4) |
| C(90) | 3213(16) | -312(21) | 3282(20) | 202(16) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | 5120(35) | -595(21) | 2816(27) | 132(14) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3 \times)$ | 4355(24) | -1202(25) | 3103(12) | 237(12) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | 3043(4) | 307(5) | 4510(5) | 174(4) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Equivalent isotropic $U$ defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

Table 4S. Anisotropic Displacement Coefficients ( $\left.\AA^{2} \mathbf{x} 10^{3}\right)$.


| C(35) | 23(4) | 55(6) | 35(5) | -4(4) | -3(4) | -9(4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(36) | 18(4) | 47(5) | 27(4) | 0 (3) | -9(3) | -18(4) |
| C(41) | 46(5) | 51(6) | 37(5) | -1(4) | -18(4) | -14(4) |
| C(42) | 59(6) | 53(6) | 46(6) | 3(5) | -17(5) | -21(5) |
| C(43) | 74(6) | 59(6) | 32(5) | -11(5) | -22(5) | -16(5) |
| C(44) | 65(6) | 51(6) | 33(5) | -8(5) | -26(4) | -7(4) |
| C(45) | 43(5) | 45(5) | 31(5) | -4(4) | -17(4) | -10(4) |
| C(46) | 33(4) | 35(5) | 29(4) | -4(4) | -10(4) | -14(4) |
| C(51) | 33(4) | 45(5) | 47(5) | -4(4) | -15(4) | -19(4) |
| C(52) | 49(6) | 84(8) | 61(6) | -25(5) | -28(5) | -21(6) |
| C(53) | 35(5) | 75(7) | 71(7) | -6(5) | -23(5) | -21(6) |
| C(54) | 35(5) | 70(7) | 71(7) | 7(5) | -16(5) | -28(6) |
| C(55) | 31(5) | 57(6) | 56(6) | -2(4) | -27(4) | -16(5) |
| C(56) | 28(4) | 39(5) | 28(4) | -3(4) | -12(3) | -7(4) |
| C(90) | 111(14) | 205(23) | 183(20) | 7(14) | -48(14) | 25(17) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | 107(18) | 98(14) | 165(19) | 14(11) | -55(14) | -25(11) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 168(16) | 217(18) | 223(10) | 83(16) | -53(9) | -46(10) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | 110(3) | 202(6) | 157(4) | -6(3) | -49(3) | -9(4) |

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left(\mathrm{~h}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{*}{ }^{2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hka} \mathrm{b}^{*} \mathrm{U}_{12}\right)$

Table 5S. H-Atom Coordinates ( $\mathbf{x 1 0} 0^{4}$ ) and Isotropic Displacement Coefficients $\left(\AA^{2} \mathbf{x} 10^{3}\right)$.

|  | x | y | z | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(11) | 1217 | 2124 | -2637 | 80 |
| H(12) | 2778 | 2608 | -4600 | 80 |
| H(13) | 4301 | 3776 | -5045 | 80 |
| H(14) | 4264 | 4460 | -3528 | 80 |
| H(15) | 2704 | 3977 | -1565 | 80 |
| H(21) | 19 | 4754 | -2454 | 80 |
| H(22) | -963 | 5639 | -3893 | 80 |
| H(23) | -2623 | 4787 | -3686 | 80 |
| H(24) | -3301 | 3048 | -2038 | 80 |
| H(25) | -2319 | 2163 | -599 | 80 |
| H(31) | -2682 | 4520 | 326 | 80 |
| H(32) | -4787 | 4844 | 1438 | 80 |
| H(33) | -5792 | 3346 | 3230 | 80 |
| H(34) | -4691 | 1523 | 3910 | 80 |
| H(35) | -2587 | 1199 | 2799 | 80 |
| H(41) | -1798 | 3809 | 2577 | 80 |
| H(42) | -2181 | 3883 | 4536 | 80 |
| H(43) | -1078 | 2526 | 5746 | 80 |
| H(44) | 407 | 1095 | 4998 | 80 |
| H(45) | 789 | 1020 | 3040 | 80 |
| H(51) | 1630 | 3616 | 1387 | 80 |
| H(52) | 3537 | 3624 | 1478 | 80 |
| H(53) | 5221 | 2338 | 713 | 80 |
| H(54) | 4998 | 1046 | -142 | 80 |
| H(55) | 3091 | 1038 | -233 | 80 |
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Reaction of halogens $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ with $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ yields the series of complexes $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mu-\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{X}\right]_{2}$. The reaction proceeds through $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}$ intermediates, that decompose to $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}_{2}\right]_{2}$. Lewis bases cleave these dimers to the monomeric species $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CrX}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$. X-ray crystallography, electrochemistry, magnetic susceptibility, and Raman spectroscopy were used to characterize the complexes.
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