

Marshall University Marshall Digital Scholar

Biochemistry and Microbiology

Faculty Research

Winter 2-27-2017

Comparative study on the in vitro effects of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and seaweed alginates on human gut microbiota

Shaofeng Bai

Huahai Chen

Liying Zhu

Wei Liu

Hongwei Yu Marshall Uinveristy, yuh@marshall.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://mds.marshall.edu/sm_bm Part of the <u>Medical Sciences Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Bai S, Chen H, Zhu L, Liu W, Yu HD, Wang X, et al. (2017) Comparative study on the in vitro effects of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and seaweed alginates on human gut microbiota. PLoS ONE 12(2): e0171576. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171576

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Research at Marshall Digital Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biochemistry and Microbiology by an authorized administrator of Marshall Digital Scholar. For more information, please contact zhangj@marshall.edu, martj@marshall.edu.

Authors

Shaofeng Bai, Huahai Chen, Liying Zhu, Wei Liu, Hongwei Yu, Xin Wang, and Yeshi Yin



Citation: Bai S, Chen H, Zhu L, Liu W, Yu HD, Wang X, et al. (2017) Comparative study on the *in vitro* effects of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and seaweed alginates on human gut microbiota. PLoS ONE 12(2): e0171576. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0171576

Editor: Erwin G Zoetendal, Wageningen Universiteit, NETHERLANDS

Received: August 23, 2016

Accepted: January 22, 2017

Published: February 7, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Bai et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative</u> <u>Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data availability statement: All next generation sequencing files are available from the SRA database (accession number SRP082347).

Funding: This work was supported by the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program, NO. 2015AA020701) and the State Key Laboratory Breeding Base for Zhejiang Sustainable Pest and Disease Control (No. 2010DS700124-ZZ1604) supported this study. Hongwei Yu was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) through **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Comparative study on the *in vitro* effects of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and seaweed alginates on human gut microbiota

Shaofeng Bai^{1,2}, Huahai Chen¹, Liying Zhu¹, Wei Liu¹, Hongwei D. Yu^{3,4}, Xin Wang¹, Yeshi Yin¹*

 State Key Laboratory of Breeding Base for Zhejiang Sustainable Pest, and Key laboratory for Food Microbial Technology of Zhejiang Province, Institute of Plant Protection and Microbiology, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, P. R. China, 2 College of Chemistry and Life Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China, 3 Departments of Biomedical Sciences, Pediatrics, Joan C. Edwards School of Medicine at Marshall University, Huntington, West Virginia, United States of America,
Progenesis Technologies, LLC, One John Marshall Drive, Robert C. Byrd Biotechnology Science Center, Huntington, West Virginia, United States of America

* yinyeshi@126.com

Abstract

Alginates pertain to organic polysaccharides that have been extensively used in food- and medicine-related industries. The present study obtained alginates from an alginate overproducing Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 mutant by screening transposon mutagenesis libraries. The interaction between bacterial and seaweed alginates and gut microbiota were further studied by using an in vitro batch fermentation system. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis indicated that both bacterial and seaweed alginates can be completely degraded by fecal bacteria isolated from study volunteers, indicating that a minor structural difference between bacterial and seaweed alginates (O-acetylation and lack of G-G blocks) didn't affect the digestion of alginates by human microbiota. Although, the digestion of bacterial and seaweed alginates was attributed to different *Bacteroides xylanisolvens* strains, they harbored similar alginate lyase genes. Genus Bacteroides with alginate-degrading capability were enriched in growth medium containing bacterial or seaweed alginates after in vitro fermentation. Short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) production in both bacterial and seaweed alginates was also comparable, but was significantly higher than the same medium using starch. In summary, the present study has isolated an alginate-overproducing P. aeruginosa mutant strain. Both seaweed and bacterial alginates were degraded by human gut microbiota, and their regulatory function on gut microbiota was similar.

Introduction

Alginates are linear, organic polysaccharides consisting of β -D-mannuronic acid (M) and α -L-guluronic acid (G). Its relative molecular weight is about 32–200 kDa [1]. Based on its properties of optimal thickening, stability, emulsification, flocculation, and chelation, alginates have



Grants UL1TR000117, NIH P20GM103434 and 1R43GM113545-01A1. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: We have the following interests: Hongwei Yu is a co-founder and CSO of Progenesis Technologies, LLC, which develops, produces and markets bacterial alginates. But this does not alter our adherence to all the PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

been extensively used in the food, chemical, and pharmaceutical industries [2,3,4,5]. Terada *et al.* have reported that alginates increase the proliferation of fecal bifidobacterial cultures, as well as decrease specific potentially pathogenic bacterial strains (e.g., *Enterobacteriaceae* and lecithinase-negative *Clostridia*). In addition, the levels of fecal toxins produced by putrefaction (e.g., ammonia and sulfides) decreased after the administration of 10 g alginate per day in human subjects [6]. Umu *et al.* reported that replacing 5% soluble starch with alginate increases the bacterial diversity of pig gut microbiota [7]. These results thus indicate that alginates may be utilized as diet additives to influence gut microbiota and ultimately improve host health. Because of its important functions and extensive applications, there has been an increased interest in alginates around the world. In some countries, alginates are recognized as excellent food additive.

Alginates are extensively distributed in the cell walls of brown alga such as giant kelp, seaweed, silquosa, fucus and sargasso. However, alginates derived from brown algae are nonrenewable. In addition, the composition of seaweed alginates varies with different breeding environments and harvest seasons [8,9]. It is thus hard to maintain the consistent quality of alginates during production. Bacterial alginates may therefore be utilized as a substitute for the development of new products and other commercial applications [10]. Two gram-negative bacterial genera, *Azotobacter* and *Pseudomonas* have been reported to have capacity of producing alginates [10]. Because the growth conditions of *Azotobacter* are stringent, its alginate production is relatively low. Moreover, the genetic manipulation tools for *Azotobacter* have not been optimized to date. Current research studies are focused on ways to regulate alginate overproduction in *Pseudomonas* have been extensively studied [10,11,12,13], and genetic tools for *Pseudomonas* manipulation have been developed [13,14,15], thereby enhancing alginate yield in overproducing *Pseudomonas* strains.

In the present study, we obtained an alginate overproducing *P. aeruginosa* mutant by screening transposon mutagenesis libraries. We then comparatively studied the *in vitro* functions of human gut microbiota in degrading seaweed and mutant *Pseudomonas* alginates.

Materials and methods

Bacterial growth conditions and plasmids

Escherichia coli strains EC100 (Epicentre, Chicago, USA) and DH5 α (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) were cultured at 37°C in Lennox broth (LB) or LB agar. *P. aeruginosa* strain PAO1 was grown at 37°C in LB or on *Pseudomonas* isolation agar (PIA) plates (Difco, Franklin Lakes, USA). Mariner transposon plasmid pFAC was obtained from Dr. Gao's laboratory (Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China). Helper plasmid pRK2013 was ordered from Lab-Bio Co. (http://www.lab-bio.com/). When required, gentamicin or kanamycin was added to the broth or plates. The concentrations of gentamicin or kanamycin added to the LB broth or plates were 20 µg mL⁻¹ and 15 µg mL⁻¹, respectively. The concentration of the antibiotics that were added to the PIA plates was 200 µg mL⁻¹.

Transposon mutagenesis

Conjugations were performed for transposon mutagenesis, using pFAC plasmid-carrying *E. coli* EC100 as the donor strain and PAO1 as the recipient strain. The transfer of plasmids from EC100 to PAO1 was performed via triparental conjugations using the helper plasmid pRK2013. After incubation, the bacteria were collected and streaked onto PIA plates supplemented with gentamicin (200 μ g mL⁻¹). Mucoid colonies were identified and subjected to genetic analyses. Chromosomal DNA was isolated from the mucoid mutants by using an

OMEGA genomic DNA Extraction kit (OMEGA, USA). Approximately 2 µg of DNA was digested with *SalI* overnight at 37°C followed by purification and self-ligation using Fast-Link DNA ligase (Epicentre, USA). The circular closed DNA was used as template for inverse PCR using GM3OUT and GM5OUT primers [16]. The PCR products were purified and sequenced.

Detection of the production and composition of bacterial alginate

P. aeruginosa strains were grown on triplicate PIA plates at 37 °C for 24 h. The bacteria were collected and resuspended in PBS. The OD_{600} of the bacterial suspension in PBS, which corresponds to the bacterial density, was measured. The amount of uronic acid was analyzed relative to a standard curve generated using sodium alginate from brown algae (Sangon Biotech, China), as previously described [17].

Exopolysaccharides were harvested by scraping the colonies off the PIA plates and re-suspending in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Cell pellets were removed by centrifugation, and the supernatants were combined with 3 volumes of cold ethanol (99%). Alginate fibers were collected through centrifugation and dried by speed vacuum overnight. Ten milligrams of the collected exopolysaccharide were hydrolyzed in 1 mL of 3 M trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at 95°C for 6 h. TFA was removed from the samples by drying. The hydrolyzed sample was washed with 1 mL of methanol, and then resuspended in 10 mL of water. The composition of the alginate monomers was determined by using a Dionex ICS-5000 with a CarbopacTM PA 20 (3×150 mm) column and AgCl reference electrode. Water (solvent A), 500 mM NaOH (solvent B, 4%), and 250 mM NaAC (solvent C, 40%) were used as the mobile phase. A gradient of B from 4% to 40% from 15 min to 25 min was used. Standard monomers M and G, which were ordered from Qingdao BZ Oligo Biotech Co., Ltd (China), were used to establish the retention times of M and G.

Origin of human fecal samples

A total of 5 healthy human volunteers FB, TXZ, BSF, CXX and WYS (living in Hangzhou, China), with ages ranging from 24 to 27 years old, were recruited into the study. More information related to these volunteers are listed in <u>S1 Table</u>. The donors had not received antibiotics and pro- or prebiotic treatment for at least 3 months prior to sample collection. All volunteers provided their informed, written consent, and the Ethics Committee of the Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences approved the study. Fresh fecal samples from these volunteers were collected immediately after defecation. A portion of each sample was stored at -80°C for DNA extraction and sequencing analysis. Another portion of each sample was homogenized in 0.1 M anaerobic phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7) to generate 10% (wt/vol) slurries for batch culture fermentation.

In vitro batch culture fermentation

Batch culture fermentations were conducted using the procedure described by Rycroft *et al* [18] and Lei *et al* [19]. Briefly, the basic growth medium VI consisted of the following (g/L): yeast extract, 4.5; tryptone, 3.0; peptone, 3.0; bile salts No. 3, 0.4; L-cysteine hydrochloride, 0.8; hemin 0.05; NaCl, 4.5; KCl, 2.5; MgCl₂.6H₂O, 0.45; CaCl₂.6H2O, 0.2; KH₂PO4, 0.4; Tween 80, 1 mL; and 2 mL of a solution of trace elements. To assess the degradation and utilization of alginate by human fecal microbiota, 5.0 g of seaweed or bacterial alginate was added as the sole carbon source. The media were adjusted to pH 6.5 before autoclaving. Fresh fecal samples were homogenized in stomacher bags with 0.1 M anaerobic phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.0) to generate 10% (wt/vol) slurries. Large food residues were removed by passing the

mixture through a 0.4-mm sieve. Human fecal slurry (500 μ L) was inoculated into a tube (total volume: 30 mL) containing 10 mL of growth medium and the tube was incubated at 37°C for 72 h in an anaerobic chamber (anaerobic workstation AW 500, Electrotek Ltd., UK). A 2-mL sample was collected at 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h to analyze carbohydrate degradation, bacterial community, and SCFA production.

Thin-layer chromatography (TLC)

The degradation of alginate was detected by TLC analysis. Briefly, samples (0.2 μ L) were loaded on pre-coated silica gel-60 TLC aluminum plates (Merck, Germany). After development with a solvent system consisting of formic acid/n-butanol/water (6:4:1, v:v:v), the plate was soaked in orcinol reagent and visualized by heating at 120°C for 3 min.

Isolation and identification of alginate-degrading bacterium

A fermentation sample from volunteer BSF was collected at 72 h and then spread on an alginate agar plate (basic growth medium VI plus 5 g/L alginate and 12 g/L agar) using a 10-fold dilution method. Colonies obtained from the plates were randomly picked and re-inoculated into the alginate growth medium. TLC analysis of the supernatant was performed to assess alginate degradation. A repeat 10-fold dilution assay was performed to further purify the positive colonies.

Isolates that demonstrated an ability to degrade alginates were identified by sequencing their 16S rRNA genes. Briefly, genomic DNA was extracted by using an OMEGA Bacterial genome DNA extraction kit, and the 16S rRNA gene was PCR amplified by using primers 27F (5'-CAG AGT TTG ATC CTG GCT-3') and 1492R (5'-AGG AGG TGA TCC AGC CGC A-3'). DNA sequencing was conducted by Shanghai Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Bacterial species were identified by performing BLAST analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequences (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

Extraction of gut microbial genomic DNA

Bacterial genomic DNA was extracted using a QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit following the manufacturer's instructions (QIAGEN, Germany). The concentration of the extracted DNA was determined by using a NanoDrop ND-2000 (NanoDrop Technologies, USA), and its integrity and size were confirmed by performing 1% agar gel electrophoresis.

PCR-DGGE analysis

To analyze the microbial communities, the V3 region of the 16S rRNA gene (positions 341 to 534 of the *E. coli* gene) was analyzed using PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), as described elsewhere [20]. DGGE was performed by using a DCode universal mutation detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) in an 8% (wt/vol) polyacrylamide gel containing a linear 30%-to-60% denaturant gradient with a constant voltage of 200 V at 60°C for 4 h. The gels were then visualized by staining with SYBR green I nucleic acid (Sigma, USA) for 45 min and then washed twice with deionized water.

16S rRNA gene high-throughput sequencing and analysis

Bacterial 16S rRNA genes were amplified from the extracted DNA using barcoded primers 338F (5' – ACT CCT ACG GGA GGC AGC A–3') with 806R (5'–GGA CTA CHV GGG TWT CTA AT–3'). Next-generation sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq 300PE system that was operated by Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China.

Next-generation sequencing reads generated in the present study were identified by barcodes using a QIIME pipeline. Clean and high-quality sequences were then used for downstream analysis. A 97% similarity cutoff was employed in defining OTUs using Mothur. One sequence was picked out from each OTU as representative. The representative sequences were classified using the rdp classifier method and SILVA database. Good's coverage, alpha diversities including Simpson and Shannon index, and richness (observed number of OTUs) were calculated using Mothur. Basic data on 16S rRNA gene high-throughput sequencing are listed in S1 Table.

Short chain fatty acid (SCFA) analysis

SCFA production was determined by using GC as previously described [21]. Briefly, 1 mL of the fermentation products were mixed with 0.2 mL of 25% (w/v) metaphosphoric acid. The samples were subsequently centrifuged (14, 000g for 20 min), and the supernatant was used for SCFA determination (Shimadzu, GC-2010 Plus, Japan). The column of InertCap FFAP (0.25 mm×30 m×0.25 μ m) was used in this study. Peaks were integrated using the GC Solution software, and SCFA content was quantified by using the single-point internal standard method. Peak identity and internal response factors were determined using a 20-mM calibration cocktail that included acetic, propionic, isobutyric, butyric, isovaleric, and valeric acids.

Statistical analysis

To compare Illumina-based high-throughput sequencing data, Pearson correlation coefficients were analyzed using the SPSS software (version 20.0; SPSS Inc., USA). The correlation coefficients among samples were calculated based on the percentage of each bacterial classification unit at the genus level. The SCFAs of each fermentation sample were measured in triplicate. Means and standard deviations (SD) were calculated. The differences between means were assessed using ANOVA as provided by the SPSS software. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Data availability

The data generated from high-throughput 16S rRNA gene sequencing were deposited in the sequence read archive (SRA) of NCBI as GenBank Accession Number SRP082347. The bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences of the isolated bacterial A3 and P9 were also deposited to NCBI as GenBank Accession Numbers KX658684- KX658685. The sequence of alginate lyase (*algL*) genes of A3 and P9 were submitted to NCBI as GenBank Accession Numbers KY210466-KY210467.

Results

Screening for P. aeruginosa mucoid mutants

To identify *P. aeruginosa* mucoid strains, mariner-transposon mutagenesis [22] in the nonmucoid *P. aeruginosa* reference strain PAO1 was performed. A mucoid variant was isolated on a PIA plate (Fig 1A), and its alginate production was determined to be substantially higher than that of PAO1 after 24 h growth at 37°C (Fig 1B). The composition of the bacterial alginate and seaweed alginate used in the present study was measured on a DIONEX ICS 5000 system. Compared to the standard alginate monomers M and G, both bacterial and seaweed alginates consisted of M and G (Fig 1C). In addition, the molecular ratio of M:G for bacterial and seaweed alginates was approximately 9:1 and 4:1, respectively. To identify the insertion site of the transposon, inverse PCR and sequencing were performed, which showed that the site of transposon insertion was located upstream of the sigma factor gene *algU*, whose upregulation induced alginate overexpression [23,24].

Comparative utilization of seaweed and bacterial alginates by 5 human fecal samples

P. aeruginosa alginates were prepared by alcohol precipitation and vacuum drying. Seaweed alginate was ordered from Sangon Biotech (China). Alginate degradation varied with initial inocula and fermentation times. The gut microbiota obtained from volunteers BSF and WYS degraded both bacterial and seaweed alginates after 24 h of fermentation. The gut microbiota of volunteers TXZ and CXX degraded both bacterial and seaweed alginates after 72 h of fermentation (Fig 2). For the control, the dot color of the no-carbon source added group was very thin on the TLC plates, and soluble starch was also degraded by human gut microbiota.

Isolation of alginate-degrading bacteria

Because the gut microbiota isolated from volunteer BSF showed the highest rate of alginate degradation, the fermentation products, which had been grown in the medium containing alginate for 72 h, were spread on alginate agar plates for the isolation of alginate-hydrolyzing bacteria. Colonies were randomly selected from the alginate agar plates and re-inoculated into the VI growth medium containing 5 g of alginate. TLC analysis was conducted after 72 h of fermentation. TLC results indicated that the seaweed and bacterial alginate substrates were completely depleted from the supernatant of isolates A3 and P9. The positive colony was then purified by repeated spreading on the alginate agar plate in the anaerobic chamber and then stored at -80°C until further use. The degradation by these bacteria on different sourced alginate was also assessed. Fig 3A shows that both A3 and P9 degraded bacterial and seaweed alginates.



Fig 1. Determination of the production and composition of bacterial alginates. A mutant of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, which overproduces alginates, was obtained by screening transposon mutagenesis libraries. A mucoid *P. aeruginosa* strain (A) was used for bacterial alginate production. Alginate concentrations were measured based on the light absorption of the carbazole reaction (B). The composition of seaweed and *P. aeruginosa* alginates were evaluated using a DIONEX ICS5000 system (C). The monomers mannuronic acid (M) and guluronic acid (G) were used as standards. The mechanism of mucoid production was investigated by using reverse PCR and sequencing, which showed that the transposon was inserted upstream of the sigma factor gene *algU* (D), which overexpresses alginates.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171576.g001





To taxonomically classify the alginate-degrading bacteria A3 and P9, 16S rRNA gene universal primers 27F and 1492R were used for PCR amplification and sequencing. Both A3 and P9 were identified as *Bacteroides xylanisolvens* and BLAST analysis showed that it was 99% similar to that of the *B. xylanisolvens* XB1A strain. The 16S rRNA genes of A3 and P9 showed 99.6% identity (Fig 3B). Bioinformatics analysis identified an alginate lyase gene in *B. ovatus* strain ATCC 8483. A pair of primers for PCR amplification of this gene was designed (*algL*-F: 5'- ttt ttg ccc tga ctt cta cca-3'; *algL*-R: 5'-ggt cat aac cac cgc tat tag-3'). The genes encoded in A3 and P9 were analyzed by PCR and clone library sequencing. Sequence alignment showed that the alginate lyase genes of A3 and P9 were 100% homologous (Fig 3C).

Effects of alginate on the composition of human fecal microbiota communities

To compare the effects of alginates on the composition of fecal microbiota, changes in the bacteria communities of 5 fecal samples were measured using PCR-DGGE after 24 h, 48 h and 72 h of fermentation. Fig 4 shows that the number of DGGE bands in most of the samples



Fig 3. Isolation and identification of alginate-degrading bacteria. The fermentation products of sample BSF were used in the isolation of alginate-degrading bacteria. Seaweed alginate-degrading bacteria A3 was isolated from the plates of VI plus seaweed alginate. Bacterial alginate-degrading bacteria P9 was isolated from the plates of VI plus *P. aeruginosa* alginate. The degradation function of A3 and P9 on alginates was detected by using TLC (A). VIA, culture media of VI plus seaweed alginate; VIP, culture media of VI plus *P. aeruginosa* alginate; No bacteria were represent in the control; A3 and P9, alginate-degrading bacterial strains A3 and P9 were inoculated. The 16S rRNA genes and alginate lyases genes of the bacterial strains A3 and P9 were identified by using PCR and sequencing. The similarity of the 16S rRNA gene (B) and alginate lyase gene (C) between A3 to P9 was analyzed by using the DNAStar software.

increased with fermentation time. In general, the number of bands observed at 72 h was higher than that at 24 h and 48 h of fermentation. For the starch-added group, the bacterial communities in the fermentation products were clearly distinct from the other groups after culturing for 72 h. However, similar patterns between seaweed and bacterial alginate-added groups were detected for the bacterial communities detected in all volunteers (Fig 4).

The fermentation products collected at 72 h were also used in 16S rRNA gene V3-V4 region high-throughput sequencing. Fig 5A shows that the bacterial communities between the seaweed and alginate groups were highly similar and mainly comprised of *Bacteroides*. However, the bacterial community of the original inocula differed. The predominant genus in the FB's fecal sample was *Klebsiella*, whereas that in fecal samples BSF and TXZ was *Bacteroides*, and that in CXX and WYS was *Prevotella*. *Bacteroides* were enriched in all of the fermentation products that were mixed with seaweed or bacterial alginates. However, the density of *Bacteroides* in the no-sugar or soluble starch added group was relatively very low. The similarity coefficient between the original fecal samples and the fermentation products varied with the original bacterial community and culture media (Fig 5B). The addition of starch enhanced the proliferation of fecal microbiota in sample FB, and the similarity coefficient was as high as



Fig 4. PCR-DGGE analysis of the effects of alginates on human gut microbiota. Batch fermentation products were collected at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h after inoculation. Bacterial genomic DNA was extracted and used in PCR-DGGE analysis. FB, TXZ, BSF, CXX and WYS represent fecal bacterial samples that were inoculated in the batch chemostat. O, the original fecal samples; VI, VIS, VIA, and VIP, samples collected after cultured using VI media, VI media plus soluble starch, VI media plus seaweed alginates and VI media plus bacterial alginates, respectively.

0.92. The capacity to stimulate the proliferating of fecal microbiota in samples BSF and TXZ in the bacterial alginate-added group was apparently superior than that of the other groups. The similarity coefficient between the original microbiota and the fermentation products was 0.67 and 0.77 for fecal samples TXZ and BSF, respectively. In addition, all culture media did not stimulate the proliferation of fecal microbiota from samples CXX and WYS. For VI group that had no carbon source added, the bacterial community of the fermentation products showed distinct differences from their original bacterial communities.

Detection of SCFA production

Before inoculation, the SCFA concentrations of the original fecal samples were measured. The average concentrations of acetic, propionic, butyric, isobutyric, valeric acids, and isovaleric acids in the fermentation tubes were 0.052, 0.022, 0.001, 0.016, 0.002, and 0.001 mmol/L, respectively. SCFA concentrations increased after fermentation, and SCFA production increased with fermentation time (S1 Fig). After 24 and 48 h of fermentation, the total SCFAs in each group did not show significant differences (S2 and S3 Figs). At 72 h of fermentation, the propionic, butyric and total SCFA concentrations were higher in the alginates-added groups than that of the soluble starch-added group. However, SCFA production of acetic, propionic, butyric, and total SCFA was similar between the seaweed and bacterial alginate groups (Fig 6).

Discussion

Seaweed alginates have been extensively employed in the manufacturing industry as food additives and modified release capsules [2,3,4]. However, alginate production from seaweed is non-renewable and structurally variable [7,8]. Alginates generated from bacteria maybe an



В

	FB_O	FB_VI	FB_VIS	FB_VIA	FB_VIP	TXZ_O	TXZ_VI	TXZ_VIS	TXZ_VIA	TXZ_VIP	BSF_O	BSF_VI	BSF_VIS	BSF_VIA	BSF_VIP	CXX_O	CXX_VI	CXX_VIS	CXX_VIA	CXX_VIP	WYS_O	WYS_VI	WYS_VIS	WYS_VIA	WYS_VIP
FB_O		0.15		0.22	0.23	0.17	0.05	0.05	0.14	0.18	0.21	0.08	0.00	0.16	0.18	0.01	0.16	(0.01)	0.22	0.23	0.03	0.34	0.39	0.35	0.34
FB_VI	0.15		0.11	0.38	0.42	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.23	0.23	0.19	0.21	0.01	0.24	0.24	0.00	0.26	(0.01)	0.23	0.24	0.06	0.33	0.07	0.29	0.29
FB_VIS		0.11		0.02	0.02	(0.02)	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.00	(0.02)	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.01	(0.02)	0.15	(0.01)	0.00	0.02	(0.01)	0.31	0.38	0.16	0.14
FB_VIA	0.22	0.38	0.02			0.71	0.26	0.31	0.76	0.85	0.87	0.41	0.05	0.78	0.89	0.06	0.18	(0.01)			0.12	0.34	0.08	0.94	
FB_VIP	0.23	0.42	0.02			0.71	0.18	0.25	0.69	0.81	0.87	0.32	0.04	0.71	0.84	0.06	0.11			0.96	0.11	0.25	0.08	0.91	0.89
TXZ_O	0.17	0.14	(0.02)	0.71	0.71		0.11	0.48	0.51	0.67	0.83	0.21	0.02	0.52	0.62	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.76	0.78	0.08	0.13	0.04	0.66	0.65
TXZ_VI	0.05	0.14	0.04	0.26	0.18	0.11		0.55	0.79	0.65	0.16	0.88	0.09	0.72	0.59		0.37	(0.02)	0.14	0.23		0.54	0.01	0.33	0.22
TXZ_VIS	0.05	0.11	0.03	0.31	0.25	0.48	0.55		0.60	0.53	0.21	0.62	0.07	0.57	0.49	0.14	0.22	0.16	0.28	0.40	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.34	0.24
TXZ_VIA	0.14	0.23	0.02	0.76	0.69	0.51	0.79	0.60			0.62	0.84	0.10	0.96		0.03	0.29	(0.01)	0.67	0.73	0.07	0.55	0.07	0.79	0.68
TXZ_VIP	0.18	0.23	0.00	0.85	0.81	0.67	0.65	0.53			0.74	0.65	0.07	0.90		0.06	0.25	0.01	0.82	0.86	0.08	0.41	0.09	0.85	0.79
BSF_O	0.21	0.19	(0.02)	0.87	0.87	0.83	0.16	0.21	0.62	0.74	1.00	0.28	0.03	0.66	0.77	0.06	0.08	(0.02)	0.88	0.87	0.12	0.19	0.06	0.82	0.81
BSF_VI	0.08	0.21	0.04	0.41	0.32	0.21	0.88	0.62	0.84	0.65	0.28	1.00	0.10	0.83	0.70	(0.00)	0.52	(0.02)	0.28	0.37	0.02	0.73	0.04	0.50	0.35
BSF_VIS	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.03	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.08	(0.01)	0.02	0.96	0.04	0.04		0.05	0.18	0.05	0.03
BSF_VIA	0.16	0.24	0.02	0.78	0.71	0.52	0.72	0.57	0.96	0.90	0.66	0.83	0.10	1.00		0.03	0.27	(0.02)	0.70	0.75	0.10	0.58	0.14	0.83	0.74
BSF_VIP	0.18	0.24	0.01	0.89	0.84	0.62	0.59	0.49	0.92	0.93	0.77	0.70	0.08	0.95	1.00	0.04	0.24	(0.02)	0.84	0.87	0.09	0.49	0.18	0.91	0.84
CXX_O	0.01	0.00	(0.02)	0.06	0.06	0.11	(0.01)	0.14	0.03	0.06	0.06	(0.00)	(0.01)	0.03	0.04	1.00	0.00	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.94	0.00	0.37	0.06	0.06
CXX_VI	0.16	0.26	0.15	0.18	0.11	0.08	0.37	0.22	0.29	0.25	0.08	0.52	0.02	0.27	0.24	0.00	1.00	0.01	0.12	0.18	0.04	0.83	0.15	0.33	0.27
CXX_VIS	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	0.07	(0.02)	0.16	(0.01)	0.01	(0.02)	(0.02)	0.96	(0.02)	(0.02)	0.05	0.01	1.00	0.01	0.03	0.01		0.18	(0.02)	(0.01)
CXX_VIA	0.22	0.23	0.00			0.76	0.14	0.28	0.67	0.82	0.88	0.28	0.04	0.70	0.84	0.08	0.12	0.01			0.12	0.24	0.09		
CXX_VIP	0.23	0.24	0.02	0.97	0.96	0.78	0.23	0.40	0.73	0.86	0.87	0.37	0.04	0.75	0.87	0.09	0.18	0.03			0.13	0.31	0.09		
WYS_O	0.03	0.06	(0.01)	0.12	0.11	0.08	(0.01)	0.00	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.02	(0.01)	0.10	0.09	0.94	0.04	0.01	0.12	0.13	1.00	0.12	0.37	0.15	0.20
WYS_VI	0.34	0.33	0.31	0.34	0.25	0.13	0.54	0.39	0.55	0.41	0.19	0.73	0.05	0.58	0.49	0.00	0.83	(0.01)	0.24	0.31	0.12	1.00	0.34	0.55	0.49
WYS_VIS	0.39	0.07	0.38	0.08	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.04	0.18	0.14	0.18	0.37	0.15	0.18	0.09	0.09	0.37	0.34	1.00	0.28	0.29
WYS_VIA	0.35	0.29	0.16	0.94		0.66	0.33	0.34	0.79	0.85	0.82	0.50	0.05	0.83		0.06	0.33	(0.02)			0.15	0.55	0.28		
WYS_VIP	0.34	0.29	0.14	0.91	0.89	0.65	0.22	0.24	0.68	0.79	0.81	0.35	0.03	0.74	0.84	0.06	0.27	(0.01)	0.92	0.92	0.20	0.49	0.29	0.97	1.00



doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171576.g005

alternate method based on their compositional homogeneity and reproducibility [13]. In the present study, we isolated an alginate-overproducing *P. aeruginosa* mutant strain by screening mutagenesis libraries. Compared to seaweed alginates, bacterial alginates were also degraded by the microbiota from all volunteers. In addition, the effect of bacterial and seaweed alginates on gut microbiota was similar. However, the G content of *Pseudomonas* alginates is lower than that of seaweed alginates [1], which is consistent with our HPLC data (Fig 1). The biochemical features of these two alginates thus requires further investigation. To the best of our knowledge, no G-G blocks have been reported in *Pseudomonas* alginates. To potentially substitute brown alginates, it is necessary to increase G block formation in *Pseudomonas* alginates. Previous studies have shown that *Azotobacter* epimerase genes are involved in the G block formation [25,26]. In theory, increasing the G content and G block of *Pseudomonas* alginates is feasible in the field of synthetic microbiology.

In the present study, TLC analysis indicated that both seaweed and bacterial alginates can be degraded by fecal bacteria. Although, the bacteria isolated from volunteer BSF for the



Fig 6. The effects of alginate on SCFA production after 72 h of fermentation. The effects of different culture media on SCFA production in the batch chemostat was assessed using gas chromatography (GC). Acetic, propionic, isobutyric, butyric, isovaleric, and valeric acids were detected in the present study, and the total SCFA represents all SCFAs. VI, VIS, VIA and VIP represent samples collected after cultured using VI media, VI media plus soluble starch, VI media plus seaweed alginates and VI media plus bacterial alginates, respectively. The SCFAs of each fermentation sample were measured in triplicate. Box plot figures were generated using GraphPad Prism Version 5.01. The differences between means were assessed using ANOVA as provided in the SPSS 20.0 software.

degradation of bacterial and seaweed alginates may be different *B. xylanisolvens* strains, they harbor the same lysis genes. We then analyzed the presence of B. xylanisolvens sequences in our microbiota data using BLAST and the presence of the *algL* gene using PCR. As a result, the sequence of OTU15 in the representation OTUs is belong to B. xylanisolvens. Although, B. xylanisolvens was detected in all samples, the algL genes were not identified in some samples, particularly in the starch-added group (S2 Table). This discrepancy may be due to the fact that not all B. xylanisolvens strains harbor the algL gene. Furthermore, BLAST analysis using the algL gene sequence in NCBI website, no B. xylanisolvens was found to encode this similar gene in their genome sequences. The strain specifically also has been reported exist widely in gut microbiota in previous studies [27]. Despite the fact that all fermented bacteria in this study can degrade both seaweed and bacterial alginate, the *algL* gene was not detected in the original FB and TXZ fecal samples. Two reasons may explain the observed phenomenon. One is that the relative quantity of the *algL* gene is very low in these two samples that therefore generates false-negative PCR results. TLC analysis also showed that the degradation rate of the FB group was lower (Fig 2). The second is that the degradation of alginate in volunteers FB and TXZ may be caused by additional alginate lysis genes. Several different bacterial species such as Formosa haliotis, Pseudoalteromonas sp., and Flammeovirga sp. have been reported to degrade alginates [28,29,30]. The sequence homology of the lysis genes from different bacterial species is relatively low; for example, P. protegens Pf-5 and P. aeruginosa PAO1 show only a 74.4% similarity in the *algL* genes.

Alginates are natural macromolecules composed of β -D-mannuronate and α -L-guluronate units linked by 1 \rightarrow 4 glycosidic bonds. In addition, carbohydrates are important nutritive

materials. Several polysaccharides, such as inulin, lactulose, fructose, and resistant starch have been utilized as prebiotics [31,32]. Alginates are also potential prebiotics. Terada *et al.* have reported that alginates can regulate the growth and proliferation of colonic bacteria [6]. In the present study, we found that the microbial community in alginate-added groups was distinctly different from the starch-added group. Although previous studies have shown that different enterotypes exist among individuals [29,30], the present study showed that alginate regulation did not depend on the original fecal bacterial community as *Bacteroides* was enriched in all fermentations. Moreover, SCFA production in the bacterial and seaweed alginates-added groups was significantly higher than that of the starch-added group. So far, most researchers have reported that increasing the concentration of gut SCFA improves host health [33,34]. Therefore, further investigations of the prebiotic effects of alginate are warranted.

In summary, bacterial alginates were produced by a *P. aeruginosa* mutant strain. Both seaweed and bacterial alginates were degraded by the human gut microbiota. The effect of bacterial and seaweed alginates on gut microbiota was similar. The findings of the present study may serve as a foundation for potential replacement of seaweed alginates with bacterial alginates in terms of prebiotics development.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. The effects of fermentation times on bacterial SCFA production. The effects of fermentation times (24 h, 48 h, and 72 h) on SCFA production (acetic, propionic, isobutyric, butyric, isovaleric, and valeric acids) in a batch chemostat were assessed by using gas chromatograph (GC). Total SCFA represents all SCFAs. VI, VIS, VIA and VIP indicate the samples collected after culturing in VI media, VI media plus soluble starch, VI media plus seaweed alginates and VI media plus bacterial alginates, respectively. The SCFAs in each fermentation sample were measured in triplicate, and the means were calculated. (TIF)

S2 Fig. The effects of different culture media on SCFA production after 24 h fermentation. The fermentation products at 24 h were collected for GC detection. Acetic, propionic, isobutyric, butyric, isovaleric, and valeric acid were detected, and total SCFA represents all SCFAs. VI, VIS, VIA and VIP represent the samples collected after culturing in VI media, VI media plus soluble starch, VI media plus seaweed alginates and VI media plus bacterial alginates, respectively. The SCFAs in each fermentation sample were measured in triplicate. Box plot figures were generated using GraphPad Prism Version 5.01. Differences among means were assessed using ANOVA as provided in the SPSS 20.0 software. (TIF)

S3 Fig. The effects of different culture media on SCFA production after 48 h fermentation. The fermentation products at 48 h were collected for GC detection. Acetic, propionic, isobutyric, butyric, isovaleric, and valeric acid were detected, and total SCFA represents all SCFAs. VI, VIS, VIA and VIP represent the samples collected after culturing in VI media, VI media plus soluble starch, VI media plus seaweed alginates and VI media plus bacterial alginates, respectively. The SCFAs in each fermentation sample were measured in triplicate. Box plot figures were generated using GraphPad Prism Version 5.01. Differences among means were assessed by using ANOVA as provided in the SPSS 20.0 software. (TIF)

S1 Table. Basic information on the volunteers. (DOC)

S2 Table. Distribution of B. xylanisolvens and its algL gene in the original fecal and fermented samples. (DOC)

(DOC

Acknowledgments

The National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program, NO. 2015AA020701) and the State Key Laboratory Breeding Base for Zhejiang Sustainable Pest and Disease Control (No. 2010DS700124-ZZ1604) supported this study. HDY was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) through Grants UL1TR000117, NIH P20GM103434 and 1R43GM113545-01A1. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. HDY is also a co-founder and Chief Science Officer of Progenesis Technologies, LLC. We would like to thank Haichun Gao of Zhejiang University for providing the marine transposon plasmid pFAC. We are also grateful to all the volunteers who participated in this project. The authors thank Let-Pub (www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: YY XW.

Data curation: YY SB.

Formal analysis: YY XW.

Funding acquisition: YY XW.

Investigation: SB HC YY.

Methodology: YY XW HY.

Project administration: HC LZ.

Resources: WL HC LZ YY.

Software: YY.

Supervision: YY XW.

Validation: YY SB.

Visualization: YY.

Writing – original draft: YY SB.

Writing – review & editing: YY XW HY.

References

- 1. Rehm BHA (2008) Alginates: Biology and Applications. Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York.
- 2. Lopes M, Abrahim B, Veiga F, Seica R, Cabral LM, Arnaud P, et al. (2016) Preparation methods and applications behind alginate-based particles. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 5: 1–14.
- Jana S, Sen KK, Gandhi A (2016) Alginate Based Nanocarriers for Drug Delivery Applications. Curr Pharm Des 22: 3399–3410. PMID: 27160752
- 4. Ertesvag H (2015) Alginate-modifying enzymes: biological roles and biotechnological uses. Front Microbiol 6: 523. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00523 PMID: 26074905

- Jensen A (1993) Present and future needs for algae and algal polysaccharides. Hydrobiologica 260: 15–23.
- Mitsuoka TT AH H (2009) Effect of Dietary Alginate on the Faecal Microbiota and Faecal Metabolic Activity in Humans. Microbial Ecology in Health & Disease 8: 259–266.
- Umu OC, Frank JA, Fangel JU, Oostindjer M, da Silva CS, Bolhuis EJ, et al. (2015) Resistant starch diet induces change in the swine microbiome and a predominance of beneficial bacterial populations. Microbiome 3: 16. doi: 10.1186/s40168-015-0078-5 PMID: 25905018
- Morch YA, Donati I, Strand BL, Skjak-Braek G (2007) Molecular engineering as an approach to design new functional properties of alginate. Biomacromolecules 8: 2809–2814. doi: <u>10.1021/bm700502b</u> PMID: <u>17696472</u>
- Marinho-Soriano E, Fonseca PC, Carneiro MA, Moreira WS (2006) Seasonal variation in the chemical composition of two tropical seaweeds. Bioresour Technol 97: 2402–2406. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2005. 10.014 PMID: 16311028
- Hay ID, Ur Rehman Z, Moradali MF, Wang Y, Rehm BH (2013) Microbial alginate production, modification and its applications. Microb Biotechnol 6: 637–650. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.12076 PMID: 24034361
- Franklin MJ, Nivens DE, Weadge JT, Howell PL (2011) Biosynthesis of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa Extracellular Polysaccharides, Alginate, Pel, and Psl. Front Microbiol 2: 167. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011. 00167 PMID: 21991261
- Damron FH, Goldberg JB (2012) Proteolytic regulation of alginate overproduction in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Mol Microbiol 84: 595–607. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08049.x PMID: 22497280
- 13. Maleki S, Maerk M, Hrudikova R, Valla S, Ertesvag H (2016) New insights into Pseudomonas fluorescens alginate biosynthesis relevant for the establishment of an efficient production process for microbial alginates. N Biotechnol S1871-6784: 32392–32395.
- 14. Qiu D, Damron FH, Mima T, Schweizer HP, Yu HD (2008) PBAD-based shuttle vectors for functional analysis of toxic and highly regulated genes in Pseudomonas and Burkholderia spp. and other bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 74: 7422–7426. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01369-08 PMID: 18849445
- Qiu D, Eisinger VM, Rowen DW, Yu HD (2007) Regulated proteolysis controls mucoid conversion in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 8107–8112. doi: <u>10.1073/pnas.0702660104</u> PMID: 17470813
- Qiu D, Eisinger VM, Head NE, Pier GB, Yu HD (2008) ClpXP proteases positively regulate alginate overexpression and mucoid conversion in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiology 154: 2119–2130. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.2008/017368-0 PMID: 18599839
- Damron FH, Qiu D, Yu HD (2009) The Pseudomonas aeruginosa sensor kinase KinB negatively controls alginate production through AlgW-dependent MucA proteolysis. J Bacteriol 191: 2285–2295. doi: 10.1128/JB.01490-08 PMID: 19168621
- Rycroft CE, Jones MR, Gibson GR, Rastall RA (2001) Fermentation properties of gentio-oligosaccharides. Lett Appl Microbiol 32: 156–161. PMID: <u>11264744</u>
- Lei F, Yin Y, Wang Y, Deng B, Yu HD, Li L, et al. (2012) Higher-level production of volatile fatty acids in vitro by chicken gut microbiotas than by human gut microbiotas as determined by functional analyses. Appl Environ Microbiol 78: 5763–5772. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00327-12 PMID: 22685152
- Yin Y, Lei F, Zhu L, Li S, Wu Z, Zhang R, et al. (2010) Exposure of different bacterial inocula to newborn chicken affects gut microbiota development and ileum gene expression. ISME J 4: 367–376. doi: 10. 1038/ismej.2009.128 PMID: 19956274
- **21.** Yang L, Bian G, Su Y, Zhu W (2014) Comparison of faecal microbial community of lantang, bama, erhualian, meishan, xiaomeishan, duroc, landrace, and yorkshire sows. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 27: 898–906. doi: 10.5713/ajas.2013.13621 PMID: 25050029
- Wong SM, Mekalanos JJ (2000) Genetic footprinting with mariner-based transposition in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 10191–10196. PMID: 10963681
- Yu H, Schurr MJ, Deretic V (1995) Functional equivalence of Escherichia coli sigma E and Pseudomonas aeruginosa AlgU: E. coli rpoE restores mucoidy and reduces sensitivity to reactive oxygen intermediates in algU mutants of P. aeruginosa. J Bacteriol 177: 3259–3268. PMID: 7768826
- Yin Y, Damron FH, Withers TR, Pritchett CL, Wang X, Schurr MJ, et al. (2013) Expression of mucoid induction factor MucE is dependent upon the alternate sigma factor AlgU in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. BMC Microbiol 13: 232. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-13-232 PMID: 24138584
- Franklin MJ, Chitnis CE, Gacesa P, Sonesson A, White DC, Ohman DE (1994) Pseudomonas aeruginosa AlgG is a polymer level alginate C5-mannuronan epimerase. J Bacteriol 176: 1821–1830. PMID: 8144447

- 26. Buchinger E, Knudsen DH, Behrens MA, Pedersen JS, Aarstad OA, Tondervik A, et al. (2014) Structural and functional characterization of the R-modules in alginate C-5 epimerases AlgE4 and AlgE6 from Azotobacter vinelandii. J Biol Chem 289: 31382–31396. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.567008 PMID: 25266718
- Zhang C, Zhao L (2016) Strain-level dissection of the contribution of the gut microbiome to human metabolic disease. Genome Med 8: 41. doi: 10.1186/s13073-016-0304-1 PMID: 27098841
- Han W, Gu J, Cheng Y, Liu H, Li Y, Li F (2015) Novel Alginate Lyase (Aly5) from a Polysaccharide-Degrading Marine Bacterium, Flammeovirga sp. Strain MY04: Effects of Module Truncation on Biochemical Characteristics, Alginate Degradation Patterns, and Oligosaccharide-Yielding Properties. Appl Environ Microbiol 82: 364–374. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03022-15 PMID: 26519393
- Chen XL, Dong S, Xu F, Dong F, Li PY, Zhang XY, et al. (2016) Characterization of a New Cold-Adapted and Salt-Activated Polysaccharide Lyase Family 7 Alginate Lyase from Pseudoalteromonas sp. SM0524. Front Microbiol 7: 1120. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01120 PMID: 27486451
- Tanaka R, Mizutani Y, Shibata T, Miyake H, Iehata S, Mori T, et al. (2016) Genome Sequence of Formosa haliotis Strain MA1, a Brown Alga-Degrading Bacterium Isolated from the Gut of Abalone Haliotis gigantea. Genome Announc 4: e01312–01316. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.01312-16 PMID: 27856598
- Cockburn DW, Koropatkin NM (2016) Polysaccharide Degradation by the Intestinal Microbiota and Its Influence on Human Health and Disease. J Mol Biol 428: 3230–3252. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2016.06.021 PMID: 27393306
- Verspreet J D B, Broekaert WF, Verbeke K, Delcour JA, Courtin CM (2016) A Critical Look at Prebiotics Within the Dietary Fiber Concept. Annu Rev Food Sci Technol 7: 167–190. doi: 10.1146/annurev-food-081315-032749 PMID: 26735801
- Canfora EE, Jocken JW, Blaak EE (2015) Short-chain fatty acids in control of body weight and insulin sensitivity. Nat Rev Endocrinol 11: 577–591. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2015.128 PMID: 26260141
- Morrison DJ, Preston T (2016) Formation of short chain fatty acids by the gut microbiota and their impact on human metabolism. Gut Microbes 7: 189–200. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2015.1134082 PMID: 26963409