Marshall University Marshall Digital Scholar

Physical Therapy Faculty Research

Physical Therapy

Fall 10-2011

A Systematic Review of the Health-related Quality of Life Measures following Total Knee Arthroplasty

Saurabh Mehta Marshall University, mehtas@marshall.edu

Mary Law

Jean-Sebastian Roy

Follow this and additional works at: http://mds.marshall.edu/physical_therapy_faculty Part of the <u>Other Medical Sciences Commons</u>, and the <u>Physical Therapy Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Mehta, S., Law, M., & Roy, J. S. (2011, October). A systematic review of the health-related quality of life measures following total knee arthroplasty. Poster session presented at the 2011 ACRM-ASNR Annual Conference, Atlanta, GA.

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Physical Therapy at Marshall Digital Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physical Therapy Faculty Research by an authorized administrator of Marshall Digital Scholar. For more information, please contact zhangj@marshall.edu.



Background

• Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is a treatment of choice when all other treatment options have been exhausted in patients with different forms of knee arthritis.

• One of the goals of TKA, including the rehabilitation interventions that follow TKA, is to improve health-related quality of life (HRQOL).

• Patients awaiting TKA expect TKA to improve their HRQOL (1).

• QOL - an overarching concept consisting of different domains such as physical, psychological, social, life conditions, behavior, and happiness.

•HRQOL - the construct that examines the impact of health status on the physical and psychosocial wellbeing, fulfilment of life roles, and satisfaction in contrast to merely objective changes in health status.

• It is important that the patient-reported outcomes (PROs) that measure HRQOL after TKA assess psychosocial well-being, participation in life roles, and satisfaction after TKA.

• A number of PROs have been used for assessing HRQOL as an outcome following TKA (2).

• PROs for this purpose can be - region specific, disease-specific, or generic measure of HRQOL.

• It is unclear, however, whether current PROs used in patients with TKA incorporate the critical components of HRQOL and have established clinimetric properties in context of TKA.

Objectives

• The objective of this review was to conduct a systematic review of the commonly used HRQOL outcomes in patients with TKA.

• More specifically, to examine the clinimetric properties namely clinical utility, reliability, validity, and responsiveness of the HRQOL outcome measures using standardized guidelines.

• The review also summarizes the challenges in measuring HRQOL in these patients.

A Systematic Review of the Health-related Quality of Life Measures following Total Knee Arthroplasty

Saurabh Mehta⁺¹, Mary Law¹, Jean-Sebastien Roy² [†]presenting author, ¹ School of Rehabilitation Science, McMaster University, Canada, ² Department of Rehabilitation, Université Laval, Canada

Research Methods

• **Bibliographic databases** such as MEDLINE, CINAHL AND EMBASE were searched using pre-determined search terms.

• Search terms were - (total knee arthroplasty OR total knee replacement OR knee arthroplasty OR knee replacement) AND (patient-reported outcomes OR self-reported outcomes OR outcome measures)

• Inclusion/exclusion criteria were - any PRO used in either randomized controlled trial (RCTs) or described in systematic reviews (SRs) for assessing HRQOL in patients with TKA were identified and included in the review. The HRQOL outcomes used in cohort or case-controlled studies were excluded. The PROs published in languages other than English and the objective assessment scores were excluded.

•A total of eight criteria were reviewed. These were conceptual model, reliability, validity, responsiveness, interpretability, administrative burden, alternative modes of administration, and cultural adaptations performed for that HRQOL outcome. These criteria have been described earlier (3).

• Two independent reviewers searched the literature, identified the potential HRQOL outcomes, and extracted the necessary data.

• Any disagreements between the reviewers were addressed by discussion and consensus-building.

Results

• The review identified seven outcomes that have been used in the RCTs and SRs focusing on patients with TKA.

• They were - Short Form 36 (SF-36) and its shorter version SF-12, The Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index (WOMAC), EuroQOL (EQ-5D), Quality of Well-being (QWB) scale, Knee Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS), and 15D

• SF-36 and SF-12 - generic measures and developed for assessing burden of disease on health status but not HRQOL (4).

• The WOMAC - joint-specific measure and assesses pain, stiffness, function, social, and emotional wellbeing in patients with knee arthritis (5).

• The EQ-5D - generic health measure for clinical and economic analysis for different interventions (6).

• QWB Scale - generic measure based on the general health policy model, assesses preference for observed health states (7).

• KOOS - joint-specific measure and assesses pain, symptoms, ADL, sports and recreation, and QOL in patients with knee pathology (8).

• 15D - generic measure of HRQOL to assess physical, mental, and social well-being in a given population (9). Reliability

• Two joint specific measures of the KOOS and the WOMAC has acceptable reliability (ICC > 0.7) in patients with TKA (8, 10).

• Of the generic measures, only the SF-36 has been examined for its test-retest reliability (ICC > 0.75) in patients with TKA (11).

• Construct validity and responsiveness of the KOOS and the WOMAC have been well-established in patients with TKA (8, 10).

• Of the generic health measures, validity of the SF-36 has examined in patients with TKA and has been moderate when compared with joint -specific PROs or objective outcomes. Responsiveness of the SF-36 has been low to moderate in patients with TKA (12).

• Other outcomes do not have established validity in context of TKA. Many have, in fact, been recommended for economic analysis and not for HRQOL.

• Most PROs reviewed can be self-administered or administered by a trained interviewer.

• All the reviewed PROs take an average of 10 minites or to complete.

• KOOS is simple and easy to interpret; available in 28 languages.

Results (continued)

Conceptual model

Validity and Responsiveness

Mode of administration/Burden of Administration

Interpretability and Versions in Other Languages

• SF-36 and SF-12 have been widely tested for their interpretability; available in almost 50 languages

• The WOMAC has been found to be acceptable for its interpretability and ease of understanding; available in over 60 languages

• EQ-5D is easy to interpret and is available in over 100 languages.

• The WOMAC and the KOOS have more conditionspecific items and have proven psychometric properties for patients with TKA; however they are primarily measures of pain and functions rather than HRQOL.

• SF-36 and SF-12 are other measures which have been

tested in patients with TKA, however have low to moderate validity/responsiveness in this patient group. • Other measures have not been tested in TKA and need

properties of more established HRQOL measures such as the EQ-5D, QWB, and WHOQOL also for newer jointspecific QOL measure such as the osteoarthritis knee and hip quality of life questionnaire (OAKHQOL).

• Clinicians should be careful while selecting PROs and base their decision on what exactly they want to measure - pain/functions (KOOS or WOMAC), burden of disease or health status (SF-36 or SF-12), or HRQOL (no preferred measure available as of yet.

• Saurabh Mehta is supported by the Doctoral Research Award, Canadian Institute of Health Research. Email: mehtas8@mcmaster.ca

Discussion and Conclusions

further examination before their use. • **Researchers** should examine the psychometric

References

(1) Hall, M., Migay, A. M., Persad, T., Smith, J., Yoshida, K., Kennedy, D. et al. (2008). Individuals' experience of living with osteoarthritis of the knee and perceptions of total knee arthroplasty. *Physiother*. *Theory*. *Pract.*, 24, 167-181. (2) Khan F, Ng L, Gonzalez S, Hale T, Turner-Stokes L. Multidisciplinary rehabilitation programmes following joint replacement at the hip and knee in chronic arthropathy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008;(2):CD004957. (3) Scientific Advisory Committee of the Medical Outcomes Trust. (2002).

Assessing health status and quality-of-life instruments: attributes and review criteria. Qual.Life Res, 11, 193-205.

(4) McHorney CA, Ware JE, Jr., Lu JF, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): III. Tests of data quality, scaling assumptions, and reliability across diverse patient groups. Med Care 1994 Jan; 32(1): 40-66. (5) Bellamy N, Buchanan WW. A preliminary evaluation of the dimensionality and

clinical importance of pain and disability in osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. Clin Rheumatol 1986 Jun;5(2):231-41.

(6) EuroQol--a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. The EuroQol Group. Health Policy 1990 Dec;16(3):199-208.

(7) Kaplan RM, Bush JW, Berry CC. Health status: types of validity and the index of well-being. Health Serv Res 1976;11(4):478-507.

(8) Roos EM, Lohmander LS. The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS): from joint injury to osteoarthritis. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2003;1:64 (9) Sintonen H. The 15D instrument of health-related quality of life: properties and applications. Ann Med 2001 Jul;33(5):328-36.

(10) Xie F, Li SC, Goeree R, Tarride JE, O'Reilly D, Lo NN, et al. Validation of Chinese Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) in patients scheduled for total knee replacement. Qual Life Res 2008 May;17(4):595-601.

(11) Dunbar MJ, Robertsson O, Ryd L, Lidgren L. Appropriate questionnaires for knee arthroplasty. Results of a survey of 3600 patients from The Swedish Knee Arthroplasty Registry. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2001 Apr;83(3):339-44.

(12) Haverkamp D, Breugem SJ, Sierevelt IN, Blankevoort L, van Dijk CN. Translation and validation of the Dutch version of the Oxford 12-item knee questionnaire for knee arthroplasty. Acta Orthop 2005 Jun;76(3):347-52.

Acknowledgement



