

Western Washington University Western CEDAR

Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference

2018 Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference (Seattle, Wash.)

Apr 5th, 2:30 PM - 2:45 PM

Big Sharks in the Salish Sea: combining passive acoustics with the Salish Sea model to predict Sixgill Shark (Hexanchus griseus) presence

Alli Cramer Washington State Univ., United States, allison.cramer@email.wsu.edu

Steve Katz Washington State Univ., United States, steve.katz@wsu.edu

Kelly Andrews Northwest Fisheries Science Ctr., United States, kelly.andrews@noaa.gov

Daniel H. Thornton Washington State Univ., United States, daniel.thornton@wsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://cedar.wwu.edu/ssec

Part of the Fresh Water Studies Commons, Marine Biology Commons, Natural Resources and Conservation Commons, and the Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecology Commons

Cramer, Alli; Katz, Steve; Andrews, Kelly; and Thornton, Daniel H., "Big Sharks in the Salish Sea: combining passive acoustics with the Salish Sea model to predict Sixgill Shark (Hexanchus griseus) presence" (2018). *Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference*. 357. https://cedar.wwu.edu/ssec/2018ssec/allsessions/357

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences and Events at Western CEDAR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference by an authorized administrator of Western CEDAR. For more information, please contact westerncedar@wwu.edu.

Deep Data

Modeling Sixgill Shark (Hexanchus griseus) Movements

Alli N. Cramer Dr. Steve Katz, Kelly Andrews, Dr. Daniel H. Thornton



Photo credit: NOAA 2016 http://fishesofaustralia.net.au/Images/Image/HexanchGriseusNOAA.jpg, Modified through cropping and flipping by Alli Cramer

For ecology, movement is important

- Animal movements impact connectivity
 - Within populations
 - Between communities
- Predator movements alter ecosystem structure

 Knowing where animals are likely to be helps develop effective management

Photo credit: NOAA Ocean Explorer 2016 https://www.flickr.com/photos/27077560@N05/26688971116/. Modified through cropping by Alli Cramer.

The Technical Problem...

 What we want: estimates of probabilities for animal locations in time and space

 What we get: each technology has unique impact on the data used for those estimates.

How do we get from here to there?

How do we get from here to there?

GPS TRACKING





Data Properties

Individual determinant Continuous in time and space

WILDLIFE CAMERAS



Individual indeterminate Discontinuous in time and space

Photo credits (left to right): Dr. Zoe Hanley, National Parks Service https://www.nps.gov/yose/blogs/so-where-are-you-taking-that-bear.htm, Kyle Ebenhoch

Rademaker M, Meijaard E, Semiadi G, Blokland S, Neilson EW, Rode-Margono EJ (2016) First Ecological Study of the Bawean Warty Pig (Sus blouch), One of the Rarest Pigs on Earth. PLoS ONE 11(4): e0151732. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.015173

How do we get from here to there?

Data Properties

S_ID	LONG	LAT	YEAR	MONTH	DAY	HOURS	MINUTES	SECONDS	OBS_NAME	
10	110 20/10000	24.00070000	0011	F	01	01	00	F1		
13	-119.39610000	34.02270000	2011	5	21	21	20	51	Unid. Small Cetacean	
94	-119.85000000	34.08940000	2011	6	1/	21	18	58	Blue Whale	
137	-119.84660000	34.13430000	2011	6	17	22	59	17	Humpback Whale	
138	-119.82590000	34.11920000	2011	6	17	23	3	11	Blue Whale	are
139	-119.82590000	34.11920000	2011	6	17	23	3	11	Humpback Whale	acc
140	-119.85130000	34.12760000	2011	6	17	23	4	13	Humpback Whale	
141	-119.80230000	34.11380000	2011	6	17	23	5	59	Humpback Whale	
142	-119.88070000	34.09870000	2011	6	17	23	10	6	Blue Whale	
143	-119.88690000	34.11340000	2011	6	17	23	10	58	Blue Whale	
144	-120.05200000	34.11770000	2011	6	17	23	20	1	Humpback Whale	
145	-120.04050000	34.12080000	2011	6	17	23	21	18	Unid. Large Whale	
146	-120.04720000	34.12090000	2011	6	17	23	21	34	Humpback Whale	
147	-120.03420000	34.10980000	2011	6	17	23	22	30	Unid. Large Whale	
148	-120.05320000	34.12040000	2011	6	17	23	23	53	Unid. Large Whale	snaco
149	-120.06300000	34.11620000	2011	6	17	23	24	20	Blue Whale	space
150	-120.06300000	34.11620000	2011	6	17	23	24	20	Humpback Whale	
151	-120.04450000	34.10880000	2011	6	17	23	25	1	Unid. Large Whale	
152	-120.02920000	34.12080000	2011	6	17	23	26	56	Humpback Whale	
153	-120.03810000	34.11700000	2011	6	17	23	27	17	Humpback Whale	

GPS TRACKING

WILDLIFE CAMERAS

hoto credits (left to right): Dr. Zoe Hanley, National Parks Service https://www.nps.gov/yose/blogs/so-where-are-you-taking-that-bear.htm, Kyle Ebenhoch,

ademaker M, Meijaard E, Semiadi G, Blokland S, Neilson EW, Rode-Margono EJ (2016) First Ecological Study of the Bawean Warty Pig (Sus blouchi), One of the Rarest Pigs on Earth. PLoS ONE 11(4): e0151732. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.015173

BIG Sharks ... in Puget Sound

- Sixgill Sharks, *Hexanchus griseus*
- 600 lb sharks in downtown Seattle
- DEEP sharks
 - live in pure darkness where (most) humans never go
- Location Data
 - Northwest Fisheries Science Center, NOAA
- Environmental Data
 - Salish Sea Model
 - Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) & Washington State Department of Ecology

Why is Puget Sound interesting?

Fish Questions:

- Potential nursery for these fish
- Exploiting food resources (dogfish)
- Highly mobile species?

Puget Sound is a diverse & variable place

Photo credit: NOAA Ocean Explorer 2016 https://www.flickr.com/photos/27077560@N05/26688971116/. Modified through cropping by Alli Cramer.

Questions of interest:

 How does environmental variability correlate with the presence or absence of the shark?

• Can we predict where the shark is likely to be?

Photo credit: NOAA Ocean Explorer 2016 https://www.flickr.com/photos/27077560@N05/26688971116/. Modified through cropping by Alli Cramer.

Location Data



Location Data





Environmental Data

- Temperature (°C)
- Salinity (ppt)
 Dissolved O₂ (DO)



FVCOM model



Figure 1. An example of fitting a structured grid (left) and an unstructured grid (right) to a simple coastal embayment. The true coastline is shown in black, the model coastline in red. Note how the unstructured triangular grid can be adjusted so that the model coastline follows the true coastline, while the unstructured grid coastline is jagged -- which can result in unrealistic flow disturbance close to the coast. Credit: Chen, C., R.C. Beardsley, and G. Cowles. An unstructured grid, finite-volume coastal ocean model (FVCOM) System. Oceanography 19(1):78-89 (2006). http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2006.92

Values at sample nodes



Approach: Logistic regression with pseudo-absences data

Presence observations

- 2006
- 13 sharks
- 45 receivers 39 within 800 m of a model node
- 92,113 observations
- Absence observations
 - "Absences" inferred from background data
 - Absences from observation locations, but at random depths and times

Environmental data – Salish Sea Model

Whale Sightings Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary



Presence



Water Temperature °C





All sharks model



Take away from all these figures

- Making maps is possible!
- Sharks respond strongly to salinity
- Puget Sound is an estuary
 - water conditions are complicated



Take away from all these figures

Histogram of Random • Making maps is AUCs vs Model AUC possible! 2000 Sharks respond requency strongly to salinity 1000 P value < 0.001 500 • Puget Sound is an estuary • water conditions are 0 complicated 0.0 0.2 0.6 0.4

AUC values

0.8

1.0

Future steps

Add more years of environmental data

 Working with PNNL and the Washington Department of Ecology to evaluate more years

Look at Sex and Size

Forecast locations based on environmental conditions
Develop suitability space for sharks

Photo credit: NOAA Ocean Explorer 2016 https://www.flickr.com/photos/27077560@N05/26688971116/. Modified through cropping by Alli Cramer.

Acknowledgements

Dr. Steve Katz, Washington State University Kelly Andrews, Northwest Fisheries Science Center Dr. Daniel H. Thornton, Washington State University Dr. Tarang Khangaonkar, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

> Washington State Department of Ecology Center for Institutional Research Computing at Washington State University Stephanie Labou, CEREO Washington State University Michael Frederick Meyer, Washignton State University Dr. Steve Powers, CEREO Washington State University Dr. Stephanie Hampton, CEREO Washington State University





Pacific Northwest



Contact info:

*• @*AlliNCramer

Allison.Cramer@wsu.edu



Check out the R Working Group! @CougRstats cereo.wsu.edu/r-working-group

Photo credit: NOAA Ocean Explorer 2017 screen grab. https://www.flickr.com/photos/oceanexplorergov/33036849374/in/photostream

Key References

- Gotelli NJ, Ellinson AM (2013) A Primer of Ecological Statistics. J Chem Inf Model 53:1689–1699
- Khangaonkar T, Sackmann B, Long W, Mohamedali T, Roberts M (2012) Simulation of annual biogeochemical cycles of nutrient balance, phytoplankton bloom (s), and DO in Puget Sound using an unstructured grid model. Ocean Dyn 62:1353– 1379
- Khangaonkar T, Long W, Xu W (2017) Assessment of circulation and inter-basin transport in the Salish Sea including Johnstone Strait and Discovery Islands pathways. Ocean Model 109:11–32
- Andrews KS, Williams GD, Levin PS (2010) Seasonal and Ontogenetic Changes in Movement Patterns of Sixgill Sharks. PLoS One 5
- Ward EJ, Levin PS, Lance MM, Jeffries SJ, Acevedo-Gutierrez A (2012) Integrating diet and movement data to identify hot spots of predation risk and areas of conservation concern for endangered. Conserv Lett 5:37–47
- Khangaonkar T, Yang Z, Kim T, Roberts M (2011) Tidally averaged circulation in Puget Sound sub-basins : Comparison of historical data , analytical model , and numerical model. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 93:305–319
- Turchin P (1999) Quantitative Analysis of Movement. Meas Model Popul Redistrib Anim Plants

How to study shark movements?

Best option for deep, long term studies (((

FVCOM model



Figure 1. An example of fitting a structured grid (left) and an unstructured grid (right) to a simple coastal embayment. The true coastline is shown in black, the model coastline in red. Note how the unstructured triangular grid can be adjusted so that the model coastline follows the true coastline, while the unstructured grid coastline is jagged -- which can result in unrealistic flow disturbance close to the coast. Credit: Chen, C., R.C. Beardsley, and G. Cowles. An unstructured grid, finite-volume coastal ocean model (FVCOM) System. Oceanography 19(1):78-89 (2006). http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2006.92

Pairing FVCOM model with Telemetry Data



Pairing FVCOM model with Telemetry Data



Values at sample nodes



More detailed info about sharks





Do decisions change in space?

- Are there different behaviors at different places?
 - Two receivers, each modeled separately
 - Midwater receivers located in basins of the sound
 - Pseudo-absences only from that receiver





AUC for individual Receivers



Salish Sea Model predicted vs observed model concentrations



* and estimates of model bias and RMSE.